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U.S. Senate
Committee on Armed Services
Washington, D.C.

The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:31 a.m. in Room SH-216, Hart Senate Office Building, Hon. James M. Inhofe, presiding.

Committee Members Present: Senators Inhofe [presiding], Wicker, Fischer, Cotton, Rounds, Ernst, Tillis, Sullivan, Perdue, McSally, Scott, Blackburn, Hawley, Reed, Shaheen, Gillibrand, Blumenthal, Hirono, Kaine, King, Heinrich, Peters, Manchin, Duckworth, and Jones.
OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JAMES M. INHOFE, U.S.
SENATOR FROM OKLAHOMA

Chairman Inhofe: Our hearing will come to order. 

We meet today to continue receiving the posture statements. There will be quite a few more. It is the time of year, and we have General Tod Wolters -- and I appreciate very much the time we spent together in Munich last week -- and General Stephen Lyons. So I appreciate the two and the years of service that you have donated and given to your country.

As stated many times before, the Armed Services Committee’s top priority is to ensure the effective implementation of the National Defense Strategy. We pretty much -- it surprised me, Senator Wicker -- how we have really stuck with that from the very beginning. It means that we must ensure that in this era of great power competition with Russia and China that our military services are resourcing our combatant commands to address the challenge of strategic competition.

This is especially true of our two combatant commands that are here today. EUCOM is focused on deterring Vladimir Putin who has repeatedly shown his willingness to use force to achieve his political objectives. And then there is TRANSCOM whose focus on full spectrum mobility operations include sealift, airlift, both of which face
capability shortfalls.

I just returned from the Munich Security Conference with several others here at this table. It was a great reminder of the importance of allies and partners as we deal with the challenges from China and Russia. There are great opportunities to work with our European friends especially in Africa, and I hope that we will continue to do that.

America’s commitment to NATO remains bipartisan and unwavering. We are matching our words with action especially through our posture investments in the European Deterrence Initiative and the upcoming exercises called Defender 20, which will be the largest movement of U.S. troops to Europe since the end of the Cold war. We are talking about, in addition to the 10,000 already there, an additional 20,000, and so that is a large one. It is a record-setter.

General Wolters, I look forward to hearing about your priorities going forward especially in the maritime domain where Russia is increasing activity, advancing submarines and in air and missile defense as China continues to threaten U.S. and allied forces with its missile arsenal.

General Lyons, you recently stated that the aerial refueling fleet is the most stressed in the U.S. Transportation Command’s arsenal. So we look forward to
getting your thoughts on how we can address the shortfall, much of which is due to the problems that we have had with the KC-46. I am particularly sensitive to that because I was honored to come back from all the way to Washington State to come back to Altus Air Force Base in the KC-46. At that time -- that was less than a year ago -- I did not think there was any problem with it. Obviously, there is.

Before we turn to Senator Reed, we would remind the members that we will have a classified, closed, informal briefing at 2:30, and that is going to conflict with some of the votes.

Senator Reed?
STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE ISLAND

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I want to join you in welcoming our witnesses this morning. General Wolters is testifying for the first time before the committee in his current position as Commander of U.S. European Command and NATO Supreme Allied Commander Europe, or the SACEUR.

General Lyons, I welcome your return to testify before the committee on the posture of the U.S. Transportation Command.

Let me thank both of you for the many decades of military service, and please extend our appreciation to the dedicated men and women serving under your command.

The transatlantic relationship remains absolutely critical to U.S. national security. Our close bond with our European allies and partners is one of our greatest strategic advantages. In the fiscal year 2020 National Defense Authorization Act, Congress reaffirmed the unbreakable U.S. commitment to NATO.

I am concerned, however, that significant cuts in the European Deterrence Initiative, or EDI, as proposed in the President’s fiscal year 2021 budget request may send the wrong signal to our allies and our adversaries regarding our support for the transatlantic relationship.
The EUCOM Commander faces a wide range of complex security challenges, including the reemergence of a revanchist Russia seeking to reassert a claim to great power status. Russia is actively undermining the rules-based international order that it views as contrary to its strategic interests. Russia has deployed its military aggressively to attempt to coerce its neighbors and undermine their sovereignty. Consistent with the 2018 National Defense Strategy, EUCOM is developing the operational concepts, plans, and programs necessary for the strategic competition with Russia and to ensure our combat credible military deterrent against Russia’s efforts to threaten the sovereignty of our allies and partners.

General Wolters, the committee will be very interested in whether you have the force posture in Europe and resources to meet this challenge.

Additionally, Russia is engaged in a campaign of hybrid warfare, below the level of military conflict, to advance its strategic interests. Recent news reports have highlighted that Russia is, once again, conducting information warfare operations to influence the upcoming U.S. presidential elections to advance preferred candidates and sow division and undermine public faith in our democratic process. I would be interested in how EUCOM is working with CYBERCOM and other agencies to counter the
Russian hybrid threat and what progress, if any, have we made on ensuring a coordinated, whole-of-government strategy to defend against Russian malign influence.

A major test of both EUCOM and TRANSCOM will come this spring, as the chairman has indicated, with the Defender-Europe 20 exercise. This exercise is about the transportation of a division-sized combat force, the largest deployment of troops from the United States to Europe for an exercise in the past 25 years. There are some of us that still remember Reforger. In total, some 37,000 U.S., NATO, and partner forces will participate in Defender. This exercise will highlight the combat-credible military deterrent provided by U.S. and allied forces against aggression in Europe.

Now, turning to TRANSCOM, the men and women of TRANSCOM perform duties that sustain the entire Department of Defense effort in protecting our nation’s security. With the competitive edge and its ability to deploy and sustain America’s armed forces, TRANSCOM provides DOD with unique capabilities that we have come to expect and perhaps too frequently take for granted. TRANSCOM forces are busy supporting all of the combatant commanders every day, and without them, the United States would be at a significant disadvantage almost everywhere in the world.

The Ready Reserve Force, or RRF, is a group of cargo
ships held in readiness by the Maritime Administration. But it is aging and will need to be modernized over the next decade. 3 years ago, the committee authorized the Defense Department to begin a program to recapitalize the Ready Reserve Force. This program will authorize DOD to purchase up to two foreign-built vessels while the Navy designed a family of auxiliary vessels for a number of uses, including recapitalizing the Ready Reserve Force.

Then 2 years ago, Congress authorized the department to buy five more foreign-built vessels as soon as the Department submitted a funded plan to build new ships for the RRF in U.S. shipyards, a plan we have yet to receive. General Lyons, I am interested in the status of the RRF recapitalization in fiscal year 2021.

The Defense Department also needs to ensure that the Civil Reserve Air Fleet, or CRAF, program, which provides as much as 40 percent of wartime airlift needs, remains viable and will be able to provide needed surge capacity in the future. General Lyons, I am interested in your views on the state of this fleet and if anything needs to be done to ensure their readiness.

Our global transportation capability owned or managed by TRANSCOM has been one of our asymmetric advantages of many years now. However, we cannot assume that potential adversaries will allow us free rein in this area in the
future. Last year, we received the report of the analysis of wartime transportation needs in the Mobility Requirements Study. It was clear to the committee that the Department needed to continue the analytical effort to identify requirements because the study presented last year did not reflect implementation of the National Defense Strategy. General Lyons, perhaps you could give us an update on where TRANSCOM stands in updating this analysis.

Finally, TRANSCOM also faces a unique set of cyber threats because of the command’s extensive work with private sector entities in the transportation and shipping industries. General Lyons, I would like to get an update from you on where TRANSCOM stands in its effort to improve its cybersecurity posture.

Again, let me thank the witnesses for appearing before the committee today. I look forward to your testimony.

Chairman Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Reed.

We will now hear opening statements. We will start with you, General Wolters, and then General Lyons. Your statements should be around 5 minutes. Your entire statement will be made a part of the record. General Wolters?
STATEMENT OF GENERAL TOD D. WOLTERS, USAF, COMMANDER, UNITED STATES EUROPEAN COMMAND/NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SUPREME ALLIED COMMANDER EUROPE

General Wolters: Chairman Inhofe, Ranking Member Reed, distinguished members of the committee, it is an honor to appear before you, and on behalf of the men, women, and families that represent USEUCOM, we thank each and every one of you for your steadfast support. As all of you well know, it is an absolute privilege to serve alongside the patriots that represent the United States of America.

In Europe, political uncertainty, energy competition, and diffusion of disruptive technology are stressing the established Western order. Threats and challenges, most notably Russia, Iran, and China, seek to take advantage of these conditions through aggressive action using all instruments of national power and are backed by increasingly capable military forces.

Fully aligned with the National Defense Strategy implementation efforts, we confront these challenges by adapting our approach to most effectively employ our means. Together with the likeminded allies and partners, our team of patriots defend freedom in all domains across the area of responsibility and around the clock. Thanks to their efforts and the authorities and resources you provide,
EUCOM continues to maintain positive momentum with respect to readiness and is postured to compete, deter, and effectively respond with the full weight of the transatlantic alliance.

In 2019, NATO took significant military strides with improvements in command and control, indications and warnings, mission command, and by approving a new NATO military strategy titled “Comprehensive Defense and Shared Response.” NATO continues to adapt its force structure with the establishment of two additional NATO headquarters. Joint Forces Command Norfolk, which is focused on maintaining transatlantic lines of communications, and the German-led Joint Support Enabling Command focused on rear-area logistics coordination. These headquarters increase our ability to command and control, enable deployment, and sustain NATO forces in crisis or conflict.

The European Union, NATO, and EUCOM have made progress improving infrastructure and transit procedures to facilitate rapid movement of forces across the Euro-Atlantic. We will leverage many of these advancements to facilitate deployment of a division-sized force, as mentioned by the chairman, during the U.S.-led exercise Defender-Europe 20, an exercise that showcases U.S. and allied commitment to collective security of the Euro-Atlantic.
The United States’ position in Europe is an invaluable cornerstone of national security. Today, U.S. service members in Europe continue to generate peace alongside our allies and partners. We are grateful for sustained congressional interest and support through authorities and funding. Together with the soldiers, sailors, airmen, marines, coast guardsmen, and civilians of USEUCOM, your support demonstrates our nation’s continued commitment to defend the homeland forward and preserve peace for the 1 billion citizens in the Euro-Atlantic.

