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HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE POSTURE OF
THE UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION COMMAND
IN REVIEW OF THE DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019 AND
THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM

Tuesday, April 10, 2018

U.S. Senate
Committee on Armed Services
Washington, D.C.

The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:31 a.m. in
Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. James M.
Inhofe, presiding.

Committee Members Present: Senators Inhofe
[presiding], Wicker, Fischer, Rounds, Ernst, Tillis,
Sullivan, Cruz, Scott, Reed, Nelson, McCaskill, Shaheen,
Gillibrand, Blumenthal, Donnelly, Hirono, King, Warren, and
Peters.
OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JAMES M. INHOFE, U.S. SENATOR FROM OKLAHOMA

Senator Inhofe: The meeting will come to order.

The committee today meets to receive testimony from General Darren McDew on the current state of the U.S. Transportation Command.

In the contested environment described within the new National Defense Strategy, TRANSCOM’s capacity to mobilize and deploy forces across the globe becomes ever more crucial to our ability to project power.

The National Defense Strategy provides clear focus on the great power competition with China and Russia. We have been talking a lot about that recently.

Further, the National Defense Strategy emphasizes the importance of resilient and agile logistics.

The assumptions that U.S. forces will have uncontested access to airspace and sea lanes is becoming less and less likely.

General McDew, you appear before this committee at a time when these responsibilities are of vital importance to the nation’s security. Our adversaries are not standing still. They are moving fast, and we are very distressed at some of the things that we see on a firsthand basis. TRANSCOM’s job is to ensure that our operational plans contain valid assumptions for how our soldiers, sailors,
marines, and airmen will get to the fight and how they will be sustained in a contested forward environment.

Efforts are currently underway to conduct an overarching mobility study that would articulate how TRANSCOM would operate in a contested environment as well as execute its joint distribution and deployment responsibilities. Our current approach relies on moving materiel to large air and sea ports which serve as efficient hubs but are also well known to our adversaries and would be very hard to defend against a precision weapon attack.

The Department must begin to focus on improving resilience. Anything less would significantly increase the risk of our missions.

And so we thank you for appearing today and look forward to your frank discussion on TRANSCOM’s potential problems and successes.

Senator Reed?
STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE ISLAND

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Let me join you in welcoming General McDew to the committee this morning and to testify on the plans and programs of the United States Transportation Command, or TRANSCOM, as we continue to review our fiscal year 2019 authorization. We are grateful to you for your service and the service of the men and women of TRANSCOM. Please thank them for us and their families who also serve with great distinction.

The men and women of TRANSCOM perform duties that sustain the whole Department of Defense effort in protecting our nation’s security. With the competitive edge and its ability to deploy and sustain America’s armed forces, TRANSCOM provides DOD with unique capabilities that we have come to expect and perhaps too frequently take for granted. TRANSCOM forces are busy supporting all of the combatant commanders every day, and without these TRANSCOM forces, the United States would be at a significant disadvantage almost everywhere in the world.

TRANSCOM faces a number of daunting tasks, including a unique set of cyber threats because you must work extensively with private sector entities in the transportation and shipping industries to support DOD deployment operations.
3 years ago, the committee issued a report on certain aspects of TRANSCOM’s cybersecurity situation. And, General McDew, I would like to get an update from you on the progress in the cybersecurity efforts that you have made since last year.

The Ready Reserve Force, the RRF, a group of cargo ships held in readiness by the Maritime Administration, is aging and will need to be modernized over the next decade. Last year, the committee authorized the Department to start a program to recapitalize the Ready Reserve Force by authorizing DOD to purchase up to two foreign-built vessels while the Navy moves forward on a plan to design a family of auxiliary vessels for a number of uses, including recapitalizing the Ready Reserve Force.

This authorization to purchase two vessels was intended to cover recapitalization requirements for the first several years of the future defense program. However, this year, the administration is asking to increase that authorization to include purchasing up to 24 foreign-built vessels. General McDew, I am interested in your perspective on this request, whether such a large increase is needed, and if there are any alternative options.

In addition to the Ready Reserve Force, the Defense Department also needs to ensure that the Civil Reserve Air Fleet, or the CRAF, the CRAF program which provides as much
as 40 percent of wartime airlift needs, remains viable after
operations in Iraq and Afghanistan and will be able, as
needed, to surge capacity in the future. General, I am
interested in your views on the state of the fleet and if
anything needs to be done to ensure their readiness.

Our global transportation capability, owned and managed
by TRANSCOM, has been one of our asymmetric advantages for
many years now. However, we cannot assume that potential
adversaries will allow us free rein in this area in the
future. General McDew, I understand that you have been
conducting an analysis to assess requirements for an
environment where our mobility forces would be challenged.
I also understand that the Department will share those
results later this year. Perhaps you could give us an
update on when we are likely to see the results of your
analysis.

Thank you again for your decades of service, and I look
forward to your testimony.

Thank you, sir.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you.

General McDew, we would like to hear your opening
statement. Your written statement will be made a part of
the record. Thank you for being here. You are recognized.
STATEMENT OF GENERAL DARREN W. McDEW, USAF, COMMANDER, UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION COMMAND

General McDew: Thank you, Senator Inhofe, Ranking Member Reed, and distinguished members of the committee. It is an honor to be with you this morning, and thank you for your leadership and unwavering support you give the men and women who serve our great and mighty nation.

I also want to thank you for the opportunity to represent the United States Transportation Command and the work of our soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines and coast guardsmen, civil servants and contractors and their families. The men and women who make up this command underwrite the joint forces’ lethality with unparalleled capability, and I am exceptionally proud of what they do. As a matter of fact, we are probably the only command that has a watch party for this event. So they are all watching back home in the cornfields of Illinois right now.

USTRANSCOM’s total force team works every day to provide our nation with a broad range of strategic capabilities and options, but they do not do it alone. I wish every American citizen could understand how much we rely on the nation’s truck drivers, conductors, commercial pilots, mariners, stevedores, and much, much more to meet national defense requirements. USTRANSCOM is a global warfighting command with functional expertise and we move
and sustain the joint force, but we are also responsible for operating the expansive joint deployment and distribution enterprise. I can say with full confidence that today USTRANSCOM stands ready to deliver on behalf of national objectives anywhere at any time.

However, I do remain concerned about the future. As we refocus our efforts on great power competition, we are faced with potential challenges to our democratic values, our security. In this environment, we must always be ready. As we increase lethality across the joint force, the resources necessary to transport and sustain America’s military must keep pace. Our ability to project a decisive force is foundational to the National Defense Strategy. The size and lethality of the force is of little consequence if we cannot get it where it needs to go when it needs to get there.

To that end, the 2018 National Defense Authorization Act directed a mobility capabilities and requirements study. This study will determine if in fact the current inventory of mobility assets is sufficient to support national security requirements. The study will consider the impact of multi-domain contested environments as well as the implications for attrition. The outcomes of this study will provide valuable insight to ensure we continue to meet today’s needs while simultaneously evolving for tomorrow.

But USTRANSCOM cannot get there alone. We must have
the weight of the entire nation behind us to ensure that
when our diplomats go to the negotiating table, they do so
from a position of strength. One of the greatest threats to
that strength is malicious activities in the cyber domain.
Our adversaries no longer have to stop us with bombs and
bullets. All they have to do is slow us down with 1’s and
0’s. That, ladies and gentlemen, is the challenge of our
time. We must grow in this domain as a nation so that we
can protect ourselves and protect each other.

