Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

## **UNITED STATES SENATE**

# HEARING TO CONSIDER THE NOMINATION OF: RICHARD V. SPENCER TO BE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY

Tuesday, July 11, 2017

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	HEARING TO CONSIDER THE NOMINATION OF:
2	RICHARD V. SPENCER
3	TO BE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
4	
5	Tuesday, July 11, 2017
6	
7	U.S. Senate
8	Committee on Armed Services
9	Washington, D.C.
10	
11	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:38 a.m. in
12	Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. John
13	McCain, chairman of the committee, presiding.
14	Committee Members Present: Senators McCain
15	[presiding], Inhofe, Wicker, Fischer, Cotton, Rounds, Ernst,
16	Tillis, Sullivan, Perdue, Cruz, Graham, Strange, Reed,
17	Nelson, McCaskill, Shaheen, Blumenthal, Donnelly, Hirono,
18	Kaine, King, Heinrich, Warren, and Peters.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Alderson Court Reporting

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN McCAIN, U.S. SENATOR
 FROM ARIZONA

Chairman McCain: The Armed Services Committee meets
today to consider the nomination of Mr. Richard V. Spencer
to be Secretary of the Navy.

6 To begin with, I would like to acknowledge the tragedy in Mississippi yesterday. The 16 service members who lost 7 their lives in the crash of a Marine Corps KC-130 from 8 Cherry Point, North Carolina remind us that these brave men 9 and women put themselves in harm's way every day, at home 10 11 and abroad, in training and in combat in service to our 12 Nation. We are all keeping their families in our hearts and 13 prayers.

Mr. Spencer, we thank you for joining us this morning. We also welcome your family and friends with us today. As is our tradition, at the beginning of your testimony, we invite you to introduce those who are joining you.

It is the standard for this committee to ask certain 18 19 questions in order to exercise its legislative and oversight 20 responsibilities. It is important that this committee and other appropriate committees of the Congress be able to 21 22 receive testimony, briefings, and other communications of 23 information. So I am going to ask you the standard 24 questions we ask every nominee before this committee. 25 Have you adhered to applicable laws and regulations

1 governing conflicts of interest?

2 Mr. Spencer: I have.

Chairman McCain: Will you ensure that your staff 3 complies with deadlines established for requested 4 5 communications, including questions for the record in hearings? 6 7 Mr. Spencer: I will. Chairman McCain: Will you cooperate in providing 8 witnesses and briefers in response to congressional 9 10 requests? 11 Mr. Spencer: I will. 12 Chairman McCain: Will those witnesses be protected 13 from reprisal for their testimony or briefings? 14 Mr. Spencer: They will. 15 Chairman McCain: Do you agree, if confirmed, to appear 16 and testify upon request before this committee? 17 Mr. Spencer: I do. Chairman McCain: Do you agree to provide documents, 18 19 including copies of electronic forms of communication, in a 20 timely manner when requested by a duly constituted committee 21 or to consult with the committee regarding the basis for any 22 good faith delay or denial in providing such documents? 23 Mr. Spencer: I do. 24 Chairman McCain: Have you assumed any duties or 25 undertaken any actions which would appear to presume the

outcome of the confirmation process? Mr. Spencer: I have not. Chairman McCain: Thank you. The next Secretary of the Navy will assume this role during a time of immense importance for U.S. seapower. I note the presence of one of our most distinguished members and dear friend of every member of the committee, Republican and Democrat, and perhaps it would be more convenient for us to hear from Senator Warner before I proceed with my opening statement. Senator Warner, you are recognized. 2.3 

STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN WARNER, U.S. SENATOR FROM
 VIRGINIA, RETIRED

Senator Warner: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Senator Reed,
and members of the committee, particularly Jim Inhofe and
Bill Nelson and Mr. Wicker.

6 It is a very humbling experience for me to appear here on behalf of this distinguished nominee. If I ever reflect 7 8 on this great committee, I just think about the marvelous traditions it has established for the entirety of the Senate 9 throughout its long existence. And I say to the new members 10 of the committee I wish you well, and I am confident as you 11 12 pursue your careers in life, that you will always look back on your membership on this committee as a very special 13 14 privilege because after all, the function of this committee 15 is to provide care for the men and women of the armed forces 16 of the United States, together with their families.

Now, I know the chairman is anxious for me to be brief, and I shall be brief, Mr. Chairman. But I would like to say that on my left is Mr. Spencer, his lovely wife, and they will be a magnificent team in my humble judgment to serve America and to serve the men and women of the armed forces, most particularly the Navy and the Marine Corps.

23 Mr. Spencer has a very interesting and broad career. 24 He is quite adept and knowledgeable on all aspects of 25 finance, not only domestically here in our country but

1 globally. And together with his other achievements in life, which are manifold -- and you have got all the papers before 2 3 you. But I point out that he served on the Department of 4 Defense Business Board for some 6 years showing his interest 5 in national security for those years. And then he was chairman of the Marine Corps Heritage Foundation. So he has 6 kept all of his priorities carefully in tow throughout his 7 8 life.

9 And I would like to say that he achieved something that 10 I always wanted to achieve. Our distinguished chairman got 11 the Navy wings of gold and he got the Marine Corps wings of 12 gold and spent one whole tour, several years, as a pilot of 13 the helicopters, the rotary. But he did his fixed wing 14 time, I am sure, before he got there.

And he loved the Marine Corps and he loved the military life, and he looked forward to that day when he might be able to return and become more active. And this is one of the most interesting and wonderful positions in our entire establishment of the Federal Government is Secretary of the Navy.

So I would like to say that I am not a stranger to the proceedings we are undertaking, but there is an aspect of this particular confirmation proceeding that I have never encountered before. On his own initiative, he reached out and counseled with 10 Secretaries of the Navy to ask of them

6

www.aldersonreporting.com

1 what they thought of the challenges of today, how best he 2 might be able to fulfill those challenges. And we have with 3 us today -- Will Ball was one of them, John Dalton, Richard 4 Danzig, Gordon England, John Lehman, Sean O'Keefe, Sean 5 Stackley who is acting Secretary, Jim Webb, Don Winter, and 6 yours truly. And I want to be very careful in my summary, having talked to all of them about this moment where I sort 7 8 of represent the gang. We do not wish to be presumptuous. So we couch our words in the following sentence, and that is 9 we believe this fine man and his lovely wife are most worthy 10 of being here today and being given the opportunity to 11 12 appear before you as you perform your constitutional duty of 13 advice and consent.

So with that, I conclude my remarks. Semper fi, my good friend. You are on your own.

16 [Laughter.]

17 Chairman McCain: Senator Warner, you bring unique credentials to this body, having served as both Secretary of 18 19 the Navy and chairman of this committee. And I and the 20 members on both sides of the aisle take your words with the utmost seriousness. We thank you for your return, thank you 21 22 for your leadership, thank you for the many years that you 23 spent with me helping me in my responsibilities as a member 24 of this committee. We thank you, sir.

25 Senator Warner: I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and for our

long personal friendship. And I recall so well when I 1 became Under Secretary of the Navy prior to being Secretary, 2 3 how your father, then commander-in-chief of all forces of 4 the Pacific, together with your extraordinary mother, 5 reached out to help me as a young man, very young man. I 6 think I was about the youngest that time, and I took on these responsibilities in 1969, many years ago. Thank you 7 8 very much.

9 Chairman McCain: Thank you, Senator Warner.

10 The next Secretary of the Navy will assume this role 11 during a time of immense importance for U.S. seapower. Some 12 of the greatest threats and challenges of the future will be 13 in the maritime domain, and it is critical that our Navy and 14 Marine Corps are prepared to fight and win decisively.

The Secretary has broad authority and responsibility for all affairs of the Department of the Navy, including the manning, training, equipping, and maintaining of naval forces. As we heard from the Chief of Naval Operations and Commandant of the Marine Corps last month, their forces face significant readiness shortfalls and the urgent need to grow and modernize.

This committee looks forward to hearing how you would address the challenges confronting the Navy and Marine Corps: more than \$15 billion in readiness shortfalls and unfunded priorities, plans to achieve the larger Navy and

Marine Corps service leaders say we need, and delivering
 acquisition programs at cost, on schedule, and with the
 promised capability.

The sad truth is in recent years we have not given our sailors and marines what they need to succeed. As we have asked ever more of them, we have failed in our responsibility to provide them with the necessary resources, training, and equipment. This puts their lives in greater danger every day, and we can waste no time in reversing course.

11 Restoring readiness, rebuilding capacity, and 12 modernizing to regain the technological advantage of our 13 naval forces will require clear vision and strong leadership 14 from the next Navy Secretary. Mr. Spencer, I look forward 15 to discussing your plan to approach these demands, if 16 confirmed.

This committee is grateful both for your prior service, as well as your willingness to serve again. As a U.S. marine and as a member of the Defense Business Board, you have demonstrated your dedication to this Nation. I am confident that your decades of experience leading large, complex operations and businesses has prepared you to take on this role, if you are confirmed.

In closing, this committee honors the service and sacrifice of all of our sailors and marines. Mr. Spencer,

1	we look forward to hearing your testimony about how you plan
2	to lead the Department of the Navy during this crucial time.
3	Senator Reed?
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE
 ISLAND

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
And let me join you in welcoming Mr. Spencer to the
committee and thank you for willingness to serve as
Secretary of the Navy. And also let me thank your family
for the support they provide to you.

8 Let me also recognize Senator Warner. Senator, your 9 example continues to inspire this committee. We will get 10 there eventually to your level, but we are trying we assure 11 you.

12 I too want to join the chairman in recognizing and paying tribute to the service members and their families in 13 14 the Navy and Marine Corps. We are saddened by loss of life 15 during the recent incident on the USS Fitzgerald and just 16 last evening with the crash of the KC-130 Marine Corps 17 tanker. Our prayers go out to the crews and families of those two units. This accident serves as a humbling 18 19 reminder of the perils that our service members endure 20 daily, whether they are deployed to combat zones or conducting routine operations. 21

Mr. Spencer, if you are confirmed to this position, you will be faced with a number of critical issues that confront the Department of the Navy.

25 The Navy and the Marine Corps have historically had to

11

www.aldersonreporting.com

deal with the day-to-day strains of deployment and high operating tempos. With concerns about supporting the readiness of our deployed and non-deployed forces, the next Secretary's efforts in managing improvements in the force and its supporting structure will be critical.

6 As a first priority, it seems to me that the Secretary of the Navy should focus on improving readiness of the 7 8 existing forces. For example, it seems very shortsighted to me for the Navy to have allowed the diving certifications 9 for the USS Boise to expire and then have to tie that boat 10 11 to a pier for more than a year when combatant commanders' 12 demands for submarine services are unmet. Our global threat environment dictates an increased need for advanced 13 14 platforms like the Boise to be utilized for our national 15 security instead of docked due to administrative 16 shortcomings.

