Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BUDGET REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024 AND THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM

Tuesday, March 28, 2023

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1111 14TH STREET NW SUITE 1050 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BUDGET									
2	REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024 AND THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE									
3	PROGRAM									
4										
5	Tuesday, March 28, 2023									
б										
7	U.S. Senate									
8	Committee on Armed Services,									
9	Washington, D.C.									
10										
11	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.,									
12	in Room G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Jack									
13	Reed, chairman of the committee, presiding.									
14	Committee Members Present: Senators Reed [presiding]									
15	Shaheen, Gillibrand, Blumenthal, Hirono, Kaine, King,									
16	Warren, Peters, Manchin, Duckworth, Rosen, Kelly, Wicker,									
17	Fischer, Cotton, Rounds, Ernst, Sullivan, Cramer, Scott,									
18	Tuberville, Mullin, Budd, and Schmitt.									
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										



1

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR
 FROM RHODE ISLAND

Chairman Reed: I would like to call a hearing to order. And since we have a quorum, I would ask the committee to consider Tuesday's nominations and a list of Pending military nominations.

First, I ask the committee to consider the following civilian nominations. Mr. Ronald Keohane to be Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, and the Honorable Nickolas H. Guertin to be the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition. Is there a motion to favorably report these --

14 Senator Wicker: So, moved.

15 Chairman Reed: Is there a second?

16 Voice: Second.

17 Chairman Reed: All in favor, say aye.

18 [Chorus of ayes.]

19 Chairman Reed: Finally, I ask the committee to 20 consider a list of 27 pending military nominations. All of 21 these nominations have been before the committee for the 22 required length of time. Is there a motion to favorably 23 report this list of 27 pending military nominations? 24 Senator Wicker: So, moved.

25 Chairman Reed: Is there a second?



1 Voice: Second.

2 Chairman Reed: Thank you. All in favor, say aye.
3 [Chorus of ayes.]

4 Chairman Reed: The ayes have it. Thank you very 5 much. Welcome, Secretary Austin, General Milley, and 6 Secretary McCord. Before I get into my statement, I want 7 to take a moment to recognize the tragic disasters that 8 struck Mississippi and Alabama this weekend.

9 Our hearts are certainly with the people of 10 Mississippi and Alabama after tornadoes caused terrible 11 loss of life and intense damage. And I know Senator 12 Wicker, Senator Tuberville are doing everything they can to 13 help their States, and we are thinking about the families 14 and loved ones who were suffering.

15 Senator Wicker: Thank you very much.

16 Chairman Reed: Good morning. The committee meets 17 today to receive testimony on the President's budget 18 request for the Department of Defense for Fiscal Year 2024. 19 Witnesses this morning are Secretary of Defense Lloyd 20 Austin, Under Secretary of Defense Controller Michael 21 McCord, and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, General 22 Mark Milley.

Thank you for appearing before us today and please extend the committee's thanks to the servicemembers and Defense civilians in the Department. I would like to take



a moment to recognize that this will be General Miller's final posture hearing before his retirement later this year. General, you have served this nation for 43 years with honor, skill, and steadfast leadership, and we are deeply grateful.

б We thank your family for their support throughout your 7 service, and we congratulate you on a very well-deserved 8 Thank you, sir. Earlier this month, President retirement. 9 Biden released his Fiscal Year 2024 budget request for the 10 Department of Defense with a top line of \$842 billion. As 11 the President's budget recognizes and as his national 12 defense strategy makes clear, China is our primary 13 competitor.

The PRC is the only nation with both the intent and the capability to challenge the security and economic interests of the United States and our allies and partners. At the same time, Russia remains a violent, destabilizing force. Putin's assault on Ukraine is inflicting horrific suffering on innocent civilians, threatening European stability, and harming the global economy.

However, if Putin thought his war would drive a wedge between NATO members and the international community, he was badly mistaken. The international community has united in a way not seen in decades, and our potential adversaries around the world are taking note.



1 The Biden Administration and the witnesses before us 2 today deserve great credit for leading this effort. In 3 addition to the threats posed by Russia and China, nations 4 like Iran and North Korea continue to push the boundaries 5 of military brinksmanship and issues like terrorism and 6 climate change remain persistent.

7 Prevailing in this environment will require thoughtful strategies and a budget that is structured to meet the 8 9 challenges we face. During today's hearing, I would ask 10 our witnesses to update us on the strategic planning 11 documents published over the past year, including the 12 National Defense Strategy, National Military Strategy, 13 Nuclear Posture Review, and Missile Defense Review, and how 14 the 2024 budget will execute this strategies.

An essential element for the United States long term strategic competition will be our ability to build a joint capabilities of our armed forces across all domains, including space, cyber, and information operations. As the Defense Department's recently released Joint Concept for Competing makes clear, the object of our nation's adversaries is to win without a fight.

The concept warns that if we do not adapt to the realities of long-term competition, "the United States risks ceding strategic influence, advantage, and leverage while preparing for war that never comes." I am interested



1 to hear from our witnesses how this budget supports joint 2 capabilities to ensure our military remains the world's 3 premier fighting force.

To that end, I am encouraged that the budget includes the largest ever request for research, development, testing, and evaluation, a total of \$145 billion. The budget would provide significant funding for modernization areas such as microelectronics, artificial intelligence, hypersonics, and 5G, technologies that will be critical for our national defense.

Our strategy towards China and Russia should not be solely defined in dollars by how much, but rather where and why we are investing to achieve the greatest comparative advantage. Keeping our competition with China front and center, this budget request includes \$9.1 billion for priorities covered by the Pacific Deterrence Initiative, or PDI.

I am encouraged by the progress we have made through PDI thus far, and this committee will continue working to help improve the design and posture of the Joint Force in the Indo-Pacific region.

This should include strengthening logistics, modernizing infrastructure, conducting exercises, and training and building the capabilities of our allies and partners. Indeed, our approach to the Indo-Pacific should



be informed in part by lessons drawn from the European
 Defense and Deterrence Initiative, or EDI, which has played
 a decisive role in strengthening Ukraine for the last nine
 years.

5 The Department's 2024 budget request wisely includes 6 \$3.4 billion for EDI related investments and \$300 million 7 for the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative. I am also 8 pleased to see that this budget request prioritizes taking 9 care of our men and women in uniform and the civilians who 10 serve alongside them by providing an across the board pay 11 raise of 5.2 percent.

12 This pay increase sends an important message to the 13 workforce at a time when recruiting is particularly 14 challenging. The budget request also includes \$16.7 15 billion for military construction projects, and I am 16 particularly pleased to see increases in barracks 17 sustainment. The improvements to our facilities' repair 18 and modernization will go a long way toward the Joint 19 Force's readiness and quality of life for military 20 personnel.

With regard to our nuclear strategy, I understand that the budget request supports important steps for the modernization of our nuclear triad. Given the reckless behavior of Putin over the past year, our allies and partners depend on our extended deterrence now more than



1 ever.

2 We must also be acutely aware of China's rapidly 3 growing arsenal. Modernization of our strategic forces is 4 needed to reassure not only our allies but deter any attack 5 on our homeland by any of our nuclear armed competitors. 6 Even as we modernize, we should seek ways to promote 7 strategic stability, reduce the risk of miscalculation, 8 and, if possible, reduce nuclear stockpiles when verifiable 9 for all parties.

Given these strategic threats, the proposed investments in tried-and-true platforms like the Columbia and the Virginia class submarines is a prudent decision. Similarly, this budget supports the further development of long-range stealth bombers, strengthening the fighter fleet and building up the defense industrial base, including upgrades to the Navy's public and private shipyards.

At the same time, taxpayers should not have to pay for programs and systems that are wasteful or ineffective, and Congress must not shirk its responsibility to divest outdated platforms in favor of more effective new technologies. We are engaged in a struggle between autocracy and democracy, and we cannot fail in succeeding in that struggle.

Again, I would like to thank the witnesses for their participation today. I look forward to the testimony and



1	let	me	now	recognize	Ranking	Member	Senator	Wicker.
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								



STATEMENT OF HON. ROGER WICKER, U.S. SENATOR FROM
 MISSISSIPPI

Senator Wicker: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I, too,
want to thank our distinguished witnesses for being here.
For the third year in a row, President Biden has sent
through Congress a budget request that cuts military
spending amid a more dangerous and complex threat
environment.

9 We are in the most crucial years of our efforts to 10 prevent aggression by our peer adversary, the Chinese 11 Communist Party. The cost of failure in this effort would 12 be nearly unthinkable. Despite international efforts to 13 the contrary, Russia was not deterred from invading 14 Ukraine, and that invasion has already cost the global 15 economy well over \$1 trillion, in addition to untold 16 suffering and loss of life.

And these costs will continue to mount unless we give the Ukrainians every single tool they need to win as soon as possible. Our intelligence community tells us a deterrence failure over Taiwan would make the economic effect of Ukraine conflict look vanishingly small. This year's budget is the last one that funds capabilities that are likely to be fielded before 2027.

That is the year by which Xi Jinping says he wants the People's Liberation Army to be ready to take Taiwan. That



makes our work here very urgent. I am heartened to see that the budget request prioritizes some programs of critical importance to near-term deterrence and warfighting, including the Strategic Capabilities Office, DARPA led Assault Breaker II, electronic warfare and cyber programs, and the emerging efforts to deliver real warfighting capability out of the JAC2 program.

8 These near-term high return investments are crucial. 9 Even so, I remain concerned that we are not moving fast 10 enough on some of these efforts. At the same time, while 11 the National Defense Strategy emphasizes the importance of 12 our posture in the Western Pacific, I note this budget 13 actually cuts the level of spending on key planning and 14 design activities.

15 This is despite the clear Congressional signal sent by 16 Senators Reed and Inhofe in the statute when they created 17 the Pacific Deterrence Initiative several years ago. We 18 cannot successfully deter XI with a brittle basing and 19 logistics infrastructure. Further, we must work together 20 to think bigger and more creatively about the scale of 21 investment and focus needed to compete with Beijing in the 22 decades to come.

Our current nuclear modernization efforts are moving too slowly and will produce a force ill-equipped to deter multiple nuclear armed adversaries. The current approach



to rebuilding our shipbuilding industrial base is also woefully insufficient. The Department of Defense has failed our shipbuilders by promising stability and then pulling the rug out from under them.

5 This has hit amphibious shipbuilders particularly 6 hard. This budget also fails by actually shrinking the 7 U.S. Navy this year and in future years, instead of 8 growing the fleet as is required by law. Congress again 9 will need to rectify these failures. Yet even where 10 Congress and the Department of Defense have agreed on our 11 approach, such as with the submarine industrial base, 12 progress has been too slow or nonexistent.

We need to make generational investments across our basic defense infrastructure, including shipyards, munitions, and ammunition plants, advanced test ranges and even barracks for our service members. And I do appreciate the Chair emphasizing that in his statement.

18 Similarly, we cannot effectively compete with and 19 deter our adversaries with the recruiting deficiencies we 20 have heard about, for example, at last week's hearing, I 21 hope our witnesses will address this issue and state 22 whether this budget contains every single possible 23 investment that could alleviate the recruiting crisis. 24 Lastly, I want to discuss the Department's relationship 25 with Congress.



1 I think we have some work to do on this front. And 2 let me mention two instances. First on February 8, I sent 3 the Secretary of Defense a letter asking some simple 4 questions about the facts and decision making surrounding 5 the Chinese spy balloon. This was signed by Senator Rubio, 6 the co-chair of the Senate Intelligence committee. Three 7 weeks later, a Deputy wrote back with a non-answer, which I 8 can only describe as dismissive. So, I sent another letter 9 reiterating my request.

10 Yesterday afternoon, the day before this hearing, I 11 finally received a response to my letter from the 12 Secretary. I have significant questions about that 13 response, and I will address that in questions for the 14 record. So, that is one thing. Also, early last Thursday 15 morning, an Iranian backed attack killed one American 16 contractor and injured several U.S. servicemembers in 17 Syria.

These troops are there to ensure that ISIS does not pose a threat to the United States. That very day, the Senate was considering several significant votes relating to the use of force against Iran. And yet no member of the Senate, to my knowledge, was told that day about an attack by this very adversary while we were voting on issues involving them.

25

Given the number of legislative affairs personnel that



the Office of the Secretary has, I find it hard to believe that no one in the Executive Branch was tracking the votes on the Senate floor or thought of the nexus between our decisions and the very acts that were going on, on the other side of the globe. It is unacceptable that no one informed the Senate of this attack in a timely manner.

7 Mr. Secretary and Mr. Chairman, we are all in this 8 together. We are all on the same side here. I would 9 strongly prefer that the Biden Administration treat the 10 Congress as a partner moving forward, and I thank the 11 witnesses for appearing today.

And again, I thank them for their service and I lookforward to their testimony. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

14 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Wicker.
15 And let me recognize Secretary Austin. Mr. Secretary,
16 please.

17

18

19

- 20
- 21

22

- 23
- 24
- 25



STATEMENT OF HON. LLOYD J. AUSTIN III, SECRETARY OF
 DEFENSE

3 Secretary Austin: Chairman Reed, Ranking Member 4 Wicker, and distinguished members of the committee, at the 5 outset, let me echo your comments, Chairman, regarding the 6 tragedies that we have just witnessed in Mississippi and 7 then Alabama, and our condolences go out to all. I am glad 8 to be here with you today to testify in support of the 9 President's budget request for Fiscal Year 2024.

10 I am joined, as always, by General Milley, and I remain grateful for his leadership. I am also glad to be 11 12 joined by the Department's Comptroller and CFO, Michael 13 McCord. This is a strategy driven budget and one driven by 14 the seriousness of our strategic competition with the 15 People's Republic of China. At \$842 billion, it is a 3.2 16 percent increase over Fiscal Year 2023 enacted, and it is 17 13.4 percent higher than Fiscal Year 2022 enacted.

And this budget will help us continue to implement our national defense strategy and the President's National Security Strategy. Now I have three key priorities, to defend our nation, to take care of our outstanding people, and to succeed through teamwork. The PRC is our pacing challenge and we are driving hard to meet it.

Our budget builds on our previous investments to deter aggression. We are investing in a more resilient force



posture in the Indo-Pacific and increasing the scale and the scope of our exercises with our partners. And this budget includes a 40 percent increase over last year's budget for the Pacific Deterrence Initiative, and it is an all-time high of \$9.1 billion.

6 And that will fund a stronger force posture, better 7 defenses for Hawaii and Guam, and deeper cooperation with 8 our allies and partners. And this budget also makes the 9 Department's largest ever investments in both R&D and 10 procurement. We are investing -- we are requesting more 11 than \$61 billion to sustain air dominance. That includes 12 funding for our fighters and extraordinary B-21 strategic 13 bomber that I helped unveil last December.

We are also seeking more than \$48 billion for sea power, including new construction of nine battle force ships. And we are boosting capacity in America's shipyards to build the ships that our strategy demands. We are investing a total of \$1.2 billion in the submarine industrial base, and we are buying two Virginia class attack submarines and one Columbia class missile submarine.

On land, we are investing in air and missile defense and in defenses to counter unmanned aerial vehicles. We are also requesting \$11 billion to deliver the right mix of long-range fires, including major investments in hypersonics. We will also continue to modernize all three



legs of our nuclear triad and bolster our strategic
 deterrence. And we put forward the largest budget, largest
 space budget in Pentagon history.

We have requested \$33.3 billion to improve our capabilities in our resilience in space. Well, let me again thank Congress for providing the Department with multi-year procurement authorities and appropriations for critical munitions.

9 In this budget, we are requesting more multi-year 10 procurement authorities, and we are asking for more than 11 \$30 billion to further invest in the industrial base, and 12 to buy the maximum number of munitions that American 13 industry can produce.

This budget also moves us away from aging capabilities that aren't relevant to future conflicts so we can focus on the advances that our warfighters will need. Now our national defense strategy calls out Putin's highly aggressive Russia as an acute threat. And under President Biden's leadership, the United States has rallied the world to help Ukraine fight Russia's indefensible invasion.

We have reinforced NATO's defense and deterrence on its Eastern flank. Our allies and partners have provided crucial security assistance coordinated through the Ukraine Defense Contact Group that I lead. And we will support Ukraine's defense for as long as it takes. Meanwhile, the



Department remains vigilant against other persistent
 threats, including North Korea and Iran.

And we will take all necessary measures to defend our troops and our interest overseas, as seen in our recent air strikes against facilities linked to Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps. And we are also investing in over the horizon counterterrorism capabilities. Mr. Chairman, our Joint Force stands ready to meet any challenge.

You can see the forces readiness after Russia's unprovoked invasion of Ukraine, when we swiftly deployed elements of the 3rd Infantry Division to Poland to shore up NATO'S Eastern flank. And we closely monitor readiness at the unit level and maintain response forces at our highest state of readiness, while the rest of the force, by design, is preparing for future contingencies.

17 So, this budget will help us maintain our readiness 18 with \$146 billion in funding for operations, training, and 19 maintenance. This budget also invests in improving our 20 readiness and resilience in the face of climate change and 21 other 21st century threats. Mr. Chairman, we are going to 22 remain the strongest military in the world.