We also face challenges in the physical domain. The
current ratio of active duty and reserve component forces
means we must rely on the reserves and the National Guard to
fulfill wartime requirements. For 3 decades, our reserve
component has been used to sustain day-to-day operational
requirements, a function for which they were not properly
resourced or structured. Meeting the challenges of the
future may require adjustments to mobilization authorities
or force mix to assure access to vital capacity.

While USTRANSCOM operates the most robust patient
movement system in the world, we lack sufficient capacity to
surge for a large-scale conflict. The combination of a
number of factors decreases the likelihood that we will see
the same high survival rates we have seen in the more recent
conflicts. We continue to work with the services, the Joint
Staff, and the national health enterprise to address these
challenges.

Finally, if we are to maintain our nation’s power projection capabilities, we must first acknowledge our waning sealift capacity. The U.S. flag fleet has steadily declined since World War II from 1,288 ships in 1951 to 81 U.S.-flagged ships operating in international trade today. The degradation of the fleet also means fewer qualified merchant mariners, the simple backbone of our maritime industry. With the decline in our maritime capacity, it is becoming increasingly difficult to characterize America as a maritime nation.

In response to an aging organic sealift fleet, the fiscal year 2018 Defense Authorization Act authorized the purchase of two used vessels. We thank you for supporting this first step.

Thank you again for inviting me to speak to you today. Please say hello to all the folks in the cornfields of Illinois who are watching you today. And we thank you very much for allowing me to speak on behalf of USTRANSCOM and I believe America’s heroes and those of the civilians, contractors, and service members who project and sustain power around the globe every single day. Thank you.

[The prepared statement of General McDew follows:]
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Senator Inhofe: Well, thank you very much. And you will be happy to know that all of your corn guys are right down here to my right.

[Laughter.]

Senator Inhofe: I have two things I want to --

Senator Donnelly: There is corn in Indiana too.

Senator Inhofe: That is right.

Senator Wicker: There is corn in Mississippi too.

Senator Shaheen: And there is some corn in New Hampshire.

[Laughter.]

General McDew: We are okay with all of you.

Senator Inhofe: It is great to eat but not good in your gas tank.

[Laughter.]

Senator Inhofe: You really started something. Did you not, General?

You have said some things that I look at and I try to do the math and figure out how this is going to work as we get our aging fleet going. You made the statement, America’s air fueling fleet is the most stressed of our air mobility forces.

Now, the KC-135 is 61 years old. They have quit making the C-5 and the C-17, and we are looking at the KC-46. That is great and it is going to be well maintained at Tinker,
and I am very happy that that is going to come. However,
they have ordered 179 of them.

I would like to have you kind of explain how this is
going to work. You are going to see a phasing out. At the
same time, it is going to take 10 years apparently to get to
the 179, and then we have our KC-135, which will be at that
time over 70 years old.

So I really have two questions on this. Do you think
that the KC-46 will be delivered this year as projected and
the impact on the current operations in maintaining the
existing air fueling because of production delays of the
KC-46? And do you believe the current inventory of C-17’s
and C-5’s are sufficient to provide the necessary gap that
we are looking at? How is the math going to work on that
down the road?

General McDew: Well, Senator, that is a very
insightful set of questions, and I will try to give as
concise a set of answers as I can.

Believing whether or not the KC-46 will be delivered on
time, I talked to the Chief of Staff of the Air Force
yesterday. He has some concerns about the ability to
deliver the airplane as projected but is committed to having
the airplane that is delivered be operationally capable when
it is. And I believe Boeing and the Air Force are both
trying to get to that point.
Your discussion about whether or not our fleet is of sufficient size -- thank you for having us do another mobility capabilities requirements study because that will answer that question. All the studies we have had to this point have not included things like a contested environment, cyber, and some other things that we have discovered over the last few years that we really need to put a focus on the mobility forces because no one before has believed that we are going to lose anything.

Senator Inhofe: And I appreciate that, and we will look forward to getting that report.

Now, I do want to have time to get an answer to this one. It was just a month ago that Senators Ernst, Rounds, Sullivan, and myself were in the Pacific area. We were talking to our international partners in South Korea, Taiwan, Japan, and the Philippines. And it kind of solidified my understanding of the expansionist activities of China and what they are doing. People do not realize they are actually creating these islands. There is no any legal justification in my mind for this, but it is being done. And they are actually set up as if they were preparing for World War III, everything from runways, cannons. It is 100 percent military. They have got seven locations down there, and the seven outposts add up to over 3,000 acres. So it is a big deal over there and it has got
a lot of attention. And then when you stop and realize where the seven outposts are in the Spratly Islands, they are located in international waters between Vietnam and the Philippines.

So I would like to get from you how concerned you are in terms of what this does to our seaways and our capability that you are going to have to be using.

General McDew: Senator, freedom of navigation and access to global ports is one of the most significant things I deal with on a regular basis, not just for me but for our partners and allies around the world, anybody that loves freedom and understands how important it is to the global economy.

Senator Inhofe: Yes, but in that particular area, though, it would seem to me that could be very obstructive in our ability to fulfill the missions that we have on those sea lanes. Apparently you do agree with that.

General McDew: Absolutely.

Senator Inhofe: Okay, good.

Senator Reed?

Senator Reed: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General McDew, you indicate in your comments and my comments also that cyber is probably the number one challenge if you look at your responsibilities. Can you elaborate a bit about what you are doing? But also I am
under the understanding -- and correct me if I am inaccurate
-- that many of your contractors do not have adequate cyber
protection -- civilian contractors. In fact, there is even
some notion that they are not required to report immediately
when they detect breaches. Can you give us kind of an
evaluation of not only what you are doing but the problems
you have in your contractor force?

General McDew: Yes, Senator. So cyber is the number
one threat to U.S. Transportation Command, but I believe it
is the number one threat to the nation. And the nation’s
problem is there is not a clear national cyber standard.

All of our contracts with our contractors include a
cyber standard, a hygiene standard for our contractors. It
doubles down on the requirement federally to report
intrusions. But a greater problem is in our headquarters,
cyber is the commander’s business, but not everywhere across
our country is cyber a CEO’s business.

Senator Reed: How are you doing in terms of
compliance? You have teams that will go out and review a
major contractor for either ships or planes, et cetera, and
then require them to make corrections. Can you do that?

General McDew: We have the authority to go out and do
inspections, but that is a relatively new one that we have
not exercised yet. Across our civil reserve air fleet
contractors, they get a regular inspection as part of their
safety inspection, and we are trying to get that to be a regular part too with cyber.

Senator Reed: And do you have the resources to do those types of intensive inspections?

General McDew: Not at the pace we probably would like to. But we also require that they have someone check on their security as well. The problem is I am not sure everybody understands how problematic it is.

Senator Reed: Right. And I think what you have said before is that unless it is a command priority -- and in your shop, it is, but over in civilian shipping lines and aircraft, it is not so much a leader responsibility. It is there but it is not being fully enforced. Is that accurate?