While readiness is very important, the next Secretary must also confront other challenges that face our Navy. For a number of years, many of us have expressed concern about the size of the Navy fleet and the number of ships we are building each year. Last December, the Chief of Naval Operations released an updated force structure assessment which recommended a total fleet of 355 ships.

However, as challenging as it may be to increase the number of ships in the fleet, numbers alone are not enough.

This past May, the CNO also released a paper titled "The Future Navy," in which he said in part "more platforms are necessary but not sufficient. The Navy must also incorporate new technologies and new operational concepts." I echo the CNO's sentiments about modernizing our fleet and operational concepts, but it is a goal that you will find faces many obstacles.

8 By implementing the Packard Commission recommendations 9 years ago, Congress and the Department took the service secretaries out of the chain of command for major defense 10 acquisition programs. The service secretary, however, plays 11 12 a critical role in the budget and requirements decisions that drive the acquisition programs. As we begin 13 14 procurement funding for the Columbia class program this 15 year, I believe the Secretary of the Navy will play an 16 integral role in guiding this critical program.

17 The challenges that the Navy faces are further exacerbated by the budgetary constraints imposed by the 18 Budget Control Act. Left unaddressed, these challenges will 19 20 limit the Navy's ability to field, train, and equip a modern 21 Navy required to confront the global threats to our country. 22 I look forward to hearing your testimony on how we can 23 modernize our fleet and improve our cost efficiency of our 24 acquisition process.

25 Mr. Chairman, thank you for calling this hearing. I

13

www.aldersonreporting.com

1	look forward to supporting this nomination and working with
2	Mr. Spencer in the future.
3	Chairman McCain: Mr. Spencer, welcome. As I mentioned
4	earlier, if you would like to introduce members of your
5	family who are here today, please proceed so we can
6	interrogate you as quickly as possible.
7	[Laughter.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 STATEMENT OF RICHARD V. SPENCER TO BE SECRETARY OF THE 2 NAVY

3 Mr. Spencer: Thank you, Senator.

First, I would like to thank Senator Warner for his
eloquent introduction and his unending service to our
country.

I would also like to thank Secretary Mattis for his
support and the President for his continuing confidence in
nominating me for this position.

At this time, I would also like to introduce my wife
Polly, my daughter Averil, my son Pierce, my step-son Joseph
who are here with me today.

I too would like to reflect for a minute. The Navy/Marine Corps team was struck last night with a tragedy. I5 marines, one sailor perished. And I would just like us all to keep their loved ones and their families in our thoughts and prayers as we conduct our business today.

18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Reed, members19 of this committee.

Thirty-six years ago to the month, I was driving through the tomato fields surrounding the landing pads at Marine Corps Air Station, Tustin, California. My trustee steed at that time was a beige 1968 VW bug that carried me out of the gates for the last time as I ended a tour with Marine Helicopter Squadron 161. Headed northbound to the

entrance of the Pacific Coast Highway, I can tell you without hesitation that the thought of me sitting before this august group being considered as Secretary of the Navy was not to be found even in the most remotest parts of my mind.

6 However, during those 36 years, I was educated in financial and operational management, increasing in scope as 7 I progressed through my career. The leadership skills 8 developed in the Marine Corps were strengthened and honed 9 through various positions I held in both public and private 10 11 sector. I was a student and then practitioner of disruptive 12 technology while being respectful of industrial science. The journey from the gates of Tustin to here has, I believe, 13 14 provided me with the knowledge and the skill set to tackle 15 the issues at hand.

16 It truly is a great honor for me to appear before you seeking the confirmation as the 76th Secretary of the Navy. 17 The honor to be here today is magnified by the current state 18 19 of play in the world today, which is nothing less than a 20 perfect storm. We have been at war for the past 16 years with the operational tempo of the various conflicts in which 21 22 we are engaged denying us the needed time and resources for 23 modernization and maintenance. Add to that the growing 24 requirements generated by the combatant commanders who are 25 facing continually evolving threats from all corners of the

16

#### Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

1 globe, and you have a Navy/Marine Corps team that has been 2 continually engaged and stretched thin.

3 At the same time, the impact of the Budget Control Act, 4 which gave birth to sequestration, coupled with the 5 inability to produce consistent sources of funding in the form of annual budgets, has produced an environment where, 6 as Secretary Mattis has said, we are no longer managing 7 8 risk. We are now gambling. We must immediately commence the heavy lifting needed to buttress the effects of the 9 storm in order to build fleet readiness in the near term and 10 to increase the Navy/Marine Corps capability and capacity in 11 12 the near future.

Before I answer your questions addressing my ability to lead the Department of the Navy, let me briefly provide you with my views of naval matters.

First, people are our most valuable and most expensive resource within the Navy. The Marine Corps/Marine Corps team, their families, and their civilian teammates have never failed our Nation and they never will.

However, I believe that we are failing them through such actions as the Budget Control Act and continuing resolutions. Due to their determination and patriotism, they have and continue to do more with less. I also believe their diligence, attention to duty, and commitment to putting the Nation and their teammates above themselves has

not been reciprocated in all instances. If confirmed, I do 1 not want to stifle their "can do" attitude. I do not want 2 3 to do that in the least. But I also do not want to send the 4 signal that we are taking it for granted. It is not the 5 Secretary of the Navy nor the Department of Defense nor Congress that is bearing the brunt of this situation. 6 Rather, it is the sailors, marines, our citizen soldiers in 7 8 the Reserves and their families who are squarely shouldering 9 the burden. All the while, there is a growing demand from the private sector to employ our sailors and marines which 10 11 puts more pressure on readiness and retention. We must work 12 together to find the resources and the solutions necessary to make the Navy a preferred career. 13

14 Second, I believe that U.S. naval superiority is a 15 cornerstone for the foundation of American security and 16 global stability. A maneuverable, forward-deployed 17 Navy/Marine Corps team is an integral element that increases the options available for a whole-of-government solution to 18 19 situations around the globe. In order to support that 20 mission, we must address the capability and capacity of our 21 fleet forces. I believe the nuclear triad is one of 22 America's more effective threat deterrents, and the Navy 23 owns the most survivable leg of that weapons system. 24 Therefore, it owns the task to maintain and modernize its 25 component of the triad.

18

#### Alderson Court Reporting

1 As the Navy steps out to act upon the intent of the President and the Secretary of Defense, it must do so with a 2 3 renewed rigor and effectively apply the resources granted by 4 you, the Congress, with an enhanced sense of urgency. The 5 organization must analyze all its existing systems and platforms to extract all additional efficiencies while, at 6 the same time, it incorporates the advantages provided by 7 8 both internal research and development and advancements developed by the private sector. Urgency must be the theme 9 as we enhance our readiness and existing capabilities in 10 11 order to fight beyond our present capacity, all the while 12 addressing the future build-out of the fleet.

Finally, I believe the organizational construct needed to deliver the aforementioned goals is one that is flat, lean, and agile. It is an organization where those who face and manage critical situations have the ability to make decisions with the full understanding of the responsibility and accountability associated with the outcome.

My business career has been well served by the credo that accountability starts at the top and then permeates throughout the organization. I have also learned that each member of an effective organization must be empowered to put forth changes that will enhance its operational efficiencies. To adapt in the face of competition, the whole Navy team must be engaged. If I am confirmed, I would

use a tag line borrowed from the Department of Homeland Security and that I have paraphrased as my guiding principle to change the organization: "If you see something, suggest something."

5 In closing, let me say that I do not come before you with a preconceived agenda to address the issues facing the 6 Navy and the Marine Corps. I come before you ready to 7 expeditiously assess the current situation, develop the 8 tools needed to enhance its ability to fight, and to deliver 9 on the responsibilities of the office of the Secretary of 10 11 the Navy, as delineated in title X. If confirmed, I will 12 accomplish this by coordinating the efforts of the Chief of 13 Naval Operations, the Commandant of the Marine Corps, the secretariat and the Senators and Members of Congress through 14 15 leadership that is grounded in transparency and 16 accountability. 17 Thank you and I look forward to your questions. [The prepared statement of Mr. Spencer follows:] 18 19 20

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Chairman McCain: Well, thank you, Mr. Spencer.

I was just on a trip with several of my colleagues, 2 Senator Warren, Senator Perdue, Senator Graham, Senator 3 Whitehouse, and among other places that we spent the Fourth 4 5 of July, as I have for many years, in Kabul and outside of 6 Kabul with the men and women serving in the military, among a number of events that we do with them for Fourth of July 7 8 was to do a town hall meeting with a large number of men and women who are in uniform. 9

10 Senator Graham, as happens once every decade, asked a 11 very astute question. He asked how many in the room were 12 there for more than the first time in Afghanistan. The 13 majority raised their hands. He said how many have been 14 here more than twice. The majority raised their hands. And 15 he said how many have been here three times. A significant 16 number raised their hands.

17 In other words, to me it was something that was a graphic demonstration of the incredible burden that our 18 19 active duty military has been bearing over the last 15 or 16 20 years. They are brave. They are proud. They are the best maybe we have had in a long, long time. But they are not 21 22 fully equipped and they are not fully trained and they are 23 not given the authority and responsibility that they need in 24 order to win this conflict. The fact is in Afghanistan we 25 are not winning. We are not winning. And no less than our

21

military commander in Afghanistan will tell you that is a
 fact.

3 That has to be turned around. We cannot ask these 4 young men and women to keep going over there with a strategy 5 that in order to defend an ANA, Afghan National Army, garrison -- in order to defend itself, it has to have 6 permission from somebody in the White House on the National 7 8 Security Council staff. I am exaggerating a bit, but the fact is that if you ask any of these young men and women who 9 have been there and there and there, they will tell you that 10 11 they can win this fight but they have got to have both the 12 equipment and the authority to do so. It is not that they are not well led. They are. It is not that they are not 13 capable. They are. But it is a "don't lose" strategy which 14 15 is epitomized by the former President's speech at West Point 16 where he said we are going to surge and we are going to 17 increase the number of troops there, and we are going to win. And by the way, we are leaving on a certain date. If 18 19 you are Mr. Baghdadi and you hear that, I think the conclusions you draw are obvious. 20

We have got ships that are stuck at the pier, two nuclear submarines that have been sitting at the pier for over a year because of lack of spare parts. 60 percent of our F-16's are grounded. The list goes on and on and on and on. And it has got to do with the Budget Control Act, one

of the greatest acts of cowardice ever enacted by the
 Congress of the United States.

3 How serious do you think the problem is, and what do
4 you think we need to do?

5 Mr. Spencer: Senator, I believe it is probably one of 6 the most serious issues that we are facing right now for 7 national security. The Budget Control Act has wreaked havoc 8 with our readiness, the impacts on the lives of our sailors 9 and marines.