Thank you.

[The prepared statement of General Wolters follows:]
Chairman Inhofe: Thank you.

General Lyons?
STATEMENT OF GENERAL STEPHEN R. LYONS, USA,
COMMANDER, UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION COMMAND

General Lyons: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman Inhofe, Ranking Member Reed, distinguished members of the committee, it is my honor today to represent the men and women of the United States Transportation Command who at this very moment are employed around the globe conducting mobility operations 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year.

Our mission at TRANSCOM is enduring, and that is to project and sustain the force globally at our time and place of choosing, thereby representing multiple options for our national leadership and multiple dilemmas for potential adversaries.

With 85 percent of the force element stations in the United States, it is TRANSCOM’s job to move forces and materiel in support of the Secretary of Defense’s strategic priorities. Our National Defense Strategy underscores the importance of advancing our national security interests, deterring potential adversaries, and should deterrence fail, responding with overwhelming force to win. Power projection is a distinct U.S. comparative advantage, but we are not alone in this effort. Our vast global logistics networks are underpinned by a deep bench of allies and likeminded partners that facilitate critical access basing
and overflight activities.

Today, I am confident in our ability to successfully execute our mission. This past year, with a no-notice alert, we moved the 1st Brigade of the 82nd Airborne Division to CENTCOM in less than 5.5 days. In total, we moved over 42 Army brigades, refueled multiple bomber task force and fighter movements, delivered 1.9 million passengers, over 6,000 patients, over 100,000 containers, and over 26 million square feet of cargo. Much of this activity largely went unnoticed. This is good news and a sign of sustained success across DOD’s mobility enterprise.

However, as the chairman pointed out, our world is changing. The National Defense Strategy describes a future in which TRANSCOM must be able to project the joint force under all-domain persistent attack. We acknowledge that our success today does not guarantee success for tomorrow, and we are actively preparing to meet tomorrow’s challenges working through contested environment war games, enhancing cyber defenses and resiliency, and improving the integration of the sustainment warfighting function across the joint operations.

To maintain readiness today, our aerial refueling and sealift forces require attention so they can continue to meet current and future challenges.

Before I close, I want to highlight the Department’s
ongoing work to improve the personal property program, an area of great interest for Congress. As directed by the NDAA, we have submitted a business case analysis and worked closely with the GAO on their report. Both reports underscore the need for change. The Department can no longer afford to operate a disparate confederation of government activities supervising its similarly disparate collection of hundreds of transportation providers. We are on track to restructure our relationship with industry through the award of a global household goods contract that will begin moving DOD families ahead of the 2021 peak season.

My message for DOD families: we heard your call for improved accountability, transparency, and quality capacity, and we are committed to deliver.

My message for industry providers: if you provide quality service for our military members, you have a place in the future program. We need every quality moving service, to include our small businesses, and appreciate all that you do.

It is an exciting time to be the commander of USTRANSCOM, and I could not be more proud of the team of professionals that create the strategic comparative advantage called the joint deployment and distribution enterprise.
I am pleased to join General Tod Wolters today and look forward to your questions. Thank you for your leadership and support to our amazing service members.

[The prepared statement of General Lyons follows:]
Chairman Inhofe: Thank you, General Lyons. I appreciate your bringing up that issue. We spent a lot of time on that in the defense authorization bill addressing that, and I think you are carrying it out exactly as we had intended you to do that.

As I mentioned, General Wolters, we appreciate the briefing that we got in Germany, and you covered something I think that might be worth repeating here. In October 2019, the news report suggested that Russia deployed as many as 10 submarines for some of the largest fleet maneuvers since World War II. Can you describe, as you did this last week, how the pace and scope of Russia’s maritime activity has changed in recent years and what implications that has for EUCOM?

General Wolters: Yes, Chairman. We took note of the Russian undersea activity in the summer/fall of 2018 and compared it to what Russia executed in the summer of 2019/fall of 2019. And what we saw was a 50 percent increase in the number of resources in the undersea that Russia committed to both those out-of-area submarine patrol operations.

What we also witnessed was an improved degree of good order and discipline on behalf of the Russian sailors. So this observation is one more reflection about how important it is to continue to improve our competitive edge to buy
down the risk to ensure that we can operate with freedom.

    Chairman Inhofe: Okay. That is good.

On that same trip we went through Rota, Spain when they were talking about that they are adding the two U.S. destroyers to the four that are already there. Is that something you support? Where does that fall into -- what level of request you have for additional forces in EUCOM?

    General Wolters: Chairman, it is precisely in line with our request for two additional destroyers. And what I am also proud to report, with the support of this committee through EDI, we have been in a position to where we have been able to improve and mature the infrastructure at Rota. If you asked me to accept two more destroyers tomorrow, we actually possess the infrastructure at Rota to be able to house those two additional destroyers, a reflection of the value of the funds for deterrence.

    Chairman Inhofe: Yes. They made that very clear.

    All right. General Lyons, I commented in my opening statement about the KC-46. Some of us went from here in Washington up to Seattle to fly the first ones down to Altus Air Force Base, and I remember at that time, we had the Secretary of the Air Force, Deborah James, there. And I recall making an observation, and that was that in 1959, two wonderful things happened. Number one, I got married, and number two, the first KCs were actually delivered to
Altus Air Force Base. And at that time, we did not know that there was any problem. At least, I did not know, and I do not think anyone else did either because we were just rejoicing. The fact that that had lasted 60 years, and she even commented it looks like that is going to enhance the prosperity of Altus Air Force Base for the next 60 years. So that was something we were not aware of.

And so now you got a problem, and that problem, of course, is what are we going to do to fill that function. And I know you have given a lot of thought to it. Why do you not let us know where you are right now? You know, we have been doing this for years, getting rid of old things before we got the new ones online ready to perform. So kind of tell us where we are. What is that going to do with the preparations that we have already made for the KC-135’s and the KC-10’s to actually be downgraded?

General Lyons: Chairman, thanks.

As you mentioned in your opening comments, aerial refueling as a force element is the most stressed force element in the TRANSCOM portfolio, both for day-to-day operations, as well as for high-end conflict operations.

I will defer to the Air Force on the particular programmatics and technical aspects of the KC-46 as it comes online.

Chairman Inhofe: Yes, and I mentioned that to you
before this meeting that we will be having the Air Force’s posture hearing, and we will want to talk about that at that time too.

General Lyons: Yes, sir.

And what I can describe is operationally. As we bring the KC-46 on and we take on more jets and we convert more crews, when that capability is not usable and it is not today presentable to the joint force for some technical deficiencies, that means a dip in operational capability for the joint force in day-to-day operations in the active component if in fact we continue to retire the KC-135 and the KC-10 at the rate that was proposed by the Air Force. So we are working very, very closely with the Air Force and the Department to retain a level of capability, of legacy capability, so we can continue to support joint operations.

Chairman Inhofe: So you are retaining some that you had not planned on retaining had we not had the problem with the KC-46, I assume.

General Lyons: Sir, we are working Air Force now. There was some number of planes that were retired, were programmed for retirement in fiscal year 2021, as you saw in the budget submission, that we believe must be retained, 13 KC-135’s and 10 KC-10’s that we believe must be retained during the conversion.

Chairman Inhofe: That is good.
Senator Reed?

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

General Wolters, the European Command’s strategy document states meeting the challenge of countering the Kremlin-sponsored malign influence campaign necessitates a whole-of-government solution. So do you assess currently that we have a synchronized campaign prosecuted in a unified manner to address malign influence, particularly directed at the 2020 election?

General Wolters: Senator, I think our campaign momentum is improving in that area. As you are familiar with, we established 2 years ago the Russia integration group that bears the responsibility to represent USEUCOM with the United States and with many NATO nations to align a whole-of-nation, whole-of-government activity and activities below the level of actual kinetic conflict to ensure that we can have better control of the information domain.

I think we are to a point to where we expect to do better, and I think that is a good place for military leaders to be. I will tell you that I am pleased with the campaign momentum. I have had the opportunity to visit with many of the U.S. entities and national entities that represent whole-of-government and whole-of-nation activity
to provide more influence in the information domain, and I am pleased with the progress.

Senator Reed: Thank you.

Can you just give me your assessment of the current state of alliance cohesion within NATO? And I would assume that at a military level, there is one sort of analysis and at a political level, another. Can you touch on both?

General Wolters: Senator Reed, the mil-to-mil alignment that I see with the United States and NATO with the north Atlantic extension through the Euro-Atlantic is as strong as I have ever witnessed. And I have had the opportunity to serve in NATO since 1983.

And I am pleased to report that at the political level, as a result of recent documents that were approved at NATO at the political level, we are seeing greater cohesion as well. For the first time in over 6 decades, we at NATO approved the first NATO military strategy. It is a document that is classified NATO secret that codifies the threat and codifies the activities that we need to embrace to more comprehensively defend. All 29 nations agreed to that NATO military strategy, and I think that is a reflection at the political level and the military level of improving cohesion.

Senator Reed: Thank you.

General Lyons, we talked about the shipbuilding
program. Can you just give us a quick sort of summary of where are at and where we have to go?

General Lyons: Yes, Senator, I sure can.

As you know, we depend on sealift to carry about 90 percent of our cargo capacity in a wartime scenario. Our current readiness of the fleet is below where we need it to be. You indicated that it is rapidly reaching end of useful life. We are working very closely with the Navy. You mentioned the authorization to buy seven used vessels. I anticipate we will purchase two, the first two, in 2021, working very, very closely with MARAD and the Navy. My view is we should have that first vessel in the first quarter of fiscal year 2021.

Senator Reed: But we are far below what we would need for a surge -- a significant military operation at this point. Correct?

General Lyons: Yes, sir. That is correct. We need a much longer plan.

Senator Reed: And the chairman touched upon the air refueling, which I think is another critical weakness. We talked about that in the office.

One of the areas that is ubiquitous everywhere is cyber, and you are in the position where you not only have to have a military organization that you have to keep sort of ahead of the curve, but you have literally hundreds of
private companies, some large, some small. Can you give us an idea of the cyber challenges that you are facing, and do we have a significant vulnerability there?