General McDew: In some. Some are probably leading the nation in their ability to look at this problem set. But in our cyber roundtables, which is one of the things we are doing to raise our level of awareness, some of the CEO’s chief security officers cannot even get to the see the board, they cannot even to see the CEO. So that is a problem.

Senator Reed: Thank you.

We are trying to deal with that on the Banking Committee with requiring someone on the board to be a cyber expert. And we are running into some trouble, but the SEC should do that immediately without waiting for us.
Shifting gears, we gave you authority to buy two foreign ships as we thought, a bridge to a longer-term plan. Now you are coming and asking for 24.

The first question that strikes me is can our American shipyards not produce these ships if they are given the design? And why do we have to go off and acquire so many foreign ships?

General McDew: Our American shipyards build some of the finest ships in the world. So that is not really a problem. It really comes down to how much money we want to spend and when we want to recapitalize this program.

So, first, thank you for the authority to buy two used ships. You know that I focus on the fact that they are used. Many of these ships were built in foreign yards. However, they are sailing for us today under the U.S. flag, and they have been converted to U.S.-flagged and they are U.S.-crewed ships. And what we would like to be able to do is to get rid of the steam plants that I own. In 2020, I become the largest owner of steam plants in all of the maritime industry across the world. I do not want that title, Senator.

Senator Reed: But we will look carefully at that request. Obviously, last year we were sensitive to your requirements for an immediate, quick fix and we did.

Another aspect here, which is I think very important,
is that in your prepared statement, you point out that the medical evacuation system has some shortcomings that we have to respond to in terms of the ability to move injured personnel quickly to a suitable facility. Can you just quickly -- I only have a few seconds -- make a comment on that?

General McDew: The biggest part is that we have transitioned ourselves to thinking that we are no longer going to work in a permissive environment, that every environment will be contested. If that is the case, then it compounds every one of my missions that I have been given. The biggest part as well is that our medical infrastructure in the United States is not the same as it was in, say, 19-whatever. You want to pick the date. It started to atrophy. And so if we are going to move back hundreds of patients from the theater in a big war, our ability to scale and use American hospitals is not the same as it was, say, 20 years ago.

Senator Reed: And you have alternative plans to cope with that situation?

General McDew: We are working with the national health agencies to try to come up with those alternative plans, but they are not as easy as you could imagine. If you start to think that we are going to lose more people in a potential big end fight and have to transport more people back, we do
not have the military hospitals we once had and we do not have the civilian hospitals we once had.

Senator Reed: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, General.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Reed.

Senator Wicker?

Senator Wicker: Senator McDew, thank you for your service. General McDew.

[Laughter.]

General McDew: I could not do your job.

Senator Wicker: Well, we could not do yours.

But let me ask you to follow up on this question that Senator Reed asked. Of these 22 ships, you are asking for 22 more -- 24 used ships. Where were they manufactured? I guess you have not identified them.

General McDew: All those ships have not been identified yet.

Senator Wicker: But typically they would have been manufactured other than in the United States.

General McDew: Most of them.

Senator Wicker: And would all of these be currently U.S.-flagged ships?

General McDew: The ones we would target would be U.S.-flagged ships that are sailing for us -- many of them -- in the maritime security program that a company might shuttle
after 20 years of life. That cuts my fleet’s age in half overnight. And they are pennies on the dollar right now on the open market.

Senator Wicker: And what is their life expectancy after you would purchase them? These two that you are already in the process of acquiring and the other 24.

General McDew: We would probably end up using those ships for another 20 to 30 years. And so you are talking about a ship you can purchase right now from $20 million to $30 million on the open market that you would use for another 20-30 years versus buying a new ship, which is the ultimate goal is to build ships in the U.S. yards that would be built new but maybe cost $850 million a ship. It would take a while to recapitalize.

Senator Wicker: Now, do you support the Jones Act and the Cargo Preference Act as being vital to national security?

General McDew: I do, Senator, but for a number of different reasons. My primary focus is on national security and the capacity that I need to take this nation to war. It is our asymmetric advantage to deliver a decisive force in the maritime domain. The rheostats I have to effect that balance right now is helped by the Jones Act and Cargo Preference because being able to get more mariners to work allows us to have the capacity we need to go to war. If
there were other rheostats -- and currently there are not -- maybe we could use those, but those are the ones I have to use.

Senator Wicker: What has happened in a year to cause you to go from a request of two ships to 24?

General McDew: The fact that my request was larger last year. I am happy to get the two that I got. We have a requirement to try to recapitalize about 26 steam plants. So two is the beginning to try to get to 26. We will not have a brand new ship built that I understand in the Navy’s recap plan till about 2030. Between now and then, I am going to age out some ships.

Senator Wicker: What does the 25 percent reduction in U.S.-flagged ships do to our labor pool? And what help do you need from this committee and this Congress in that regard, sir?

General McDew: The labor pool -- we are approximately a couple thousand mariners short of the need that we have -- we think we will have in the early days of a conflict. And that labor pool is the true heroes of our national defense. Those mariners who went to war in World War II and lost at the highest rate of any single population are the ones I believe that will be with us in the next big conflict. An incentive to keep those people going to sea is the kind of help we need.
Senator Wicker: Well, okay, but part of that is having the ships for them to serve on.

General McDew: And the cargo for them to put on a ship. We have got to be able to also decide as a nation is this country still a maritime nation. I believe it is.

Senator Wicker: I believe it ought to be.

General McDew: However, we have a hard time right now finding the evidence in our policies and laws that would be able to convince us.

Senator Wicker: And one other quick point just for you to emphasize. I notice on page 15 and 16, you talk about the nation’s infrastructure, and you make the point that an infrastructure program is great for commerce and great for transportation domestically but it is also very much part of your national security program.

General McDew: A strong U.S. economy, a strong U.S. infrastructure, and a strong industrial base -- and I am not talking about the defense industrial base. Those are the people that make our exquisite widgets. I am talking the industry base in this country that does things for us. And it is the military that we have today that heavily relies upon those and the infrastructure they ride on.

Senator Wicker: And specifically you have a concern going forward about our nation’s rail system being up to speed in terms of what the military is going to need to
transport on rail going forward.

General McDew: Our rail companies do a very nice job because they actually work on that infrastructure. So it is probably the best shape of our infrastructure. The concerns I have are in the cyber domain when it comes to rail. Cyber defenses -- we have got to continually look at it. I would imagine that every one of our potential adversaries understands our vulnerabilities in rail.

Senator Wicker: Thank you. And I apologize for calling you a Senator.

General McDew: I am actually flattered but I cannot do your job.

Senator Wicker: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Wicker.

Senator Shaheen?

Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Well, General McDew, thank you very much for your service and for being here today.

I want to follow up on some of the cyber issues that have been raised already in your testimony and by others. Have there been any changes to how TRANSCOM writes its contracts with private providers or commercial partners to address cyber issues?

General McDew: Absolutely. I am quite proud of our acquisition professionals. When we had our cyber contested
war game, the first in the history of the command after 30 years of existence -- we have since had two. And when cyber came at the top of that list of things we ought to be considering, we put cyber standards in every one of our contracts. They are not as stringent as we want them to be, but we are trying to work with industry to bring them along because if we push them too fast and too hard without the help of Congress and the national standard, I am not sure they will stick with us.