Doing the office calls that I had with you all, I find 10 great comfort and excitement in the fact that everyone is 11 12 leaning in on this issue. There is a lot of heavy lifting that has to be done. There is a lot of cheese moving that 13 14 has to be done. We have to streamline processes. We have 15 to address capabilities. And I believe that is number one 16 on the issue. If you look my priorities, they are people, 17 capabilities, and process to address these and provide -apply the resources that we have for the down payment on 18 19 readiness and move forward into building out the fleet. 20 Chairman McCain: Well, on your third one, the process, probably the greatest source of frustration to members of 21 22 this committee on both sides is the continued cost overruns 23 associated with acquisition. A few years ago in 2013, I 24 asked a former Chief of Naval Operations who was responsible 25 for the \$2 billion -- \$2 billion -- cost overrun on the USS

Gerald R. Ford. He said he did not know. When I asked a
 former Air Force Chief of Staff about the F-35 cost
 overruns, he did not think anyone had been fired.

4 What are we going to do about this?

5 Now, 2 years in a row now, we have held people 6 accountable. We put the service chiefs in the mix and made 7 them responsible. We have taken a number of measures to 8 hold people responsible. But how is it we have reached a 9 point where you can have a \$2 billion cost overrun on an 10 aircraft carrier, one ship -- one ship, a \$2 billion cost 11 overrun -- and no one is responsible?

12 Mr. Spencer: Senator, my career has been steeped in 13 accountability, and I can tell you right now that the 14 accountability starts right here. The way that we address 15 this is through behavioral management. You reward positive 16 events, and you have other tools at your disposal to take 17 care of projects that are not performing. And you make this very transparent. I am going to be coming before you all 18 19 asking for resources, but I also have to have my decks clean 20 to make sure those resources and the treasures of the American taxpayer are put forth in a fiduciarially prudent 21 22 manner.

23 Chairman McCain: Do you know of anyone who has been 24 fired from their job because of cost overruns?

25 Mr. Spencer: Not yet, Senator.

1

Chairman McCain: Senator Reed?

Senator Reed: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
And thank you, Mr. Spencer, again for your service
already and your commitment to serve the Navy.

5 You reflected in your opening statement the critical 6 nature of the triad and the fact that the Navy is the 7 leading edge of that with the Columbia class program. The 8 CNO has called it the Navy's top modernization priority. I 9 assume you feel the same way?

10 Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator.

11 Senator Reed: And I think in the spirit of the 12 conversation you had with the chairman is that one of the 13 things we want to do is make sure that program stays on 14 schedule and on budget or below budget. Both General 15 Dynamics and Ingalls have done a remarkable job with the 16 Virginia class, keeping them ahead of schedule with each new 17 boat and making sure the budget is sound. We want that same tradition, and I know you want that same tradition with 18 19 Columbia.

20 Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator.

21 Senator Reed: Thank you.

As I mentioned before, not only do we need more ships, but we need new operational concepts and new technologies. And I wonder if you have given any thoughts from your

25 discussions with the former Secretaries or with the CNO

1 about what areas you see that you could collaborate with the 2 CNO on.

3 Mr. Spencer: I have, Senator, starting out with just the acquisition process itself. I think if you heard what I 4 5 laid out in my priorities, we now have I believe -- we did a 6 study at the Defense Business Board -- 32-plus layers of people needed to sign off on an acquisition process. And 7 8 that did not involve major platforms. We have to allow the people who have the education and the intelligence to make 9 acquisitions and to face off problems to provide the 10 11 solutions. And they have to know and be responsible for the 12 outcome and be accountable for it. And I think that is one 13 of the biggest steps forward we make right off the bat. 14 Senator Reed: Are there any technologies -- given your

15 extensive experience with the Defense Business Board, any 16 technologies that you think could be -- sort of break the 17 mold and a leap-ahead approach?

Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator. People have 18 19 asked what do you think of the 355-ship Navy, and I said it 20 is a great goal to have. I cannot tell you what the construct of that would be sitting here today because I 21 22 think unmanned, both below the water, on the water, and in 23 the air, is an area we are just beginning to chip away at. 24 And that is going to provide some great yield for us. 25

Senator Reed: Thank you.

There is another aspect of this whole technology, that is, to operate more efficiently. And one of the major constraints going back to the age of steam is fuel. So energy efficiency from an operational standpoint would seem to be a critical aspect. Are you going to continue the efforts of the Navy? And they have done some remarkable things in terms of alternate energy, fuels, et cetera.

8 Mr. Spencer: It is, I believe, if confirmed, my 9 responsibility as the Secretary of the Navy to explore any 10 and all avenues that provide us longer legs, less of a 11 tether to fuel sources. And that goes across the board for 12 technologies also.

13 Senator Reed: We have all indicated -- I do not know 14 what the right word is -- the discomfort, if you will, with 15 the Budget Control Act. But I think it has reached a point 16 now where our complaints are important, but do you think it 17 would be helpful if the President made a major address to 18 the country and a major proposal of how to resolve this? I 19 do not recall very much of his comments on the BCA.

20 Mr. Spencer: I believe we all have to come together as 21 a country to address this. It is devastating what it is 22 doing to us. If we look back at when it was created, it was 23 in my eyes a bluff card that was to bring everyone together, 24 and all of a sudden, the bluff was called and BCA was put 25 into place. We all have to get behind this. I look forward

to working with all of you and doing whatever we can to educate the American voters as to what is going on with the BCA and how it is affecting us.

Senator Reed: Well, I concur. Again, the bully pulpit
is one or at least one major one. I think we need some
direction, some guidance not just an exhortation to do
better but a plan to actually get it done.

8 Mr. Spencer: Agreed.

9 Senator Reed: I thank you, Mr. Secretary. And as I
10 said in my comments, I look forward to working with you.
11 Mr. Spencer: Thank you, Senator.

12 Chairman McCain: Senator Inhofe?

13 Senator Inhofe: Whatever happened to the 1968 beige 14 Volkswagen?

15 Mr. Spencer: Senator, I wish I could keep it. It 16 would probably be worth more than the car I am driving now. 17 Senator Inhofe: You know, one of the things that I have been very proud of our uniforms is the fact that they 18 19 are, for the first time at least in my years that I have 20 spent here both in the House and the Senate -- they are talking about the threat that is so real out there. And you 21 22 and I talked. And by the way, thank you for the time that 23 you gave not just me but everyone I have talked to has had a 24 long visit with you. And we all know pretty much where you 25 are coming from.

28

### Alderson Court Reporting

And I mentioned this to you in my office that it is the 1 2 first time that I have seen the uniforms come out and talk 3 about this unprecedented threat that we are facing right now 4 and why it is a threat. When we stand on the stump and talk 5 about this, we do not have the credibility of someone in 6 uniform. So it goes all the way up to the Secretary level. And I am sure that you are going to be talking about that. 7 8 You are not going to shy away from the reality of the level 9 of threat that we have.

10 The news reports that North Korea has successfully 11 tested an ICBM capable of ranging to the United States, 12 coupled with the nuclear program. It now poses an imminent 13 threat to the United States. In one of our hearings, we had 14 General Stewart, DIA Director, going so far as to say it is 15 not a matter of if, it is a matter of when.

16 So I would hope that you would elaborate as much as 17 some of the rest of them are in joining in because we are not going to get the attention. We all are talking about 18 19 sequestration. We are talking about the problems that we 20 are having. And we remember when in 1964 that 52 percent of the entire budget was defending America, and it has been 21 22 steadily going down since that time. So it is going to take 23 a kind of resurgence of people at the top letting them know 24 that times are not the way they used to be.

25 Right now, we have a mentally deficient individual

29

www.aldersonreporting.com

running a country that is totally unpredictable. We have
 had hearing after hearing, and that is the one thing that
 the top people in the military say that it is totally
 unpredictable.

5 In our Readiness Subcommittee that we had in January, 6 going back to January, Admiral Moran said that the Navy has 7 as readiness debt that will take years to pay down.

8 So in your advance policy question response, you wrote 9 our highest priority is to address the fleet-wide readiness by strengthening and leveraging our capabilities. Is there 10 11 anything you want to say about that now that has not already 12 been said in response to the questions from my predecessors? Mr. Spencer: Well, Senator, I will tell you that 13 14 shying away from issues at hand is not something that I do 15 well. If I am confirmed, I truly believe the whole focus of 16 my work would be the pointy end of the spear, and we are 17 going to stand up and make sure that not only working with you all here in this chamber but going out into America and 18 19 letting Americans know what the real issue is.

20 Senator Inhofe: I would like to ask you a question on 21 shipyards and depots. The Navy's recent aviation readiness 22 challenges have been well documented. As the chairman said, 23 we have -- what -- 62 percent of our F-18's are unavailable 24 due to maintenance problems. How do we solve this? We are 25 looking into the future and we are looking right now at a

1 problem that is there today. Do you have any ideas on the 2 first thing you are going to do?

I also want to mention the whole idea of maintaining an organic capability -- I remember when I was first elected, we talked about it was an arbitrary 50/50. I thought surely we can do better than that, but no, we are still 50/50. And quite frankly, I think it has worked pretty well. What do you think about the handling of the depots and about our organic capability?

Mr. Spencer: Senator, it is a very interesting 10 balancing act, I believe, that we have to address. When it 11 12 comes to industrial capability, I can separate aviation and shipbuilding, and I will address shipbuilding first where we 13 14 have lesser of numbers of providers. I do not say we glad 15 hand people at all, but industrial science says that the 16 most efficient way to produce is to have a clear line of 17 sight to resources. And in this chamber, I believe we can address that. We have to work outside the chamber I think 18 19 to work with some of our providers to ensure that they are 20 providing us the best long-term, sustainable relationship we can have to deliver equipment in the most cost effective, 21 22 quick manner we can.

23 Senator Inhofe: And lastly, I would just say that you 24 addressed the 355-ship issue, and I am sure you have given 25 some thought to what would be the appropriate personnel end

strength for a fleet of 355. Looking into the future, I
 would assume that you would be starting to address that now
 while we are addressing the great threat that currently
 faces us.

5 Mr. Spencer: Yes, Senator. If we take the full gambit of what is available to us to tackle the 355-ship goal, we 6 7 should be thinking outside the box. We should be thinking 8 possibly bringing things out of the ready reserve. We 9 should be looking at ways to construct better, faster, cheaper. We will be looking at a frigate down the road. 10 All of this capacity increase will require manning. So 11 12 there will be some numbers that have to be adjusted going 13 forward for end strength.

14 Senator Inhofe: Sure.

15 I look forward to working with you.

16 Mr. Spencer: Thank you, Senator.

17 Chairman McCain: Senator King?

18 Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

19 Mr. Spencer, welcome to the committee.

I think you will be pleased to know that an amendment was adopted during our recent markup of the defense bill sponsored by myself and Senator Cotton, affectionately known as the King-Cotton Amendment, that calls for the unconditional repeal of the Budget Control Act. So this

25 committee is on record. And I think, following up on

Senator Reed's questions, it would be very helpful if you could, in your capacity as Secretary, assuming you are confirmed, to work with the administration to impress upon them the importance of this issue and the really critical role that it is playing in undermining the readiness of our forces. I commend that to you as a suggestion.