General Lyons: Senator, the way I would characterize the cyber vulnerability is probably the most consequential to the mobility enterprise as we look at it. So we spend a lot of time on this particular issue looking at resiliency, looking at a number of other issues to harden our defenses, et cetera. Particularly with regard to the commercial carriers which is I think what you are asking, we have included contract language in all of our contracts. We check compliance. We have self-reporting mechanisms. We believe that their level of cyber hygiene has increased significantly from this level of effort.

I would not come here today and tell you that they could survive a threat from a persistent threat actor. And so we have sufficient resiliency in our contracts as well.

Senator Reed: Just to follow on, do you have the ability to check, you know, send in teams, no-notice inspections? You know, the old line, a unit only does what the commander checks. If you are not checking, you could have everything in the contract you wanted and you would have nothing.

General Lyons: Senator, we do not have the authority that you are describing.
Senator Reed: Do you need that?

General Lyons: There are some second and third implications on those kinds of activities.

Senator Reed: Can you get back to us on that?

General Lyons: I can, yes, sir.

Senator Reed: And I am not surprised the 1st Brigade of the 82nd conducted an outstanding operation. Thank you.

General Lyons: Airborne, sir.

Chairman Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Reed.

Senator Wicker?

Senator Wicker: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And, gentlemen, thank you for your great service to the United States.

Let me ask you, General Wolters. The proposed OMB fiscal year 2021 budget requests $705.4 billion for DOD. This represents three-tenths of 1 percent over the current fiscal year. In other words, the proposed budget buys us less resources than the current year considering inflation. Am I correct there?

General Wolters: Yes, Senator.

Senator Wicker: And let me just ask you this. Do we need less security resources in the European Command next year than we do this year?

General Wolters: Senator, we need more.

Senator Wicker: And in addition to that, the
Secretary of Defense, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and the National Defense Strategy Commission have all endorsed 3.5 percent real growth. Is that also your opinion, General Wolters?

General Wolters: Yes, Senator.

Senator Wicker: And, General Lyons, is that your opinion also?

General Lyons: Yes, Senator.

Senator Wicker: I appreciate the distinguished chairman mentioning early on in his questioning Rota, Spain, and I am glad that he and his team visited there -- a bipartisan delegation visited there just the other day.

The DDGs are the workhorse of the Navy. General Wolters, in European Command how does a mere three-tenths of 1 percent increase over the current fiscal year affect what we are going to be able to do there with the DDGs, with the two extra DDGs?

General Wolters: Senator, every cent counts. Those two additional DDGs would allow us the opportunity to continue to improve our ability to get indications and warnings in the potential battle space and also dramatically improve our ability to better command and control. And because of the flexibility of those resources, they can comprehensively defend in all geographical areas in support of Europe. So those
destroyers are critical to improve the campaign to deliver peace, particularly in the areas of indications and warnings and command and control.

Senator Wicker: Thank you. Thank you for that. And we are going to certainly try to help you I think up and down the dais here on a bipartisan basis on the resources that we need to defend America and Americans.

It is interesting that the leader of EUCOM would mention in the first few seconds of his statement not only Russia but China. And so could you enlighten us about where you are seeing increased problems with China and increased influence in the European theater from China?

General Wolters: Senator, two areas. The first is seaport equities, and the second is 5G Huawei.

And what we have seen in several critical nations on the periphery of Europe is an economic majority on behalf of China investment for seaports in critical nations like Belgium, Italy, France, and Greece. And that is a large concern to all of the NATO nations. And when you start to do the collective math, you discover that China has access to 10 percent of the shipping rights into and out of Europe. Those are daunting figures that should lead one to believe that we need to continue to be vigilant with respect to seaport equities on the economic side.

The second issue happens to be Huawei and 5G. I am
1 firmly aware of several European nations who have a
tendency to lean towards Huawei and 5G. My concern goes
back to the soldiers. Without the appropriate network
protection, there is a potential compromise of technical
data and personal data, and that is not to the good order
and discipline of our U.S. soldiers and our NATO soldiers.

Senator Wicker: And finally, General Wolters, a
number of us have been involved on a member-to-member basis
with our parliamentary brothers and sisters in the OSCE
Parliamentary Assembly. We have a great new Ambassador to
the OSCE, Ambassador Jim Gilmore. To what extent is the
OSCE organization important to you and to providing you
information that you need?

General Wolters: Very important, Senator, and I think
it builds incredible trust for the Euro-Atlantic link.
Your hearings that you held in Gdansk last year were a huge
boost in trust not only between the U.S. and Poland but
throughout all of NATO.

Senator Wicker: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Wicker.

Senator Blumenthal?

Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you both for your service and thank you for
being here.
Many of my colleagues and I have received briefings as recently as this morning from other departments and agencies in the administration about the coordinated response to the coronavirus. I am also concerned about the Department of Defense response to protect service members and family members that are stationed at military installations abroad, the rapid spread of this virus, as well as the number of diagnoses and deaths in countries where Americans are stationed -- a lot of Americans are stationed in, for example, South Korea -- is very, very concerning. I am focused on ensuring that the Department is reevaluating and updating procedures and actions necessary to keep our service members and their families safe.

General Lyons, your command manages the inter-theater movement of our service members in and out of areas that have been impacted by the coronavirus, making you really uniquely positioned to address this issue. What action has your command taken to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 and ensure the well-being of our service members and their families? And do you need additional resources? Is there more we can do to help you? And what more do you think should be done?

General Lyons: Senator, I agree with your concern. And the Secretary of Defense has indicated that protection
of the force is his number one priority regarding the coronavirus.

   U.S. Northern Command is the lead for the Department, working very closely in support of Health and Human Services. We are connected with them on a daily basis, a frequent number of times a day. And so we are watching this very, very closely for any implications on global mobility.

   Senator Blumenthal: And what specific actions are you taking?

   General Lyons: Inside the transportation enterprise, locations like Travis Air Force Base has become a receiver for potential folks coming out of the theater particularly the Indo-Pacific. So we are not taking particular health protection measures inside the command other than to protect the force, but in a more board sense, we are in support of Health and Human Services and that is done through the lead of USNORTHCOM.

   Senator Blumenthal: And, General Wolters, do you feel you have been given the necessary resources and other tools to protect American service men and women and their families in Europe?

   General Wolters: Yes, Senator, and we have also been given the appropriate authorities. As we speak in Europe today, we have over 300 cases, and the nation that is of
most concern is Italy with six reported deaths. We have restricted travel to certain zones, and we require all military air arrival flights to be screened for the virus.

Senator Blumenthal: Are you taking any additional steps to constrain travel by service men and women or their families on leave and so forth?

General Wolters: We have in what we feel are the affected areas, in particular two states inside of Italy.

Senator Blumenthal: And do you have plans to restrict travel in any other states?

General Wolters: We anticipate the need may arise in Germany, but that is still to be determined.

Senator Blumenthal: General Wolters, in your posture statement, you highlight American service members on the ground in the Joint Military Training Group Ukraine work, and you note they serve shoulder to shoulder with Ukrainian forces. Can you expand on the important efforts to deter Russian aggression there?

General Wolters: Yes, Senator. The Joint Military Training Group initiated military training team activity on a rotational basis starting in 2016, and they also began about 6 months later to rotate military training teams in the special operations category. There are several phases of the long-range plan, and here we are 3 and a half years later and we are to phase 3, which puts those military
training teams that represent the Joint Military Training Group -- Canada and UK are other participants. Those teams are now in observer status because of the demonstrated expertise of the Ukrainian armed forces and the conventional force in the SOF side of the house. We are very pleased with the progress of the Ukrainian armed forces, and the stronger that they are and the more that they embrace democratic values, the greater the alignment with the West, which is exactly where need to head.

Senator Blumenthal: Thank you. Thank you very much, gentlemen. My time has expired. Thanks for your service.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Fischer?

Senator Fischer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I would like to thank both of you gentlemen for your service and also to thank the men and women who serve under you.

General Wolters, how would you assess the progress that has been made in implementing the NDS and its emphasis on prioritizing strategic competition with Russia?

General Wolters: Senator, I am very pleased. As I mentioned earlier on one of the questions from your colleagues, for the first time in many decades, we approved the NATO military strategy, and it looks very similar to the United States’ National Defense Strategy. And I believe this is one area that reflects the powerful
alignment and a willingness on behalf of NATO to lean forward with respect to what we do across the full spectrum from competition to crisis to conflict, which is exactly what we called for in the NDS. So I am pleased with the ever-improving alignment in NATO and with our European nations.

Senator Fischer: What do you think is the biggest challenge that you have in fulfilling the goals of the NDS in Europe?

General Wolters: It is to do what we can to cure the malign influence on behalf of Russia, and that requires a more concentrated effort in the competition phase of embracing a potential foe. And what we have heard throughout many of the questions today are the activities that we have to embrace in 21st century military below the actual activities of kinetic conflict and understanding what we are doing and what the return on investment is. And we are making rapid improvement in those areas.

Senator Fischer: So in order to improve, basically you need to work together more on your training?

General Wolters: Yes, ma'am.

Senator Fischer: Do you feel that you have come together or are coming together with other NATO partners in facing what the threats are?

General Wolters: We are, and the reflection of that
is the approval of a NATO military strategy that actually
codifies those threats and agreement on behalf of the 29
nations to identify those threats.

Senator Fischer: You and I yesterday -- we discussed
the growing recognition that there is among the NATO
partners on the important role of our nuclear deterrence in
keeping the peace. Obviously, we all understand that our
deterrent, the triad, is the bedrock of the security of
this country.

Can you tell us a little bit about what you are
hearing from our NATO partners when it comes to the
deterrence in private conversations, if you can share that,
but also in public the support that you see?

General Wolters: Senator, there is a greater degree
of awareness of the importance of deterrence, and as we
look at the success that NATO has had for the last 7
decades to deliver peace, one of the elements has to be the
triad that exists from the United States and its
representation to nuclear deterrence on the European
continent. It has been very, very effective, and the
nations understand more and more about that with each
passing day as a result of embracing deterrence to a
greater degree than we have in the past.

Senator Fischer: Would you say that our partners in
their embracing of this deterrence are also becoming better
messengers within their own countries about the importance of not just a strong NATO, but of having that strong nuclear deterrence, that umbrella, that is so vital in their freedom as well?