And as we mark the 50th anniversary of our allvolunteer force, I am enormously proud of the brave men and women who choose to wear the cloth of our nation. We owe



1 it to them and to their families to take the best possible 2 care of all of our people. And over the past two years, we 3 have made moves easier, we have cut commissary prices, and 4 we have made childcare more affordable.

5 And this budget funds other key steps to increase the 6 quality of life of our teammates, including the largest 7 military and civilian pay raises in decades. Now, we are 8 also pushing hard to help eliminate suicide in our ranks, 9 including immediate steps to hire more mental health 10 professionals and improve access to mental health care. 11 And meanwhile, we are working toward a military that's free 12 of sexual assault.

We worked with Congress to improve the response of sexual assault and related crimes under the Uniform Code of Military Justice, and those reforms will be fully implemented by the end of this year. The Department is also investing in a specialized workforce to combat sexual assault, harassment, suicide, and more.

Now, the Department's third priority is succeeding through teamwork, and our rival network of allies and partners magnifies our power and expands our security. And in recent months, our friends in the Indo-Pacific have taken major steps forward. The Philippines has agreed to nearly double the number of sites where we cooperate together. Japan is committed to double its defense



1 spending.

And through the historic AUKUS partnership, we will work with our Australian and British allies to build game changing defense advantages that will deter aggression and boost our defense industrial capacity. In sum, Mr. Chairman, this is a budget that will meet this moment, and I respectfully ask for your support.

And the single most effective way that this committee can support the Department and our outstanding troops is with an on time full year appropriation. So, I look forward to working with all of you so that we can continue to defend our democracy and support the forces of freedom in this hour of challenge. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

14 [The prepared statement of Secretary Austin follows:] 15 16

17

18

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25



1	Cha	airman Re	eed:	Thank	you v	very	much,	Mr.	Secretary.
2	General	Milley,	do yo	u have	a st	atem	ent?		
3									
4									
5									
б									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11 12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									



STATEMENT OF GENERAL MARK A. MILLEY, CHAIRMAN OF THE
 JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

General Milley: I do, Chairman. And Chairman Reed, Ranking Member Wicker, and distinguished members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today. And I want to echo the condolences, about the Secretary and the chairman, for the great people of Mississippi and Alabama. Tragic losses.

9 Our hearts go out to them. And many, many soldiers 10 and Sailors, Airmen, Marines in uniform come from your two 11 great states, so our hearts go out to you. I have been 12 privileged to defend this country for 43 consecutive years 13 in uniform, and as the chairman said, this is likely my 14 last set of posture hearings.

15 But I do want to thank the Congress for your continued 16 support to our military, not only on this budget, but for 17 the last four decades. And I ask that you support this 18 year's request with an on-time budget approval. I am 19 honored to represent here today the soldiers, Sailors, 20 Airmen, Marines, Guardians, and families of the United 21 States Joint Force, along with Secretary Austin and Mike 22 McCord. I want to publicly thank them both for their 23 leadership.

Our Joint Force, as the Secretary says, is the most lethal and capable military in the world because of your



support. Our purpose, the military's purpose, the
 uniformed military, is simple. It is to defend the United
 States, and our task is to fight and win in all the domains
 of combat to secure America and prevent a great power war.

5 Readiness for us in uniform, and readiness now and 6 readiness in the future, through modernization, is our 7 number one priority, and there is no other number one other 8 than the readiness for combat. The joint boards will 9 accomplish this at the Fiscal Year 2024 budget request of 10 \$842 billion.

11 Right now, as pointed out by Senator Wicker, the 12 international system is under stress. For the first time, 13 the United States is facing two major nuclear powers whose 14 vital National Security interests are in competition with 15 the United States. Both the People's Republic of China and 16 Russia have the means to threaten our interests and our way of life. But war with either one is neither inevitable nor 17 18 imminent.

A great power war has not happened in the last 80 years, in large part because of the rules put in place at the end of World War II and the capabilities of the United States military, along with our allies and partners. We must remain the most powerful nation and the great power peace then will be prevailing.

25

The People's Republic of China remains our number one



long term geostrategic security challenge. The PRC intends to be the regional hegemonic Asia within the next 10 years and to exceed the United States overall military capability by mid-century, and they have publicly said 2049. The PRC's actions are moving it down the path towards potential confrontation with its neighbors and the United States.

But again, war with China is neither inevitable nor imminent. Additionally, Russia is an acute threat and remains quite dangerous. Over a year ago, Russia undertook an illegal and unprovoked war against Ukraine, threatening the peace on the European continent and global stability.

12 We are supporting Ukraine to protect its sovereignty 13 and supporting NATO with the United States force presence 14 in every single nation on NATO's Eastern flank. This fight 15 is not just in Ukraine's interest. It is in the United 16 States interest to protect the system that has prevented a 17 great power war. In Iran, Iran threatens to push the 18 Middle East into regional instability by supporting 19 terrorists and proxy forces, as we recently saw.

Just last week, Iranian aligned groups killed one American and injured seven in attacks on coalition bases in Syria. We acted immediately to defend our troops and we will continue to counter terrorist threats in the region and anywhere else we find them.

25

And Iran has taken actions also to improve its



1 capabilities to produce a nuclear weapon. From the time of 2 an Iranian decision, Iran could produce enough fissile 3 material for a nuclear weapon in less than two weeks and 4 would only take several months thereafter to produce an 5 actual nuclear weapon.

6 The United States remains committed as a matter of 7 policy that Iran will not have a nuclear weapon. The 8 United States military has developed multiple options for 9 our national leadership to consider if or when Iran decides 10 to develop a nuclear weapon.

11 North Korea's continued ballistic missile testing and 12 nuclear weapons development pose threats to our homeland 13 and our allies and partners, but we stand together, 14 shoulder to shoulder with the Republic of Korea, to deter 15 North Korean aggression, as we have for seven decades.

16 Terrorists continue to operate around the globe, 17 threatening fear, destruction, destabilization, and this 18 budget supports our continued counterterrorism effort. In 19 short, the United States military stands ready to protect 20 our nation's interests and the American people. We are 21 currently standing watch on freedom's frontier with nearly 22 a quarter of 1,250,000 troops in Europe, Asia, Africa, the 23 Middle East, and South America.

The United States never fights alone. The key source of our strength is our large global network of alliances



and partnerships. Just this month, we conducted 63 operations and joint combined exercises globally with our allies and partners. And lastly, our operational readiness rates are higher now than they have been in many years.

5 Currently, 60 percent of our active force is at the 6 highest state of readiness and could deploy to combat in 7 less than 30 days, well exceeding our minimum standard of 8 one-third of the force. 10 percent, in fact, could deploy 9 in less than 96 hours. This military, the United States 10 military is ready.

11 The Joint Force is at an inflection point, and we must 12 balance operations, readiness, and modernization. We must 13 not allow ourselves to create the false trap that we can 14 either modernize our forces for the future or focus only on 15 today. We must do both. We must integrate advancing 16 technologies, including precision long range fires, hypersonic weapons, quantum computing, artificial 17 18 intelligence, robotics, and all domain sensors.

The time is now. We have very little margin to wait, and the common thread critical to accomplish all of this is our people. We must continue investing in training, education, and talent management to be prepared for future operating environment.

I urge Congress to support this budget's significant pay raise, health care, housing, and childcare initiatives.



This budget sustains our readiness and adapts the Joint Force to the future warfighting requirements. There is nothing more expensive than fighting a war. Preparing for war is very expensive, but nothing more expensive than fighting it, and preparing a war, preparing for war will deter a war, and that is our goal.

7 We must act with clear eyed urgency in order to deter 8 a great power war. By doing so, no adversary should ever 9 underestimate the resolve of our nation and the strength of 10 our military. Thank you, and I look forward to your 11 questions.

[The prepared statement of General Milley follows:]

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, General Milley.
 Secretary McCord, do you have a statement?

3 Mr. McCord: No, Mr. Chairman, other than to wish your
4 staff director a speedy recovery.

5 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much. Secretary Austin 6 and General Milley, logistics is not the most exciting 7 topic, but in many cases, it is one of the most crucial 8 topics, particularly in the Pacific where distances are 9 much an enemy as our opposing forces.

First, Mr. Secretary and then General Milley, can you comment upon the investments we are making in modernizing our logistics, particularly INDOPACOM area, Command and control activities that would help deliver these resources to our troops?

Secretary Austin: Thanks, Chairman. As I stated earlier, we in this budget, we are asking to invest some \$9.1 billion in the Pacific Deterrence Initiative. That will enable us to strengthen forward infrastructure so we can continue to forward deploy troops.

20 So that will enable us to deter further forward in 21 theater. In addition to that, we have pushed a number of 22 our sustainment elements like fuel further in into the 23 theater, and we continue to make sure that we have a 24 diverse and agile footprint so that we can rapidly respond 25 to any challenge.



But I think that we are investing in the right things and going after the right capabilities to make sure that we can operate further forward.

4 Chairman Reed: And General Milley, please.

General Miley: Yes, Senator. Thank you. You know,
the key here is -- one of the keys here is ammunition. And
the Secretary has directed that we analyze all of our
ammunition stoppages in light of what is going on in
Ukraine.

Well, that is a key area that we need to increase our capabilities here in the coming years, is ammunition. The second piece there is lift. In the area of lift, both sealift and airlift, there is a lot of investments in this budget and previous budgets.

That will be an area that requires continued sustained levels of effort, is in order to move the force, projecting power is a key attribute of the U.S. military, and we do that through sealift and airlift.

19 So that is the second key point. And the last one, I 20 would say, is the posture. The Secretary has initiated a 21 series with the INDOPACOM Commander, a series of posture 22 adjustments in the Pacific, which should better position us 23 for sustainment, logistical sustainment, if any conflict 24 ever happens.

Chairman Reed: Thank you very much. Mr. Secretary,



25

we are in a moment where we are having a challenge getting general officers off the floor of the Senate and to their assigned stations after they have been recommended by the Department of Defense and cleared in terms of their performance and their capabilities.

6 Can you tell us what the cumulative effects of this 7 would be in terms of denying promotions to people who are 8 going to take key jobs?

9 Secretary Austin: Thanks, chairman. The effects are 10 absolutely critical in terms of, you know, the impact on 11 the force. This is one of the busiest times or one of the 12 most complex times that we have seen lately.

We see a war, the largest conflict in Europe since World War II. We see an aggressive China operating in the Indo-Pacific. We see Iranian backed elements going after our troops. And there are a number of things happening globally that indicate that we could be in a contest on any one given day.

Not approving the recommendation for promotions actually creates a ripple effect through the force that makes us far less ready than we need to be. If you look at what we have coming up, I mean, there are three, I think -well, five, three-star promotions that are near term, and one of those is a Commander of our fleet out in the Gulf. And that is a critical position, and then that starts to



1 multiply, 70 more positions, three- and four-star positions 2 over time.

They include the chiefs of the Army, the Marine Corps, the Navy, and also the Chairman's replacement at some point in time, although nobody wants to see that happen. So, the effects are cumulative and it will affect families, it will affect kids going to schools because they won't be able to change their duty station. And so, it is a powerful effect and will impact on our readiness.

10 Chairman Reed: As I look forward, I have never in my 11 almost three decades here seen so many key military 12 positions coming up for replacement. We have the 13 Commandant of the Marine Corps, the Chief of Staff for the 14 Army, the CNO, the Navy, and as you mentioned, the Chairman 15 of the Joint Chiefs of Staff by law has to leave in 16 September.

And if we cannot resolve the situation, we will be, in many respects, leaderless and at a time of great conflict. So, I would hope we would expedite and move quickly on this front. Thank you. With that, let me recognize Senator Wicker.

22 Senator Wicker: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me just 23 start with a couple of short answers -- I hope these will 24 be. Secretary Austin, first, would you agree that this is 25 the most complex and dangerous threat environment since



1 World War II?

Secretary Austin: Yes, Senator, I would say that is
one of the most complex periods that we have seen.

4 Senator Wicker: General Milley.

5 General Miley: Yes, Senator.

6 Senator Wicker: Over the past two years, Congress has 7 added nearly \$30 billion to the President's request in 8 Fiscal Year 2022 and \$45 billion to the President's request 9 in Fiscal Year 2023 -- \$75 billion added over the request 10 of the President. Have these additional resources 11 increased our National Security and decreased military 12 risk? Mr. Secretary.

Secretary Austin: They have, Senator. It always helps when you get additional resources, but if you get additional resources with additional requirements, it doesn't help as much.

And also, if we can stop doing some of the things that create a drag on our force in terms of causing us to spend money on things that are not relevant in a future fight, that also helps as well. So, we appreciate Congress's support in the past for allowing us to get rid of things that are no longer relevant.

23 Senator Wicker: And you are welcome on that. General 24 Milley, same question. Has the \$75 billion added to our 25 national defense expenditures -- have those funds increased



1 our National Security?

2 General Miley: Yes, I believe they have.

3 Senator Wicker: And decreased military risk?

4 General Miley: Correct.

5 Senator Wicker: Okay. Thank you very much.

6 Secretary Austin, the Biden Administration has authority to 7 send \$1 billion worth of U.S. weaponry to Taiwan. That is 8 similar to the policy and the authority we authorized with 9 regard to Ukraine. Will the Biden Administration exercise 10 this \$1 billion and draw down the authority that Congress 11 provided last year?

12 Secretary Austin: We will, Senator. My team is 13 working diligently to make sure that we have the right 14 capabilities in that particular draw down. And of course, 15 we have the authority. We will need the appropriations as 16 well.

Senator Wicker: Okay, you will need an appropriationto replenish that. Is that correct?

19 Secretary Austin: That is correct, sir.

20 Senator Wicker: And the Administration will be 21 requesting a replenishment funding for the transferred 22 defense articles. Is that correct?

23 Secretary Austin: That is correct.

24 Senator Wicker: Okay. Let me then talk about your 25 assessment with regard to Ukraine, I believe you told me,



Secretary Austin, that you believe Ukraine now has the
 upper hand in the war against Russia. Is that correct?

3 Secretary Austin: That is correct. This is a highly 4 contested fight, Senator, as you know. And we have seen 5 the tide move back and forth. Most recently we have seen 6 some pretty significant fighting in the Bakhmut area.

Senator Wicker: Right. But just with regard to your optimism about Ukraine having the upper hand, that is what you told me yesterday.

10 Secretary Austin: It is now -- what I was about to 11 say, Senator, is that the Ukrainians have inflicted 12 significant casualties on the Russians and they have 13 depleted their inventory of armored vehicles in a way that 14 no one would have ever imagined.

And so now we see Russia reaching for T-54 and T-55 tanks because of the level of damage that the Ukrainians have inflicted on them. And we have in the meantime --Senator Wicker: But that means reaching for those

19 tanks demonstrates what to you, sir?

20 Secretary Austin: It demonstrates that their 21 capability is waning. And we have continued to witness 22 them being challenged in the -- with artillery munitions 23 and other things, and are reaching out to Iran, are 24 reaching out to North Korea.

25

So, I think, you know, we will see an increase in the



fighting in the spring as conditions for maneuver improve.
 And based upon the things that we have done and continue to
 do, I think Ukraine will have a real good chance --

Senator Wicker: Do you believe -- yes, we are pressed
for time, sir. Do you believe there is a real chance for
significant Ukrainian advancements between now and the
beginning of winter?

8 Secretary Austin: I believe that there is a chance 9 and we are doing everything that we can do to ensure that 10 they have their best opportunity to be successful, Senator. 11 Senator Wicker: Thank you. And if the chair will 12 indulge me, Mr. Secretary, you have got a pretty big 13 Congressional relations office in your shop, do you not? 14 Secretary Austin: I do.

Senator Wicker: Do you agree that it should have occurred to someone in that office to inform Senators who were debating about Iran last Thursday about what had occurred with regard to attacks in Syria?

Secretary Austin: Senator, I think you know that we take the War Powers Act very, very seriously. And, you know, after every operation, we are required to debrief Congress and we lean forward and try to ensure that Congress is briefed before we conduct an operation. And we also -- in this case, we had an attack in --



25

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

and we launched an attack on the adversaries in the same
time period, same short period of time. And so, because of that compressed time, we did both notifications at the same time. We should have notified you earlier, and we will endeavor to do so --

5 Senator Wicker: Well, as a matter of fact, Mr. 6 Chairman, if I might, the attack occurred and counterattack 7 occurred in the wee hours of the morning, and members of the Senate were not notified until very late in the 8 9 afternoon or early evening. That is the fact, is it not? 10 Secretary Austin: No, sir. The attack occurred in 11 the morning and the counterattack occurred evening, our 12 time, eastern time.

Senator Wicker: Okay. And so, after the attack occurred, it did not dawn on anybody in Congressional Affairs to notify members who were debating this very issue on the Senate floor. I think that is correct.

17 Secretary Austin: Senator, our goal is that is to 18 make sure that we keep you informed. And we will do 19 everything within our power to make sure that we improve 20 our performance.