You used the term "disruptive technology," and then later on you mentioned in your work on the Defense Business Board that there were 32 layers of the acquisition process. How in the world do you incorporate disruptive technology having to go through 32 layers of approvals?

Mr. Spencer: It dies fairly early probably on layer 2, Senator. So you have to actually address, as I call it, the behavioral management of how we are going to think and act in our actions. If in fact you provide people the latitude to make decisions and the span of control actually expands and hierarchy contracts, but again you have to tie this to accountability and responsibility.

19 Senator King: Following up on the chairman's questions 20 and his concern about this issue, I would urge you to get 21 together with your colleagues and step back and really look 22 at the acquisition process in a fresh way given the 23 imperative of technological incorporation in a speedy way 24 and think about how do we get on a war footing when we were 25 making destroyers one every 2 weeks and airplanes one every

1 15 or 20 minutes, as we were during World War II. How do we 2 get a sense of urgency into this process? And I think you 3 would be a great person to lead that given your experience.

4 Mr. Spencer: Senator, my analogy that I have been 5 using just in talks prior to this meeting is that we are looking at October of 1957 and Sputnik has just flown over 6 our head. The technological gap and our production gaps are 7 8 shrinking compared to our one-on-one competitors, and we need to get a sense of urgency, get on the forward foot and 9 use all resources available to us. This is what makes this 10 11 job exciting in my eyes.

Senator King: And one of the lessons from that era -for example, President Kennedy saying we are going to put a man on the moon in 10 years -- is to have goals even though they may be audacious, but to have specific goals and say this is what we are going to achieve.

17 A different line of question. Do you have any idea of 18 the retention rate in the Navy, what our loss rate is of 19 these highly trained sailors and marines?

20 Mr. Spencer: I do not know the exact number, Senator,
21 but I know it is of concern.

22 Senator King: Well, it seems to me that might be an 23 area of rich usefulness to investigate because if we can 24 retain someone rather than recruiting and training new 25 people, that would be saving taxpayers' dollars and it would

also be retaining the expertise that we need. I commend
 that to you as an area of focus.

Mr. Spencer: Another great area of excitement in my eyes, Senator. As I stated in my opening statement, the human capital section of our budget is our most expensive and our most valuable, and we have to work and extract the best practices from the private sector in areas of how we can keep people and make the Navy --

9 Senator King: And every pilot and mechanic we can 10 retain is one that we do not have to spend \$1 million to 11 train.

12 The final area. We talked a bit about procurement and 13 cost overruns. We have had multiple hearings before this 14 committee on the Ford, on the F-35, on other programs. One 15 of the things that comes through is trying to build things 16 before they are fully designed and before the design is 17 mature and tested. We just approved a 15-ship multiyear for the DDG Flight III, which the Navy wants, we want, everybody 18 19 wants. The question is is that design fully mature. Not 20 one has yet been built. And I hope that is an area that you will look at in your work. I, representing a State that 21 22 builds these ships, want them built as soon as possible, but 23 I also do not want to repeat some of the mistakes that we 24 have seen with the Ford and the F-35.

25 Mr. Spencer: Senator, on behalf of the Navy, I would

35

www.aldersonreporting.com
like to thank your efforts, Senator Collins' efforts, 1 2 everyone's efforts in this building for providing us an 3 increased capacity. If you would allow me the time to spool 4 up and get up to speed on the direct issue at hand, I look 5 forward to coming back to you with a granular answer. 6 Senator King: Thank you. 7 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Chairman McCain: Senator Ernst? 8 9 Senator Ernst: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Spencer, for joining us today. I truly 10 do appreciate it and your testimony. 11 12 I would like to start by just asking you some simple yes or no questions, if I may. 13 Do you commit to cutting wasteful spending and making 14 15 it a priority? 16 Mr. Spencer: Yes. 17 Senator Ernst: Do you commit to working with me to combat and prevent military sexual assault and retaliation 18 19 in the Navy and the Marine Corps? 20 Mr. Spencer: Most definitely. Senator Ernst: Will you provide me with advance notice 21 22 should changes to the gender integration policies be 23 considered? 24 Mr. Spencer: I will. 25 Senator Ernst: Do you commit to upholding an unbiased

and transparent approach throughout the acquisition process?
 Mr. Spencer: I do.

Senator Ernst: Absolutely. Thank you so much. 3 4 I appreciate the fact that you took some time last 5 night -- excuse me -- last month to sit down and visit with 6 me about the needs of the Navy and the Marine Corps. And one thing that I was very encouraged about is the fact that 7 8 you said in order to move the needle, we are going to have 9 to perspire a little bit. And I do not want to speak for other Members in Congress, but I will say that I think here 10 11 on this committee we are ready to perspire a little bit and 12 get things moving.

13 So if you could, if you are confirmed, how do you 14 envision the Department's relationship with Congress and 15 what is your plan to enhance that relationship? And how do 16 we work together to move that needle?

17 Mr. Spencer: Senator, from the time I left the Marine 18 Corps and entered the private sector, I have reported to 19 either, quote/unquote, my bosses, and then as I progressed 20 on my career and ran companies, I still reported to a board 21 of trustees or a board of directors or an executive 22 committee. And that is the way I have been trained. It 23 works the best. It has been proven to work the best. 24 I look to you all as the board of directors. You are

25 my, quote/unquote, partners in this -- senior partners I

agree -- and I look to you for guidance and direction. 1 We 2 are going to have to work together in lockstep to tackle the 3 problems that we have. We are going to be asking for a 4 tremendous amount of resources. We are going to be moving a 5 lot of cheese. We are going to be trying to streamline an organization all simultaneously. We might all off the 6 bicycle every now and then. I will be completely 7 8 transparent and tell you when we have fallen off the bicycle 9 and hopefully tell you before we do. But I mean I want to 10 manage expectations in an open, transparent manner.

11 Senator Ernst: I appreciate that very much.

12 As you know, we did talk a little bit about this, but I am sure you know that our aircrews continue to experience 13 14 physiological episodes in high performance aircraft, the 15 F-22, the T-45, F-18, and now the F-35. And yet, we still 16 have not found a fix for that. And a few weeks ago, the Air 17 Force announced it was testing sensors for the F-35 Alpha that actually monitor pilots' inhale/exhale gases and 18 19 automatically activate emergency oxygen if there is a 20 problem.

This is something that I have recommended to both the Air Force and the Navy leaders in past hearings. And so I am very pleased that they are finally taking action.

If you are confirmed, do you commit to finding solutions to this problem, and how would you ensure that

38

### Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

these solutions are shared across aircraft and service branches so that we do not repeatedly see the same costly problems undermining our warfighting capabilities?

4 Mr. Spencer: I will commit to you, Senator, it will be 5 a top priority. To address the second part of your question, our studies at the Defense Business Board -- one 6 of the things that became readily apparent, at least in my 7 8 career on the board, was the building is an amazing problem-9 solving machine. Some of the problems that result from the problem-solving is no one either sunsets the solution or 10 11 they do not actually share the solution amongst the 12 building. It is fairly siloed. I believe that working with my fellow service secretaries, if confirmed, that is one of 13 14 our key issues is to start sharing best practices.

15 Senator Ernst: Very good.

16 And finally in my remaining time, we have talked a little bit about the number of ships. And I guess I am not 17 so concerned about the number of ships, just to ensure that 18 19 those platforms are doing what they should be doing. But 20 the types of ships are important as well in making sure that we have an optimal Navy and a Navy that will also support 21 22 our Marine Corps. We know that the Marine Corps has been 23 playing a critical role most often in an infantry type role. 24 We see them in the desert quite frequently. But amphibious 25 ships seem to be an afterthought.

39

# Alderson Court Reporting

1 Can you just explain to me what your direction might be 2 in making sure that amphibious ships are included in the 3 discussion when it comes to the number and type of ships 4 that we have?

5 Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator. If you look at 6 what the Navy/Marine Corps team is inherently, it is our 7 forward-deployed force. And to effect that forward 8 deployment in the most effective manner, we have to have the 9 amphibious ships for the Marine Corps.

Senator Ernst: Thank you for your time. Thank you.
 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

12 Chairman McCain: Senator Kaine?

13 Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Thanks, Mr. Spencer. I applaud you for your nomination and congratulate you for it. You are very qualified for this position. I look forward to supporting you. And my friend, John Warner's support for you did not dissuade me from my initial inclination. So I look forward to working together with you.

Before I ask you a question or two, I just want to put on the record a concern I have. As Secretary of Navy, you will set a tone and one of the tones you have to set is that everybody who comes before us is scrupulously candid in answering questions.

25 At the most recent hearing of the Seapower

40

#### Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

1 Subcommittee, a public hearing that we held just within the last couple of weeks, I asked Admiral Lesher a question. 2 3 There had been a Bloomberg article about the President's 4 budget coming to us with funding for a second LCS, and the 5 Bloomberg article that came out on the 19th or 20th of June said that part of the funding for the second LCS was going 6 to come through a \$325 million reduction of funding for 7 8 aircraft carrier overhaul.

9 I asked Admiral Lesher this question in that public 10 hearing. I am a big LCS fan, but my understanding from the 11 article is part of the funding for that second LCS is going 12 to be reducing aircraft carrier overhaul by \$300 million. 13 Is that accurate? And if that is so, give me context as to 14 why that is a good idea and what it would mean.

His answer, Admiral Lesher, yes, sir. I will not speculate on what will be in the OMB submission to you, but I will tell you that what you just cited is inaccurate. It would not be a source coming out of aircraft overhaul.

Are you familiar with the Bloomberg piece that he just referenced? And so as far as you now, is that not an accurate article?

Admiral Lesher, correct. That was his testimony to me. I was very surprised just a week after the hearing to get the President's budget submission, June 29th, and \$325 million is being moved from aircraft carrier reactor to

41

### Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

support a second LCS. I think that is exactly the question
 I asked him. He told me that the money was not coming from
 that and that the article was inaccurate. And I have yet to
 get an explanation for why he testified to that.

5 We are all big boys on this committee. We are big boys 6 and girls. We are used to getting answers, and some answers we like and some answers do not like. But we do depend on 7 8 getting candid answers. And I hope that that will be a tone 9 that you will set as Secretary of the Navy for all witnesses 10 who would appear before the committee, that they would not try to hide the ball or play semantic games with us, that 11 12 they would endeavor to answer the questions that we have.

Mr. Spencer: Senator, in my eyes, we cannot afford to do that. So I will support transparency and accountability. It is going to be the tone set at the top.

Senator Kaine: Thank you for that. And if the tone is set at the top, that message will be very clear I am sure.

A 355-ship Navy. I just want to ask you about this because we did an amendment in the NDAA process to state as a concept that this committee supports it. I think it would be a good thing. You talked about grappling with what the ship mix is, and let me just talk about two aspects of what it would mean.