Senator Shaheen: And are those standards that have been developed by TRANSCOM? Are they DOD standards? Are they reflected across the Federal Government? Do you know the answer to that?

General McDew: The standard is a NIST standard. It is a NIST-800 standard we have adopted.

Senator Shaheen: Great. And is that consistent with what the rest of DOD is doing? Do you know?

General McDeW: I cannot say that all of DOD in all of their contracts has that standard in there.

Senator Shaheen: But it is probably something we should strive for.

General McDeW: There should be a standard across the nation in the Federal Government of how we are dealing with cyber.

Senator Shaheen: One of the things you mentioned in
your testimony last year was that you believed we need a cyber-related -- you called it -- bridging of the gap between DOD and the rest of the Federal Government. Have you seen any progress on that, and can you enunciate what that is?

General McDew: I have not seen as much progress as I would have liked to have seen. However, there has been incremental progress. U.S. Cyber Command and DHS, the two entities that are responsible for each side of the gap, are completely coordinating and involved. I sit in the middle of that gap in a lot of areas that we do not focus on. I go back to the defense industrial base. Everybody is focused on the defense industrial base. Not everybody is focused on all of industry. I deal with a lot of mom and pop organizations, single owners, the folks that make our country run. They do not have the help and the cyber technical expertise to do what they need to do.

Senator Shaheen: So when there is a question about who is complying and who is not, who is in charge of that? One of the concerns that I have is that we do not have a singular person or entity who is responsible for cyber throughout the Federal Government.

General McDew: So on the civil side, .com, .gov, it would be DHS. On the .mil side, it is U.S. Cyber Command.

Senator Shaheen: And so if there is a difference for
some reason around an issue, who resolves that?

    General McDew: I would imagine that it would have to
go to a cabinet-level discussion because the Cyber Command
commander is a COCOM -- well, about to become a COCOM --
just like me. So he cannot argue with the Department of
Homeland Security.

    Senator Shaheen: It is my understanding that there
have been some issues with the European Deterrence
Initiative and movement of personnel and equipment around
Europe. Can you describe what those challenges have been
and what we need to do to address them?

    General McDew: I believe that U.S. European Command
and our NATO allies have done a very nice job of
illuminating some things that we have lost track of over the
last few decades. When we had 300,000 soldiers on the
European continent, we had a better understanding and
relationship with the multiple countries that would be
involved, the border crossing issues, and all of those
things that you just routinely dealt with because you had a
lot of people there. Now we are facing some atrophy in that
understanding. We have been seeing changes in how the
Europeans themselves deal with transportation across the
continent. So all of that is compelled us to say that we
need to put more focus in that area, and EUCOM is doing a
nice job of that.
Senator Shaheen: So the issue has not been so much the transportation capacity. It has been around the coordination of how we move people and equipment?

General McDew: A little bit of both. So many of the things that used to be government-owned are contracted out in Europe, as well as in our country. So you have got multiple contractors now where it might have been a government-owned entity before at some point. You have got different rail gauges in one side of Europe to another. All of those things are a bit more complicated because we do not have the forces in the theater that actually just make that much smoother.

Senator Shaheen: And how important have our allies been in helping us address some of those issues?

General McDew: One of the reason that we are as good as we are is we have some wonderful allies in some wonderful places. And we have got to continue, as the national security strategy says, to by, with, and through our allies and partners, and they have been exceptional.

Senator Shaheen: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Shaheen.

Senator Rounds?

Senator Rounds: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I would like to thank the chairman for bringing up the importance of
corn as a food source and also recognizing the availability of our farmers to produce enough to not only produce food but energy as well. And it does a great thing when it comes to the petroleum produced in your State because when added to petroleum, it actually improves petroleum. So I appreciate the opportunity to bring that up again today, Mr. Chairman.

General McDew, first of all, thank you for your service. I most certainly appreciated the time that you spent with us ensuring some of the concerns that you have expressed here today publicly. Let me just work through a couple of items that I think I would like to flesh out just a little bit.

We have heard from GAO and others that decisions about transportation infrastructure such as ports and equipment have struggled because of the lack of prioritization by the services and the lack of visibility to TRANSCOM and the larger community of transportation professionals where they may be existing issues.

To your knowledge, is DOD working to establish some sort of internal capability to expose capability gaps and funding needs for transportation infrastructure?

General McDew: Senator, DOD is working on and continues to work every year on prioritizing how we spend our money to get the best use of every taxpayer dollar that
we get. We have been very blessed to get the amount of
money we have gotten particularly for the next couple years
of a budget cycle. But when the services go and decide that
they have to -- and they balance their budget every year.
But when they balance it under constraint and the fact that
it is not always delivered on time, that means that a
service chief and the service secretary has to make a
decision on what priorities get funded. And when they make
those, sometimes logistics falls at the end and the bottom
of that priority list.

I fully understand having to have a kinetic effect, but
I am parochially interested in the fact that our ability to
project that power is what makes us the world’s last super
power.

I think the priorities that are established are as
about as good as they can get. What I would like to get is
more emphasis on kinetic. Also it has to have projection.

Senator Rounds: I think I was fortunate to accompany
the chairman on a CODEL earlier this year where we went into
the Pacific region. And clearly, the distances there are
critical. As we have said, if we have to fight a war, we
want to do it away from our shores. We fight away. We do
not fight at home. And that means that in order to get
there, we have got to have your operation be as successful
as possible. It has got to be efficient, and it has got to
have the means to not only get people there and get them home safely but to resupply them while they are there as well.

I am just curious. You have talked about cyber and about the need to continually improve the cybersecurity that we have got right now.

There has been a little discussion today about where you believe we are at today. Can you share how serious the cyber threat is to your command? Can you give us some examples in this open setting about how serious the threats are that are out there today?

General McDew: Senator, I believe it is serious enough for me to challenge one thing you just said, and I try not to challenge a Senator in public testimony. But you talked about us fighting away games versus fighting on our home field. I believe in the cyber domain we are fighting on our home field right now. That is how serious I think it is. I believe every single day in both the information space and the cyber space, we are fighting right now, and our contested environment is actually inside the continental United States.

Senator Rounds: I think that is well taken, and I would agree with you. But I think sometimes we have a tough time publicly being able to discuss how serious that threat is. Assuming that we are actually fighting it right now at
home, is this something that happens once in a while? Is this something that happens occasionally? Is this a case of where you are concerned about somebody checking to see whether or not they can get into your plans? Or how deep is the threat? Just for the public, how deep is the threat to our ability actually wage war and keep the kinetic war off of our home borders?

General McDew: The American public needs to understand how advanced the cyber threat has become, so advanced that an adversary can every day -- and I believe that every single day across this country an adversary or potential adversary is probing us to see how we operate, where our most important data resides, and what triggers they can use to stay short of armed conflict. Nobody right now wants to take on the United States military head to head. So why would they?

Senator Rounds: One last thought, Mr. Chairman, if I could. The point I guess I would make is this just is not the peer adversaries looking at our military operations. They are looking at the soft underbelly, which is our non-defense but critically important resources that we rely on to move our men and women and our equipment from our shores to any place in the world. It is the civilian side that they are also looking at as well.