General Wolters: Absolutely, Senator. It has to do with the responsibility that we feel in NATO to generate peace not just inside of the boundaries of Europe but on the periphery. And as we embrace missions for NATO mission Iraq and as we embrace Operation Resolute Support in Afghanistan, we see how important it is to proliferate deterrence to the max extent practical to achieve greater peace.

Senator Fischer: And what are your views, sir, on adopting a so-called no-first-use policy? Do you believe that that would strengthen deterrence?

General Wolters: Senator, I am a fan of flexible first-use policy.

Senator Fischer: And do you believe developing ground-launched conventionally armed intermediate range weapons will enhance your ability to deter Russia?

General Wolters: It will. It dramatically complicates an enemy’s task.

Senator Fischer: Thank you, sir.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Kaine?

Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
And thanks to the witnesses. I appreciated the opportunity to visit with you each before the hearing today.

General Wolters, I want to ask you a question. In your testimony -- I think it was maybe in a back and forth with Senator Reed -- you talked a little bit about increased Russian sub activity in the Atlantic. The President’s budget proposes to cut the Virginia class sub program 50 percent by only funding one of the two in the block buy. And on February 13, the DOD used its general transfer authority to move $3.8 billion of Pentagon money to the general drug account for use on the southern border.

And part of those funds that were moved was a reduction of $180 million from the P-8 Poseidon aircraft program. As you know, that airplane is a modified Boeing 737 that is used as a sub hunter. It usually operates from Iceland or elsewhere in Europe to work with the fast attack subs like the Virginia class to track Russian sub activity coming from the Greenland-Iceland-UK gap.

Without commenting on the budget, I would like you to talk about the importance of both the Virginia class sub and the P-8 Poseidon in countering Russian sub activity.

General Wolters: Senator, they are vital capabilities, and what they contribute to overall maritime patrol activity has proven over time to be very, very
successful.

We are lucky to be part of NATO. We lean on our brothers and sisters from a national perspective to ask them to take a look at the resources they can contribute when we are in situations with respect to some decrements in the maritime patrol area. Norway has been a great contributor on the P-8 side of the house, and we see the effectiveness of that system. They are vital resources and very much needed to improve our overall deterrence posture.

Senator Kaine: Both of those platforms, the Virginia class and then the P-8 Poseidon.

General Wolters: Yes, Senator.

Senator Kaine: General Lyons, I want to ask you a question. On pages 5 and 6 of your written testimony, you talk about military sealift issues. And again, Senator Reed asked you a little bit about it. There was an IG report about military sealift command and readiness reporting, and one of the areas that they focused on was that the readiness reporting was coming from the ship captains and they were sort of doing a self-report about readiness. These are our assets, but the operation of the ship was contracted. And there was sort of a question about whether there was an incentive for the captains really to accurately report readiness. What is their incentive to say, hey, we are really not ready?
What are you guys doing? You talk about in TRANSCOM the current readiness measurement, but what are you doing to make sure that the reporting of readiness from the ship level up is as accurate as it can be?

General Lyons: Senator, it is a great question. And I concur with that assessment. A lot of activity has taken place and I think we have made a lot of improvements to elucidate the readiness that we see today. Part of that is the reason we are seeing such low readiness rates in the 50th and 60th percentile about our Ready Reserve Fleet readiness to generate as we discovered during our no-notice activation back in September.

What I would say is really back about 3 years ago in 2017, the military sealift commander really started to take this very, very seriously, deep diving. He has come up with a comprehensive readiness plan. The DOD IG reflected his findings in 2017 in a 2018 report that you are referring to, and there is a lot of work still to be done.

Senator Kaine: Just to your written testimony, there is a goal of 85 percent readiness, but the current measure is at 59 percent. And vessel material, condition, and age are the primary factors, and those continue to degrade readiness. You have some additional testimony about the sealift fleet will lose 1 million to 2 million square feet of capacity each year as the ships reach the end of their
useful life.

So tell the committee a little bit in my remaining minute just about what are the plans to restore that readiness and hopefully get more near the 85 percent goal?

General Lyons: It is a significant issue. It is a top priority for TRANSCOM. We are working very, very closely with the Navy on this.

We have a fleet that is about 43 years old on average. If you compare that to the civilian industry, they are going to wash out their ships between 15 and 25, depending on the business case. It is no secret that when you retain a large fixed plant facility like one of our large sealift platforms, it becomes increasingly more expensive the older it gets.

And so what we would like to see very much is a little bit less in terms of service life extension, in other words, extending these ships beyond 50 to 60 years. That is not returning the investment that we thought it would. We are a very strong advocate of the acquired use strategy. You granted the authorization to do seven. We need to execute what you granted us the ability to do, and then I think we need to come back to you with a long-range plan to consistently recapitalize over the next 15 to 20 years.

Senator Kaine: Thank you very much.

Thanks, Mr. Chair.
Chairman Inhofe: Senator Cotton?

Senator Cotton: Thank you, gentlemen, for your appearance and for your service.

General Wolters, let us talk a little bit more about coronavirus and its impact in the European theater. I am reading here from a “Stars and Stripes” report on Sunday saying that at Vicenza, there has been a temporary closing Monday through Wednesday of all dependents schools, activity centers, fitness centers, theaters, and chapels. Is that report accurate?

General Wolters: Yes, Senator.

Senator Cotton: Are there any updates from that report on Sunday in “Stars and Stripes”?

General Wolters: Those facilities remained closed and travel to the two states are still prohibited in Italy.

Senator Cotton: Do you expect that those facilities in the Vicenza community will reopen on Thursday as initially planned, or do you think that closure might have to be extended?

General Wolters: Senator, I would give it about a 50/50 right now about potentially extending the closure.

Senator Cotton: How many U.S. troops do we have at Vicenza roughly speaking?

General Wolters: Sure. We have got about 6,000 or 7,000.
Senator Cotton: How many of those have accompanied spouses or children?

General Wolters: 70 to 80 percent.

Senator Cotton: So maybe about 4,000 to 4,500 husbands and wives and then some larger number of children probably?

General Wolters: Absolutely, and over 35,000 U.S. military members in Italy.

Senator Cotton: And they are all mostly just sitting at home right now trying to avoid the coronavirus?

General Wolters: Not mostly but there is a fair amount, yes, sir.

Senator Cotton: Coronavirus has been present in Germany as well. In fact, that was one of the first European nations in which it appeared. It has not appeared in the numbers yet that have exploded in Italy in the last few days.

First off, has there been any such closures at our military bases in Germany?

General Wolters: Not yet, Senator, but we are anticipating an increase in the number of cases reported in Germany and we are prepared to execute.

Senator Cotton: It is a troubling situation.

Let us move to another troubling situation, which you have spoken about briefly as well, Huawei, the Chinese
telecom company. You state in your written testimony that
5G networks by Huawei will place intellectual property,
sensitive technology, and private personal information at
heightened risk of acquisition and exploitation by the
Chinese Government. You further say that this ongoing
initiative, coupled with China’s growing interest and
investment in European ports and infrastructure,
complicates steady state and contingency operations.

It sounds like you consider the use of Huawei and 5G
networks in Europe to be a threat to our national security.
Is that correct?

General Wolters: It is certainly a threat to the
soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines in Europe.

Senator Cotton: My next question was, is it a threat
to the troopers that you lead?

General Wolters: Affirm, Senator.

Senator Cotton: Unfortunately, some European nations
are moving forward with Huawei technology in their
networks, most notably our NATO allies in the United
Kingdom and Germany. What are we to do about that and how
can we guarantee the security of our troopers, as well as
our NATO command and control systems?

General Wolters: Senator Cotton, it is vigilance,
education, and going back to the basics with respect to
network protection of the critical data on the technical
side of the house and the personal side of the house for our soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines, not just in the U.S. but all of our NATO forces.

Senator Cotton: Do your military counterparts understand the threat that Huawei poses?

General Wolters: Yes, Senator.

Senator Cotton: So the problem may be at the political leadership level. A statement, not a question.

Finally, I want to conclude on a somewhat related matter. We discussed this yesterday in our meeting. I want to bring everyone’s attention to an alarming poll by the Pew Research Center among 16 NATO countries. Happily it shows that NATO’s favorability rating is pretty strong, 2 to 1 in fact. 53 to 27 of the peoples of these 16 countries have a favorable impression of NATO. Not surprisingly, NATO scored pretty low in Russia.

Somewhat disappointingly though, when asked who should fight Russia if there were a conflict between a NATO ally and Russia, only 38 percent of peoples in these nations said my nation should fight Russia, whereas 50 percent said the United States should fight Russia. And in some of the biggest NATO allies, it was even more alarming. In Italy, 25 percent said we will fight them. 75 percent said you Americans go fight. In Germany, it was 34-63. So that is a little better I guess but not too much.
General Wolters, can the Europeans expect Americans to care more about their security and their kids than they care about their security?

General Wolters: Senator, in my consultations at the mil-to-mil level with chiefs of defense and ministers of defense, I see a very, very eager desire and willingness to fight the Russians, and those nations that I continually communicate with show that desire, if required, to protect themselves.

Senator Cotton: And I hear the same thing when I consult with European defense leaders not surprisingly. These are men and women who have dedicated themselves -- dedicated their lives to the service of their country and the defense of their country. So it is a really a political problem at the level of political leadership in Europe both in the leaders and the leadership that they show to their peoples to demonstrate that they have to be willing to fight as hard for their future and their security as they expect Americans to fight for them.

Thank you.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Peters?

Senator Peters: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And, gentlemen, thank you for your testimony here today and for your service as well.

General Wolters, I would like to focus on the Baltics.
In 2017, I visited Latvia and Lithuania to observe the U.S. Army’s Europe Operation Saber Strike exercise. The Michigan National Guard regularly participates in this exercise as Latvia’s counterpart in the National Guard State Partnership Program, as you know.

Similarly, Latvian forces participate in the Michigan National Guard’s annual Northern Strike exercise, which is a joint multinational exercise hosted at Camp Grayling in Michigan.

The Latvian military particularly benefits from this training in Michigan because it offers an opportunity for them to certify as JTACs, and as a result of this program, Latvia is one of only eight allied countries that are certified to call in United States close air support in combat. And part of the reason the Michigan National Guard and the Latvian military have a strong relationship is because the Latvian military is built around integrating reserve and their regular forces as a major component of their national defense strategy.