21 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Wicker.22 Senator Shaheen, please.

Senator Shaheen: Thank you. Secretary Austin,
General Milley, thank you both for being here this morning
and for your service. And General Milley, thank you,



1 especially for all of the years that you have given to this
2 country and to all of us.

And we will miss you, even if you may not miss these posture hearings. General -- Secretary Austin, I understand that the Department may be in the final stages of the review of information operations that was required by the 2020 NDAA.

8 Can you give us any insights into what that may show 9 and how important you think information operations are as 10 we look at the modern defense and whether there is money in 11 the budget requested to address expanded information 12 operations?

Secretary Austin: Thanks, Senator. I think what we will find is that that work will show that information operations is increasingly relevant and increasingly valuable in terms of enabling our operations, and also understanding and countering what our adversaries are doing.

And so, we will continue to work to refine our policies and procedures and develop talent in this area as well. But this is a key area, and we see it every day as we watch the Ukraine, Russia fight and the relevance of those operations in that fight.

24 Senator Shaheen: Well, one of the areas where 25 information operations have been important is in the Black



Sea region. And certainly, we saw with the downing of the
 drone just how important that region is to our National
 Security.

4 Can you talk about what the most pressing threats are 5 that Russia poses in the Black Sea and what we are thinking 6 about going forward as a strategy to respond to that?

Secretary Austin: Well, certainly, Senator, Russia would aim to completely control the Black Sea and deny our allies and partners the ability to have continuous access to the sea. You know, I was in the region before this fight started and had engaged with our allies and partners and talked to them about the importance of the access to the Black Sea, encouraged them to work together.

And also wanted to make sure that we were doing everything within our power to help them strengthen their capability and strengthen their interoperability. So, this is critical and this is something that I think we need to continue to put energy into.

19 Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you. I was in the 20 region in February, and I can tell you and the countries 21 that I visited, Georgia, Romania, that there was a great 22 deal of interest in the fact that we might have a more 23 comprehensive approach to the region that deals not just 24 with National Security, but also with economic and 25 democratic initiatives there.



Over the past several years alone, Congress has appropriated over \$1 billion in funding for PFAS related activities, testing, remediation. My -- the most recent information I have seen suggests that there are more than 700 contaminated military sites across the country, including the former Pease Air Base.

7 Congress has shown a willingness to accelerate testing 8 and clean up by increasing funding, and yet the Department 9 claims that it is unable to execute those increased funding 10 levels. We appropriated \$517 million or authorized it in 11 Fiscal Year 2020.

12 Can you speak to what needs to happen in order for the 13 Department to make better use of the funds that Congress 14 appropriated to -- appropriates to address PFAS?

Secretary Austin: We will continue to make every effort to make best use of those funds. I think you see in this budget, we have asked for another \$400 million.

And to your point, Senator, if funds are not executed in one particular year, I think they roll over to the next year. So, we have the benefit of using the funds that were not completely executed last year, plus the \$400 million we are asking for this year.

And we will make every effort to make sure that we are doing everything within our -- that is possible to utilize the funds, and where we need more, we will come back and



1 ask you for more.

Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you. I appreciate that.
I hope you will also take a look at our willingness to be
transparent with the public where sites have been
contaminated because there is a great deal of distrust, I
think, about information sharing from the Department of
Defense, and least we have seen that in the site in New
Hampshire.

9 And I think that undermines our ability to address 10 what needs to be done in those sites to clean up the 11 contamination and to encourage the communities to do what 12 they need to do to address the problem. Thank you, Mr. 13 Chairman.

14 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Shahee. Senator15 Fischer, please.

Senator Fischer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For years, we have underinvested in our munitions production and we are starting to reverse that trend but more needs to be done to increase production. Secretary Austin, what is the Department currently doing to increase munitions production capacity and what more does it seek to do in Fiscal Year 2024?

23 Secretary Austin: Well, thank you, Senator. Let me 24 thank Congress for what you have done to authorize a 25 multiyear procurement capability. That sends a strong



1 signal to the industrial base.

2 Also, the numbers that you see reflected in our budget 3 request for procurement sends a strong signal as well. In 4 this particular budget, we are asking for \$30 billion to 5 invest in munitions and that helps us go after the right 6 kinds of munitions that we think we need to replenish stocks and to make sure that we get ahead as well. And 7 8 also, it allows us to invest a bit in the industrial base. 9 Now, we are engaging the, you know, our partners in 10 the industry and trying to do everything we can to work 11 with them, to expand their capacity and their capability. 12 But to your point, there's a lot more work that needs to be 13 done.

Senator Fischer: The committee has worked to modify or remove older and unnecessary contracting requirements so we can get contracting officers to move faster on replacing munitions in Ukraine. Would it be useful to be able to remove or modify these unnecessary requirements for weapons related to most, really our most pressing challenges in the Western Pacific and in Taiwan as well?

Secretary Austin: Absolutely. Absolutely, it would. Senator Fischer: Thank you. General Milley, the current U.S. nuclear modernization plan was originally designed in 2010 when nuclear threats were much less severe than they are today. However, since then, every DOD



modernization program, along with every Department of
 Energy infrastructure project and warhead program, has been
 delayed or it has been reduced in its scope.

4 Can you tell me how we are going to meet the rapidly 5 growing threats from China and Russia with a force that is 6 smaller and with a force that delivers later than we 7 planned for more than a decade ago? Basically, I am asking 8 you, how are we going to recognize challenges we have today 9 and meet them?

General Miley: Thanks, Senator. As you know, the nuclear capability of China is growing, and we can cover that in some detail in a classified session, if you so desire.

The recapitalization of the nuclear triad is the Secretary and President's number one defense priority. That is what has been one of the most significant contributors to great power peace in the last eight decades. So, it is imperative for us, the United States, to recapitalize that entire system with the silos, the bombers, as well as the subs, but also the NC3 system.

That is really critical, and it does bother me that some of these programs are behind and they are coming in at over cost, over budget. But we are going to get there and it will be a safe, secure, reliable system, guaranteed that, and we will maintain the strategic deterrence that we



1 have kept for 80 years.

Senator Fischer: Last year, you, along with nearly
every other senior U.S. military officer, testified that we
need to provide Presidents with more nuclear options than
we have today. Do you still agree with that sentiment?
General Miley: I do. I believe that -Senator Shaheen: Okay, thank you. Yes, is fine.
General Miley: Sure.

9 Senator Fischer: As a member of this committee and 10 also as a member of the Senate Commerce committee, I have 11 worked for years to promote efficient spectrum management 12 by the Federal government without endangering the mission 13 critical operations of the Department of Defense.

14 Coordination among the agencies can be difficult, and 15 it can be slow. Proactive communication among all 16 stakeholders, I believe, is key for that better 17 coordination. And that is why I, as ranking member of the 18 Strategic Force subcommittee, I send a letter to the 19 subcommittee chairman -- with the subcommittee chairman, 20 Angus King, to the Department of Defense last year that 21 asked for more details about the Department's concerns with 22 proposed spectrum reallocation in the lower 3G.

DOD's response pointed to the 2020 IDA report, but there are still far more details that we need to learn about what may or may not work for reallocation in this



band. Fortunately, there is an ongoing study, and I
 appreciate that, the Path study that should provide those
 details.

General Milley, my time is almost up and I expect my friend from South Dakota will have a conversation with you about this as well. But for the record, and please answer yes or no, is it your best military advice that the ongoing Path study as currently authorized, as currently authorized, should be completed in order to inform the ongoing spectrum negotiations?

11 General Miley: Yes.

Senator Fischer: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Fischer. Before I recognize Senator Gillibrand, let me just remind all my colleagues, there will be a classified hearing in the sciff SCV-217 at the conclusion of this open hearing. Senator Gillibrand.

Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr.
McCord, I was disappointed that for the second year in a
row, the All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office, or AARO, was
not fully funded in the Department's budget request.
Understanding that we cannot get into specific budget
figures in this form, can you discuss why AARO was not
fully funded?

25 Mr. McCord: Senator, I don't have any information



from my colleague, Under Secretary Moultrie, that he needed additional funding in this area. It is a relatively new office we are standing up, so I was under the impression, I am under the impression that we have adequate funding for the relatively new state of this. But I will double back with him if there is a concern.

7 Senator Gillibrand: I need you to send a letter to 8 this committee in writing about why it wasn't fully funded. 9 Several Senators signed a letter to Secretary Hicks asking 10 that it be fully funded in this budget and we specified in 11 a classified index exactly what funding wasn't being met 12 and its operational funding, its basic operational funding, 13 so I am highly concerned about this.

14 Secretary Austin, the incidents last month involving 15 the Chinese high-altitude balloon and the three unknown 16 objects highlighted the need for us to continue to improve 17 our understanding of UAPs over U.S. airspace. Do you 18 intend to ensure AARO receives full funding in the future? 19 Secretary Austin: I will, Senator. And we-- in this

20 project, we have asked for \$11 million in support of the 21 office, of that initiative.

Senator Gillibrand: That is not the budget request.
Will you investigate why the budget request isn't being met
and be part of the response --

25 Secretary Austin: I will. I will.



Senator Gillibrand: Thank you. Secretary Austin, on
 March 17, Gong, the Chinese Foreign Minister said that the
 U.S. and China are heading toward inevitable "confrontation
 and conflict."

5 This comes as the STRATCOM Commander testified that 6 "the PRC seeks to match or in some areas surpass 7 quantitative and qualitative parity with the United States 8 in terms of nuclear weapons."

9 During the Cold War, the U.S. and the Soviet Union 10 developed lines of communication to prevent escalation or 11 outright hostilities during heightened tensions and 12 developed norms and mechanisms to avoid miscalculations.

How would you characterize your relationship with your PRC counterpart, and what steps are you taking to reduce the risk of miscalculations during a period of heightened competition between our countries?

Secretary Austin: Well thanks, Senator. I think you have heard me say two things. The first thing is that Chairman -- what the chairman said earlier that I don't see conflict as being imminent or inevitable.

But I do think it is important that leaders and great powers have the ability to talk to each other, to manage escalation, to manage crises. You have seen me reach out to my former counterpart, Minister of Defense Wei, who has now moved on, and encourage him to keep the lines of



1 communication open.

He has now been replaced by a new minister and I have yet to have the have the ability to engage him, but I will continue to make sure that we reach out. I do think that is critical.

6 Senator Gillibrand: Secretary Austin, we have 7 international legal structures to address the conduct of 8 our forces in war. While we have international treaties 9 that govern the use of space, there is ongoing debate about 10 whether these treaties would apply during an armed 11 conflict, and what types of counterspace weapons are 12 permissible.

What steps is the Department taking in coordination with Department of State to reinforce international norms and whether we can safely rely on our use of space assets, both legally and lethally during a conflict?

Secretary Austin: Well, this is an issue that the interagency of our Administration, we continue to engage on. And Secretary Blinken, as you know, also has engaged our allies and partners on this issue at the UN and in other fora. We think it is important. The Administration thinks it is important, and this is something that we will continue to work on.

24 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. I 25 appreciate the policies you issued on March 22nd supporting



our military families, and it is critical to their wellbeing and ability to retain strong military force. DOD's own surveys show that 24 percent of our servicemembers experience food insecurity.

5 Last year, I met with military families on Staten 6 Island who spoke about the challenges they face in 7 basically putting food on the table to feed their kids. 8 However, very few service members are considered eligible 9 for basic needs allowance or the BNA, under existing BNA 10 programs since BHH is included in family income 11 calculations.

12 Can you tell me how you intend to ensure that food 13 insecurity in military families have access to the BNA or 14 other measures?

Secretary Austin: Well, we will have the authority next year to move to a higher number, a higher percentage, 17 150 percent will actually move before we are required to 18 move. We plan to move in July.

That will allow us to help more families. But you have seen this with your support, Senator. You have seen us ask for a pay raise last year, 4.6 percent. You have seen us raise BHH amounts.

And you have seen us work to get childcare costs down. So, we are trying to increase the resources and reduce the strain on families and hopefully that total equation will



1 create benefits for our families and our troops.

Senator Gillibrand: But will you consider removing
BHH from the calculation for BNA?

Secretary Austin: We will do whatever what is ever
feasible, what we are allowed to do by law.

6 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Gillibrand.
8 Senator Cotton, please.

9 Senator Cotton: Mr. Secretary, I want to return to 10 the issue of the strikes in Syria last week and Senator 11 Wicker's line of questioning about the timing of 12 notification to Congress. You said that you "should have 13 notified Congress earlier."

These attacks happened against our troops, killing one contractor early in the morning eastern time. Do you believe that you should have notified us that morning while we were voting on amendments directly related to this kind of attack?

Secretary Austin: Again, there is no connection between when we notified you, Senator, and your vote. The chairman and I were testifying that morning as well, so as soon as we came out of testimony, we began work on crafting response options.

24 Senator Cotton: Secretary Austin, I don't believe 25 you. I believe that your office specifically withheld



notification of this deadly strike against Americans
 because the Rubio amendment, on which we voted midday,
 directly touched on exactly this scenario, not repealing
 these use of force resolutions if the President couldn't
 certify that Iran was no longer attacking us in Iran, in
 Syria.

7 That is what I believe. Nothing you can say is going 8 to change my belief about that. And I got to say, I think 9 I speak for a lot --

Secretary Austin: I just want to say, Senator, that is absolutely not true.

12 Senator Cotton: Maybe you didn't personally do it, 13 maybe you didn't personally do it, but I believe entirely 14 that people in your office did that. You have a vast 15 legislative operation, as Senator Wicker pointed out. Do 16 you really expect us to believe that they didn't know that 17 we were voting on a Rubio amendment that directly, directly 18 covered exactly this kind of attack? I don't believe that.

I don't believe it. I believe there was a conscious decision made not to inform Congress because you fear that it might lead to the passage of the Rubio amendment, which would kill the entire bill.

But I want to move on to a more important part, which is these attacks in the first place. How many attacks has Iran or its proxies launched against American positions in



1 Iran and Syria since Joe Biden took office?

Secretary Austin: There has been about 83 attacks, I
think, since -- in the last several years.

Senator Cotton: That is a lot of attacks over two
years. How many times have we retaliated against Iran or
its proxies?

7 Secretary Austin: We have launched four major 8 strikes, Senator. But an attack can consist of a number of 9 things. It can consist of, you know, a rocket that is 10 fired in the direction of one of our bases but not 11 effective.

12 Senator Cotton: Mr. Secretary, I am well aware of 13 what an attack could entail. So, we are -- so 79 and 4 is 14 Iran's record right now. And our four attacks have not 15 been against Iran, right, they have been against Iran's 16 proxies in Iraq or Syria or elsewhere.

Secretary Austin: That last attack was against IRGC,
Quds force infrastructure and --

Senator Cotton: Okay. And after we retaliated, Iran attacked us again, injuring another American, didn't it?

21 Secretary Austin: They did.

22 Senator Cotton: And we retaliated for that attack on
23 Friday.

24 Secretary Austin: We have not yet, Senator.

25 Senator Cotton: So, what kind of signal do we think



this sends to Iran when they can attack us 83 times since
 Joe Biden has become President, we only respond 4.

Maybe it is because they know that until -- that we will not retaliate, until they kill an American, which emboldens them to keep launching these attacks which kill Americans.

7 What are our rules of engagement for on the ground 8 Commanders throughout the region? Are they allowed to 9 engage immediately if their position has been attacked? 10 Secretary Austin: They can and they do. And there 11 have been several instances of that.

12 Senator Cotton: I have got to say, I have heard from 13 company and field grade Commanders who have been in the 14 region very recently, and they say there is a lot of 15 confusion over that.

Let me move to a related topic, and that is our operations of unmanned aircraft in the Black Sea. Russia down one of our Reaper aircraft a couple of weeks ago. Have we flown those aircrafts into the exact same space since it was downed?

21 Secretary Austin: We have flown on the path that we 22 want to fly in the Black Sea to collect intelligence 23 information.

24 Senator Cotton: So that is a far -- that is far from 25 a yes answer. I said, have we flown in the same airspace?



You have said we have flown in the path we want to fly to
 collect intelligence. So, if we adjusted our flight
 patterns, have we moved down of that airspace?

Secretary Austin: We will fly the pass that we feel
necessary to collect intelligence information.

6 Senator Cotton: So according to an Administration 7 official from an article in CNN on March 21st, we are not 8 flying in that airspace "to avoid being too provocative." 9 So, are we removing our aircraft out of the space from that 10 which that Reaper flew because we don't want to be too 11 provocative towards Russia?

Secretary Austin: Sir, you may have heard me say immediately after this incident to -- that I told my counterpart that we are going to fly the skies, the international skies, and sail the seas as we wish. And so, we continue to fly in that airspace.

Senator Cotton: In the exact same airspace where thatReaper was downed?

19 Secretary Austin: Again --

20 Senator Cotton: You haven't pulled back from Crimea 21 or from the Ukrainian or the Russian coasts?

22 Secretary Austin: I recommend we take this to a
23 closed --

24 Senator Cotton: And I think it answers the question, 25 though, and it gets to the point I am trying to make here.



We continue to deter ourselves against our adversaries. We
 let Iran launch 83 attacks against us and we only respond 4
 times.