355 ships. That is a number, but surface, underwater,manned, unmanned. I mean, there is a lot of work to do to

1 determine, if we are to be at 355, what the right ship mix
2 would be. Correct?

3 Mr. Spencer: Correct.

4 Senator Kaine: And then the second issue is it is not 5 just about shipbuilding. I mean, to the extent that we are talking about ships, we are talking about personnel. To the 6 extent that we are talking about aircraft carriers, we are 7 8 talking about airwings. Talk a little bit about, so we can think about what this commitment might mean down the road 9 for our committee as authorizers, what are some of the 10 bigger questions in addition to just the numbers of ships 11 12 that we are going to have to grapple with together with you 13 if we try to reach that goal.

Mr. Spencer: Senator, to address the second of my priorities, which is capability, I agree with you. 355 is a good number for people to focus on. Do we know exactly what the mix is? I think since we are talking out a decade, we might not know and we should not know right now because we have evolving technologies.

20 What I will tell you is that whether it is a 355-ship 21 or not, what we also ought to get our head around is can we 22 have a capacity number but have a capability that is even 23 greater than that, so have the capability of a 355 that 24 might be a 300-ship Navy. And I am just speculating now, 25 but that is the concept that I would like to work with you

1 all on is where we go for our capabilities because that is 2 where the punch is. And if in fact technologies allow us to 3 have different platforms, some that we might not even know 4 of right now that will develop in 5 years, we should keep 5 our eyes and ears open to that. And I look forward to 6 working with all of you in the chamber here on those type of 7 issues.

8 Senator Kaine: Great. Thank you very much.9 Thanks, Mr. Chair.

10 Chairman McCain: Senator Cotton?

Senator Cotton: Mr. Spencer, congratulations on your nomination and thank you for accepting the call of duty of your country once again.

14 I want to associate myself with the remarks of Senator 15 King about the amendment to the National Defense 16 Authorization Act on the Budget Control Act. The Budget 17 Control Act must be repealed. It has not worked. It has not restrained spending and it will not in the future. I 18 19 think we all know exactly what will happen if it goes 20 forward. We will have a continuing resolution in September. 21 We will have some 2-year budget that does not restrain 22 spending in November, and then we will have an omnibus in 23 December. We will have another omnibus in December of 2018. 24 Then the whole thing will be started over again in 2019 and 25 2020, all for a bill that no one from Senator Fischer to my

right and Senator Donnelly and to his left voted for. 1 The 2 112th Congress was not the Constitutional Convention, and the Budget Control Act is not the Constitution. 3

4 My question, just to be clear, is can you build a 350-5 ship Navy if the Budget Control Act remains in force.

6 Mr. Spencer: No.

Senator Cotton: Thank you for that. 7

8 Is the President's budget request sufficient to build that 350-ship Navy if the Budget Control Act is repealed? 9 Mr. Spencer: It would depend upon the timeline you 10 11 would be giving the future Secretary of the Navy as a goal. 12

Senator Cotton: Thank you.

13 I have to say, Mr. Spencer, that the morale and welfare 14 of sailors and marines is of utmost concern for me. Your 15 predecessor displayed what I think is questionable and, 16 indeed, strange judgment on some matters that left him as 17 one of the most unpopular service secretaries in the modern era. Just to go through a few of those decisions, he 18 19 politicized the naming of U.S. Navy ships. He made some 20 very strange changes to the Navy uniform that caused a revolt among female sailors. He publicly dismissed official 21 22 reports about combat effectiveness of mixed gender units 23 without even having read them, by his own admission. He 24 dumped the Navy's ratings titles, some of which like 25 boatswain's mate and gunner's mate had been around for 200

4.5

years. He has tried to power Navy fleets with unproven,
 expensive, and inadequate fuel alternatives based on current
 technology, in some cases at a cost of \$28 to the gallon.
 And he questioned the character and integrity of marines who
 dared to disagree with some of these policies.

I think it is unfortunate that you have inherited this legacy, and it is going to make it somewhat hard as you start out to restore the credibility of the secretariat. But do you think making these kind of changes is going to enhance the Navy's ability to deter war and, if necessary, fight and win a war?

12 Mr. Spencer: Senator, I testified before this committee, I believe in 2015, that it was my belief that the 13 14 Department of Defense, specifically individual services, was 15 not to be a Petri dish for social experiments. I totally 16 believe that policy should be developed at the DOD level and 17 then discussed and socialized and deployed and then obeyed. We have to work together, including all our service people, 18 19 to make sure that they are given what they need, whether 20 that be spiritually, whether that be psychologically, whether that be materialistically to fight forward so 21 22 readiness is the key and lethality is the product. 23 Senator Cotton: Thank you for that answer. I agree.

I think pretty much every sailor and marine that you will have serving underneath your leadership would agree that our

1 foremost priority has to be to deter our Nation's 2 adversaries and fight and win our Nation's wars, where 3 necessary.

4 I want to turn to a particular matter in the time I 5 have left, Mr. Spencer. Last month, the Navy revoked the license of a contractor that they had long used to do base 6 security for civilian vendors. The change was sudden and 7 concerning to me. After incidents of shootings and 8 terrorist attacks on military installations, I am concerned 9 that the Navy is fixing something that is not broken. Could 10 you please be sure to review the contracting plan for base 11 12 access and get back to me about why the Navy took this action and what its plans for base security going forward 13 14 are?

15 Mr. Spencer: If confirmed, Senator, I will.

16 Senator Cotton: I do not want you to do anything that 17 would presume confirmation, even though it seems like a 18 pretty good bet so far this morning.

19 Thank you, Mr. Spencer, again for your willingness to 20 serve our country.

21 Mr. Spencer: Thank you, Senator.

22 Chairman McCain: Senator Strange?

23 Senator Strange: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And, Mr. Spencer, welcome to the committee today. It

25 is an honor for me as the newest members of the committee to

be here and to get to meet Senator Warner who served with such distinction over many years. It was a pleasure. I want to thank you too for your willingness to serve.

And I want to add my condolences to the families that
suffered the tragic loss of the 15 marines and sailor
yesterday.

I too enjoyed the time we got to spend together in my office. I appreciate your comments on the need to keep a robust industrial base active. In order to achieve the 355ship Navy, we have to have consistent workflow through our Navy yards. Of course, Austal is in my State, but there are many others around the country who are facing the same situation.

14 Let me ask you one question about testimony that 15 Admiral Lesher gave to the Seapower Committee just 2 weeks 16 ago I believe that we must increase our procurement of 17 helicopters like the Seahawk to meet the needs of a much larger presence. And my question is, do you share this 18 19 view, and can you just simply commit to the committee that 20 if you are confirmed, that you will take a close look at our helicopter force structure as we grow our Navy? And I know 21 22 helicopters are of particular interest to you given your 23 previous service to the country.

24 Mr. Spencer: Senator, I will commit because we have to 25 look at all resources necessary to fight the wars. Yes.

48

# Alderson Court Reporting

1 Senator Strange: Thank you very much.

And I would like to wish you the best really in the time I have as you take on this critical position, assuming your confirmation.

5 And I appreciate your previous comments on sequestration, budget caps. I share the concerns of our 6 chairman and my colleagues on the committee about the 7 8 disastrous way we go about things. As the newest person in the Senate, it is shocking to me. I certainly was not here 9 10 like most of my colleagues when the Budget Act was passed. So we will do our job, I hope, to correct that for you going 11 12 forward.

So I want to thank you again for your service, and I look forward to working with you once you are confirmed.

15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

16 Mr. Spencer: Thank you, Senator.

17 Chairman McCain: Senator Rounds?

18 Senator Rounds: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Spencer, first of all, thank you for your serviceto our country.

I did appreciate the opportunity to sit down and to visit with you in our office. I find the opportunity to visit in advance to be very, very helpful.

I am curious and I would like to have you share a little bit of information about the state of our depots. In

particular, I have heard anecdotally that the effectiveness of depot-level aviation maintenance is inconsistent across the services. If confirmed, will you commit to exchanging such best practices with other services?

5 Mr. Spencer: Senator, again, right in the wheelhouse, 6 we have to share best practices, and if confirmed, the 7 mantel that you will hear coming from the Navy is working 8 with other service secretaries to find out where they are 9 extracting the best efficiencies and what we can adapt, and 10 if in fact we are doing something with great efficiency, we 11 will share with the other services too.

12 Senator Rounds: I think this is something which is 13 critical because right now you have got responsibilities for the service of aviation assets. Some depots seem to have a 14 15 better handle on how they are doing it than others. Most 16 certainly there are different approaches to having this 17 being done. And I think it would be beneficial for the different services to have established a best practices 18 19 approach in those areas in which they have similar 20 responsibilities and opportunities to improve. So I appreciate your willingness to move forward in that type of 21 22 a process. So thank you for that.

In 2010, as part of the Defense Advisory Board, you proposed the closure of DOD commissaries in the United States under the banner of saving taxpayers \$1 billion per

1 year. As you know, this measure was never adopted. What 2 was the biggest lesson that you learned about your 3 experience with the restructure proposal?

Mr. Spencer: It was an interesting proposal. I mean,
it was an interesting study and it never became a formal
proposal, but it ended up in the "Washington Post."
What we were working under the banner there was

8 something that the Commandant quotes as quicker, better, 9 faster. If we could provide a service to our uniformed 10 members and retirees that was equal or better at a more 11 efficient manner, more efficient price, why would we not 12 look at it? We had a solution provided by one of the major 13 logistic companies that is in the soft good business.

14 It was a fascinating experience for me to find out how 15 the building works and how the society works that is the 16 DOD. You have to pace yourself in certain areas, but again, when it comes to -- if I am confirmed, I will look 17 everywhere in the Navy under every single rock where we can 18 19 find efficiencies. And when I shared with you that we are 20 going to have to work lockstep together with the Senate Armed Services Committee, there are going to be some big 21 22 boulders we might have to move.

23 Senator Rounds: There is a difference between finding 24 efficiencies and simply reducing benefits. And I just want 25 to walk into this a little bit. In light of that 2010

recommendation to restructure the Department of Defense commissary benefits in the United States, what will be your philosophy regarding personnel benefits, should you be confirmed by this committee?

5 Mr. Spencer: Senator, a learning lesson that will give you insight into my thoughts, if confirmed as Secretary, was 6 more our study on the modernization of the military 7 8 retirement system. When we rolled that program out, our 9 study out, there was quite a bit of feedback. In fact, one 10 of the veterans service organizations was nice enough to 11 publish my home email and my home phone, and I took 127 12 phone calls. And it was fascinating once you got through 13 anger and frustration and you started talking to people and 14 you came with the following approach. You have a dollar to 15 spend on your benefits. Your retirement costs 60 cents. 16 Your health care costs 40 cents. Your commissary costs 15 cents. Your morale and welfare costs 7 cents. Where do you 17 want to spend your dollars? I believe if we can provide a 18 19 value association for the benefit received and also 20 understand from our service members what they value, I think 21 we can come to some good conclusions without any erosion in 22 benefit performance.