So my question to you, sir, is, could you discuss how EUCOM tailors its training and partner strategies to support the Baltic States’ reliance on these reserve forces and specifically the State partnership with our National Guard and how integral that is to all of this?

General Wolters: Senator, I can.
First of all, for the Baltics writ large the insertion of the four battalion-sized battle groups into Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland in the summer of 2016 has dramatically improved our all-domain security awareness. And in particular, as you well know, with the participation of your Michigan Air National Guardsmen who have been very, very integral in the air-land integration piece, the lead nation in Latvia at that battalion-sized battle group happens to be Canada. And we have many force elements that are intermixed amongst the other nations.

The overall improvement day in and day out of those battle groups to be able to see the battle space and defend their sovereign territory is palpable, and they are doing so in all domains and all functions. And our next step is to make sure that those battalion-sized battle groups that represent generating peace in the Baltics are aware of all the activities in the southeastern sector of Europe, as well as the western portion of Europe. So we are very, very pleased with the continued transparency and alignment and very, very pleased with the air-land integration that we have seen improving in Latvia for the last 2 years.

Senator Peters: Well, thank you.

My next question is related to the development of the next generation combat vehicle which is now taking place in Michigan with a cross-functional team. The first platform
was intended to replace the Bradley fighting vehicle that
the Army has just recently restarted the program. And much
of the debate has basically focused on the tradeoff between
armor and mobility and specifically how readily the vehicle
can be positioned in a crisis zone.

However, in the European theater, the size and weight
of the vehicle could be equally problematic for its
maneuverability through European terrain and civilian
infrastructure, particularly the bridges there.

General Wolters, you seemed to address this issue in
your written statement where you mentioned the EU, in
consultation with NATO, is investing 6.5 billion euros in
the improvement of civilian and military dual use.
However, I am concerned this approach may not address the
core issue that I just mentioned.

But my question to you is, what is more realistic?
Should the next generation of combat vehicles be built to
accommodate European infrastructure limits, particularly in
Poland and the Baltics, or is the solution to reinforce
transportation infrastructure throughout eastern Europe?

General Wolters: Senator, I hate to give you this
answer, but I think it is a little bit of both, and I know
that General McConville leading our United States Army has
his experts taking a peak at that. And I know that he
steps up even one more level. It gets into a discussion
about armor versus mobility, and I think from a global perspective, there are some tradeoffs and from a regional perspective, there are some tradeoffs. And it all has to do with the demonstrated capability of the nations in Europe, as well as other regions. So I know that we are taking a very, very serious look at that.

Senator Peters: So my follow-up question is, how do our western European allies, who also produce heavy military equipment -- how do they accommodate limitations in civilian infrastructure particularly in Poland and the Baltics?

General Wolters: A greater degree of education on the challenges that we face from a bridging perspective in eastern Europe versus western Europe. And it was an issue that all of Europe was very, very aware of in the mid-1980s, and they are getting themselves reacquainted with it today and they understand the imperative of making sure that we have bridging programs in the regions in the northeast and the southeast of Europe to ensure that we can shoot, move, and communicate fast.

Senator Peters: Thank you so much. I appreciate it.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Ernst?

Senator Ernst: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Gentlemen, thank you very much.

General Lyons, we have already discussed a little bit
of the KC-46’s. So I will not dive into that. But just for note, our Iowa Air National Guard does have the 185th air refueling wing that operates the KC-135’s. And certainly we want to make sure that this incredible unit is able to sustain operations ongoing. So it is something we will definitely want to discuss with the Air Force during their posture review.

But, General Wolters, I am going to pick up where my colleague, Senator Peters, left off. He was talking about the State Partnership Program that his Michigan National Guard has with those Baltic State members while Iowa, our National Guard, has a partnership with Kosovo. And I am just always very excited about that and have relationships that I have carried on for about the decade of time that the Iowa Army National Guard has been involved with those partners.

So as the only force that both the Kosovars and Serbians trust, how can KFOR best posture itself to ensure that there is enduring stability between the two sides, Kosovo and Serbia?

General Wolters: Thanks, Senator. And I cannot thank you enough for the contributions of your State to Kosovo.

Senator Ernst: Thank you.

General Wolters: And as we sit today, KFOR is very, very active and engaged more so than they were 1 year ago.
as a result of the continued involvement of U.S. operations activities and investments in Kosovo and Serbia, as well as the NATO investments of operations activities.

We typically rotate in NATO military training teams, but when they land at those locations, to be able to have a soft landing with the force element from your National Guard State Partnership Program affords us the opportunity to reintegrate at a much faster pace. We are very, very concerned about the security disposition in the Balkans. We are very, very pleased with the efforts of KFOR, and KFOR is far more capable today as a result of learning from the experiences of the State Partnership Program like yours as they reveal themselves in Kosovo.

Senator Ernst: Great. Thank you. And obviously, a number of us here do support those State Partnership Programs.

What is NATO’s role for peacekeeping as the KSF transitions into a full army? Will it be able to guarantee Kosovo’s territorial integrity? Do you see that in their future?

General Wolters: That is certainly the goal, Senator. And again, it is by, with, and through in a very, very tough neighborhood. And as you probably know better than I, there are some very, very serious tendencies that exist between Serbia and Kosovo that we are seeing improve over
the course of the last several weeks. Ambassador Grenell has been very, very aggressive on getting those security apparati to communicate with each other. So we hope for continued good news in that area with respect to the Kosovo-Serbia relationship with respect to taxation.

Senator Ernst: Absolutely. And I think that there is undue pressure obviously coming from Russia in that region as well.

General Lyons, if we could talk a little bit about autonomous vehicles and how that impacts logistics and delivery. I am really excited. I chair the Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities, and so we have talked extensively about autonomous systems and how that can help our operators. Like I had wonderful truck drivers that were out on the roads and how it would reduce their risk.

Can you describe a little bit how you are leveraging and integrating these emerging technologies into some of the modernization efforts?

General Lyons: Senator, I agree with you. There is enormous potential here for autonomous, and it can be a combination of manned/unmanned. Each of the services are working distinct and separate material development kinds of initiatives. You mentioned the one in the Army, which was a bit of a combination teaming. I think there is enormous potential to expand what we are doing in the air domain and
then even potentially space domain in the future.

Senator Ernst: Absolutely. And what is the best way
to speed delivery of those types of systems into operations
today? We oftentimes see large defense contractors -- they
are very slow moving, but this is a great emerging
technology. How can we deliver that quicker?

General Lyons: Ma’am, it is a great question. As
indicated, each of the services are working these in the
programmatic sense. As a combatant commander, I have the
requirements out there, but the services present capability
and so I cannot speak specifically to the timelines that
they are working. But it is a great question.

Senator Ernst: If you come up with the answer to
that, let us know because I think we really need to be much
more nimble on delivering emerging technologies to our men
and women in uniform.

General Lyons: I agree.

Senator Ernst: Thank you, gentlemen, very much.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Jones?

Senator Jones: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you both for your service. We really appreciate
you being here today.

General Wolters, we have taken the first steps to
begin the Defender-Europe 20 military exercise, the largest
of its kind in 25 years. I think there are 18 countries
participating across 10 countries.

So what are the biggest challenges you see in executing the Defender 20 program, and what are the key takeaways you hope to see coming out of the exercise?

General Wolters: Senator, on the logistics side of the house, the environment in Europe has to be mature enough to be able to absorb 20,000 soldiers and get those soldiers to the right prepositioned locations to be able to grab the appropriate gear that they are supposed to get and get to their foxhole and be able to execute. And what we want to do is count every second that it takes to get the soldier from the first point of entry all the way to his or her foxhole to be successful to adequately defend.

And we anticipate that there will be some snags. I want to applaud this committee on the fact that 2 years ago we could not exercise Defender-Europe 20. We were not mature enough with respect to the prepositioned stockpiles to have a soldier show up at location X and be able to grab resources. Today we can do that. We know the fitness of the resources, and now we will be able to examine their speed with which they can get to the foxhole and be able to execute.

Senator Jones: Is Turkey participating?

General Wolters: Senator, they are as observers and they are in certain areas with respect to activity on the
periphery of Georgia.

Senator Jones: Just to follow up real quick, what, if any, response, reaction are you seeing from Russia, or do you expect from the Russians or any of our other adversaries?

General Wolters: Senator, we have seen a fair amount of response from Russia. They are not overly pleased with Defender-Europe 20. We are concerned mostly about the readiness of our forces, and we are doing all that in accordance with international law in sovereign space, in sovereign seas, in sovereign land.

Senator Jones: Thank you.

General Lyons, we have got a air refueling wing in Alabama too, the 117th, winner of an Omaha trophy this year. In your remarks to the Atlantic Council, you were quoted as saying across TRANSCOM, the aerial refueling force element is the most stressed and probably the one that is pushing the red line or exceeding the red line.

Now, were you speaking strictly about the number available aircraft there or also about the demands or the need for more crew?

General Lyons: Senator, it is a combination of both. I spoke earlier about the iron, the tails, that we have to retain, legacy tails, during the conversion before the KC-46 becomes available to the joint force. But I would also
comment that -- and this is true across all components, reserve and the guard -- the high tempo of our airmen that are running these missions. Particularly in the Guard, I would say we do come very, very close. In some cases we penetrate the mob to dwell in that particular force element. And I would highlight, Senator, that the contributions that the Air Force Reserve makes in day-to-day competition is absolutely extraordinary between volunteerism -- involunteerism that still exists in the CENTCOM AOR and then long-term MPA pilots who we have flying every day.

   Senator Jones: I take it you could use more crew? Is that fair?

   General Lyons: Senator, crew is a friction point. There is no question about that.

   Senator Jones: What can we do to try to get more crew to the air refueling in the Guard or wherever it might be?

   General Lyons: Well, Senator, we are doing that today. The Air Force is working some of this as a combination of what the KC-46 will bring. Some of this is a function of what we must retain. Then there is obviously a certain level of friction between what systems, how many systems you can crew and then the associated output to the joint force.

   Senator Jones: Thank you.
I think that is all I have, Mr. Chairman. I yield the remainder.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Tillis?

Senator Tillis: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Gentlemen, thank you for your years of service.