Russia downs one of our aircraft and we pull back from that airspace just like we have been delaying ballistic missile tests over the last two year, or over the last year. Just like we let a Chinese spy balloon float across the country when we could have done it across the Aleutians.

Now, I don't necessarily think these are Secretary of Defense calls. I think these are Commander-in-Chief calls. But we shouldn't be surprised when our adversaries get emboldened and more aggressive in all these theaters when we continue to deter ourselves.

Chairman Reed: Have you finished, sir? Thank you,
Senator Cotton. Let me recognize Senator Blumenthal.

17 Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Thank you 18 all for being here. Thank you for your service, not only 19 over many decades, but in this role, and particularly at a 20 time when the threats are as great as any time that most of 21 us can remember.

I have been to Ukraine three times over roughly the last year visiting Kyiv and President Zelenskyy, and recently followed you, Mr. Secretary, to visit our base in Bavaria, where we are training Ukrainian fighters in the



1 use of Bradley armored vehicles and combined arms.

And the bond between our soldiers and their fighters is extraordinary because of our respect for their will to fight. And that is what I have seen in the Ukrainian people. They will fight with pitchforks, if necessary, but they need a lot more.

7 And I want to thank you and the President for committing to do whatever it takes. I think I am almost 8 9 quoting exactly. And in my view, whatever it takes 10 includes airplanes, aircraft. Would you agree that as a strictly military matter, and you know a lot more about 11 12 this than most of us at this side of the table, that that 13 kind of air support from the United States is going to be 14 necessary, and the sooner, the better?

15 Secretary Austin: Thanks, Senator. First of all, 16 thanks for your continued support and for the support of 17 Congress. It is phenomenal what we have been able to do in 18 what we have helped Ukraine be, the kinds of effects they 19 have been able to achieve based upon our support.

And as you know, the United States of America leads the way on that. We talk to our counterparts every week, sometimes multiple times a week, you know, and I meet with them in person every month.

And what they need right now more than anything else is air defense. And that is the critical need on the



battlefield, and they also need long range fires in armored capability. And we are providing them a real substantial package of capability in terms of platforms, but not just that, we are also providing training and we are providing sustainment.

And sir, you witnessed some of that coming together when you were in Grafenwohr, and I really appreciate you going out there and visiting our troops. But I think those capabilities will make the biggest difference in the near term. And they must be successful, you know, going forward.

You know, we know the spring fighting seasons in front of us here and we want to make sure that they have what they need to be successful.

Senator Blumenthal: And I apologize for interrupting, Mr. Secretary, but I want to come back to the aircraft, because as you have identified it very clearly and I agree totally, air defense is critical.

We have a narrow window of time, and in fact, this spring will be crucial. And some of our allies are providing planes, but we have the resources, and only we really have the resources to provide the aircraft necessary for fully robust air defense.

Secretary Austin: So, if you are talking to F-16s,
whenever you make that decision, in order to put together



what needs to be put together, to provide that capability,
 is going to be 18 months or so in the making.

And that won't help them in this current fight. And will they have a capability at some point down the road? We all believe that they will. And what that looks like, it could look like F-16s. It could look like some other fourth generation aircraft. But we will continue to work with our allies and partners to make sure that Ukraine has what it needs.

10 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. 11 Secretary. And again, I want to thank you and the 12 President for your commitment, which I think is deeply 13 appreciated by the people of Ukraine as well. I want to 14 focus on a very narrow issue relating to procurement, which 15 is the helicopter acquisition and development for the FLRAA 16 contract.

A number of my colleagues and myself had asked the Army for an explanation for the award of that contract -it is under challenge right now. Will you commit to provide us with an adequate explanation? So far, the Army has been totally unforthcoming.

22 Secretary Austin: I will commit, Senator, once the 23 protest is resolved, we will make sure you get a thorough 24 briefing on this issue.

25 Senator Blumenthal: Shouldn't we have it now rather



1 than at some indefinite point in the future?

Secretary Austin: Well, sir, you know that it is inappropriate to once -- while this is under protest, to do that. So, I commit to you that as soon as we can, we will provide you that information.

6 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you. I want to ask one 7 last question. Suicide among our service members continues 8 to be a desperately important problem. I will be meeting 9 later today or this week with the family of Master of Arms 10 Seaman Recruit Xavier Mitchell Sandor, who took his own 11 life while he was stationed on the USS George Washington.

He was among three who committed suicide while that ship was under maintenance and repair. Similar kinds of suicides have happened on other ships under similar circumstances. The report is still outstanding. There have been no completion of the investigation a year after that event. I know you are very concerned about the troops.

Nobody cares more than you do about the well-being. And I include the chairman in that sentiment. Can you tell us that additional measures will be taken and that there will be a report forthcoming about Xavier Sandor?

23 Secretary Austin: I will. I will make sure that 24 Secretary Del Toro ensures that this investigation moves on 25 a timely fashion and in a very thorough fashion.



I would also tell you that I have asked Secretary Del
 Toro to make sure that he is personally focused on this
 issue, specifically related to ships that are in
 maintenance and the berthing associated with those ships.

5 He has, in this budget, Senator, asked for some \$258 6 billion to address that issue. And I think that is a good 7 start, but I think we need to continue to make sure our 8 leadership is doing the right thing, that we have adequate 9 housing for our young men and women. They are our national 10 assets. And I truly believe that he will remain focused on 11 this, but I will as well, so.

Senator Blumenthal: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Blumenthal.
Senator Rounds, please.

15 Senator Rounds: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me 16 begin by thanking all of you for your service to our 17 country, recognizing Secretary Austin, not just for your 18 time in uniform, but your time as Secretary as well. 19 General Milley, recognizing your time as Chairman of the 20 Joint Chiefs, but not only that, but the amount of time 21 that you and your family have served our country, we 22 sometimes gloss over that.

And I recognize I don't have a lot of time, but I just want to make this clear, it is not just the time that you spend in the Pentagon, it is the time that you have spent



1 boots on the ground.

You have had operational deployments, including the
Multinational Force Observer, Sinai, Egypt, Operation Just
Cause in Panama, Operation Uphold Democracy in Haiti,
Operation Joint Endeavor, Bosnia, Operation Iraqi Freedom
in Iraq, and three tours during Operation Enduring Freedom
in Afghanistan.

8 You have also deployed to Somalia and Colombia. We 9 thank you for your service to our country, sir, and your 10 family as well, because when you deploy there, deployed as 11 well. Thank you.

Gentlemen, both for Secretary Austin and Chairman Milley, last week you both clarified that you support waiting until the conclusion of the ongoing study before any decision should be made on auctioning parts of or any of the 3.1 to 3.45 gigahertz spectrum band.

17 My question is, should an auction occur before the 18 study is complete, what are the real-world impacts on 19 National Security from a defense and budgetary perspective? 20 Secretary Austin: It would be devastating, Senator, 21 and it would take us somewhere north of 20 years to try to 22 recover from a loss of that spectrum. Parts of that 23 spectrum are vital to our national defense and the 24 protection of the homeland.

25

And in, you know, in order to describe exactly --



1 well, you know, what the specific platforms are, but we
2 would have to go to a classified section to speak in detail
3 about this. But I would tell you that this is not
4 something you can fix overnight once you break it. It will
5 take 20 years plus to recover from that.

Senator Rounds: Thank you, General Milley.

General Miley: Same thing, Senator. I firmly believe that we should wait till the study is measured twice, that one sort of thing. But we don't want to vacate because of the severe National Security implications to that.

11 The sharing is obviously a goal for everybody, but it 12 is how you share and the technical capability to do that, 13 and that is what the study should tell us. We want to make 14 a fully informed decision, and the Secretary and I will be 15 making those recommendations and writing to the President 16 when the time comes.

17 Senator Rounds: Thank you, sir, once again, for 18 Chairman Milley, as the principal military adviser to the 19 President, would you say that it's essential for you to be 20 able to provide an independent recommendation about 21 spectrum sharing and its impact on National Security to the 22 President?

General Miley: Yes, I do. I would also say, though, that every recommendation I make to the President is an independent military best military advice, no matter what



6

1 the topic. The Secretary is very good about that, and I 2 will always inform the Secretary if I disagree with the 3 Secretary ahead of time.

But he and I were always in there together with the President and I will always make it independent best military advice recommendation. That is why I signed, when I was confirmed, that I would do that with the Senate as part of this committee, as part of becoming the confirmation process. So, I will always do that and every chairman should always do that.

Senator Rounds: Thank you, sir. Secretary Austin, INDOPACOM has listed an unfunded requirement of \$147 million for the development of a missile defense system for Guam.

15 Can you discuss how that decision by the Department 16 not to fund this INDOPACOM requirement comports with the 17 Department's priority to defend Guam?

Secretary Austin: Thanks, Senator. As you know, we have and we continue to invest heavily in the defense of Guam, and that work is ongoing. Again, there is always choices that we have to make in a specific time horizon. And in this case, that is a capability that I think we can and will consider going forward.

24 Senator Rounds: I think part of the reason for the 25 question is because there has been some criticism on the



Department providing a list of unfunded requests. Would you agree that this one should be very seriously considered by Congress?

Secretary Austin: As you know, Senator, we are
required, or the Service Chiefs and Commanders are required
by law to submit those unfunded requests. And I do believe
that all of our Commanders and Chiefs believe that what is
on there is important.

9 Now, I have asked our Commanders and our Chiefs to 10 make sure that they build their requirements for readiness 11 and also combat capability into their base budget. And so, 12 we try to do that at every turn. But at some point, in 13 time, there are choices that you have to make.

Senator Rounds: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Rounds. Senator
 Hirono, please.

Senator Hirono: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I thank all three of you for being here today, and especially for General Milley. Thank you very much for your 43 years of continuous service. I extend to you my thanks and respect.

For Secretary Austin, investments in the military's infrastructure are directly correlated to our readiness and ability to execute deterrence. However, as the people of Hawaii saw from the disaster at Red Hill, military

25 infrastructure also impacts our cities and towns. Fuel,



chemical, and sewage spills have lasting impacts on our
 lands and erode the trust of service members and their
 communities.

We must invest in the systems they rely on each day.
Basic things like pipelines, power grids, and barracks.
Yet this year, in Hawaii alone, there is over half a
billion in unfunded infrastructure improvements on the
service's unfunded priorities list.

9 Secretary Austin, how is the Department ensuring that 10 infrastructure maintenance and modernization needs are met 11 before disasters like Red Hill occur? And basically, don't 12 we need to get more of these projects off unfunded 13 priorities lists?

14 Secretary Austin: These are, to your point, Senator, 15 requirements that are critical and they should be met as 16 soon as possible. And in my budget, I have asked for \$5.1 17 billion to increase operational effectiveness and 18 survivability. You know, part of that has to do with the 19 effects that are caused by climate.

But nonetheless, you know, those are facts or real facts. And so, we will continue to invest in this, but to your point, services should invest in this to make sure that the infrastructure they need to support them is, in fact, you know, first rate.

25 Senator Hirono: Secretary Austin, you know that I



1 have been very much focused on those kinds of

2 infrastructure needs. What comes out of our pipes, whether 3 electricity -- those continue to capture my attention and 4 be assured that I will continue to focus on these issues 5 and the needs being met.

6 Mr. Secretary, in your tenure, you have issued several 7 policies that increase servicemembers access to childcare, 8 which you have mentioned, institute parental leave, which 9 is very popular, and ensure access to reproductive health 10 care for all women no matter where they are stationed.

These are prudent changes that put our people first, and I appreciate your leadership on these issues. Senator Austin, how did you identify these servicemember needs? And once those requirements were a record, what was the process to implement updated policies?

Secretary Austin: Well, I talked to our troops. I talked to the family members. I, you know, as I go around the country and around the world, you know, I am very much interested in what their challenges are and how we can do things to make life better for them. And with your help, we have been able to do some things.

That includes the things that you have mentioned, Senator, but also the pay raises, the raise in BAH and BAS. And we are asking you for another pay raise this year. Probably the biggest pay raise for our troops and civilians



in decades. But that is how I get the input by engaging
 the troops and the family members.

Senator Hirono: You have been heavily criticized for
your decisions to enable particularly women servicemembers
to attain reproductive health. And I, for one, commend you
for that.

7 And I want you to stay the course. In the same vein 8 of wanting to support our service members, I do want to 9 mention that there is the potential for significant cuts to 10 the cost of living of Hawaii's servicemembers. And I have 11 noted this before. And I think that these are huge cuts 12 for Hawaii servicemembers are unjust and unfair, and I hope 13 that there will be a reconsideration of that decision.

14 I also want to note, I have been asking about missile 15 defense of Hawaii for quite some time. I had a productive 16 meeting yesterday with leaders from your staff about their 17 roles in and plans for the current and future missile 18 defense of Hawaii. While there is certainly more work to 19 be done to establish and execute a long-term plan for the 20 missile defense of Hawaii, I am encouraged to see the 21 Department treating this issue with the seriousness it 22 demands. I remain focused on it, on the issue, as I 23 request updates from your staff.

24 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

25 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Hirono. Senator



1 Ernst, please.

2 Senator Ernst: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I just want 3 to state for the record that I am adamantly opposed to the 4 DOD's new policy on allowing travel expenses for those that 5 are going to other states to seek abortions.

I think it is incredibly important that we remain adherent to the Hyde Amendment, which prohibits taxpayer dollars from going towards abortions. I know this is an extension of travel services for family members and those service members, but again, those are taxpayer dollars being spent to fund the extension of an abortion.

I am adamantly opposed. I know that there are others that are on this committee that agree as well. We are not saying that women can't seek abortions. They can do that, but that is to be privately funded.

So, thank you both, gentlemen, for being here this morning. And Secretary, I do worry that there is a mismatch between strategy and resources at the heart of the President's budget. The national defense strategy calls this a "decisive decade" and commits to act urgently to strengthen deterrence against the pacing threat of China.

22 So General Milley, would investments in posture 23 presence and lethal capabilities like long range fires 24 reduce our risk in the Western Pacific?

25 General Miley: Absolutely, long range fires would



reduce our risk. Not only -- anywhere, but for sure yes - That is correct.

3 Senator Ernst: Thank you, General Milley. So, I know 4 that you addressed this with Senator Rounds, but Secretary 5 Austin, as we discuss those unfunded requirements, we see 6 that we are three and a half billion dollars short in the 7 INDOPACOM, which includes critical strike capabilities and 8 posture enhancements.

9 So, I do hope that this is something we can work with 10 those Commanders on those requirements. I think it is 11 incredibly important and I know my colleague from Alaska 12 may address our requirements in defending Taiwan, but it is 13 incredibly important.

If we are saying in the national defense strategy that this is a decisive decade, we need to act accordingly. And as Congress, we must fund accordingly. Chairman Milley, as you know, our special operations forces are a strategic capability. And how can soft help deter and defeat great power competitors?

General Miley: Well for Special Forces, regardless of theater, relative to a great power competitor, there is ongoing intense competition in the so-called gray space. They play a key role in that area. In addition to that, they can provide tremendous capability in train, advise, assist in indigenous partners and allies and region.



1 That's important.

Also, I would argue that irregular warfare is often an overlooked component. And as you start looking at larger conventional war, irregular warfare plays a very key role in all forms of war. And that will play a key role in any great power war as well.

7 So those are some of the key things, that strategic 8 reconnaissance, there is development of the battlespace 9 events force operations, the whole litmus test or a litany 10 of capabilities that special operations forces bring that 11 have a direct role prior to the onset of hostilities and 12 can contribute to the deterrence of war with any great 13 power.

14 Senator Ernst: Very good. And I appreciate that 15 because you answered my next question about surrogate 16 forces and partnering with those forces and indigenous 17 actors, and they do help soft compete against great powers, 18 so I do appreciate that. Secretary Austin, will the 19 Department's guidance to the SOF enterprise place our great 20 power competition above counterterrorism and crisis 21 response mission?

22 Secretary Austin: I am sorry, Senator, I missed the 23 question --

24 Senator Ernst: Yes, SOF, as it applies to great power 25 competition, will you be placing their mission with great



power competition over the other mission of deterring
terrorism?

3 Secretary Austin: We have to strike a balance, and we 4 see our SOF forces doing that. I know you have talked to 5 our special operations force Combatant Commander. He is 6 looking at this in a very methodical way and making sure 7 that he maintains a balance of capability that can serve 8 both challenges there.

9 And they are doing a great job. And I have seen some 10 of our Tier 1 forces very rapidly transition and start 11 thinking about, you know, how they are going to be 12 relevant, not just thinking about it, but developing 13 capability to be relevant in great power competition. At 14 the same time, keeping a good handle on transnational 15 terrorist organizations.

16 Senator Ernst: Thank you. I appreciate it. I think 17 it is incredibly important that we continue to fund our SOF 18 as necessary because they do strike a balance between great 19 power competition, working in that gray zone, and also 20 deterring terrorism around the globe.