General McDew: Absolutely. I would like to point to
the fact that we have a National Security Strategy. Many people believe that it is actually a national DOD strategy. It is the nation that most of our big adversaries and small adversaries will try to attack. I do not think they will actually try to go after, say, a United States Pacific Command or a European Command when they could easily get into the United States of America.

Senator Rounds: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Rounds.

Senator King?

Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First, General, by the power vested in me, I want to bestow upon you the rank of honorary Maine citizen. I know that you started your career I believe and not only that in Aroostook County, which is a particular honor. I want to acknowledge that and delighted to have you here today.

I consider your command one of the most important, if not the most important, in all of the commands that we have because without you, none of the combatant commands can function. On July 2nd, 1863, the 15th Alabama was charged with coming up Little Round Top and dislodging the 20th Maine. Joshua Chamberlain gets a great deal of the credit and should for the leadership that day that he displayed.

But a key element in that engagement was that the Alabamans
1 did not have water. Their canteens were empty. They had
2 marched 20 miles on a very hot day, and the fact that they
3 did not have water and were exhausted was a factor in what
4 happened, which turned American history at that moment. I
5 think it exemplifies how important what you do -- it does
6 not get the headlines or the credit, but what you are doing
7 in supplying both people and materiel is so critical.
8
9 Here is one of the things that concerns me. A great
10 deal of your capability is in the private sector, and you
11 are given the responsibility without full authority because
12 you cannot tell Delta Airlines or a shipping line exactly
13 what to do. Do you feel that we are sufficiently wedded,
14 that the contracts are strong enough that the
15 responsibilities are being met in the private sector that
16 will allow you to meet your obligations in a time of
17 conflict?
18
19 General McDew: First, Senator, I duly accept the honor
20 of being an honorary Maine citizen. My daughter was born in
21 Maine in Aroostook County in lovely downtown Limestone. She
22 has a 007 Social Security number, which I just gave out some
23 PII on her.
24
25 [Laughter.]
26
27 General McDew: But that double 0 means a lot to us,
28 and we spent 5 and a half years and six lovely winters in
29 upper Maine.
Logistics, as you said -- and the folks that are watching this back in the cornfields of Illinois are quite proud of the fact that we do things for other people and we take great pride in it. It is like oxygen. When you have it, you do not think about it; when you do not have it, it is all you can think about.

The contracts are not as strong as I would like them to be. I do not believe that all of our vendors across the country fully understand the threat they are under, nor do they have necessarily the capabilities. We are trying to strengthen our contracts through relationships and bringing our commercial partners along with us. I call them my fourth component. I am just as interested in their readiness and their viability as I am the other military components I have. So we try to bring them along with us and educate them as well.

Senator King: I hope that you will be very tough on your partners in these situations because my sense is from dozens of hearings here both in this committee and others that the private sector is not fully cognizant of the threat that they are under, and we do not want to find that out in a time of crisis.

The other thing that has changed in your situation is that we have been operating essentially in an uncontested environment for 2 or 3 decades. I understand you did a war
game, a contested environment war game, recently. Insofar as you can share in this setting what you learned from that because now the whole National Defense Strategy is shifting toward peer competitors, and how does this play out in your strategy and capabilities?

General McDew: Our big revelation, Senator, was that cyber is in fact a bigger problem than we had thought before and that we had to do some things to secure our networks better, which they are pretty secure, but also provide ourselves a level of resilience.

The biggest takeaway for me as a warfighting combatant commander is the fact that all of our exercises to this point had assumed no loss of any logistics capability, like the enemy would not target it and so we did not have to think about it. And some of the numbers that were relied on to say this is the requirement assumed no attrition of the force. And attrition is everything from kinetic effects to the lack of maintenance and reliability.

Senator King: But if we are dealing with a peer competitor who has submarines and underwater drones and aircraft, that is a whole different calculation.

General McDew: It is and it is generational. So go back to World War II. We had that lesson learned. We have forgotten it.

Senator King: And I take it that we are now trying to
play catch-up in terms of our capabilities to operate in a contested environment.

General McDew: We are actually trying to catch up on what this new contested environment looks like. Before, we always thought we were in either peace or war, and the gap between the two is about the gap between my hands now. We now realize there is a bigger gap in that space. And what is in that space is the difference between being at peace one day and the nation deciding to go to war. The last time we decided to go to war as a nation and the entire nation was behind it was 1942 in Romania. That space in the middle is not an easy space to live in, and we live in it every day and it is contested.

Senator King: Thank you, General. Thank you again for the work that you are doing. I know that we are very cognizant of how important it is. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator King.

Senator Warren?

Senator Warren: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, General McDew, for your service, for your hard work here.

The U.S. military is one of the largest consumers of fuel, and TRANSCOM’s operations in particular are highly fuel-dependent. According to the U.S. Information
Administration, the price of crude oil has been on the rise for more than 2 years now.

So let me ask, General, what impact does the rising price of fuel have on TRANSCOM’s budget?

General McDew: Obviously, amongst the large consumers of fuel, we are the largest, I believe, in the Department of Defense. So every time the price of fuel goes up, that increases the amount of money we must spend to do our operation.

Senator Warren: Right. And I take it it is large enough that it has a real impact on your budget. That is what I am really asking.

General McDew: It does. I am also blessed enough to have a budget that is a little different than everybody else’s. However, the services’ budgets are the ones that are more directly impacted.

Senator Warren: So in your prepared testimony, you discuss the current stress on our logistics fleet, both air and sea. DOD has been working for years on efforts to reduce fuel consumption and incorporate alternate fuels. In my home State of Massachusetts, for example, the Army scientists at the Natick Laboratory have been experimenting with new, more energy efficient shelters in base camps with a goal of reducing fuel demand for the deployed Army by about 25 percent. Would a 25 percent reduction in fuel
consumption by our deployed forces help mitigate some of the
stress on your command and the Defense Logistics Agency?

General McDew: Yes, Senator, it would.

Senator Warren: So that would be a helpful direction.

Good.

You know, we know that transporting fuel to our forward
deployed forces in combat zones is expensive and dangerous
even when we have full control of the skies and the shipping
lanes. But as Senator Inhofe said earlier, that is not
guaranteed in the future.

The new defense strategy says that DOD must be prepared
to fight a high-end adversary. That guidance applies not
only to the tip of the spear but also to your ability to
supply the logistics chain our deployed service members rely
on over time.

So let me ask this one, General. Would it be
challenging for TRANSCOM and the Defense Logistics Agency to
refuel assets and meet deployed energy needs in an
environment where we did not have air or sea supremacy?

General McDew: Everything gets more challenged when we
do not have domain dominance. That is air, land, sea, and
cyber and space.

Senator Warren: Thank you.

Reducing use of our fuel and exploring renewable
alternatives is not just about the environment. It improves
mission effectiveness and it saves money that we can use to reinvest in the kinds of capabilities that you need. And in a future combat situation like the ones the defense strategy describes, it is going to be an operational necessity. I think we need to get ahead of this now while we can. If we wait until our resupply lines are under threat, it is going to be too late.

So I appreciate your good work in this area, General.

Thank you.

General McDew: Senator, if I can just add one more thing.

Senator Warren: Please.

General McDew: The fact that cyber is such a warfighting domain now, we are under threat right now.

Senator Warren: A fair point. So all the more about the urgency of this moment, and we have got to make these changes. Thank you. Thank you, General.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Warren: Thank you, Senator Warren.