23 Senator Rounds: One last question. Do you agree that 24 the F-35C and its fifth generation capabilities are needed 25 for the airwing now and in the future?

52

# Alderson Court Reporting

1 1

Mr. Spencer: Yes.

2 Senator Rounds: Thank you.

3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 Chairman McCain: Senator Tillis?

5 Senator Tillis: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

6 Mr. Spencer, thank you. I am sure if you had a Fitbit 7 on for the past couple of weeks, you have put on dozens of 8 miles because I have seen you all over the building, and I 9 appreciate you being so accessible.

10 I want to follow up on the F-35 question. In your responses to questions for the record, there was a question 11 12 asked about directing a reassessment of the Department of the Navy's total program procurement for the F-35's. I am 13 14 not sure what precisely was thought about in terms of a 15 reassessment, but you said review and I think in response to 16 Senator Rounds' question you do believe it is a very 17 important part of our arsenal. Can you talk a little bit about what you think a review or reassessment would actually 18 19 yield?

20 Mr. Spencer: I believe that when it comes to a 21 critical weapons platform, there ought to be a continual 22 focus on delivery of the actual aircraft or the weapons 23 system, whatever the case may be. When we make a decision 24 to buy a platform, it is not autopilot after that. My 25 response in that case was that this is a continually ongoing

53

### Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

1 attention to the actual acquisition and the acquisition 2 process itself.

3 Senator Tillis: Thank you.

I want to go to another response related to BRAC, and I think that you said that you thought it made sense for us to consider another round in BRAC. Can you think of anything, in particular as it relates to within your lanes, that a BRAC would actually yield?

9 Mr. Spencer: Senator, from my -- I do not want to call them briefings, but just my knowledge gathering over the 10 past couple of months, I believe the Navy is probably in 11 12 fairly good shape when it comes to utilization of assets. To the point if in fact we grow to a 355-ship Navy, you 13 14 cannot give away waterfront property. It is very expensive to get back. In the same token, I believe that we should 15 16 continually review the value of our assets and our return on 17 investments and infrastructure just as a case of being. Senator Tillis: Thank you. 18

My final question. You mentioned, in response to some of Senator Ernst's questions, that you view us as a kind of board of directors, and I like that analogy. I have used it myself here. But we know that senior managers, particularly in board meetings, the best ones will come in and be very direct and forthright with respect to board policies or actions that are making your job more difficult. I want to

1 tie that into the perspiring line of questioning too.

When confirmed or if confirmed -- I intend to support your nomination -- what things do you think you have got to come before this board of directors and say we have to change as a matter of policy, as a matter of consistency? We all know that sequestration has to go away. But what more do you really need to put -- where do you think you are likely to put us out of our comfort zone?

9 You were actually spared, only because I forgot to bring it, the 680-page RFP that I bring, over 10 years to 10 11 define a handgun, next generation handgun. My guess is, as 12 we go through that, some of those, a few hundred pages, are 13 because we told you all to do things a certain way. How are 14 you going to help us actually streamline, free up those 15 resources for the right purposes in DOD and, in your case, 16 within the Navy?

17 Mr. Spencer: Senator, when I originally said that we are going to work together, this is a fine example. Let us 18 19 take acquisition. If in fact we have a large platform 20 weapons program, one of the things that I might propose is 21 that we have the program executive and the program manager 22 stay in place for the first generation of production. 23 Senator Tillis: And have their job depend on it? 24 Mr. Spencer: And have your job depend upon on it. But also that kind of flies in the face of up and out. So we 25

1 are going to have to adjust some situations here on how we 2 promote people and if we are going to expect this out of 3 them.

So, yes, coming to you with various situations that you can help us with to clear maneuvering lanes would be greatly appreciated.

7 Senator Tillis: Well, I look forward, after your 8 confirmation, to perhaps you coming back here and coming up 9 with a long list of things that we need to do differently so 10 that your job can be easier to achieve.

Mr. Spencer: Thank you, Senator. I look forward to it, if confirmed.

13 Senator Tillis: Thank you.

14 Chairman McCain: Senator Warren?

15 Senator Warren: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

16 And thank you to our witness for being here.

17 Before I begin, I want to join my colleagues in

offering my deepest condolences to the families and loved ones of the service members who died in yesterday's crash of a transport and refueling aircraft. Our thoughts are with them and the entire Navy and Marine Corps family.

Now, Mr. Spencer, in recent years, there have been a number of reports of workplace safety violations at the private shipyards that the Navy relies on to build its fleet. And in fact, according to federal labor statistics,

56

www.aldersonreporting.com

1 shipyard workers face an injury and illness rate that is roughly 80 percent higher than the construction industry 2 3 generally. And the list of reported injuries and violations 4 that these workers are exposed to is bone chilling: 5 amputation, electrocutions, suffocation, falls, explosions, chemical burns, cancer-causing fumes. The Navy is spending 6 hundreds of billions of dollars at shipyards where workers 7 8 are routinely injured and maimed because of lax safety 9 standards.

But a Navy spokesman responded to those concerns by saying -- and I am going to quote the spokesman -- we are not the overlords of private shipyards when it comes to workplace safety.

14 Mr. Spencer, do you agree with this attitude? 15 Mr. Spencer: No, I cannot, Senator. I truly believe 16 as we go forward in today's environment -- and we are talking about, in the case of shipbuilding, amping up the 17 production and the throughput, we have to have a sustainable 18 19 environment. That does not support a sustainable 20 environment. I would hope it would be a whole-of-government solution. I think that OSHA is probably involved. But we 21 22 look forward to making sure that we are good stewards of 23 resources.

24 Senator Warren: Good. And so do I hear you saying 25 that, if confirmed, you will commit to looking into how the

Navy tracks and monitors workplace safety violations at the
 shipyards that it is doing business with?

3 Mr. Spencer: Yes, Senator.

Senator Warren: Good. You know, we are talking about growing the fleet to a 355-ship Navy, which would result in considerably more volume at many of these shipyards, and I think the least we can do is make sure that American workers who are employed in those shipyards and are building those ships are afforded reasonable protections going forward.

10 Mr. Spencer: I concur, Senator.

11 Senator Warren: Thank you.

12 I have another question I want to ask you about. The Navy operates on the front lines of the threats posed by 13 14 climate change, including rising sea levels and floods, more 15 intense storms, higher temperatures. The Navy has long 16 recognized the risks posed by climate change. Back in 2010, 17 the Navy released a climate change road map which observed -- and I am going to quote here -- climate change is a 18 19 national security challenge with strategic implications for 20 the Navy. It is affecting and will continue to affect U.S. military installations and access to natural resources 21 22 worldwide. It will affect the type, scope, and location of 23 future Navy missions.

24 Mr. Spencer, do you believe that climate is changing 25 and that climate change will continue to affect the Navy's

1 installations and missions?

2 Mr. Spencer: Senator, the Navy, from my briefings to 3 date, is totally aware of rising water issues, storm issues, et cetera. We must protect our infrastructure. And I will 4 5 work hard to make sure that we are keeping an eye on that because without the infrastructure, we lose readiness. 6 Senator Warren: So I take that as a yes? 7 8 Mr. Spencer: Yes, all about readiness. Senator Warren: Good. 9 And if confirmed, under your leadership, will the Navy 10 prepare for climate change? I think this is where you were 11 12 going about readiness. And I want to say that both in terms 13 of preparing our own bases and installations and preparing 14 for the crises and the insecurity that climate change will 15 exacerbate around the world. 16 Mr. Spencer: Yes, Senator. 17 Senator Warren: Good. In his farewell speech in front of sailors and marines, 18 19 former Navy Secretary Mabus warned that if we fail to act 20 upon climate change, instability around the globe will inevitably intensify and even our bases will risk being 21 22 lost. I think he is right, and I will be counting on you, 23 Mr. Spencer, to carry on where Secretary Mabus left off and 24 to ensure that we adapt to this threat and we are ready. 25 Mr. Spencer: Thank you, Senator.

59

### Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

1 Senator Warren: Thank you.

2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3 Chairman McCain: Senator Sullivan?

4 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

5 And, Mr. Spencer, congratulations to you and your 6 family. I appreciate your desire to serve your country 7 again.

8 I wanted to get back to the issue that I think was lost 9 by the last Secretary of the Navy, and I want to associate my concerns that Senator Cotton raised about your 10 predecessor who took his eye off the ball on many things, 11 12 readiness, but particularly training. For that list that 13 Senator Cotton mentioned, the previous Secretary of the Navy 14 gave the Commandant of the Marine Corps an order to 15 integrate boot camp in 2 weeks. He said have this done in 2 16 weeks, the most ridiculous order I have seen as a member of 17 this committee by anyone in the military.

18 So I want to get a sense of your view on training, on 19 hard, rigorous training. There has been a lot of focus on 20 Korea in the last several months, and members of this 21 committee, myself included, had a lot of concerns. Have you 22 read the book "This Kind of War" by T.R. Fehrenbach? 23 Mr. Spencer: I have not.

24 Senator Sullivan: I have an extra copy I would love 25 for you to take a look at. It is all about the Korean War

and our lack of training and our lack of readiness and what it did to the men and women in the Marines and the Army who had to go fight. And it is a really, really dire situation, as described in the book, as thousands of Americans were killed in the summer of 1950 because they were not trained and they were not hard and they were not ready to fight.

7 Could you just give me a sense of your philosophy on 8 training sailors and marines? You know, unfortunately, just 9 in the last month we have had accidents at sea. A lot of 10 talk and concern about what happened with the refueling 11 tanker just yesterday. What is your view on how we should 12 be training our marines and sailors?

Mr. Spencer: Senator, it is my point of view and, if 13 14 confirmed, the tone will be set from the secretariat's 15 office that we are all here for one purpose, and that is the 16 pointy end of the spear. All urgency, all focus, whether a 17 dental hygienist, whether motor pool, whether pilot, whether 18 flag officer, we are all here to attain the goal, and the 19 goal is to deliver the fight. We have to train. It is 20 mandatory.

21 The CRs, the BCA has really cut into training, and I 22 think we are seeing some of the impact of that,

23 unfortunately. We to need to turn that around and correct 24 it immediately.

25 Senator Sullivan: Well, I think sometimes -- and I am

61

www.aldersonreporting.com

looking forward to your confirmation -- you will see that even this committee puts forward issues and areas of focus that do not relate to training. And if you see that happens too much, you can count me as one of the supporters to get back to what you are talking about, which is serious, hard training so our men and women can come home if and when they have to go to war.

8 You and I had a good discussion about an issue that has 9 taken up a lot of time in the committee with regard to 10 Arctic strategy and whether it is the new Arctic strategy 11 that the Secretary of Defense put forward or Admiral 12 Stavrides recently put out a book on seapower, had a whole 13 section on Arctic strategy. Are you familiar with the new 14 DOD's Arctic strategy?