So, I would just encourage members of this committee to continue to focus on the strength within our SOF forces. Chairman Milley, thank you very much for your service to our great United States Army, as well as the Joint Force. And also, my best wishes to Hollyanne. We know that



behind every great leader is someone who supports them thoroughly, and she has been a tremendous force in working with our Gold Star families, with all of the members of their service and their families. So, my best wishes to you and your entire family. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

6 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Ernst. Senator7 Kaine, please.

8 Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And thank you 9 to the witnesses. General Milley, I also want to 10 compliment you on your stellar career, and I also offer the 11 congratulations of your college classmate, my wife, Anne, 12 who I mentioned I would see you today, and she wanted me to 13 extend her congratulations as well.

On the 15th of the month, during our personnel subcommittee hearing, Senator Kelly and I asked Secretary Cisneros and Martinez Lopez about implementation of the Brandon Act. I appreciate both of you in your opening statements talked about the need to get a hold of military suicide issues and resources that are being devoted to this.

We asked the Secretaries about Brandon Act implementation and also about a report on Brandon Act implementation that we were supposed to receive that is overdue. I don't really want to get into that with you here today, but just to put it on the radar screen, that


1 during the course of the development of the NDAA, we really 2 want to get into the implementation of the Brandon Act that 3 we passed.

Make sure that it's being implemented. Find out if there are things, we can do to make that implementation easier. And I really want to work on that between now and the time that we take up the NDAA in committee. It is possible that we don't need to do anything more on that space if we are convinced that implementation is moving out.

If we are not convinced of that, we will need to do more, and I just wanted to kind of put that on the record to begin. Secretary, I also want to ask you about your recent trip to Iraq.

I think it was either two or three weeks ago that you were there and reports suggest you had productive meetings, both with political leadership, Prime Minister Al Sudani, but also with military leadership. Could you describe that visit for the committee?

20 Secretary Austin: Well, first of all, let me tell you 21 that our troops are doing well there, and they are enabling 22 and helping their host, the Iraqi security forces, and they 23 are very much valued by the Iraqi leadership. The prime 24 minister was very complimentary of their performance and 25 the value that they add.



Good meeting with the prime minister and his leadership. You know, I think, you know, it is not only me, but other leaders in the region are also saying that that, you know, the prime minister shows a lot of promise in terms of a person that is willing to work with others to move things forward.

7 Senator Kaine: Describe the current state of the mil-8 to-mil relationship. So, talking about the prime minister 9 discussions, but we have got about 2,500 U.S. troops in 10 Iraq, as you point out. We are being hosted. They are 11 there at the invitation of the Iraqi government because 12 they value the United States as a partner. Describe a 13 little bit about the current mil-to-mil relationship.

14 Secretary Austin: I think the mil-to-mil 15 relationship, Senator, is good, really good. And again, I 16 think our partners understand the value that we add to 17 their overall effort. And if you unplug that, then what 18 happens with their efforts to maintain pressure on ISIS?

What happens with their efforts to continue to develop their professional force? And so, I think we are very much appreciated. And what I saw was goodness. You know, I think, you know that the Commander there is doing all the right things and working in conjunction with the Ambassador to continue to move things forward. So, I was really encouraged by what I saw.



1 Senator Kaine: You have had a number of chapters in 2 Iraq, in '03, and then in 2010, and then as CENTCOM 3 Commander, and some of these chapters are with an Iraq that 4 was an adversary of the United States. How would you 5 characterize this relationship where they are at their б invitation and now, we seem to be working in partnership to 7 help them advance security in their country and in the 8 region.

9 Secretary Austin: It truly is remarkable. And you 10 cheated me on one of the rotations there. I had three 11 rotations to Iraq --

12 Senator Kaine: I left one out.

Secretary Austin: But, you know, this is something that has developed over time and it is developing in a very meaningful way. It hasn't always been easy. It has been lumpy from time to time. But I think we are in a pretty good place now, and this is something that we are going to have to work on each and every day.

And we know, Senator, that not every person in Iraq believes that, you know, that Americans ought to be there. But the government is very much appreciative of what we bring in and what we are doing to train and enable their forces. So, you know, I think we are in a pretty good place.

Senator Kaine: Well, I appreciate that, Mr.



25

Secretary. I think that is important, that one of the
 capacities the U.S. has shown throughout our history is
 the, to use a biblical phrase, to beat a sword into a
 plowshare.

5 To take a nation that was an enemy, and over time, and 6 as you point out, bumpy, not always smooth, but over time 7 turn the relationship into one of partnership, not one of 8 being an adversary.

9 And that is an important aspect of the U.S., Iraq 10 relationship, and it also sends a message to others that 11 that is something that the United States can do and we can 12 be proud of. I appreciate your testimony. I yield back. 13 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Kaine. 14 Senator Cramer, please.

Senator Cramer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you
both, all three, for your service. A special thank you,
General Milley, for your decades of service. I want to
jump down to talking back about Ukraine a little bit.

There have been a lot of reasons, rationale, excuses, perhaps for not providing certain advanced weapons systems, some legitimate I am sure, maybe all of them, I don't know. But of course, oftentimes that leads to eventually providing them. But one system we have never offered, and I haven't heard a lot of talk about are the MQ-9s.

25



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

But since we just lost one recently in the Black Sea,

where it is probably more likely to be recovered by Russia than the United States, and the fact that the Air Force wants to retire 48 older MQ-9s, is there any chance that the United be a reasonable system to offer Ukraine, either one -- or maybe start with Secretary Austin.

6 Secretary Austin: Yes. As we look at this, Senator, 7 as you know, that air domain is a very hostile airspace 8 because of the, you know, the capability that the Russians 9 have for air defense. And it is not a survivable platform 10 if they try to use that in that environment.

11 Senator Cramer: General Milley.

12 General Miley: Yes, that is -- one, it is not 13 survival. It is big and slow. It is going to get nailed 14 by the Russian air defense systems. And in terms of its 15 capabilities, I am not sure what it will get you beyond the 16 smaller, faster, more nimble UAV systems that we are 17 providing, as well as some other countries are providing. 18 So, I think that it is a good system for certain uses in 19 certain environments, but in the current situation in 20 Ukraine, it may not be the best system.

21 Senator Cramer: Thank you. Secretary Austin, I want 22 to now go to back to China a little bit. And you are 23 probably familiar with the what I consider the nearly year 24 and a half of torment that the city of Grand Forks went 25 through while considering an investment by the Chinese



Communist Party investors for Fufeng, which would have
 provided a wet corn milling facility 12 miles from the
 Grand Forks Air Force Base, 12 miles from the 319th
 Reconnaissance Wing, and now an SDA ground station for low Earth orbiting satellites.

6 And after sort of cutting a deal and then going to 7 CFIUS, where CFIUS has spent 60 days determining they 8 didn't have jurisdiction. And finally, after, I don't 9 know, 14 months or so of all of this, the Air Force finally 10 came out and said it would present a significant risk.

And that, I think, has helped kill the project, although I understand there is now a 90 day pause. My hope for all of that is not only that Grand Forks avoided an unfortunate situation, but that many other communities might have avoided as well.

16 Could you talk to us just a little bit about either in 17 the context of Fufeng and Grand Forks, or the broader 18 context of are we giving adequate cover and information and 19 coaching perhaps to other communities around the country? 20 Because it is my understanding that this is not necessarily 21 a unique circumstance to Grand Forks.

22 Secretary Austin: Well, first of all, Senator, let me 23 thank you for your personal leadership on this issue. And 24 to the point that you are making, this applies to

25 installations all around the United States of America.



And we will continue to emphasize to the Service Chiefs, Commanders, secretaries, and that we have to be vigilant about what is going on outside of our fences. We have got to work with the communities to make sure that we do have some kind of a situational awareness.

And we have to advocate for the protection of our, you know, our bases and installations. And again, every one of these cases will be complex, but there are cases that we must take on. But again, for your leadership in area.

10 Senator Cramer: Well, I appreciate you saying it that 11 way, actually, because I think you are right. I think we 12 need to, "take them on," and rather than sort of punt them 13 to the CFIUS process or some other political process, 14 particularly when it comes to the Chinese Communist Party 15 and their involvement, and their ability -- not just 16 ability, but obvious willingness to do recon in all sorts 17 of matters and ways, and so I appreciate that.

And I my hope, like I said, is that the experience that ground force went through is not just in vain to the rest of the country, but rather perhaps sheds light on this issue throughout the country. So, thank you for that.

And again, thank you, General Milley, for your decades of service. And I yield. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Cramer. Senator
25 Warren, please.



Senator Warren: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank
 you all for your service to our nation. This year's
 Department of Defense requests an \$842 billion budget, one
 of the largest budgets in history.

5 Now, despite the massive size of this budget request, 6 the committee is already receiving letters from various 7 parts of DOD saying that they need billions of dollars 8 more. DOD calls these unfunded priority lists, I call them 9 wish lists, and I am concerned about how they distort our 10 budget process.

11 So, Secretary Austin, if I could let me ask this 12 directly, are you comfortable with the figure in the 13 President's proposed budget that it is sufficient to meet 14 our defense and National Security objectives?

15 Secretary Austin: I am, Senator. As you know, we 16 made this budget request based upon our strategy. Our 17 request is directly linked to the capabilities that we need 18 to execute our strategy. And we spent a lot of time on 19 that.

I have asked the Commanders and Service Chiefs to make sure that they go after warfighting capability and readiness in their base budget, and for the most part, you know, we have done that. But again, we are required by law --

25 Senator Warren: I understand. So, we are going to go



there. We are going to go there, Mr. Secretary. Now, Secretary Gates understood that leaders at DOD can always find a gap or a requirement to justify asking for what he called however many billions dollars more you want.

5 He reduced wish list by about 90 percent, and he was 6 able to do this during the surge in Afghanistan. Secretary 7 Austin, you have a unique perspective here because before 8 you were Secretary of Defense, you were the Commander of a 9 major Combatant Command, a job that is now held by the 10 people who are asking for unfunded priorities.

When Secretary Gates cut these unfunded lists down, you were Commanding General of U.S. forces in Iraq. Secretary Austin, did our National Security suffer when Secretary Gates cut down the service wish lists?

Secretary Austin: I can say that, you know, while we were in Iraq and Afghanistan, we based upon the support of Congress, we had what we needed to be able to prosecute our operations. And so, we didn't go for -- we didn't want for much of anything.

20 Senator Warren: Okay. So, let's talk then about the 21 budget process. Each Combatant Commander thinks about how 22 they could spend more money than you have allocated to them 23 in this process that you describe.

I assume these Combatant Commanders act in absolute good faith, but they have a narrow perspective. Secretary



Austin, in putting together the overall budget, you have to
 weigh our global National Security priorities. Do the
 Commanders submitting these wish lists have to do the same?

Secretary Austin: They are primarily focused on their
region and in what they would like to have for their region
in order to be successful. But again --

Senator Warren: I take that as a no. Yes, all right.
You know, as my colleagues on this committee are well
aware, I have been using these budget posture hearings to
ask Combatant Commanders, folks who occupy the roles like
the one you used to serve, about these lists.

12 And almost all of them have defended their requests, 13 although the justifications vary. Three of them said they 14 needed the items on this list to address unexpected threats 15 that couldn't be considered in the budget process.

But these same Commanders often repeat the same requests year after year after year, suggesting that if there were a real need, they would have worked it into the underlying budget. Secretary Austin, does DOD have sufficient tools to address emerging threats without relying on the unfunded priorities list?

22 Secretary Austin: We do. And again, we account for 23 that as we build the budget.

24 Senator Warren: Okay. And one last question. Do you 25 support removing the statutory requirement to send Congress



these lists every year? And if so, are you confident that 1 2 we could do so without jeopardizing National Security? 3 Secretary Austin: I would support that, Senator. 4 Senator Warren: Thank you very much, Mr. Secretary. 5 I would also like to submit a letter for the record from б Under Secretary McCord supporting my bill with Senator 7 King, Senator Lee, and Senator Braun to end the statutory 8 requirement for these lists. As he notes in the letter 9 these lists are, "not an effective way to illuminate our 10 joint priorities." 11 We don't let any other part of Federal Government 12 behave this way, and it is for a good reason. The budget 13 process requires making tough choices. I appreciate that 14 the Secretary leads in that, and I would like to submit 15 this for the record, Mr. Chairman. 16 Chairman Reed: Without objection. 17 [The information referred to follows:] 18 [COMMITTEE INSERT] 19 20 21 22 23 24

25



1 Senator Warren: Thank you.

Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Warren. Senator
Tuberville, please.

Senator Tuberville: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. General
Milley, thanks for being here. Thank you for your service.
Secretary Austin, thank for your service, and your call
last week. Secretary McCord, thanks for being here today.
You are not getting a lot of questions but thank you for
being here.

You know, I ran for Senate to serve on this committee. I ran because my dad, he was a World War II veteran. He earned five Bronze Stars and a Purple Heart, and he died on active duty. And I ran to support the warfighter. You know, we owe our freedom to our troops.

15 For 50 years, our all-volunteer force has answered the 16 call. I think you would agree to that. Since 1973, we 17 have asked a lot of our Americans, young volunteers, to 18 fight in Vietnam, Grenada, Bosnia, Somalia, Iraq, Libya, 19 Syria, and Afghanistan. They have never wavered. In boom 20 times and bust, young Americans have raised their right 21 hands to defend the Constitution with their lives, if 22 necessary.

War is hell. You know that better than anybody.
Every recruit should know that. But in the last few years,
we have put them through a different kind of hell. In one



of your first acts, Mr. Secretary, you put our military,
 every single member, active duty and reserve, through a
 mandatory training to root out extremists.

That sent a message, Mr. Secretary, that our military is filled with extremists. Our military is one of the most diverse organizations in the world. It is full of patriots. Eight months later, we watched the collapse of Afghanistan. It was the worst defeat of the United States in my lifetime and agony for most Americans who watched on the 20th anniversary of September 11th.

11 That defeat gutted our troops who served there. The 12 President called it an extraordinary success. That is not 13 what our veterans of Afghanistan call it. Our withdrawal, 14 a big letdown to our allies, a military disaster, and 15 national disgrace. I have talked to leaders all over the 16 world, they have told me the same thing. Not one general 17 or political appointee has been held accountable.

Senator Tuberville: Now, I want to give you an 18 19 example of accountability. General Milley, May 2021, I 20 sent you a letter asking for, why did we give up Bagram? 21 Now, you did answer, but it was a few months later. Now 22 you have 4,500 people, you know, for the next person in 23 line on the joint staff and a budget of \$250 million. We 24 have got to find ways to get information back to Congress. 25 Secretary Austin, I sent you a question on September



2021. It took almost four months. Congress deserves
 better. America deserves better. We all deserve better.
 Now we are on the brink of a world war with Russia.

4 China is openly violating our airspace. But it took 5 Congress to step in and tell our military leaders, you, to 6 stop throwing our troops out who objected to a vaccine. 7 Most of them on a religious factor. Given what we put our 8 troops through in the past two years, it is no wonder that 9 the Army missed its goal of 15,000 soldiers. And General, 10 you know, that is an entire division.

We can't afford that. And it is getting worse. The Navy and Air Force will probably miss their numbers this year. Yet some of my colleagues claim that the real crisis is my hold on the promotion of 158 general flag officers. Mind you, these were promotions that the majority leader can bring to the floor at any time.

Those same people claim expanding abortion will make our military stronger. You know, I want to be clear on this. My hold has nothing to do with the Supreme Court decision to the access of abortion. This is about not forcing the taxpayers of this country to fund abortion. That has been a bipartisan consensus for more than 40 years.

The military has performed abortions for years. All were cases of rape, incest, and the harm to the mom. Over



the past 40 years, I don't recall one military person ever complaining that we weren't performing enough abortions.

3 Nor did the military raise alarm that our service men 4 and women were being placed in areas that had restrictive 5 policies on abortion. Poland's restrictive policies. 6 Japan. Djibouti. All these theaters have abortion policy. 7 Yet our military changed the policy to do this job in these different theaters. As of 12 days ago, you all got 8 9 the American taxpayer on the hook to pay for travel and 10 time off for elective abortions.

And you did not make this with anybody in this room or Congress taking a vote. In fact, this contradicts what Congress has actually voted for. Most of us, some of us in the room, if not all Senators that have been complaining about this, have voted for the Hyde Amendment many, many times.

17 But now, my colleagues on the left, like this abortion 18 issue is good for a campaign. And that is what this 19 shouldn't be about. I am not going to let our military be 20 politicized. I want our military to be the strongest and 21 deadliest it has ever been. But I also want the Administration to follow the law. As long as I have a 22 23 voice in this body, Congress will write the laws. Not 24 Secretary Defense, not the Joint Chiefs.

25



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

General, you said nothing is more expensive than

preparing for war or fighting a war. I truly agree. That
 is the reason, if we want something to spend money on,
 let's pass it and let's put it in the NDAA.

You know, I represent Alabama, but I also represent everybody in this country. Everybody, all 320 million. All of us do. Y'all represent the military. But you also are responsible and represent every citizen in this country. That is the reason this country is so strong and we all need to understand that.