Senator Hirono?

Senator Hirono: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Nice to see you again, General. Thank you for your work.

You mentioned that the cyber threat is one of your major concerns and that the contested environment is inside
the U.S. You also said that our adversaries will not go after PACOM or European Command but that they would get into the U.S. Can you talk a little bit more about what you mean by they are going to get inside the U.S. and what can we do to counter them getting inside the U.S., as you put it?

General McDew: And I probably misspoke, Senator. A potential adversary will always fight head on with the fighting force. However, what we have been seeing over time is that the information warfare space and the cyber warfare space does not have the geographic boundaries that we once thought of. So PACOM geographic boundary or CENTCOM geographic boundary is not as interesting to a cyber or an information warfare officer. So they will go to where they can go. So the United States of America, without a strong cyber standard or without us debating the information warfare space, means that it is a potential target for a potential adversary.

Senator Hirono: So can you give a concrete example of the kind of vulnerability that we have? You mentioned that we have a National Security Strategy, which should include a cyber strategy, but are you saying that it is not implemented? It is not robust enough for your comfort?

General McDew: No, it is really not. I visited Estonia last fall. And Estonia in 2007 was taken down by a cyber threat, took to their knees, and they
changed their entire perspective as a country on what the
cyber threat means to them and their survival as a country.
And so they put coding in elementary schools because they
thought the threat was significant enough.

I am not sure if we have gotten to a point that we
believe that something that we can do as a nation would
strengthen our ability to protect ourselves from this new
threat. It is a new threat. And so the specifics would be
I may be a bit paranoid, but when I see an airline company’s
reservation system go down, I do not immediately think that
it is just a problem part. I think is that an actor
potentially probing. If I see a rail switch not work, I
also want to make sure that it is not a cyber problem, and
it could be just mechanical. But we have all got to think
about how do we protect ourselves ahead of the investigation
after a problem happens.

Senator Hirono: So what I get from your response is
that we certainly are not prepared as a nation to counter
effectively all of the different ways that particularly our
infrastructure can be vulnerable to cyber attacks. This is
why we have a Cyber Command, and there is a lot of emphasis
in this committee, as well as other committees, on our cyber
vulnerabilities. And I can see where we need more. What I
would like to see is more of a whole-of-government kind of
approach to what we can do regarding the cyber issue, and I
In your testimony, you stated that the maritime security program provides an intermodal logistic capability outside of the DOD portfolio that would be too expensive to replicate. And so can you describe the challenge as to the TRANSCOM mission if you did not have this program?

General McDew: So the maritime security program provides about 60 U.S.-flagged ships with U.S. mariners on board to do our needs and to potentially take this nation to war. Without that stipend paid to those companies, I believe that many of them might cease to exist as U.S.-flagged companies, and that has been something we have been doing for a number of years to assure that access to that capability and capacity.

Senator Hirono: You also indicated the number of U.S.-flagged ships are also decreasing, have decreased over time, and that you also have concerns about whether we have a labor pool of U.S. personnel that can staff these ships. So this is a double-edged problem, and what are we doing to counter these problems?

General McDew: Similar to the CYBERCOM issue that you brought up -- so USCYBERCOM cannot defend the entire nation. It does not have the authorities to do so. I personally cannot change all the things that need to probably be changed. I am not sure where the young men and women who
grew up in my day who wanted to be mariners and truckers and pilots are because we are seeing a decline in a lot of those. And I am not sure what all of the forces that are in play to have 1,288 ships flying the U.S. flag in 1950 dwindle down to 88 ships today. I am not sure of all the forces. But the MSP program allows 60 of them to keep their U.S. flag and to stay with us.

Senator Hirono: Mr. Chairman, if I could just ask one follow-up question. Is it your preference that you have access to U.S.-flagged U.S.-crewed ships as opposed to, at some point, having to resort to internationally owned ships?

General McDew: It is not a decision that I made. It is a decision the country made that we will move our U.S. forces on U.S.-flagged and U.S.-built ships -- U.S.-flagged ships. If the nation wants to change that, that is a debate the nation can have, but I right now will comply with what I am told to do.

Senator Hirono: Well, as a strong supporter of the Jones Act, that is kind of where we should be, I would say. Thank you very much.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Hirono.

Senator Scott?

Senator Scott: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General, good morning. Thank you for being before the committee.
In your last war games exercise, what vulnerabilities were exposed that we should think about addressing, and in what order would you address those exposures?

General McDew: It was a grand flash of the obvious after we did it. The importance of doing it was it identified several areas that we need to focus on internal to U.S. Transportation Command and I would say possibly across the nation because our problems are actually not just our problems. They are actually national problems.

The first and foremost is cyber. Cyber is, I believe, the challenge of our time. It is the one that will define this period of time in our history more than just about any other challenge, and it brings potentially the fight that we would have on other people’s shores -- it brings it here.

The other for us is attrition. The fact that logistics capabilities and mobility capabilities have not been counted on to attrit in a fight is something that we cannot probably have as a reality going forward.

Mobilization. Just about every option I give to the nation today requires me to mobilize the Reserve and Guard. I do not think we formulated them, resourced them to be the operational force that they have become over the last 3 decades.

That is just a few of those things, Senator.

Senator Scott: Let us take a little more time on the
cyber exposure. I understand that 90 percent of your
command’s mission takes place over unclassified
communication networks. And I think you said in your
written statement establishing secure IT infrastructure for
our commercial industry must be a priority.

Can you walk me through the complex exposures and
vulnerabilities that you have when 90 percent of it happens
in a commercial environment?

General McDew: Senator, what I have been learning over
time is there are many companies out there who understand
how vulnerable they are in the cyber space. Because I
bridge the gap between the authorities that are invested in
U.S. Cyber Command and the authorities inherent in the
Department of Homeland Security to protect respectively the
.com and .mil different domains -- because I live in that
space, we have had a series of cyber roundtables as a result
of our contested environment war game where we are inviting
academics, commercial industry, and some hackers to help us
understand the problem better. In one of those roundtables
in one of the panels, a series of security officers in
corporate America said that they cannot get in to see the
board or can they get in to see the CEO to talk about the
problem. That is not every company, but it is at least a
few that do not understand the severity of the problem. And
even if they understand it intellectually, they may not have
all the tools required to fully understand the sophistication of today’s threat.

Senator Scott: On the attrition aspect, there is a likelihood that during transportation you lose something. Is that pretty simple?

General McDew: I think that is pretty simply stated and quite true.

Senator Scott: On the mobilization, can you expound upon that for a minute?

General McDew: Absolutely. So when I was a youngster 150 years ago coming in to fly airplanes for the very first time, the majority -- and I will use one example and it can apply to all of the logistics force. The KC-135 was heavily invested in the active duty. Strategic Air Command existed. And so 80 percent of those airplanes were in active duty units. Transition to today, and about 60 to 65 percent of that is now in the Guard or Reserve. It has nothing to do about my trust and confidence in their ability to do the mission. It has everything to do with how available they are.

And so with all of that shift in the Guard and Reserve, a high-end fight says we must mobilize. If our history says that we would immediately decide to go to war, immediately decide to mobilize, that is fine. If history shows that we will live in this space between peace and war for a period
of time, I am worried that I will have access to those folks that I need when I need them.