15 Mr. Spencer: I have read it, sir.

16 Senator Sullivan: And part of that strategy talks 17 about the ability to conduct FONOPS. And yet, last month, 18 Admiral Richardson said that it is absolutely true we do not 19 have the capacity or capability to conduct any FONOPS in the 20 Arctic. So we have a strategy that says we need to do 21 something, and we have the CNO of the Navy saying we do not 22 have the means to actually do it.

So there are two areas I would like to get your
commitment to work with this committee on, if confirmed.
First, as we look at a 355-ship Navy, in order to

ensure that we have the capability to conduct FONOPS in the Arctic, can you take a hard look at the issue of icehardening our ships, which at a Seapower Subcommittee just 2 weeks ago, the Navy indicated they are not looking at that issue at all?

6 Mr. Spencer: Senator, when it comes to -- one of my priorities, if confirmed -- you heard me talk about 7 8 capabilities, and that would fall squarely underneath that. Senator Sullivan: And then just 2 weeks ago, again 9 this committee in the NDAA put forward language that 10 authorizes the procurement of up to six icebreakers. Right 11 12 now, the United States has two. One is broken. If you 13 actually go out to Seattle where they are home-based, these 14 are Coast Guard icebreakers -- I would recommend you do it because it is kind of a sad affair. We have men and women 15 16 in the Coast Guard wearing the uniform of the United States 17 deploying on ships that were commissioned over 40 years ago and are really barely seaworthy. 18

19 So there has been a bit of a back and forth, and you 20 and I have discussed this between who is responsible for 21 that, the Coast Guard or the Navy. Can I get your 22 commitment to take a hard look at the Navy and Coast Guard's 23 cooperation in order to enable our country to procure 24 icebreakers, which the CNO of the Navy said it is absolutely 25 in the national interest of the United States to have more

1 than one icebreaker? The Russians have 40. They are 2 building 13 more, several of which are nuclear powered. Can 3 I get your commitment to work with the Coast Guard and this 4 committee on that important task?

5 Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator. And if I am 6 not mistaken, you all have provided some money for the Navy 7 to provide support for the Coast Guard in the exploration of 8 the next generation of icebreaker, and that is totally 9 supportive in my mind.

10 Senator Sullivan: Great. Thank you.

Senator Reed [presiding]: On behalf of the chairman,
 Senator Wicker, please.

13 Senator Wicker: Thank you.

Mr. Spencer, I have been in and out, and it seems that you are doing well. And I think I intend to join a unanimous committee in supporting your confirmation.

17 Let me say two things. Please know, as our future Secretary of the Navy, that this committee is serious about 18 19 helping you and helping President Trump get to the 355-ship 20 fleet. We have had over time, since I was in the House of Representatives, goals that we never quite got to. It was 21 22 308. It was 313. Here we are at 276 ships in our fleet. 23 We have language in the DOD bill and our House counterparts 24 have language in the NDAA over there indicated to show you 25 we are serious. And frankly, we think we have got you the

money this first year to get us on the path to 355 ships.
 So please know that we are serious about this and we want to
 be your teammates there.

Let me ask you my one question about the physiological episodes that are occurring to our pilots, particularly our training pilots in the T-45 at the three undergraduate pilot training bases. I have learned more in the last 4 or 5 months about hypoxia than probably is wise for someone of my educational attainment. I have learned that there are many types of hypoxia.

11 But the real problem with the training planes at our 12 three pilot training stations is the histotoxic hypoxia, and 13 try as we might with the best minds in the Navy and the 14 Federal Government, we have not gotten to a correct 15 diagnosis. And the best I have heard is that we could maybe 16 patch together halfway of a solution here with a bit of a 17 solution there and get us back to flying at the correct altitudes that we need to train these men and women that do 18 19 the hard work that is expected of them.

And you have already testified in answer to Senator Ernst's question that these PE, physiological episodes, will remain a top priority. Thank you for that.

23 We put a little something in the bill that I want to 24 draw your attention to, and I hope it stays in the bill and 25 is signed by the President. And it basically says while we

1 are putting the best minds of the government at work, the 2 Navy and DOD can, if they want to -- not forced to but can 3 if they want to -- implement a prize competition. Now, you 4 come from the private sector, sir. And all we are doing is 5 saying after the best minds that we have in the Federal Government have tried and so far have not found the exact 6 diagnosis of what the problem is that causes this histotoxic 7 8 hypoxia, we could offer a prize to anyone in the country, 9 anyone on the face of the globe for that matter, and you do not pay the money unless you get a solution. So I would 10 11 just urge you to -- I would urge my colleagues to let us 12 keep that in the bill and treat it seriously.

And I would urge you, after you are confirmed and if we do not get to a solution, which I certainly hope we get to a solution quickly, but if we do not, take this provision seriously and let us unleash the brain power of the entire country and the entire globe to try to get to the solution. So whatever thoughts you might have on that statement in 1 minute, I would be happy to hear.

20 Mr. Spencer: Senator, very exciting chapter one of 21 thinking outside the box. That is fantastic to hear and it 22 excites me that those tools and authority would be available 23 to us.

- 24 Senator Wicker: Thank you.
- 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

1 Chairman McCain [presiding]: Senator Graham?

2 Senator Graham: Thank you.

3 Congratulations, Mr. Spencer. This is a real honor and4 I appreciate you being willing to serve.

5 I keep hearing from junior officers in particular the security clearance backlog. Everybody is asking you to look 6 at everything. Could you please look at that issue? It is 7 8 taking an inordinate amount of time for people to get their security clearances and a lot of jobs are being unfilled and 9 people are not able to do the job adequately without a 10 security clearance. So if you need more help from the 11 12 committee, if you need more money and more resources, please 13 let us know.

Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator. Having been a product of going through that, I know exactly what they are --

Senator Graham: I do not know what is going on out there.

19 It is the policy of the Trump administration to deny 20 North Korea the capability to hit the American homeland with 21 a nuclear-tipped ICBM. Do you agree with that policy? 22 Mr. Spencer: Yes.

23 Senator Graham: And one of the challenges for the Navy 24 is how they would avoid that if it ever came about. Do you 25 agree with that?

1

Mr. Spencer: Yes.

2	Senator Graham: So when you look at force structure
3	and the number of ships, it has got to really be based on
4	threats. When you look at the world from a Navy point of
5	view, how would you say the world is in terms of a threat
6	matrix for the Navy? Is there a need for more ships?
7	Mr. Spencer: Definitely, Senator. The matrix of
8	threats we are facing now from the four plus one, however
9	you want to define it, is one of the more complex that the
10	country has ever faced. We need the capability. We need
11	the capacity.
12	Senator Graham: Would you say this is one of the more
13	challenging times for the United States Navy since World War
14	II?
15	Mr. Spencer: I would say it is.
16	Senator Graham: Would you say it would be a really bad
17	idea for the Congress to cut the Navy's budget?
18	Mr. Spencer: A really bad idea, Senator.
19	Senator Graham: Sequestration is an idea that needs to
20	be set aside?
21	Mr. Spencer: Yes, Senator.
22	Senator Graham: In terms of how the military services
23	work, about 50 percent of all costs are personnel costs. Do
24	you understand that?
25	Mr. Spencer: Yes, Senator.

Senator Graham: We have done on this committee some pretty, I think, creative things to lower personnel costs but be fair to the force. We want to be generous to those who serve the country. God knows they deserve it. We want to be fair and generous to those who retire. But we got to look at everything, including personnel costs, to have a sustainable budget. Do you agree with that?

8 Mr. Spencer: Totally, Senator.

9 Senator Graham: TRICARE. TRICARE is a part of the 10 Department of Defense's budget that is growing exponentially. It is health care of the military service 11 12 members and their family, and everybody gets that. But on the retiree part, we have not really had a premium 13 14 adjustment of any significance since 1995. Are you willing 15 to work with this committee to make TRICARE generous but 16 more sustainable?

17 Mr. Spencer: Yes, Senator.

18 Senator Graham: How do you view that part of the 19 budget over time?

20 Mr. Spencer: That is one of our biggest challenges 21 right now. The personnel factor is growing at an 22 unsustainable rate. I mean, the discretionary budget is 23 being eaten up by personnel costs. We owe our uniformed 24 members and our retirees the best that we can offer. We are 25 going to have to think of different ways to deliver just as

1 good, if not better, services.

2 Senator Graham: A Navy SEAL is one of the finest 3 members of the force anywhere, a very elite group of 4 warfighters. You have people in the cyber arena. Do you 5 agree that cyber threats to the military are growing not 6 lessening?

7

Mr. Spencer: Exponentially, Senator.

8 Senator Graham: So how do we keep the Navy SEAL on track for a 20- or 30-year career when they can leave the 9 Navy and probably make four times what they make in the Navy 10 11 as a contractor? How do we attract the best minds in the 12 cyber arena? They could go to Silicon Valley. How do we do 13 that? And you do not have to give me a complete answer, but 14 I would like for you to think about that because the 15 competition for these really high-skilled warfighters is 16 immense. Any thoughts on that.

17 Mr. Spencer: Senator, again, my priorities being 18 people, capacity, and process, people are number one. And 19 we are going to have to take every single best practice we 20 can find from within the government, from the private sector to address the situation you just mentioned, whether it be a 21 22 SEAL, whether it be our cyber experts. We are going to have 23 to find a flexible way to allow people in the cyber field to 24 leave and come back because I believe they have to be 25 refreshed in their own community. And I look forward to

working with you all to think outside the box on how we could do this.

Senator Graham: And finally, sexual harassment and abuse of service members. This committee has spent a lot of time and attention trying to change the law and the culture. What is your view of that situation, and where do you want to take the Navy when it comes to sexual harassment and sexual assault?

9 Mr. Spencer: Senator, one is too many, but let us know 10 that the job of the Navy/Marine Corps team is to inflict 11 pain to the enemy, unsustainable pain to the enemy. When I 12 see that we are inflicting pain upon ourselves, it is an 13 anathema to me and it must be stopped.

14 Chairman McCain: Senator Cruz?

15 Senator Cruz: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

16 Mr. Spencer, thank you for being here. Thank you for 17 your willingness to serve.

Let me say at the outset that all of us are grieving and lifting up in our prayers the 15 marines and sailor who were killed last night in the C-130 crash in Mississippi. And we certainly want the men and women of the Navy and the Marines to know that we are standing with them as they are grieving those losses.

I want to ask you initially about morale in the Navy, and that has been a concern raised by a number of observers.

1 What is your sense of the current state of morale, and what 2 needs to be done to improve that?

Mr. Spencer: Senator, the Navy/Marine Corps team is biased for action. "No" is a tough word to pull out of the vocabulary. In my opening comments, I talked about how they have been continually engaged and stretched thin. They will never let us down.