Get on the same page. I am willing to work with you. I am not here to fight. I am here -- we are all on the same team. And I want to work with you to make this happen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

14 Chairman Reed: I think it is appropriate that the 15 Secretary and, or the General -- need to respond.

Secretary Austin: Well, thank you, sir. And first of all, let me just say thanks for the support you have given us to this point. And I really implore you to reconsider and allow our nominations to move forward. It will make a significant difference for our force. Just to address a couple of things that you mentioned.

First of all, you said that, you know, I had our troops focus on rooting out extremism and nothing could be further from the truth. You know, each of our units, troops spent a couple of hours talking about a number of



1 things.

Now, we have always had regulations against extremist behavior. And you have heard me say that 99.9 percent of our troops are focused on the right things each and every day. But in this case, a small set of actions can have outsized impact.

7 On the vaccine mandate, the vaccine mandate, in my 8 view, saved lives and it saved a lot of lives. And so, you 9 know, I -- we don't see a strong correlation between 10 vaccine mandate and recruiting. And we don't see that 11 because we have done the surveys that indicate that that is 12 just not true, that there is not a strong correlation.

Now, on the issue of providing non-covered reproductive health care for our women, I would just say, Senator, almost one in five of our troops are women and they don't get a chance to choose where they are station.

17 So almost 80,000 of our women are stationed in places 18 that -- where they don't have access to non-covered 19 reproductive health care. And I heard from our troops, I 20 heard from our senior leaders, I heard from our Chiefs and 21 also our Secretaries.

And this policy is based on strong legal ground. And it is not a law, it is a policy. And so, you know, we obviously don't pass laws in the Department of Defense. But again, I assure you that we have great respect for this



body, Congress, and we will do everything within our power
to make sure that we continue to work with Congress.

Again, you supported us in a number of ways, Senator. I am personally grateful for that support. And I know my Colleagues are as well. Thank you.

6

Chairman Reed: Let me recognize Senator Manchin.

7 Senator Manchin: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank all of you for your service and for being here today 8 9 to testify. I first want to say to General Milley, I want 10 to take a moment to thank you for your 43 years of service, 11 the commitment to our country, and the dedication and 12 sacrifice your family has made for that with you able to 13 serve. And I look forward to you have an enjoyment 14 retirement and your second career.

15 I know whatever it will be, it will be something 16 special, and we look forward to continuing to work with 17 you. Secretary Austin, multiple sources, including retired 18 General Officers, and National Defense Industrial 19 Association, American Enterprise Institute, and your 20 colleague, Mr. McCord, have all recognized the damage 21 failing to pass a budget on time has both in our National 22 Security and the taxpayers.

What I am trying to get to, and Mr. McCord, no one knows better than you how damaging delays and CRs, have they been. Previously, you noted that from Fiscal Year



1991 to 2010, the average delay for defense appropriation
 was 29 days. That was between 1991 and 2010, and that has
 since ballooned out to 118 days.

Also, we are talking about the American Enterprise Institute. They threw out a rough estimate of \$200 million per day, \$200 million per day under the latest continuing resolution that covered the start of Fiscal Year 2023. That has a total of \$18 billion.

9 So, I guess what I am asking is both of yours and Mr. 10 McCord's commitment to get Congress, all of you, to do 11 accurate. I mean, I know you come in here and you preach 12 the high heavens, and we seem to not take it seriously at 13 all.

We are talking real dollars now, real dollars. And they think that basically the budget keeps ballooning out of sight and we have no oversight whatsoever. If we as Congress just did our job on time, we are told that overall, you could save anywhere between 5 to 10 percent of the request you have. That is \$40 to \$80 billion a year. Is that an accurate statement?

Secretary Austin: I think so. And I defer to
 Secretary McCord for exact --

Senator Manchin: Well, let him respond also.
 Secretary Austin: But you are absolutely right,
 Senator. And you heard me say in my opening statement



that, you know, the best way that Congress can help us in this year going forward is to try to get an on-time appropriation done. You know, without that, we can't do new starts. We can't do a number of things. And you can't get that time back. You know, it is gone. And so that puts us further behind in terms of our competition with adversaries like China.

8 Senator Manchin: Secretary McCord.

9 Mr. McCord: Yes, I would concur. Your figures are 10 accurate, Senator. And as the Secretary said, we can't buy 11 back time with money. And that goes on the readiness side 12 if a missed training rotation due to shortage of funds.

But especially harmful on the contracting side, where we have got areas like the triad where we are trying to move the bomber into lower production, that we can't take those next steps under CRs, as you know, and that is the biggest lost opportunity when it comes to moving forward. We are very constrained legally.

19 Senator Manchin: So basically, we have nonproductive 20 money that we are appropriating that is used basically to 21 make up for the inefficiencies of Congress because of our 22 budget process.

Without you having to say anything or confirm that, that is what I am taking away from this little conversation that we have had. And I am doing everything I can to make



sure we do our job on time. You know, by April 1st, by
 law, House and Senate was supposed to have a budget.

By April 15th, by law, we are supposed to have one reconciled. By September 30th, by law, we are supposed to pass. That would change the course of what we are doing how we are spending right now, tremendously, rather than cutting anything, just being efficient. So, I would hope that we would all take that seriously.

9 General Milley, as you know, since the beginning of 10 the Putin's illegal war in Ukraine, the United States has 11 provided over \$76 billion in justified funding to support 12 Ukraine in both the military defense of their people in 13 freedom, but also in humanitarian and infrastructure 14 support.

15 Can you speak to your confidence in the U.S., NATO, 16 Ukrainian weapons accountability, and possibly elaborate on 17 the Joint Strategic oversight plan that was announced by 18 this year by the Inspector Generals of the DOD, State 19 Department, USAID. You know, they all --

General Milley: As you know, Senator, we do not have any uniformed troops or civilians, for that matter, accompanying Ukrainian forces in the front line. We do have folks working out of the embassy, so they are working at the Ministry of Defense level. And that is as far as our own hands-on accountability goes.



We do have some other means through reporting that the Ukrainians report to us, and I will be happy to talk about that in a classified session. But the there are some means and mechanisms of doing some accountability. It is not as rigorous as you might think. But I think the biggest way to measure the accountability is effectiveness on the Russian forces.

8 These are anti-tank munitions, this artillery is 9 having a devastating effect on the Russians. As you know, 10 publicly, the Russians have well over 200,000 casualties. 11 Their offensive aspirations have come essentially to a 12 halt.

Their capabilities have been -- their ground forces have been absolutely impaled on this Ukrainian bayonet, so to speak. And that is largely due to the resources that the United States and other countries have provided. So, although we don't have by name DODIC sort of accountability, shell number accountability, you can see the accountability on the battlefield.

20 Senator Manchin: Yes, my time is running out. I 21 would love to ask you about the AUMF, but I am sure you 22 probably talked about the AUMF before I got here. But 23 thank you so much. I appreciate it.

Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Manchin. SenatorSchmitt, please.



Senator Schmitt: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Secretary
 Austin, by the way, for both of you, thank you for your
 service to the country. We really do appreciate it. I do.
 I know I do.

5 The folks back home do. Secretary Austin, I wanted to 6 ask you a couple of questions sort of along the lines of 7 what Senator Tuberville asked.

8 You mentioned earlier that you didn't want to spend a 9 lot of time and you thought that there was a drag on our 10 force, and spending money on things that don't make us a 11 fighting force. You just mentioned that you don't get the 12 time back.

With the stand down day to address extremism, that cost the military and taxpayers nearly 5.4 million man hours. And as we talk about recruitment, I think it is completely naive to, when we talk about the numbers, to separate the challenges that we have now from the politics, the divisive politics that have been injected now into the military.

The military stands as this great meritocracy where people can achieve great things. But by infusing divisive DEI trainings, by infusing abortion politics, by infusing COVID vaccine mandates, it has created division in the ranks.

25

And we have heard from those military members. And I



1 sent you a letter last week, and I want to ask you, we
2 talked about in the letter, referenced the \$86.5 million
3 for dedicated diversity and inclusion activities. I want
4 to ask you here today, how many DEI related positions exist
5 in the Department of Defense?

6 Secretary Austin: I can't speak to that specific 7 number. I will take that question for the record, with 8 your permission, Senator.

9 Senator Schmitt: Sure.

10 Secretary Austin: In terms of that number, it is a 11 small percentage of an \$842 billion budget. And I would 12 just further point out with respect to DEI, I think, you 13 know, Senator, that Congress requires that we have a DEI 14 program. In the 2020 NDAA, it states the Secretary of 15 Defense shall design and implement a five-year strategic 16 plan for diversity and inclusion in a Department of 17 Defense.

Senator Schmitt: Yes, I don't -- but I don't know 18 19 what these activities are, which is the purpose of the 20 letter. I don't know what materials are being submitted. 21 We looked, so hopefully the response to the letter will be 22 in fact be responsive to what actually is being pushed with 23 these so-called trainings. And then I do want to ask you, so there were nearly 17,000 -- well there are over 8,000 24 25 military men and women who lost their jobs because of the



1 COVID vaccine mandate. Is that correct? 2 Secretary Austin: That is about right. 3 Senator Schmitt: Okay. So, when we talk about 4 recruitment challenges, are you actively going after to try 5 to get those 8,000 people back? 6 Secretary Austin: They have the ability to --7 Senator Schmitt: Well, right. No, I am asking, what are your efforts? 8 9 Secretary Austin: They have the ability to reapply 10 for readmission --11 Senator Schmitt: Are you recruiting these folks? 12 Secretary Austin: We are not recruiting, but they 13 have the ability to --14 Senator Schmitt: -- 8,000 well-trained folks. 15 Secretary Austin: We are recruiting new recruits. 16 Senator Schmitt: Okay. And so, what happens now to 17 the remaining several thousand who didn't get the vaccine? 18 Are you intending to fire them as well? There are several 19 folks that weren't immediately dismissed who refused the 20 vaccine. They are still in the military. Are you planning 21 to fire those folks as well? 22 Secretary Austin: The vaccine mandate, Senator, has 23 been rescinded. 24 Senator Schmitt: So, you don't -- now, even though 25 they were refusing when you did have the policy?

TP One

1 Secretary Austin: That is right.

Senator Schmitt: So, your commitment here today is
those folks are not going to lose their jobs.

4 Secretary Austin: Not for a vaccine mandate, Senator
5 --

6 Senator Schmitt: Okay. Well, I would submit that 7 with --

8 Secretary Austin: If I could, like would like to go
9 back to the --

10 Senator Schmitt: Sure.

11 Secretary Austin: -- 5 million hours that you 12 mentioned. And I would like to ask the chairman, who 13 submitted that number, where that number came from.

14 Senator Schmitt: We would be happy to back it up. If 15 you take the stand down day by the number of folks that 16 didn't work that day, that is where we get the numbers. 17 So, we would be happy to follow up specifically. I do have 18 a question --

19 Secretary Austin: That is not accurate, Senator.

20 Senator Schmitt: Okay. Well, how -- maybe you can 21 answer, how many man hours were sacrificed that day? You 22 just testified you can't get those hours back. How many 23 hours were sacrificed on the stand down day?

24 Secretary Austin: Let me ask you and tell you where 25 the numbers came from.



Senator Schmitt: Okay. Well, maybe you can get us
 those numbers when you respond to the letter also.

3 Secretary Austin: As simple as this. You know, when 4 asked to provide that number, his approach was, there are 5 2.1 million troops, each one each troop spent 2 hours, and 6 that is where the number comes.

7 Senator Schmitt: Okay. Well, you can't get the time back, right. Whatever that number was, you can't get that 8 9 time back. General Milley, I do want to ask you a 10 question, because I am limited on time. I do want to ask 11 you about the Philippines, which I think you had mentioned 12 in your previous remarks about that strength, that 13 relationship continues to grow, which I personally think is 14 critical in the Indo-Pacific.

15 Can you talk about what some of those efforts, where 16 you think that is headed? I think as we, you know, 17 obviously the allies that are talked about the most, Japan, 18 Australia, and India, but I think the Philippines are 19 critical. Could you talk about the strategic value of 20 strengthening that relationship?

General Milley: Again, Senator, but just a point of clarification. It is 2.1 million, 2 hours, in fact, 2 hours per person. That is with where the 5.4 million. That is out of 2.8 billion man hours available, in fact, on a 10-hour workday, 5 days a week for the U.S. military.



Senator Schmitt: Okay. Well, whether we are talking
 about dollars or hours, millions still matter. No, no, I
 folks, I represent they a million is a big number.

General Milley: I am just saying where the math came from. And on the Philippines, but in broad -- more broadly than the Philippines, generally the Western Pacific. It is my view that China is, you heard it in the opening statement, is trying to become the regional hegemon within perhaps 10 or 15 years or so.

10 And part of that, of course, is putting the 11 Philippines and other countries in the region at a 12 disadvantage. Those countries want the United States 13 presence there. They clearly do. There is a really an 14 underreported arms race going on in the Western Pacific 15 right now. These countries are arming themselves up and 16 they very much, with very few exceptions, want the United 17 States there.

18 And that is why the Secretary traveled to the 19 Philippines. That is why we are looking at access, basing, 20 and oversight. That is why we are looking at a repositioning in the Western Pacific. It is a design there 21 22 to be forward deployed in order to deter armed conflict 23 with a great power, great power being China in this case, 24 and deter Chinese aggression, not only in the Western 25 Pacific, perhaps elsewhere.



1 So, these are all linked together, tied together. 2 There are many other initiatives ongoing by the services 3 and also by other countries as well. But it is really 4 critical and it is really critical that we get it done 5 right, and we need to move out with a sense of urgency 6 because the next five years, I think, are going to be 7 determinative of really what comes in the future with our 8 relationship with China.

9 Senator Schmitt: Thank you, General.

10 Chairman Reed: Senator Peters, please.

Senator Peters: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And to the three of you, thank you for your testimony here today and thank you for the work you do. I also want to begin by commending the Department's efforts to invest in and incentivize funding to build semiconductor manufacturing facilities and advanced research and development activities at both the national and the regional levels.

Under Secretary McCord, could you speak to how the Fiscal Year 2024 DOD budget request takes advantage of the CHIPS Act that Congress passed recently, that funding, and makes the necessary investments to ensure that we have both a resilient and a robust domestic microelectronics manufacturing ecosystem for both state of the art as well as legacy chips.

25 Mr. McCord: Thank you, Senator. First, I just want



to say that the Department really appreciates Congressional leadership on the CHIPS Act. It really speaks to the recognition of the whole of Government approach needed with China.

5 CHIPS is separate from our budget in the sense that it 6 is now a stand-alone authorization. We had prior to that 7 in the '22 and '23 budget started a pretty robust 8 microelectronics effort, Under Secretary Austin, that was 9 really tied to defense specific capabilities like radiation 10 hardening.

11 What we are doing with CHIPS on top of that then is 12 really that broader industrial base, the fabrication, labs 13 to fabs. I understand that that is now -- we are now in 14 our request for solution source selection for the proposals 15 that went out in that first year of CHIPS that Congress 16 created last year.

17 So, we are moving out now with source selection to 18 actually build out that capability. And then that --19 again, that sits on top of the more defense specific 20 microelectronics, which is over \$2 billion in our budget.

21 Senator Peters: Thank you. And Secretary Austin, the 22 development of Taiwan's reserve military capacity is 23 without question a key component of ensuring that their 24 military is well prepared to deter, and if needed, to 25 defeat a potential PRC invasion of the island.



However, I remain concerned that the Department is not sufficiently addressing the hardships that exist at the authority and funding level with increased mil-to-mil and civ-mil interactions between the United States and Taiwan. Current policy restricts the level of interaction that U.S. forces, particularly the National Guard, have with Taiwan, both CONUS and OCONUS.

And additionally, current restrictions do not allow the Guard to consistently engage with Taiwan under the same authority that the State partnership program utilizes. Rather, other authorities must be utilized to justify and to fund National Guard's involvement in this strategic relationship.

Essentially, what is happening is creating this maze of funding and authorities that restricts consistent engagement that is so important. And it creates undue processes and procedures to coordinate and fund cooperation.

So, my question for you, sir, is how is the Department reassessing the relevant authorities and lines of funding to help streamline the National Guard's ability to support security cooperation efforts with Taiwan?

23 Secretary Austin: We are taking a hard -- thanks for 24 Senator. We are taking a hard look at, you know, all the 25 things that we can do to increase the capability in the



reserve forces. You are right, Senator, this is a -- this
 is critical for them going forward.

Our guard has done amazing work with other countries across the globe. Most recent example, as you know, is the work that they did in Ukraine prior to this invasion. And so that kind of engagement, we think, is critical. So, we are going to continue to find ways to make sure that we are we are helping as much as we can in accordance with the Taiwan Relations Act.

10 And so, we will relook all of our policies and 11 authorities to make sure that we can streamline this as 12 best possible. In the meantime, we are going to continue 13 to do what we are doing to increase our capability.