Senator Scott: Chances are pretty high we are going to live in that purgatory for a lot longer than we are comfortable. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Scott.

Senator Sullivan?

Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General, good to see you, and thanks for all you are doing.

I just want to ask you a very -- just off the top -- your command does so much and it is so critical to the warfighter, to any kind of military operation. I think it is unsung in many ways how much work you do and how we cannot function as a U.S. military without you operating effectively, efficiently. What is the top issue that keeps you up at night right now, General, and the biggest challenge?

General McDew: Well, first, let me thank you for acknowledging the great men and women who live in the cornfields of Illinois who are watching you right now in watch parties all across the command.

Senator Sullivan: They do a great job, and I think they are unsung.
General McDew: And then you just pumped me up because all of my self-esteem is derived from how good they are because I do not actually do much anymore.

Our number one threat, Senator, is cyber and how well we are able to protect ourselves and protect my fourth component, which is commercial industry, in the cyber domain.

Senator Sullivan: And so you have some control over the cyber domain as it relates to the military, but it is much less control in the commercial realm. Is that not correct?

General McDew: I have no personal control in the cyber domain in the military. U.S. Cyber Command does and they do an effective job of that. I have zero control except through contracting mechanisms with the commercial industry.

Senator Sullivan: I want to ask a question relating to the great State of Alaska. You know, with the National Defense Strategy out, which I strongly support the kind of shift in focus -- I think most Senators do, by the way -- to kind of a great power competition and some of our national security challenges, whether it is Russia, North Korea, China. My State actually happens to be close to all of those countries. And as you know, we are the hub air combat power for the Asia-Pacific and the Arctic with soon to have over 100 fifth generation fighters based in Alaska, and all
the C-17’s and C-130’s and F-16’s and a whole host of important military assets, including expeditionary forces that can get to North Korea or the Korean Peninsula or anywhere else in a moment’s notice.

I know that you -- and I have talked to the Secretary of the Air Force and General Goldfein a lot about this -- are looking soon at the OCONUS decision with regard to KC-46’s and the tanker refueling deployments. I have been trying to make the case that it makes a lot of strategic sense not just because of the assets that are in there but every airplane that flies over the Asia-Pacific from the Lower 48 actually flies over Alaska.

Can you give us a sense of where we are in the OCONUS KC-46 deployments and give me assurances that Alaska’s strategic location -- as you know, Billy Mitchell, the father of the Air Force, called it the most strategic place in the world -- is in strong consideration for those OCONUS deployments?

General McDew: I will acknowledge that Alaska is strategically important. Although I wear this wonderful blue uniform and I am an airman and have been a proud airman for 35, nearly 36 years, I am not currently in the Air Force right now. So I cannot talk to you about the choices the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force made about the actual basing of those assets.
The thing I would like to highlight, though, is as the combatant commander who has combatant command authority over those assets in a war fight, our ability to set, balance, and use the globe entirely is going to be important.

Senator Sullivan: Thank you.

One final question, General. I am sorry I missed my colleague, Senator Scott’s question but I think it relates to that. But on the integration of Reserves and Guard and active forces with regard to your command, how important is that, and how important is it that we continue to make sure that our Reserve and Guard components are fully trained, fully funded, fully resourced to make sure that when called upon they can do the mission that is so important to your command?

General McDew: My command would cease to exist and operate the way it does today without the Reserve component. A good portion of my force is in the Reserve component across all the services, and my Army component -- I am not sure of the percentage, but the plurality is in the Reserve component. The air component -- the majority is in the Reserve component. And so I am very interested in both their readiness and training and resourcing, and it is not the way it should be based on often we will use them and how much we will be able to rely on them.

Senator Sullivan: And do continuing resolutions help
or hurt the readiness of those forces?

General McDew: CR’s are probably the most disastrous thing that we could have happen to a military force that is trying to, one, recapitalize and maintain a level of readiness to fight today’s high-end fight.

Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Sullivan.

Senator Blumenthal?

Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

Welcome and thank you for your service.

As you know, the United States has continued to reassure our partners in Europe, our NATO allies, of America’s dedication to that region in the face of Russia’s continuing belligerent and aggressive actions. TRANSCOM continues to support the services of our presence in Europe, including aircraft, tanks, personnel, so that we do joint exercises and we demonstrate a joint resolve.

I would like to know what capabilities Russia has to interfere with our logistics pipeline in Europe. And should we be more concerned about the physical obstruction and manipulation that Russia can present, the potential destruction of our pipeline, including the cyber realm but in other domains as well?

General McDew: Senator, because our contested environment war game allowed us to see more clearly the
problem set and how contested the world is, we see access as being one of those areas in the physical domain. If we look around the globe and particularly the European continent, we are going to have to be able to use as many ports of entry, as many lines of communication as possible if we were to find ourselves in a potential fight in that theater. All of those are not as assured as they once were, say, 20-30 years ago. So Russia has levers of influence in that domain that we probably have not considered for a number of decades.

Senator Blumenthal: As you know -- and I think you made reference to it -- compared to the 300,000 troops in the Cold War, we have about 64,000 now. They could be used in crisis. The European Union is also seeking to build European defense capabilities. Do you think that Europe is contributing or doing enough?

General McDew: There are several ways to go down this path, and I am going to choose one. One is I have great faith and confidence that one of the reasons that America is as respected as we are around the globe is our partnerships and allies. The things that we can do today with partners and allies is something that some other great powers in the world just do not have. And the fact that we have an alliance like NATO, the fact that we have partners that are willing to be with us and contribute what they can when they can is important. Many of them can contribute more, and I
believe the administration is trying very hard to get them
to that level of contribution. But I will tell you there
are some potential adversaries out there that do not have
any partners and allies, and I would go to war with us
anytime.

Senator Blumenthal: You would go to war with our
allies.

General McDew: With our allies anytime.

Senator Blumenthal: And do you think they can and
should do more?

General McDew: I believe that every country ought to
do as much as they can. I think sometimes our asks are not
as specific as they need to be in today’s environment. For
example, Estonia has some of the greatest cyber experts on
the planet. Maybe that is their contribution. Maybe they
do not have exquisite planes or exquisite ships, but they
have the cyber capability. So contribute where you can.

Senator Blumenthal: And some of their contributions
are in kind, not necessarily monetary.

General McDew: Correct.

Senator Blumenthal: So they are more difficult to
measure. They still are material.

General McDew: They are. However, there are some
countries that can provide monetary aid at a higher level
than they are and they ought to.
Senator Blumenthal: Thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Blumenthal.

Senator Nelson?

Senator Nelson: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General, good morning.

I have the privilege, along with Senator Rounds, of heading the Cyber Subcommittee. He is our chairman. And needless to say, I think that we are very vulnerable in cyber. What authorities do you need to help the private sector improve their cyber defenses before we end up having a major disruption?

General McDew: Senator, I do agree with you that cyber is one of the challenges of our time, probably the greatest challenge of this generation. And in that regard, we need a national cyber standard. We need to decide where the low watermark is for the country and get at least everybody to that direction.

I believe the other help we need is to understand this generation, as they grow up as high-end users of cyber, understand the high-end vulnerabilities of cyber. We taught them at one point in their lives to cough into their sleeves and to wash their hands when they are sick, but we have not taught them the dangers that face them in the cyber realm.