8 That being said, we need to make sure that we are 9 paying attention to their needs, whether it be changing the 10 PCS move notification time out to where it was at 6 months 11 versus 1 month to more sweeping needs in benefits and 12 compensation to deliver a better product. As I view my job, 13 defined by title X, if confirmed, this is a key focus that 14 has to be addressed. Morale is the barometer.

15 Senator Cruz: As of yesterday, the Navy reported that 16 it had 276 deployable battle force ships with over a third 17 of those, 103, currently underway for deployment or 18 training. Do you believe we currently have sufficient 19 capacity to meet the strategic requirements we have placed 20 on the Navy?

21 Mr. Spencer: There might be two answers to that, 22 Senator. Let me say that with the assets that we have right 23 now, we are managing the best, in my overview, that we can. 24 It comes down to risk management. Are we addressing every 25 single risk? No. We are prioritizing them. With more

assets, more capability, and more capacity, we could do a
 better job.

Senator Cruz: So what is the current deployment-todwell ratio of the Navy, and how does that need to be improved given shipbuilding and fleet growth is a year's long initiative?

7 Mr. Spencer: Again, I go back to the primary tenet of industrial science. When it comes to productivity, if we 8 could have a clear sight to funding and resources, I believe 9 we will have a much more efficient flow through on 10 11 maintenance whether it be aviation, whether it be seacraft. 12 This will fit into adjusting the dwell time back to a normalized rate, and I think that is where we really have to 13 14 focus because, again, that goes back to your original 15 question is where is morale. We have to adjust both.

16 Senator Cruz: How do you plan to rapidly rebuild the 17 fleet, taking into consideration the CBO's recent analysis 18 that it would take until the year 2035 to reach a 355-ship 19 Navy even with accelerated shipbuilding?

20 Mr. Spencer: Senator, first, I look forward to working 21 with the CNO and the expertise that is involved in the naval 22 organization. That being said, I really think we have to 23 start thinking outside the box whether we look to the ready 24 reserve, what is sitting on the water that has been, 25 guote/unquote, mothballed, whether we look at expediting a

frigate transition. All of these have to be taken into
 account to expedite putting capacity on the water.

Senator Cruz: Talk for a minute about the Ohio 3 4 replacement submarine program and the Columbia class. It 5 would be the country's second most costly acquisition program in history and part of a trillion dollar program to 6 modernize the nuclear triad over the next 30 years. And the 7 8 submersible leq of the triad is responsible for roughly 70 percent of the Nation's forward-deployed nuclear warheads 9 and remains a vital part of our national security. A little 10 over a month ago, it was reported that the program suffered, 11 12 quote, its first known glitch in the overheating of a prototype motor. Where do you think we are in replacing and 13 14 upgrading the Ohio class submarine and what needs to be 15 done?

16 Mr. Spencer: Senator, I have not received any 17 classified briefs on the actual performance glitch you are talking about. On a fundamental basis, as I said in the 18 19 opening statement, I believe the undersea leg of the nuclear 20 triad is the most survivable and probably one of the -- put it this way. We must address the replacement situation. 21 Ιt 22 is a huge cost, a huge expense. I realize that. We are 23 going to have to work in a whole-of-industry, whole-of-24 government solution to address this.

25 Senator Cruz: Thank you.

1 Chairman McCain: Senator Shaheen?

2 Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, Mr. Spencer, for your willingness to4 take on this new role.

5 The Navy instituted something called the Accelerated 6 Promotion Program to allow shipyards to compete with the 7 private sector. As I am sure you are aware, one of the real 8 challenges we have is that many of our skilled workers are 9 aging out and replacing them in a tight work environment is 10 very challenging.

11 So the APP guarantees that engineers hired into the 12 four public shipyards at the GS-5 or GS-7 levels will be eligible for a one-time accelerated promotion to the next 13 14 higher grade after they complete a training program. Do you 15 think that kind of program is helpful in retaining the 16 workforce that we need, and do you have other ideas of how 17 we can get the workers we need to keep our shipyards up to date? 18

Mr. Spencer: Senator, I am not aware specifically of the program, and if confirmed, I look forward to finding out more about it and sitting down and having a conversation with you about it.

I do have firm beliefs on how we are going to have to work as a team, both the industrial complex and the Department of the Navy, to go forward and fulfill our goal

75

### Alderson Court Reporting

1 to put capacity on the water. Whether that is what we used to call vocational schools, training schools out in the 2 3 communities, I have been informed that for a welder it takes 4 7 years to become a journeyman. That is an extraordinary 5 amount of time, but that is an extraordinarily important 6 position. We have to start filling the pipeline and finding any vehicle we can that is beneficial to supply the process. 7 Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you. I am sure that 8 Senator King would join me in inviting you to come up to the 9 Portsmouth Naval Shipyard to see the APP program and to hear 10 more about the challenges that they are facing. I hope you 11 12 will be willing to do that.

Mr. Spencer: I look forward to it, Senator, if confirmed.

15 Chairman McCain: It is a great experience.

16 Senator Shaheen: This committee has spent a lot of time on the challenges that Russia presents to Eastern 17 Europe and to our allies in Europe. Obviously, one of the 18 19 places where we have seen them be the most aggressive is in 20 the Black Sea where they have, with increasing frequency, buzzed our ships and our planes in both the Baltic and the 21 22 Black Sea. Can you talk about what you would do as 23 Secretary of the Navy to respond to that?

24 Mr. Spencer: Senator, Russia is a threat in many ways 25 to the country. And I think every single naval officer and

1 also weapons person, whatever is trained that they must protect themselves from lethal force but they also must 2 3 weigh in restraint. We have to stand strong. We have to 4 have avenues of communication open with our adversaries when 5 it comes to professional actions on the seas. One would hope that in the military sector, there is professionalism 6 that spreads to even our adversaries that we can have 7 8 communications in that regard.

9 Senator Shaheen: Do you think that those 10 communications have so far been successful? Do we need to 11 establish more channels?

Mr. Spencer: I have not been briefed in depth to it, Senator. But on a topical basis, I would think we would need more channels.

15 Senator Shaheen: Thank you.

16 I was surprised to hear in testimony before this committee that China is looking at a 350-ship navy by 2020. 17 Given the challenges that we are facing as we look at our 18 19 long-term needs in the Navy, are you concerned, if you 20 become Secretary of the Navy, about what that means for China's ability to have more control over the South China 21 22 Sea and other seaways where we might come in conflict? 23 Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator. The goal at 24 one of the presentations that I sat through was 2045 is a 25 goal of China to have many things in place, their ship count

in 2020 to be larger than they are now. It all concerns me tremendously, and I think we have to respond in kind to have the capability and capacity to ensure that we are positioned to enforce the free shipping lanes for not only ourselves and our interests but for those of our allies.

6 Senator Shaheen: Thank you.

7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

8 Chairman McCain: Senator Blumenthal?

9 Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

10 And thank you, Mr. Spencer, for your dedication and 11 your service to our Nation and your family as well.

I was gratified that you mentioned the tragedy last night and our thoughts and prayers being with those families.

15 On June 17th, the Navy experienced another tragedy, as 16 you well know, when the USS Fitzgerald was hit by a ship 17 under circumstances that we do not know completely. And I understand there is an investigation into the way one of the 18 19 sailors, a sonar technician third class, Tom Wynn from 20 Connecticut, was among the deceased, and I attended a memorial service for him just last week. And his family is 21 22 still grieving, as are the other families who lost loved ones during that incident. The commander of the United 23 24 States 7th Fleet appointed Rear Admiral Brian Fort to lead a 25 judge advocate general manual investigation of the mishap.

I would like to know, if you are confirmed, will you commit to ensuring a prompt and thorough investigation of the USS Fitzgerald collision with the cargo ship ACX Crystal, and will you commit to reporting its findings fully to this committee.

6 Mr. Spencer: Senator, prompt, thorough, and 7 transparent with expedition.

8 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you.

9 There has been talk about the construction of submarines, the Columbia class and Virginia attack class, 10 which is required to go from 48 to 66, as you know. And the 11 12 challenge, as Senator Shaheen mentioned, is not just with the flow of materials but also the industrial base and not 13 14 only at Electric Boat and Newport News but also the supply 15 chain, the defense industrial base. And I wonder if you 16 have thought about what can be done to provide the kinds of 17 training, skill development resources for the suppliers and contractors and all of the medium and small businesses that 18 19 are involved in that defense industrial base.

20 Mr. Spencer: Senator, I am glad the supply chain has 21 been brought up because we definitely have a limited supply 22 of hands and backs to build things, but people many times do 23 not focus on the actual supply chain itself. There are many 24 cases out there in the private sector that we can take best 25 practices from. Off the top of my head, we did a study at

the Defense Business Board, and IBM, when Sam Palmisano was 1 2 there, took over when IBM was in its grips of looking at 3 running out of cash, a major American icon almost going into 4 bankruptcy. And one of the things he did was restructure 5 his supply chain, which he now bills as a \$16 billion competitive advantage. How did he do that? He brought 6 everyone in the tent. I would see us, whether through DLA, 7 8 beyond the Navy, the whole DOD acquisition force having to 9 really do a whole-of-team effort in this regard.

10 Senator Blumenthal: Finally, let me ask you about the 11 CH-53K. As you know, it is the replacement for the Marine 12 Corps' only heavy lift helicopter. It will play an integral 13 role in the United States Marine Corps for probably decades 14 to come, improving the CH-53E Super Stallion. Will you 15 commit to supporting this critical program, assuming you are 16 confirmed?

17 Mr. Spencer: Most definitely, Senator.

Senator Blumenthal: And let me also ask you about the F-35. The Navy has a variant of it. What is your view of the F-35?

21 Mr. Spencer: Again, I have not received any classified 22 briefs, but just in my knowledge gathering over the past 23 month, the Marine Corps is quite excited about what the F-35 24 can deliver as a platform. The Navy has its plans to adopt 25 the fifth generation, fourth generation structure within

1 their aviation wing also. And I look forward to finding out 2 more, if confirmed.

3 Senator Blumenthal: Will you commit to supporting the 4 plans underway now for acquisition?

5 Mr. Spencer: I do because it does not seem like there 6 is going to be a major change, but yes.

7 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you.

8 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

9 Chairman McCain: We thank you for your appearance 10 here.

11 I take it you have completed all of the paperwork. Is 12 that correct?

13 Mr. Spencer: That is correct, Senator.

14 Chairman McCain: Well, it will be my intention then to 15 move your nomination at the next gathering of the Senate 16 Armed Services Committee, and we look forward to confirming 17 you clearly before we reach our well-deserved rest of a 18 pause for the month of August. So we will be moving your 19 nomination quickly to the floor of the Senate, and hopefully 20 we can get it done to get you to work.

21 Senator Reed?

22 Senator Reed: Nothing further.

Chairman McCain: Thank you, Mr. Spencer. We lookforward to interrogating you again soon.

25 [Laughter.]

1	[Whereupon,	at	11:26	a.m.,	the	hearing	was	adjourned.]
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								