14 Senator Peters: Secretary Austin, on Saturday, Russia 15 accused Azerbaijan of violating the cease fire, which ended 16 the 2020 war with Armenia. This comes as Azerbaijan has 17 blockaded the Lachin corridor, and more than three months -18 - for more than three months, leading to what is a 19 humanitarian crisis in Karabakh.

I recently wrote to you and Secretary Blinken regarding the use of Section 907 waiver authority and the potential effects of U.S. support in that conflict. My question for you is the military, is U.S. military aid supporting the Azerbaijani military in enabling their aggression against Armenia?



Secretary Austin: We are clearly not enabling aggression, Senator. And as you know, we have had a relationship with them and we have provided assistance in some cases, but in all cases, in use monitoring is really important and we have been focused on that. So, we have we have taken a responsible approach to this. And again, we on the support will not support aggressive activity.

8 Senator Peters: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 9 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much. Senator Peters.
 10 Senator Mullin, please.

11 Senator Mullin: Sorry, my microphone came off there. 12 Thank you, Chairman. And thank you all for being here. 13 General Milley, I want to thank you again for the phone 14 call and the conversation we had yesterday. I greatly 15 appreciate your time on that. And as we stated in that 16 conversation, I wanted to have something for the record.

17 And so, before I begin with the rest of my questions, 18 I would like to touch base on the disastrous withdrawal 19 from American -- from Afghanistan with American troops. 20 Will you commit to providing a complete after-action report 21 on the withdrawal to Congress that provides accountability 22 and transparency for the American people, for the closure 23 of lives that were lost and the people left behind? 24 General Milley: Absolutely. And the Secretary of defense has directed that we do that, and that is done by 25



1 the Joint Staff in CENTCOM as well. But also, the

2 Department of Defense is doing that, so I absolutely commit 3 to that.

Senator Mullin: Thank you. General, as you know, the
industrial base is going through some changes right now.
And prior to the Russian invasion of the Ukraine
sites, or invasion of Ukraine, sites like McAllister's Army
ammunition plant, we are planning on seeing to see a

9 decrease in productivity.

And since this invasion, there's obviously been a new emphasis on where we are moving. And can you speak to what we are seeing with plans like McAllister and the ammunition depots, what the plan is and the future looks like for the industrial era?

General Milley: Yes, the -- thanks, Senator. The defense industrial base, as the Secretary said, has had several different sessions to include the SecDef and others within the Department to really go over the defense industrial base in some detail.

20 One of the big lessons coming out of Ukraine is the 21 incredible consumption of conventional munitions and the 22 conduct of what is really a limited regional war. So, a 23 great power war, if that were to ever happen, God forbid it 24 does, the consumption rates would be incredible.

25 So, the Secretary tasked us to go back and review all



of the various operational plans and the COCOMS to
 determine if our estimates are correct, because if those
 estimates then feed into these budgets to buy the
 ammunition, that study is ongoing.

5 But we can tell you that, for example, 155 ammunition, 6 which is in high demand in the Ukraine fight, we report out 7 daily in a written report to the Secretary defense on all 8 the various ammunition statuses that we have.

9 I won't go over that in an open session, but in a 10 closed session, we can do that if you would like. The 11 bottom line is the defense industrial base is critical to 12 the defense of the United States, and our ability to 13 produce, manufacture and produce the logistical capability, 14 especially munitions, is fundamental.

You mentioned McAllister. I had an opportunity to visit McAllister. That is an incredible facility with incredibly skilled workers that are highly motivated and it is really a one of a type facility. It is an amazing place.

Places like that exist elsewhere in the United States as well, and we need to pay great attention to that defense industrial base if we are ever going to successfully compete and hopefully not actually have a war with the great power.

Senator Mullin: What is interesting is the



25

1 relationship between the public and private service there.

2 A lot of our industrial areas around the country,

3 especially in McAllister, where we have the public sector 4 working with the private sector and delivering missions on 5 time.

And so, I think there is a great amount of pride there, that I think the communities that have the ability and actually the desire to help are standing ready. And McAllister is no different.

10 General Milley: That is great. The workers there are 11 off the charts incredible. Skilled workers, highly 12 motivated.

Senator Mullin: Focus on Fort Sill just second. 13 14 General Rainey stated recently, the Army Futures Command 15 will be reviewing their use of cross-functional teams. 16 Fort Sill in Lawton, Oklahoma is currently home to two 17 cross-functional teams. As the original architect of this 18 system, while serving as Army Chief of Staff, can you speak 19 to the usefulness of the future of these programs, sir? 20 General Milley: Yes, they the Army Futures Command 21 and the priorities that the Army laid out for modernization 22 six, seven years ago are still what they are working on. 23 They have had the most successful modernization programs 24 they have had in decades as a result of that Futures 25 Command.


1 Key to that was the cross-functional teams led by 2 General Officers in each case. Those cross-functional 3 teams were designed intentionally to be temporary until 4 those programs are brought online. For example, long range 5 precision fires, feature vertical lift, the next generation б combat vehicle, those are programs that once they get 7 brought online and brought into the force, those cross-8 functional teams will go away.

9 But it has been a highly successful and innovative 10 organizational concept. What General Rainey is talking 11 about now is the next step, getting it to the next level, 12 because those programs are well underway. So, he is not 13 talking about getting rid of those CFTs. He is talking 14 about looking at the next set of CFTs.

For example, all the main sensing would be one. There is several of these others that they are looking at, and they have not made any decisions yet. The Army hasn't. But Secretary of the Army will make those decision in due time.

But it is an excellent program, it is an excellent Command, and they are really moving out very, very well in the modernization of the U.S. Army.

23 Senator Mullin: Thank you for clarifying that. I24 yield back.

25 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Mullin. Senator



King is recognized and will take over as I go vote. And
 the vote is on, for those who have not yet voted.

Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Secretary
Austin, I just want to clarify a couple of things about
vaccines and vaccine mandates. I am told that a routine
mustering into the service requires something like 13
vaccinations. Is that accurate?

8 Secretary Austin: I think it is nine, Senator. 9 Depending on where you are going. At any one point in 10 time, that number can increase up to about 13, sir.

Senator King: Depends on the on where the soldier,
 Sailor, Marine, or Guardian will be assigned.

13 General Milley: Right.

14 Senator King: And that is just routine. That is part 15 of the military for as long as we can remember. Is it 16 true, General, that -- or sorry, Mr. Secretary, that 17 General Washington mandated vaccination against smallpox in 18 the Continental Army at the time of the Revolutionary War? 19 Secretary Austin: That is true.

20 Senator King: And the purpose of this vaccination 21 mandate had nothing to do with politics. It had to do with 22 saving lives and maintaining readiness, did it not?

23 Secretary Austin: That is exactly right.

24 Senator King: And I remember being on a call when we 25 were in sort of lockdown here with regard to the USS Teddy



Roosevelt, where the -- in early March, April, and May of
 2020, the virus ripped through the ship.

I think over 1,000 people tested positive because of the nature of their close military proximity. Doesn't that make vaccinating against an infectious disease all the more important in the military?

7 Secretary Austin: To me and to all of my colleagues, 8 it absolutely does, Senator. You know, I told chairman a 9 number of times that in my lifetime, the only thing that I 10 have seen that has been able to disable a carrier is this 11 vaccine.

Senator King: It is interesting because General Washington said that, when it alerted John Hancock about the vaccine, he said, this is the most dangerous thing to our troops, more dangerous than the sword of the enemy.

Exactly what you just said. General Milley, I want to thank you for the integrity that you have demonstrated in your position. I remember, and I am sure you, too, to questioning you at your confirmation hearing.

And my question was, will you tell the truth, will you give your best military advice, even if it doesn't suit the leadership that you were working with at the time? You have done that, and I want to thank you for that and for the extraordinary service that you have provided to this country throughout your career, but over the last four



1 years.

I appreciate your keeping your word to me and this committee from the date of your confirmation. General Austin, allowing the intelligence about the imminence of the Russian invasion was, I think, a brilliant move by the Administration at the beginning.

However, why are we telling everybody in the world what we are sending and when we are sending it? This morning I learned on National Public Radio, and I suspect Putin did, although I don't know if he listens to National Public Radio, how many tanks have arrived in Ukraine and when they are going to be deployed. Why do we -- why do we broadcast that information?

14 Secretary Austin: Well, we certainly try not to, 15 Senator. As you know, as various countries provide 16 contributions, they are proud of those contributions, and 17 sometimes we find our colleagues providing a bit too much 18 information. But I --

Senator King: You understand my question?

20 Secretary Austin: I do. I do. So, and when I meet 21 with our colleagues here, and I meet with them every month, 22 this is a point that I will continue to drive home that, 23 you know, the Russians are absolutely focused on where this 24 equipment is and what it is doing. So, we have got to help 25 ourselves.



19

1 Senator King: I fully agree. A couple of questions. 2 I am going to submit for the record about ISR capability, 3 both in NORTHCOM and SOUTHCOM, which was in their unfunded 4 priority list. But you can make a strong case that should 5 have been in the base budget if it is so important, б particularly in counter-drug, where people are being killed 7 every day. I think my calculation is about 20 people have died in this country of overdoses since this hearing 8 started this morning. 9

And to not have sufficient ISR and resources to interdict what we can find, I think is a -- is a misallocation of resources. I am going to submit that for the record. Finally, General Milley, probably the word that has been used the most today is readiness.

And the question I have is, are we preparing for the right war in terms of the change nature of war that you have seen over the course of your career. If a conflict comes, it is going to start with cyber, electronic, directed energy space.

Are we adequately taking account of the changing nature of conflict so that we are not preparing for the last war, but for hopefully there won't be another one, but if there is another conflict, that is where our effort should be going?

25 General Milley: Really briefly, Senator, I think we



are in a pivotal period here, a transition period, where we have a method of war that is based out of an industrial age sort of thing, and we are moving to a different operational environment sometime in the future.

5 So, think about things like robotics, artificial 6 intelligence, hypersonics, cyber. There is a wide variety 7 of technologies that are coming at us very, very quickly. 8 And the country that maximizes and optimizes those 9 technologies for the conduct of warfighting is going to 10 have a decisive advantage, at least at the outset of the 11 next war.

12 Now, at the same time, you can't throw the baby out 13 with the bathwater. We are in a transition period, so we 14 are still going to need ships and planes and tanks, 15 etcetera. But we are in that transition period, and I 16 think this budget, and the last one, and future budgets are 17 going to have to move us in the direction of modernizing 18 the force, transforming this force into a future operating 19 environment that is probably not very far away, probably 20 about 10 years or so, and we need to move all with a sense 21 of urgency.

22 Senator King: Thank you. I agree. And the R&D 23 emphasis in the last budget, in this budget, I think is one 24 of the most important policy directions that this budget 25 represents. On behalf of the chairman, Senator Sullivan.



Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I
 want to thank our witnesses, General Milley, in particular,
 for your service, and General Austin, as well General -- I
 am sorry, Mr. Secretary. I appreciate you highlighting the
 suicide issue in your opening statement. As you know, that
 is hitting the forces in Alaska particularly hard.

7 Still having a hard time getting our arms around that. It is still happening, so working together on that one is a 8 9 high priority of mine, and I appreciate you putting a lot 10 of attention to that. I first want to begin by quickly 11 covering an oversight issue deeply concerning to members of 12 this committee, which was an abuse of the President's 13 Commander-in-Chief authority when he gave a campaign speech 14 last fall with active-duty Marines as political props.

15 This wasn't a speech about Veterans Day or Memorial 16 Day or speech about the heroic actions of the Marines in 17 Iwo Jima or the chosen reservoir. It was the most partisan 18 political speech of the President's two years in office 19 where he disparaged tens of millions of Americans that he 20 claimed threaten the Republic, did not respect the 21 Constitution, on and on and on. Really harsh partisan 22 campaign speech, and there flanking the President of the 23 United States were two active-duty marines.

24 Shameful, outrageous, infuriating. I have raised this 25 issue with both of you and other military leaders and how



inappropriate this image was to our republic. General Milley, you have talked about how the military needs to stay out of politics. I assume in good faith on both of your parts that you didn't know this.

5 But what I want is a commitment from both of you the 6 next time some White House staffer thinks it is a good idea 7 to politicize the military with a political speech like 8 this, with two active-duty Marines or anyone else standing 9 by the President, that both of you will put your foot down 10 and not only say no, but say hell no. Can I get your 11 commitment on doing that to this committee?

Secretary Austin: Senator, I think you know that I am opposed to any type of politicization of the military. And I will not comment on my President's actions.

15 Senator Sullivan: You think that was appropriate, Mr.
16 Secretary?

17 Secretary Austin: Again, Senator --

Senator Sullivan: Do you think that was in your personal opinion, General Milley -- you have been speaking about this. Look, I thought both you were just -- you guys are going to go, no. I have got a bunch more questions. But if we are debating this, let's have the debate. Do you think that was appropriate, General Milley?

You have spoken about not politicizing the military.
That is just outrageous. And by the way, Democrats and



1 Republicans agree with me. So, this isn't even that 2 controversial. The President made a mistake and 3 politicized -- go read the speech. What do you think, 4 General Milley? 5 General Milley: I am firmly committed to a 6 nonpartizan, nonpolitical military. 7 Senator Sullivan: Okay, so if the White House has an idea to do something like that again, will both of you 8 9 commit to this committee to tell whoever the staff is, hey, 10 don't do that. Bad idea. Marines shouldn't be --11 General Milley: I will speak for myself. I have been 12 asked in the past in two different Administrations on 13 things just like that, and I have always said, keep the 14 military out of politics. 15 Senator Sullivan: I am surprised we are having a 16 debate on this. Unfortunately. I am burning a lot of 17 time. It is an important issue, though. I think we all 18 would agree. I am going to next turn to Senator Wicker's 19 point on the budget. Budgets are a reflection of an 20 Administration's priorities. 21 This Administration is now three different times in a 22 row, submitted inflation adjusted cuts to the Department of 23 Defense, while many other Federal agencies have gotten 24 double digit increases. This was the 2022 budget. You

25 see, the DOD was last, second last with a 3 percent cut.



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376)

116

1 The 2023 budget, same kind of issue.

We are down there last. That was actually a 4 percent cut. In this budget, once again, one of the down here with percent cut, while other agencies, EPA almost 20 percent. Both of you said this is the most dangerous time since World War II. This current budget shrinks the Army, shrinks the Navy, shrinks the Marine Corps.

B Doesn't that embolden our allies like Xi Jinping and Putin, not deter them? And General Milley, in your personal opinion, do you support a budget that actually shrinks three of the four services, particularly the Navy? The Congress here has been very clear that we want to grow the Navy. The Chinese are looking at our Navy naval fleet and we are shrinking it.

15 That is the budget. The President probably assumes 16 correctly that this committee will bump it up 17 significantly. But do both of you support a budget that 18 shrinks three of the four services?

And what would you say to the issue of emboldening our allies when they see budgets like this that we three years in a row have to rectify by adding significant funding to them. I will ask both of you, gentleman.

23 Secretary Austin: Well, Senator, first of all, let me 24 thank you for your continued support for DOD. We really 25 appreciate what you and your colleagues have done over the



years. I think you heard me say earlier that we went
 through great pains to link our budget request to our
 strategy.

We are going after the capabilities that we think we need to be successful in any endeavor. And so, I am satisfied that this budget gives us what we need. It is an \$842 billion budget. And again, I think it allows us to go after the right capabilities.

9 Senator Sullivan: General.

General Milley: Sir, as I said in my opening statement, I think the uniformed military can defend this country with an \$842 billion budget. That is a lot of money, and I think if we put it in the right place against the right priorities, we will be able to adequately defend this country.

16 Senator Sullivan: In your personal opinion, do you 17 agree with shrinking the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps?

General Milley: The size of the forces -- I will go one into one here. When I was Chief of Staff of the Army, I had advocated for about a 525 to 50 size ground --

21 Senator Sullivan: This budget takes it to 450. 22 General Milley: Right. And so, I advocated for that 23 because my analysis of future combat indicated that. This 24 strategy, though -- this is a strategy informed budget.

25 This strategy and starting under General Mattis, or



Secretary Mattis and now Secretary Austin, this strategy
 takes us to an essentially a one war strategy, a one war
 strategy with a main effort being China.

So, the question is, what forces are going to be required to confront or potentially fight China? I think the Army and Marines definitely have a role to play, but the weight of the effort is going to be the Navy and the Air Force -- the Navy and the Air Force.

9 Senator Sullivan: Budget shrinks the Navy.

10 General Milley: It does buy a couple of ships for a temporary period of time. I talked to the CNO last night 11 12 at length about this to make sure that I was clear on the Navy piece of it. The ships that are being decommissioned 13 14 and divested of are having significant maintenance -- in 15 fact that the ships for the amphibs, they have been in the 16 yard for years. They are costing way more money just a 17 repair than are worthwhile.

So, they are trying to shift to the next generation of amphib. You will see the curve, I think, when they submit their shipbuilding plan, you will see the curve going up in the not-too-distant future. So, there is a temporary downward spiral of a couple of ships in the overall shipbuilding program.