General Lyons, I want to start with you on the HHG program. It is not in your lanes, but I have got a little history with military housing and trying to fix that problem and improve accountability and really put the family at the tip of the spear in terms of our focus. So I like the idea that you are moving forward with the program. It should reduce costs and improve service. That is really what we set out to do with military housing. We did it for a while.

So with this program, can you tell me a little bit about how it is going to execute, what your expectations are for performance in the upcoming PCS season? And more importantly, I would like to know the mechanics. How does that family member who is coordinating the move -- the spouse may be deployed somewhere -- to what extent are they going to have power over really assessing the completeness and the satisfaction of the move?

General Lyons: Senator, all great questions. And you brought up the housing issue. And part of what got us into the housing issue was a lack of clear accountability with
the private sector and the appropriate governance structure
to manage that large contract. And that is exactly what is
driving us here in the household goods industry to
restructure our relationship so that we do have defined
levels of accountability, key performance parameters if you
would, and that is the design of the global household goods
contract. It is not designed to put any providers out of
business. It is designed to gain accountability, clarify
responsibility inside the Department, and then through a
longer-range relationship with industry, incentivize the
growth of capacity to meet the peak season. And I think
for family members, we owe them that.

Now, we will not in the 2020 peak season see this come
to fruition. We intend to award in 2020 and prepare for
the 2021, and then there will be a rather long transition
to grow this capacity over time. But key will be
accountability and transparency for family members.

Senator Tillis: I think that is important because we
do a lot of housing town halls down at Fort Bragg and Camp
Lejeune, and I have heard some rumblings about a less than
satisfactory experience with the status quo. So I think
you are right. I appreciate you taking the lead and trying
to get it right and have a consistent experience across the
globe. So I appreciate the work on that.

I am interested. We will do it maybe with a meeting
in my office if I could get with some of the people who are working on the contracting. I would like to see how they are going after key performance indicators, customer satisfaction, those sort of things built into it. Now we are trying to retrofit that into the housing program. It looks like you are going to be ahead of it. So I thank you for your work there.

General Wolters, tell me a little bit about how well your area of responsibility, your partners are closing the gap on their cyber capabilities, how well we are actually coordinating, and your assessment of our -- if you take a look at Russia, they are all over the place. Anytime I have traveled to that part of the world, you are talking about Russia, information campaigns, and their malign activities. So give me some hope on how we are either creating a gap or filling holes that we have right now?

General Wolters: Senator, we are improving our strategic transparency and alignment in the cyber domain. I would say that over the course of the last 2 years, the NATO nations have done a much better job of understanding the challenges that they face on the defensive side of the house from a hygiene perspective. And once they have got their back yard in order, now they are in a position to understand where they start with respect to network protection. And that truly has come about as a result of
our USCYBERCOM’s willingness to lead from the front.

Senator Tillis: And actually as you move into that answer, I would also like for you to talk about Huawei, ZTE, and whether or not we have gotten to a good place where clearly they are going to allow that infrastructure to be present. But in terms of critical infrastructure, are we getting to a good place?

General Wolters: Senator, that is a great point and that is exactly where I was headed. The hygiene piece, the defensive cyber piece has to be applied with respect to what is about to become an issue in Europe with respect to proliferation of 5G activity in Huawei. Network protection is going to be job one. So we are right back to the basics. And as you well know from your time with General Nakasone, he is keen on that, and we have seen a marked improvement in the manning for defensive cyber ops on the U.S. side in Europe, and we have seen an increase in manning on the defensive cyber ops side of the house for the NATO nations in Europe.

Senator Tillis: Well, thank you, and thank you both. I have got a lot of questions. But, General Lyons, we will be in touch so I can get a little bit more insight into the direction of HHG. Thank you both for your time.

General Lyons: Yes, sir. Happy to do so.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator King?
Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General Lyons, we have touched several times on the transition of the KC-46. I want to put a finer point on it. In your testimony, you say this is your number one shortfall, and you go on to say it will create a critical and deepening gap in taskable aerial refueling aircraft and air crews for the next 5 to 7 years. You do a very good job of outlining the problem, but then at the end of your prepared remarks, you say we recommend reevaluating aerial refueling force structure plans annually. Frankly, that does not reassure me.

I want to know what is the plan. You have identified a serious problem here. We cannot project force if we cannot refuel those airplanes, and you have identified a 5 to 7-year -- you characterize it as a deepening gap. What do we do? And perhaps you do not need to give me a full answer here, but for the record, I would like to see an action plan not just reevaluation.

General Lyons: Senator, we will be happy to work with the Air Force and come back to you on that with a joint plan.

In the near term, we had agreed with the Air Force to retain 28 legacy aircraft to mitigate the conversion for the KC-46. The KC-46, as we receive that aircraft, as the Air Force receives that aircraft, will take some time to
work through the technical, the Cat-1 deficiencies, as well as convert crews. And so you can appreciate there is going to be tension between crews. And so in some scenarios we are tail limited; in some scenarios we are crew limited. So it is a bit of a combination. It is a very complex program for the Air Force to work. They are pushing as hard as they can with Boeing.

Senator King: If you could give to the committee a detailed analysis of what you identify as the gap, how many tails, how many crews, and what the solution is because if we have a crisis and need that capacity, saying well, you know, it was a complicated problem is not going to cut it.

General Lyons: Senator, I agree. And the issue is not when there is conflict. Actually it is in day-to-day competition. So just to be clear, in today’s day-to-day competition, that is where we are taking the reduction.

Senator King: We are stressed right now.

General Lyons: We are stressed today and that is where the 10 and the 13 that are in the current fiscal year 2021 reduction that we are working with the Air Force and the Department to bring those back.

Senator King: I look forward to working with you on that.

General Lyons: Yes, sir.

Senator King: General Wolters, a quick question. Do
we have sufficient visibility of Russian submarines in the Atlantic? Do we know where they are?

General Wolters: We do but not for 100 percent of the time.

Senator King: I do not whatever the missing percent is to be off the coast of Maine.

General Wolters: I agree, Senator.

Senator King: Or New York, not to be too parochial about it.

[Laughter.]

Senator King: I think it is unlikely -- I hope I am right -- that Russian tanks are going to roll across the border into the Baltics. But what is our thinking and strategic thinking about a hybrid kind of activity involving Russian language, a kind of Crimea model? Is that a concern, and do we have a strategic response?

General Wolters: It is very much a concern, Senator, and it has to do with the posture of our forces as we sit today in competition and attempt to effectively deter. And we are improving in our ability to do so, and we have to do so to a point to where we compel any potential enemy of us to not take those first steps against us. And NATO agreed in the NATO military strategy to also recognize a whole-of-government, whole-of-nation approach, and that will allow us to dramatically improve our posture so that we can
better see the battle space from an indications and
warnings standpoint and better be able to more proactively
deploy to defend.

    Senator King: And be prepared for a different kind,
for not a traditional tanks rolling over the border
invasion.

    General Wolters: Absolutely, Senator.

    Senator King: I think we should -- I am sure you
are -- a lot of study on Crimea and how that played out and
what the response could have been or might have been.

    General Wolters: Yes, Senator.

    Senator King: Final question. The attacks on the
Saudi tanker field and also the Iranian missile in Iraq
after Soleimani’s death to me raise concerns about our
ability to defend against -- I do not know what you want to
call them -- cruise missiles, low-level missiles,
intermediate range, and I believe the Iranian missile was
an ICBM. What is our capability to defend against those
corporate attacks? Because it did not work in Iraq and it
did not work in Saudi Arabia.

    General Wolters: Senator, it is improving, but it has
to get better. And we have a plan that prefers to
integrated air missile defense that comprehensively takes
into account what happens at long ranges and long altitudes
and short ranges and lower altitudes. And it all has to be
nested together from an indications and warnings standpoint
and command and control standpoint.

Senator King: So you would agree that this is a
significant gap in our defense that we really need to get
to work on in a hurry.

General Wolters: It is a shortfall, Senator, and we
need to continue to work on it.

Senator King: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Scott?

Senator Scott: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you for your service.

With our NATO members that are now picking up the --
you know, spending the money they were supposed to spend in
the past, does it give us any opportunity to reduce our
funding, or does it give us any opportunity to reduce our
troop deployment in Europe?

General Wolters: Senator, it could in the future.

Senator Scott: And does it concern you that countries
like Germany still do not want to pay their fair share, and
does it impact our ability to defend? And does it give us
a need to start thinking about where we should have troops
and where we should not have troops? And are we thinking
about should we be in Poland more than we should be in

Germany?
General Wolters: Senator, I believe all those are a concern, and in my mil-to-mil consultations with my German counterparts, they are just as concerned about meeting the 2 percent as we are.

Senator Scott: But there is no action that we need to be taking?

General Wolters: I think the vigilance that we continue to show with respect to requirements collocated with defense spending needs to continue. Today what we have observed between fiscal year 2016 and 2020 is an actual increase across NATO of an additional $130 billion of funds for defense. That is positive and we need to continue on that track.

Senator Scott: With Turkey buying the S-400 and it seems like cozying up to Moscow, does it impact your ability to rely on them as a partner?

General Wolters: Senator, it has not to this point. Turkey remains a very reliable NATO ally.

Senator Scott: And with Huawei, have you had to make changes on the types of information you are willing to share as a result of knowing that these countries are going to continue to use Huawei in 5G but also even in their existing infrastructure?

General Wolters: Senator, we have not at this point because of the current posture with respect to 5G and
Huawei and in particular UK. But my guess would be in the near future, we have to be more vigilant with respect to network protection and Huawei and 5G.

Senator Scott: The investment that Communist China is making in Europe and all around the world -- is that impacting our ability to be -- not just the United States but other members to be able to defend against a Russian invasion but even what China is doing?

General Wolters: Senator, not an impact today, but it could be in the future if we continue to see that economic equity increase with respect to seaports on behalf of China in Europe.

Senator Scott: And for them, it is mostly the seaports that is impacting in Europe?

General Wolters: Today that is the biggest issue, Senator.

Senator Scott: How about the supply chain? How dependent the world is on China as a member of the supply chain -- does that cause you any concern?

General Wolters: It does cause a concern, Senator. I have not seen those reflections yet in Europe, but I anticipate that we could.

Senator Scott: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Sullivan?

Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
And, gentlemen, I want to thank you for your service and your testimony.