Senator Nelson: What is it going to take? Is it going
to take a major cyber attack and therefore a shutdown of
American industry for the private sector to really realize
what is happening?

General McDew: Senator, I hope not. I still believe
in America and I still believe in America’s ingenuity. And
I still believe that we will eventually get it right.
However, our history shows that getting our attention
sometimes is difficult and painful, and sometimes it takes
an economic crisis or sometimes it takes a financial ruin
for some companies to get kind of religion on this one.

Senator Nelson: Over in the Commerce Committee,
General, we are, hopefully, going to be able to pass an FAA
bill, and since your Transportation Command certainly
involves a lot of the use of the airspace, I think we have
pretty well put to rest the fact that there was an effort by
the airlines to want to privatize air traffic control. I
think that is pretty much over and done with. Do you want
to do any comment about that?

General McDew: No, Senator.

[Laughter.]

Senator Nelson: Well, just so the record is complete,
I will say that for several years now, up until just
recently, it was the position of the Department of Defense,
as articulated by the Secretary of Defense’s Office, that
since they had about 20 percent of the airspace, they did
not want to privatize air traffic control. So I will just leave it at that.

The KC-135’s are really doing a workhorse job for you. Are they not, General?

General McDew: They are. They have been for 60-plus years, Senator.

Senator Nelson: But now the delays on the KC-46 are putting additional pressure on the 135’s. Are they not?

General McDew: There are actually a number of factors working on those things besides age. I would love to be as young as some of them, but I am not. The other, maintenance capability and the fact that the parts are not as readily available as possible -- but those great young men and women, particularly the ones who maintain them, are doing a great job.

Senator Nelson: Well, has this impacted your readiness?

General McDew: It has. And a series of continuing resolutions do not help the fact that the Air Force has had to make some tough decisions on what they can fund and what they cannot fund, when they can fund those things. And it has taken a slight dip in readiness in the KC-135 fleet.

Senator Nelson: When is it going to become apparent that it is a significant issue that is going to have to be confronted by the Air Force?
General McDew: I believe the Air Force understands the nature of the problem. They have also had a problem with being able to fund all the things that they need to fund and to be able to do it on a regular recurring basis. I am thankful for the budgets we have. I am hoping that they continue at least to be on a regularly scheduled period of time.

Senator Nelson: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Nelson.

Senator Cruz?

Senator Cruz: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General, welcome. Thank you for being here.

In your testimony today, you explain that in today’s operational environment, mobility forces may be required to transport and sustain U.S. and allied forces while under persistent multi-domain attack, including deception and data manipulation in cyberspace.

In your opinion, which TRANSCOM assets are most vulnerable in this contested environment?

General McDew: This may surprise you a little bit when I talk about TRANSCOM assets. The ones most vulnerable are the ones I do not own, and that is commercial industry. We have a strong cyber defense standard inside the Department of Defense, but outside the Department of Defense, I am not sure it reaches all of American citizens and all of American
industry the way it ought to.

Senator Cruz: And if those assets are compromised, how would that impact your ability to perform the task given to you?

General McDew: It would be disastrous because 90 percent of our ability to take troops to war is in the commercial industry. 40 percent of the cargo capability is in the commercial industry. It would be disastrous.

Senator Cruz: So from your perspective, are there aspects in your budget that are focused on dealing with the vulnerability on the commercial side?

General McDew: Not at all, Senator.

Senator Cruz: Let us shift. In your testimony, you also state that volatile geopolitics, shifting demographics, and emerging technology have all changed the character of war, the way we fight, and they are changing where wars are fought and who is fighting them. These new dynamics have a potential to bring a dramatic shift from the wars in the Middle East that have shaped much of the current force’s expertise and experience.

How have you begun to shape the training and the mindset of TRANSCOM forces to prepare them for a war fought under these new conditions?

General McDew: Senator, we have not been perfect to date, but what we are purposely good at is understanding
where our problems are now. And so our contested
evironment war game that we had 2 years ago, followed up
with one last year, has illuminated a number of the problem
areas that we will have so that we have problem statements
and solutions that we are trying to go after in each of
them. I do not control all the areas because in my
components that do the business of this nation projecting
power to go to war, a good portion of it is outside of my
control. So we are using contracting mechanisms to bring
people up to a certain standard in some of those areas, and
then we are continually having war games and exercises where
we invite not just the services but commercial industry and
academia to participate.

Senator Cruz: Now, you just mentioned the contested
environment war games. How are you measuring success during
these training events?

General McDew: Unfortunately, Senator, we are in its
infancy. And so success right now is bringing everybody’s
knowledge level up. 2 and a half years ago, we did not have
a cyber standard in our contracts. We have one now. That
is a direct result of that level of learning and
understanding. If you were to come to my headquarters --
and the invitation is out there now. If you were to come to
our headquarters, you would see a level of discussion and
dialogue and language being used that is vastly different
from any other combatant command. I am a little bit
parochial and proud, but I would say that would be true.

Senator Cruz: I understand.

So have you all been able to take any lessons learned
that have been operationalized as a result of the war games?

General McDew: We have. Most of it has been through
what we have included in our exercises. So all of our
exercises now assume some level of cyber degradation, every
last one of them. It may be just at the tabletop level, but
it is in every exercise. We bake attrition into every one
of our exercises and everything we discuss, and then, as I
said, the contracts all have cyber standards in them.

Senator Cruz: One area that has been a persistent
concern for me has been our vulnerability in space, and we
are seeing more of our near-peer competitors targeting space
assets. To what extent in war games or exercises are you
all exercising in a space down environment with no satellite
support or contact whatsoever?

General McDew: I cannot say that we do it in every
exercise, but the cyber degradation also has a level of
space because it is the precision navigation and timing
aspect. That loss is catastrophic. So we are trying to
bake more and more of that in every time we have an
exercise.

Senator Cruz: Very good. Thank you, General.
Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator Cruz.

Senator King, did you have a follow-on question?

Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I was struck by your testimony a few minutes ago that we went from, I think you said, 1,288 U.S.-flagged ships in 1950 to 80 today. Is that the correct number? It seems to me that really underlines the importance of the MSP program. I never thought of it that way before, but it is kind of an insurance policy. Should we be investing more heavily in that program in order to be sure that 80 does not become 20?

General McDew: Right now -- I love the way you stated that. It is an insurance policy, and I think it is a very cheap insurance policy. If we were to have those 60 ships in our own yards, I would tell you it would cost you a lot more than $300 million, and that is all it costs us right now. It is an effective policy.

In our next set of mobility capability study, we will look at how much maritime capacity do we need and is it sufficient. And I will be able to come back to you with that answer.

Senator Cruz: I hope you will because I would hate to low ball this and end up with a lack of capacity again in a crisis and say, gee, why did we not spend a relatively small amount compared with trying to build that fleet ourselves in-house. So I hope you will track that, and perhaps in
your presentation for next year’s budget, that is a factor.

General McDew: That study is due in September of this year to the Senate.

Senator Cruz: Good. Thank you very much.

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Senator King.

And thank you very much, General McDew. We were commenting how excellent your answers have been during this hearing, and we appreciate that very much.

We are adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 10:53 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]