General Lyons, I think you mentioned at the outset your combatant command does so much great work. I think Alaska we have more understanding of that than most places and how you do it professionally, quietly, but critical to the whole military.

I also thought the anecdote that you mention in your testimony about saving the life of one soldier was very powerful. So please tell the whole TRANSCOM civilian and military members that we respect and appreciate all that you are doing.

I am glad you mentioned the personal property program in your opening statement. I am going to have a number of questions for the record that if you and your team can get back to me on relatively soon, I would appreciate that a lot.

You know, you talk about also the most stressed capability and number one readiness concern is on the aerial refueling fleet and the tankers. So I mentioned this a number of times in this committee. Billy Mitchell, when he was testifying in front of this committee in the 1930s, the father of the U.S. Air Force, mentioned that Alaska was the most strategic place in the world because of our location to Asia, to Europe, to other places.
Secretary Esper, when he was testifying here several months ago, said that we are going to have over fifth generation fighters located in Alaska starting in April with the F-35’s coming to Eielson Air Force Base to collocate KC-46’s with 100 fifth gen fighters. The Secretary of Defense said that would provide the warning to our adversaries, particularly China and Russia, that we have extreme strategic reach.

Right now, the Air Force is looking at their OCONUS decision on where to put the OCONUS KC-46 fleet. Almost everything is focused in PACOM with the exception of Alaska which, as you know, could be PACOM, EUCOM, any com because where we are on the top of the world.

Can you give me your sense on that? To me, this seems like a no-brainer. But I think it would help you with your most stressed capability and number one readiness concern if you put tankers in a place that can service EUCOM, PACOM, STRATCOM, NORTHCOM versus place them all in Guam, which is kind of conventional wisdom but in my view does not make any sense.

General Lyons: Senator, thanks. There is no question about the strategic significance and location of the State called Alaska. As you and I have discussed before, I mean, the Air Force does have a basing methodology and a basing plan for the KC-46 as it comes online. I will defer to
them on those particular discussions.

Senator Sullivan: I am not telling you to make -- but do you have a view on that? Does it help to have -- you call it the most stressed capability, aerial refueling. Does it help to have capability in a place that can help TRANSCOM reach other COCOMs other than just PACOM?

General Lyons: Senator, I would have to look at the analytics.

Senator Sullivan: Well, I think the answer is yes. Maybe you can get back to me on that. It seems to me pretty damned clear.

General Lyons: Senator, I will come back to you.

Senator Sullivan: We want to help you with your most stressed capability and number one readiness concern. I think there is a way to do that, which is to make this decision, which to me seems like a no-brainer.

General Wolters, I appreciated your reference on Arctic issues in your testimony. Even though that is not necessarily Alaska, we are kind of in the seams. Right? We got PACOM forces. We got the threat from Russia. We got STRATCOM. We got TRANSCOM, NORTHCOM, everybody.

Let me just mention, you know, this committee has been very focused on Arctic issues. The chairman mentions great power competition. There has been an important Arctic focus. The problem is the Pentagon has been pretty slow to
address some of these challenges and recognize it.

We have two icebreakers right now. One is broken.

That is the American capability. Russia has 54. And this article for the record, they just recently announced they have nuclear icebreakers and a Russian shipyard launches a cruise missile-capable icebreaker.

Can you talk to the challenges of the Arctic with regard to Russia and how you are addressing it?

General Wolters: Senator, it is of great concern. As we crafted the NATO military strategy, its title is “Comprehensive Defense and Shared Response.” And one of the realizations was the fact that we need to be as focused in the Arctic as we are in the Baltics, as we are in the Black Sea, as we are in the Mediterranean, as we are in the central portion of the Atlantic. And the Arctic needs to ensure that it gets the appropriate scrutiny and the appropriate resourcing.

We are excited about the fact of NORTHCOM serving as the executive agent for capability development in the Arctic. We are also pleased that in the summer of 2019, DOD delivered their Arctic strategy. And I know you drove that, Senator, and we appreciate that.

It is vital. We see a lot of activity on behalf of Russia in the Arctic, and we also see activity on behalf of China in the Arctic. And we think most of that has to do
with money and commercial fishing activity.

So it is of great concern and security exists on the periphery in Europe, and the Arctic is a big reason why we have to make sure that we maintain our vigilance.

Senator Sullivan: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And generals, both of you, thanks again for your service.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Shaheen?

Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you to both you, General Wolters, and you, General Lyons, for your service and for being here this morning.

I want to begin, General Wolters, with the continuation of the discussion we had yesterday. And I appreciated your taking time to meet with me. But earlier this month, the President informed Congress that he was going to divert another $3.8 billion from the Pentagon toward the border wall. This is on top of the $3.6 billion that he took from military construction projects last year. And these reprogrammings would eliminate, among other things, the military weapon systems. I know Senator Kaine referred to one of those. But that includes eight MQ-9 Reapers, which are an ISR asset. And my recollection of previous conversations is that ISR assets are at a premium within the European theater and other areas.
So can you discuss how the elimination of these weapon systems and MILCON projects are going to affect your campaign momentum?

General Wolters: I would like to address the fact that we had 44 projects that were MILCON-related that were deferred because we could not get those projects on contract by September of 2019. And the total value of the 44 projects was approximately $1.3 billion, and they came in two buckets. One was a set of projects -- 25 -- that were European Deterrence Initiative MILCON projects. The other projects were baseline MILCON, 19. The EDI MILCON was about $771 million, and the MILCON base was about $550 million.

And when you take a look at all 44 of those deferred projects, which we hope will reappear one day, what you see is three major areas of reduction of campaign momentum. The first has to do with advanced airfield infrastructure on some of the NATO airfields in the farther eastern side of Europe. The second has to do with the infrastructure that supports prepositioned stockpiles for fuel and for ammunition. And the final area of impact for campaign momentum is the modernization of infrastructure that supports a couple of military headquarters and schools. All those are important to campaign momentum. It slows the campaign momentum.
Despite all that, Senator, we still maintain positive campaign momentum in the critical areas of indications and warnings, as you alluded to ISR, command and control and mission command. It just slows down the progress.

Senator Shaheen: So as you read the National Defense Strategy, what is a bigger threat to our national security? Is it a threat from Russia and China and the great power competition? Or is it a threat from immigrants coming across our southern border?

General Wolters: Senator, both are threats. As the Commander of USEUCOM, I will tell you that I am most concerned about Russia.

Senator Shaheen: That was a very diplomatic answer, and thank you. I am sorry. I should not have put you in that position. But I think it is an important point to make, that the threat that you are dealing with is one that has significant implications for our future when we look at Russian aggression and its potential to impact the United States.

I want to go back, General Lyons. I know there have been a number of questions around the KC-46 and the delays in the aircraft and what that challenge means for us. And it is an issue for our National Guard, the 157th air refueling wing, which lost its last KC-135 because we thought the 46 aircraft would be arriving this year, and
obviously, due to problems, it has been delayed.

But last month, General Goldfein sent a letter to Boeing asking them to review the remote vision system, which is probably the most prominent problem at this point, to give a design review by March 2020 and a flight demonstration by 2020.

Can you tell us whether we have heard from Boeing at this point and what they have said with respect to that review of the remote vision system?

General Lyons: Senator, I really appreciate the chief’s focus on this particular area, and I have relayed that to him as well.

I know there have been many contacts between Boeing and the Air Force, and I do not want to get into the programmatic. I know there are some design issues that they are working through. I do not have a complete answer yet, and I will defer to the Air Force on the particulars of the program.

Senator Shaheen: Well, can I ask, do we expect an answer from Boeing by the end of March?

General Lyons: Yes, ma'am. I believe the Chief expects an answer. He said that explicitly and I believe that will come to fruition.

Senator Shaheen: Okay, thank you.

General Wolters, I want to go back to NATO because
with Senator Tillis, he and I chair the Senate NATO Observer Group, which is an effort to try and make sure that the Senate is aware of what is happening with NATO and what we need to do.

I wonder if you could give us an update on the new Cyber Operations Center that NATO is planning to be fully functional by 2023.

General Wolters: We are very pleased, Senator. As you know, it all originated in Estonia, and it started with the involvement of the U.S. and the declaration by the United States USCYBERCOM to have one U.S. single military commander responsible in the military for the domain of cyber, and the Europeans have embraced that. We are excited about the future, and NATO headquarters on the political side is also very excited.

Senator Shaheen: And so I am out of time, but I will do a follow-up question for the record on this.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Hawley?

Senator Hawley: General Wolters, let us talk a little bit, if we could, about the European Deterrence Initiative. I assume that you would characterize this as a success. Is that fair to say?

General Wolters: Yes, Senator, I would.

Senator Hawley: Well, can you give us some specific examples of things that EUCOM would not have been able to
do without EDI?

General Wolters: Senator, the first largest example is we had started Defender-Europe 20, an exercise that brings over a division-sized force. We could not do that a year ago. We could not do it 2 years ago. We can do this exercise as a result of the benefit of EDI funds.

Senator Hawley: Why would EUCOM have struggled to do some of these things without EDI? What specific obstacles has EDI helped you overcome in your judgment?

General Wolters: First of all, it has funded the rotational brigade combat teams that go to Poland, and that teaches all of our soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines how to lift and shift larger quantities of forces across the Atlantic and do so without any harm. And that in itself is very important.

We have also through EDI been able to fund our Army prepositioned stockpiles, our emergency contingency operation sets for the Air Force, and our deployable airbase systems for the Air Force.

We have also been able to dramatically improve the airfield infrastructure and the reception infrastructure in the eastern part of Europe to where it is equipped today to safely receive those resources and effectively get those resources where they need to go for our soldiers and sailors and airmen and coast guardsmen and marines to be
Senator Hawley: That is an impressive record of success, and it is one of the reasons I think we need something similar in other theaters, PACOM in particular as I have long advocated for.

General, staying with you, Whiteman Air Force Base. My home State of Missouri, of course, is the proud home of B-2 and the proud future home of the B-21. Can you just speak to the role that you see the B-2 and one day the B-21 playing in deterring Russia from using nuclear weapons as part of any attempted fait accompli in the Baltics?

General Wolters: Senator, those airframes are part of the critical triad, and I am firmly convinced that the nuclear deterrence umbrella that sits over Europe is part of the great success that we have had for the last 7 decades in NATO to be able to generate peace. And I am excited about the future of the B-21 because I think it will do more of the same with even a greater impact.

Senator Hawley: Very good.

Let me shift to China for a moment, if I could. In your written testimony, General Wolters, you said that China’s efforts to build 5G networks in Europe, coupled with its growing interest and investment in European ports and infrastructure, complicate steady state and contingency operations.
Can you just say more about that? How specifically do these Chinese activities complicate steady state and contingency operations?

General Wolters: The equities that they have on the shipping capacity inside and outside of Europe is very alarming, and when you control the ability to take in and regulate resources, you have a large impact on what actually exists on the continent with respect to its ability to effectively generate peace and security. That is the concern.

Senator Hawley: How do our European allies respond when you raise these concerns with them, as I am sure you do?

General Wolters: With vigilance. In some cases they are surprised to the degree of equities that China has with respect to seaports, but in most cases, very concerned and vigilance increases once we get past the education stage.

Senator Hawley: You also wrote that you are seeing encouraging signs -- those are your words -- from European nations as they become increasingly aware of the strings attached to Chinese capital investment. Can you give us a sense of what those encouraging signs are?

General Wolters: Several nations not willing to accept 5G Huawei, and we have had reports of that in other nations being a lot more stingy and scratchy with respect
to their willingness to engage in deliberations on port equities.

Senator Hawley: Let me ask you for a second about our allies’ contribution to NATO, which is something that has come up, rightly so, a number of times already this morning.

I think that the progress towards the 2 percent mark is very important but only just a first step because the division of labor within NATO has to fundamentally change I think as this committee has been saying now for some time. What is your assessment about what would need to happen for our European allies to get to the point where they are able to assume primary responsibility for their security in your theater?

General Wolters: Senator, I think we need to continue on the current campaign that we are on. As you know, from 2016 to 2020, in the cash portion of contributions for burden sharing, we have had a net increase of $130 billion. There is also the examination of contributions in capabilities, and in NATO we have been very, very vigilant with respect to our focus on improving our readiness, the ability of force elements to be more resilient, more responsive, and more lethal. That is all part of the equation with respect to European contributions to adequately defend, and we are improving.
Senator Hawley: Thank you very much, General. I look forward to seeing you both this afternoon.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Duckworth?

Senator Duckworth: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General Lyons, thank you for making the time to sit down with me earlier this month to talk about TRANSCOM and logistics more broadly.

I want to touch again on the state of the sealift fleet in the U.S., and I know that Ranking Member Reed asked about the state of sealift in his question line. But I sort of want to go a little further into this. You said that our current readiness is lower than where we need to be, but you are working to recapitalize on sealift capability when you answered Ranking Member Reed.

How do you ensure that this plan continues to be prioritized with the Navy and the DOD leadership when they are always balancing other higher cost programs and initiatives? I feel like this is sort of the plain sister and it needs a little bit more attention. How do you make sure that that priority is also placed on your particular recapitalization plan?

General Lyons: Well, Senator, first let me just publicly thank you for your leadership and leading our logistics efforts across the Department. I appreciate your
particular interest here.

I think this is a hard decision for the Navy. They have got a lot of competing requirements. Sealift is one of many.

My own view on this, if you go back to the original recapitalization of sealift back when Secretary Lehman was Secretary, we had something which we have today, but we do not use, the National Defense Sealift Fund. And I think the way that we are going to move in a positive direction is we are going to have to have an appropriation that feeds the National Defense Sealift Fund. It allows us the flexibility to capitalize on opportunities in the open market to continue the acquired use strategy, and I think that is what we need to do over a long period of time, over the next 15-plus years where we have 46 vessels aging out.

Senator Duckworth: Thank you.

Another category of concern that I have in logistics is based around fuel. We have talked in the past about solutions for alleviating stress on the Air Force’s tanker fleet, but this time I would like to focus on bulk fuel capacity for distribution and storage as an example.

How would you work with the services’ logistics arms to properly identify each of their needs and how to meet those needs as they come around and reframe to face near-peer competitors under the NDS? Because I feel like there
is not a lot of really good communications between the services on what those bulk fuel needs and capacities. And what do you need to do to push it forward into theater?

General Lyons: Yes, ma'am. I mean, you are alluding to something that is very important across the department and fuel is liquid gold essentially. We require it for everything that we do in every single domain. We have got a study directed by Congress that we will complete this year in terms of assessing our ability to access maritime tankers, for example, in an end-to-end value chain, to look also at the nodes. The way we look at it today in terms of global posture is very large, fixed-base facilities. We need to see if that is the applicable way to go for contested environments and then assess where we need to go for the future for global posture and maritime transportation, as well as aerial refuel that we talked about.

Senator Duckworth: I feel like this sort of reflects the struggle we had just a couple years ago when I was in the House about ammunition, you know, across the services, and that we were not talking to each other well enough before we did a whole audit. And I feel like we are getting to the same issue with fuel. I mean, you cannot fight and win without class 3 and class 5. Right? I feel like we are sort of in the same problem with fuel. Am I
correct in this sense that we have to do better with

communicating among the services?

General Lyons: Senator, I think you are absolutely
correct. I think we need what I would characterize as a
global innovative view of the end-to-end liquid energy
supply chain to make sure that we have sufficient not just
supply and posture but resiliency to continue to operate
under a contested environment. I agree with you

wholeheartedly.

Senator Duckworth: Thank you.

General Wolters, I would like to bring you into the
discussion here. We have been hearing a lot about
Defender-Europe and what we hope to learn from this
exercise. I am looking forward to hearing about not only
the successes but perhaps more importantly the challenges
that the exercise helps identify as well.

How would you classify your level of concern going
into Defender-Europe whether from an overall capacity
standpoint, from a lack of previous exercise familiarity,
or due to other factors inside and outside our control, and
how are you going to leverage that into lessons learned
going forward?

General Wolters: Senator, a great question. And I
would like to extend a personal thanks to you for your
support in the logistics area.
As we speak, there are soldiers downloading at Bremerhaven for Defender-Europe 20 at this very moment. I am concerned about the bandwidth to be able to accept this large force, and I am also concerned about road and rail from the center portion of Germany to the east, all the way to the eastern border. And because we have the appropriate resources, we now possess a white team capability to examine our speed of move from east to west — correction -- from west to east. And we also have enough white cell individuals to assess how safely we get stuff through Bremerhaven and to the next point.

Bandwidth with respect to size and speed are my greatest concerns.

Senator Duckworth: Thank you.

I am over time, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Inhofe: Senator Blackburn?

Senator Blackburn: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I know you all are so happy to see me because I am the last one, and you will get to finish up and head off. I want to go back, General Wolters. You told Senator Reed that the Chinese controlled 10 percent of the shipping rights in and out of Europe. And I would like for you to expand on that just a little bit, talk about do you think it is pretty much going to stay at that level, if it is going to increase, the rate of increase, a little bit more
insight into what you think this means.

General Wolters: The Chinese investment covers 10 percent of European shipping capacity, and I would contend that is a whole-of-government, whole-of-nation concern to make sure that Europe has the appropriate equities with respect to shipping capacity.

Senator Blackburn: So you see that as a floor or a ceiling?

General Wolters: I see that as the conditions that exist on the ground today. And I think the nations need to understand what that means with respect to their ability to effectively ship what their nation needs for their national interest, and an education process needs to follow fast.

Senator Blackburn: Well, I think we see the need for that education process not only when it comes to infrastructure but the Belt and Road Initiative, the implications that that may have as we look at 5G and the rollout there, the implications that it has.

So what is NATO going to do to address this? Because it does not matter if it is shipping and that infrastructure or building roads and connectors or 5G with that infrastructure. There is an issue, and being able to communicate with our allies over a Huawei network is a very difficult thing to do. So give me kind of a timeline and the steps that you all are taking to implement an education
process.

General Wolters: In the NATO political paradigm, there is a growing realization that this is an issue, and there will have to be a common understanding at the political level at NATO that this is an issue that NATO should embrace. And I think that is the start of success to ensure that the national interest of the 29 nations in NATO are protected with respect to China proliferation, and we are at that phase. And as a military member supporting NATO, it is my job to report the facts and that is what we are doing.

Senator Blackburn: Okay. So who is receptive to this message? The first part of solving a problem is defining a problem. So you say there is awareness in defining this problem. Correct?

General Wolters: Correct. And the first task is to ensure of the 29 nations which ones have concern and which ones still need more --

Senator Blackburn: Okay. And out of those 29 nations, who is receptive to this and who are you getting pushback from? Are you at a 50/50 on this, or what is the standing there?

General Wolters: Senator, I can only speak at the mil-to-mil level not the political level for the 29 nations, and I would say that the majority of the nations
are incredibly concerned about China proliferation.

Senator Blackburn: I hope we can talk a little bit more about that this afternoon, if that would be okay.

General Lyons, let me move to a couple of things with you, the NDS and cyber and space. Have they been identified as contested warfighting domains? So talk to me a little bit about how TRANSCOM is working with its private sector partners to improve their cybersecurity, their ability to defend because Senator Ernst asked you about autonomous vehicles and as we look at building out Space Command and artificial intelligence and autonomous vehicles, we know that the cyber component is going to be more relevant in those discussions. So talk with me for just a couple of seconds about that.

General Lyons: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.

For USTRANSCOM, cyber is a very, very high priority. You asked specifically about our commercial providers. We have worked over the last several years now to instill contract language that I would characterize as at least brings our commercial providers up to a basic level of minimum cyber hygiene. I think we have been successful in that. I think we have been successful in gaining the attention and focus of the C-suite as an issue that they have to contend with whether it is for national defense or whether it is for their private equities. And so we are
making progress.

But as I said earlier, I would also say that when confronted with an advanced persistent threat actor, I do not think any of our commercial providers necessarily are in a position to protect themselves in that particular scenario. And we very intentionally have multiple providers in each of the commodity areas so that if we lose one, we can count on others.

Senator Blackburn: Are you increasing the standards of compliance for them?

General Lyons: Yes, Senator, we are. And as you may have seen, the Department’s cyber maturity model that they just rolled out will do significant good in that area.

Senator Blackburn: Thank you.

I yield back.

Chairman Inhofe: Thank you very much.

The committee stands adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 11:22 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]