But this budget buys nine. If you are down two, the net effect is you down two ships out of a 296 ship Navy.



Then it goes down to 293, and then it starts coming back
 up. Now, is that huge? I think the capability of those
 ships matters. As we all know, the capability of our ships
 are different than the capability of the Chinese ships.

5 I know that the Chinese have a lot of ships. However, 6 we not only have the United States Navy, we have the United 7 States Navy working with the Japanese Navy, working with 8 the Australian Navy, working with the British Navy, work 9 with the French Navy.

10 If you start adding up these navies, China is not only 11 outnumbered, but they are outgunned. And in terms of 12 capabilities, if you look at just what I think is the most 13 lethal capability in the United States Navy today is the 14 submarine.

And our subs, the Chinese Navy or the Russian Navy are not even in the same ballpark as our submarine force. Our submarine force can bring people to their knees just by themselves. So, our Navy and this budget -- we never have enough things that we always-- we always want something else. But this budget adequately protects the American people.

I am not going to -- I can't compare it against the rest of the President's priorities. But this \$842 billion budget buys a lot of kit, a lot of training. And we are focused on two things, lethality and readiness, lethality



and readiness, that is all we do every day. 24,000 live fires last year. The 24,000 of those -- you talked about a continuing -- I am sorry, Senator.

Senator Sullivan: No, no, I thought it was a good
answer. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Secretary, General,
appreciate your service. Again, thank you.

7 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Sullivan. Senator8 Duckworth, please.

9 Senator Duckworth: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr.
10 Chairman, earlier this month a former soldier was sentenced
11 to 45 years in prison for attempting to murder U.S.
12 servicemembers, for attempting to provide material support
13 to terrorists, and illegally transmitting national defense
14 information.

In fact, Mr. Metzler, the convicted gentleman, provided sensitive details about his unit, including information about its location, movement, and security to members of the extremist organization Order of the 9 Angles, a white supremacist, neo-Nazi and pro-jihadist group.

21 Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to enter into 22 the record a RAND report dated 2021 that is entitled 23 Reducing the Risk of Extremist Activity in the United 24 States Military.

25 Chairman Reed: Without objection.



1	[The information referred to follows:]	
2	[COMMITTEE INSERT]	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



Senator Duckworth: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This report states, and I will just briefly say this, the vast majority of military personnel and their families are not extremists, but even a small number of people engaged in extremist activities could damage the United States military's reputation, its force, its members, and the larger community.

8 Extremist activities can also be harmful to 9 individuals who are radicalized and their friends and 10 family. That said, as someone who wore the uniform for 23 11 years, I mean, I was just a reservist and a guardsman, did 12 not reach the ranks of the gentleman here or even some of 13 my colleagues on the other side of the aisle.

I have to say I am offended at the implication that conducting extremist training means that our military is filled with extremists. Just as I personally am appreciative of the fact that we have sharp training and by conducting sharp training, we are not saying that every member of the military is a harasser or a perpetrator of military sexual assault.

21 Similarly, my entire time since I have left the 22 military has been spent working on mental health for our 23 troops. And by providing mental health training and 24 resiliency training, we are not saying that the military is 25 filled with people who are about to commit suicide.



1 This is simply part of readiness. So, that said, I am 2 going to switch. I just want to put this on record because 3 it is very offensive to me to very politically say that 4 extremism in the military and training against it is saying 5 that the military is full of extremists.

б The military is full of the bravest, most amazing men 7 and women who do the best job that they can, and they need to be equipped to do their jobs. And that means that they 8 9 need to be equipped with the training to recognize sexual 10 harassment when it occurs, to recognize extremism when it 11 occurs, to recognize signs of hidden wounds of war, when it 12 occurs so that we can keep our units in the highest levels 13 of readiness.

Okay, I am done with that. Last month, I led a CODEL to Japan in Indonesia, and I am planning to going back to the region twice more in just the next few months. And this, in June, Senator Sullivan and I will be leading a delegation to the Shangri-La Dialog.

And, Mr. Secretary, I believe you are going to be speaking there, and I look forward to hearing your remarks there. And in August, I am planning to take a bipartisan group of my colleagues to visit the Philippines, Indonesia, and Thailand to spotlight the importance of opportunities that our nations have to partner together.

25 Mr. Secretary, in your testimony, you highlighted the



1 DOD's enhanced maritime security with the Philippines and 2 exercises with Thailand. In what other ways does the 3 Department's budget request support our allies and partners 4 in Southeast Asia? And how are you program to achieve 5 integrated deterrence in this area of the Indo-Pacific? 6 Secretary Austin: Well, thanks, Senator. And 7 certainly, you know, you with a PDI, Pacific Deterrence 8 Initiative, we are asking you for some \$9.1 billion to 9 improve infrastructure in the region so that we can 10 position our troops further forward and we can be more 11 interoperable. You know, presence matters.

We see a significant investment in the AUKUS agreement, Australia, and the UK, and the U.S. are working together to provide the Australians a conventionally armed nuclear powered submarine capability. And another pillar of AUKUS calls for us to work together on key technologies like AI and hypersonics and long-range fires.

And so, I think that kind of thing, building interoperability is really, really key. We are doing some of the same things with the Japanese as we work to become more interoperable with them. We are helping our partners and allies strengthen their capabilities in terms of cyber.

And I think all of those things will add value. But we are seeing things in the region now that we weren't seeing two years ago, the Japanese doubling its defense



1 investment, you know, more access to the Philippines.

As you know, two years ago, we were about to get kicked out of the Philippines. But we have moved to strengthen our relationship. And, you know, I just think that is powerful.

6 Senator Duckworth: Thank you. I just want to touch 7 on the cyber piece. In fact, last year, I included -- I am 8 sorry, in 2021 NDAA, I included legislation that authorized 9 the Department of Defense to establish a pilot program to 10 enhance cybersecurity, the resilience and the readiness of 11 the military forces of Vietnam, Thailand, and Indonesia.

General Milley, next month I will introduce Igislation to expand this important program to include Malaysia and the Philippines. Can you comment on the value of direct engagement with our overseas partners to help build their cyber skills?

General Milley: I can. Not only cyber skills but any of the military skills. We have excellent military to military relations with so many countries. If you look at, for example, the contact group in Europe that the Secretary has organized, 54 countries show up to support Ukraine and obviously there is only 30 and NATO's so many countries from around the world in Asia.

It is particularly important, I think, given the dynamic nature of the geostrategic environment in Asia.



So, the more countries excuse me, the more countries that
 we have with us, whether it is cyber or anything else, is
 critically important.

In the world of cyber, though, we know that cyber and space are two domains now of armed conflict, including the traditional ones of land, sea and air. So, as we modernize the force and move into cyber space, those two domains are going to be fundamental to success in any kind of conflict.

9 So, working with our allies and partners in the region 10 and developing their capabilities along with ours, I think 11 will go a long way to deterrence. And if necessary, if 12 deterrence fails and it is necessary, then it will go a 13 long way to prevailing in the armed conflict.

14 Senator Duckworth: Thank you. And thank you for your 15 remarkable career of service to this nation and also that 16 of your wife. She not only directly saved lives herself in 17 a very hands-on way, quite literally, but also her work on 18 mental health for our troops is continuing to pay off 19 dividends. Thank you.

20 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Duckworth. Senator21 Budd, please.

22 Senator Budd: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And again, 23 thank you all for being here today and for your time. It 24 has been very helpful. You know, this week, the Senate 25 will likely vote to repeal the 1991 and the 2000 to



1 authorizations of use of military force against Iraq.

Now, one of the amendments considered was whether to
replace the 2000 to AUMF with an authorization for the use
of force against Iranian backed militias in Iraq.

5 And that vote failed but I think there is an important 6 issue here that needs to be addressed. Now, despite the 7 likely repeal of the 2002 AUMF, the U.S. still has forces 8 in Iraq at the invitation of the Iraqi government to train, 9 advise, and to assist Iraqi forces. We also have forces in 10 Syria conducting operations against ISIS.

11 Now, given Iran's continuing malign activities in the 12 region, our forces are at risk of attack from Iranian 13 backed militias, and in fact have been attacked by those 14 militias more than 80 times, including just last week, 15 resulting in the death of a U.S. contractor and injuries to 16 U.S. servicemembers.

17 So, Secretary Austin and General Milley, a brief yes 18 or no, please. Do you agree that the President has both 19 the authority and the responsibility under Article 2 of the 20 Constitution to protect the United States and our forces 21 from attack and the threat of imminent attack? Again, yes 22 or no, Secretary Austin

23 Secretary Austin: I do, Senator.

24 General Milley: Yes, same --

25 Senator Budd: Yes. Thank you. But despite the more



than 80 attacks by Iranian backed militias, the United
States has only responded with force just a handful of
times. In my view, that is unacceptable. Secretary
Austin, earlier you told my colleague, Senator Cotton, that
our last the retaliatory strikes against IRGC
infrastructure, it was against infrastructure doing.

Now, does that mean a building or a warehouse? The
question behind the question is really why not target the
IRGC leadership or officers directing these attacks?

10 Secretary Austin: Thank you, Senator. We can be more 11 specific in closed session, but it included a headquarters 12 element building and also storage sites where key munitions 13 were being stored and other capabilities were being 14 developed. And there were people in those in those 15 facilities.

Senator Budd: Thank you for that. The point being that we need to reassert deterrence and our current responses don't seem to effectively do that. Weakness only breeds more aggression. Weaknesses are provocative.

And if we only respond when they kill an American, they will continue attacking, hoping to injure as many as possible. There is no reason why this Administration should not respond more forcefully should Iranian backed militias threaten U.S. forces in Iraq or in Syria. It is important for the American people to know that it is not



because the Administration doesn't have the legal authority
 to do so. This Administration simply seems to lack the
 will.

So, Secretary Austin, another issue that needs to be addressed is the Department's use of taxpayer dollars to facilitate abortions. Now, I join my colleagues in publicly denouncing the policy changes, unfortunately made at your direction, to authorize unasked, uncharged absences and travel pay for those seeking abortions.

10 So, the military is not a place for social agendas. 11 So, I will ask you here, will you rescind those abhorrent 12 policies?

13 Secretary Austin: What I will say, Senator, is, 14 number one, this policy does not fund abortions, and I want 15 to be clear on that. This policy provides access to non-16 covered reproductive health care. You know, as I said 17 earlier, you know, one -- almost one in five of my troops, 18 our troops are women.

Senator Budd: Very aware. But I understand that ultimately it is taxpayer dollars that are for this uncharged absences and the travel expenses related to that. I understand your point, but I still think that is not the right answer.

And until these policies are rescinded, I am going to also have to consider holds against DOD nominees in



solidarity with my colleagues. Mr. Secretary, you can fix
 this. 158 holds, in essence, are there. You can fix this
 in nearly in an instant, so I would encourage that.

4 Shifting gears, a bit --

5 Secretary Austin: Sir, I would encourage you to help 6 us make sure that we have the leadership in place to lead 7 our magnificent --

8 Senator Budd: And I would agree with you, completely 9 agree with you, and you can fix that nearly instantaneously 10 with a change in policy. So, thank you. We understand, 11 Secretary Austin, that the committee has heard from most of 12 the Combatant Commanders so far earlier this year.

And I have asked each of them about foreign military sales and how that's working in their areas of responsibility. And each have said that the process is not fast or flexible enough to meet our partners' defense requirements and that we are at risk of losing our security of partner of choice status to China.

19 So what efforts are the Department taking internally 20 to streamline the FMS process, and are there issues that 21 you think need to be addressed by Congress?

22 Secretary Austin: A year ago, I put together a tiger 23 team to address this specific issue, and you are right. I 24 mean, there are a number of our allies and partners who 25 clearly want to see capability be provided quicker.



And I fully understand their concerns. So, we are doing everything we can to identify logjams and work through those to speed up things. Industry is coming out of a two-year trough there because of COVID, as you know, sir. And, you know, it will take a little time for our industry to catch up.

But we are going to do everything we can to make sure that, you know, the bureaucracy is not slowing things down. And we will continue this work. But I don't disagree with you that this is something that requires consistent focus on, and we are going to do that.

12 Senator Budd: Thank you both. I yield.

13 Chairman Reed: Thank you. Senator Kelly.

14 Senator Kelly: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Secretary 15 Austin, earlier today at this hearing, Senator Ernst asked 16 General Milley about conflict in the INDOPACOM region, and 17 the General's response referenced the importance of 18 irregular warfare. So, Secretary Austin, I have been 19 rather disappointed with the halting and repetitive process 20 that DOD has exhibited as it attempts to establish the 21 irregular warfare center.

As you may recall, the IW Center was authorized by this committee back in 2020, and the project was intended to honor Arizona Senator and former Armed Services Committee Chairman John McCain's legacy of support for the



concept of a whole society approach to our National
 Security.

3 He knew that traditional military strength isn't 4 enough in today's geopolitics and that we also need to 5 succeed in the irregular and in the competition spaces, not 6 just in conflict. In recognition of the original intent 7 for this project, this committee supported naming the 8 Center for Senator John McCain. Now, I have also been working closely with this committee and the Department to 9 10 ensure that we leverage expertise and ideas from 11 universities to help our nation get after these significant 12 challenges.

And the original authority identified the McCain Institute at Arizona State University as a site to be reviewed as a location for the establishment of the center. And I appreciate your memo, Mr. Secretary, from July of 2022 affirming your decision to create the Center for Security Studies in irregular warfare and directing DOD to act expeditiously, including in determining allocation.

But now we are nearly three years down the road and we have had numerous duplicative site reviews and we are still waiting for a final decision. And what I don't think we need is a new basing process. We need a decision.

And it is clear to me that it is time to move forward with Arizona State University and ensure that the IW Center



can deliver on its promise for DOD and the nation. So, Mr.
 Secretary, can I have your commitment that you will look
 into these delays and push the Department to come to a
 final decision on this?

5 Secretary Austin: Certainly, Senator, you have my 6 commitment that I will look into the delays. You know, 7 what I have required the team to do is to make sure that we 8 have a process that is transparent and also that avoids 9 outside influence and to make sure that we are aboveboard. 10 But I will certainly look into it and get a brief back to 11 you on specific delays that we have encountered.

12 Senator Kelly: Well, thank you. Thank you, General, 13 because three years is just, it is too long. And this is, 14 as General Milley pointed out, is just as important if we 15 wind up in a conflict in the Western Pacific. So, thank 16 you, Mr. Secretary. General Milley, last year, Congress 17 authorized multi-year procurement contracts as a way to 18 boost our nation's dwindling supply of munitions and to 19 purchase additional systems in support of Ukraine.

20 And this has had a meaningful impact on a lot of 21 Arizona businesses who produce some of these critical 22 munitions. Multi-Year procurement can save the Government 23 a lot of money. It can save it a lot of money over the 24 long run and provide much needed stability for 25 manufacturing business, while also ensuring that our



military and our key partners and allies have the munitions
 that they need.

3 General Milley, can you please, in the time I have 4 remaining, just talk about the impact that multi-year 5 procurement has had so far in securing weapons, both for 6 the United States but also for Ukraine. Has this been 7 beneficial? And do you think that the munitions that 8 Congress authorized for multi-year procurement is enough, 9 or should we have more products being considered for multi-10 years?

11 Chairman Reed: You have 19 seconds.

General Milley: So first, multi-year contracts are essential, and passing a budget on time with no continuing resolution is essential to ensure we have multi-year contracts. So, those two things are linked, and I cannot emphasize that enough. That is important. And it has had a big impact, positive impact on Ukraine, and it will help to replenish our stocks as well.

19 Senator Kelly: Do you think we should expand it to 20 some other products?

21 General Milley: I do.

22 Senator Kelly: Thank you. Thank you.

23 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Kelly. I would 24 ask, I have been very tolerant of the time, but I ask 25 people to stay within five minutes. We are running quite



1 late. Senator Scott, please. Thank you.

Senator Scott: Only for Senator Rosen and me. Only
for us two. Thank you. Thank you for -- thank you guys
for your service. Thank you for all the men and women that
serve. On the Personnel subcommittee for Armed Services,
Senator Warren and I had a presentation on how bad we are
doing on recruiting.

8 And our recruiting numbers are pretty pathetic right 9 now. It seems like, I remember I joined in 18 and joined 10 the Navy. We knew who our enemies were, we knew what the 11 military's role was and I think unfortunate.

12 A lot of Americans are a little concerned. There is 13 recent polling data from the Reagan Institute and the 14 National Independent Panel on Military Service and 15 Readiness, showing us that many Americans and majority of 16 active-duty service members find the military to be 17 politicized and focused on what divides us instead of what 18 unites us.

So, if that is true, what do you -- what can you do and what are you doing to make sure, because I think Senator Sullivan was bringing up the fact that we shouldn't have Marines in pictures like that and with speeches.

What do you what are you doing to make sure our military is not perceived to be a political arm of whoever, whoever the President is, doesn't matter whether the



1 Republican or Democrat.

Secretary Austin: Well, first of all, I would just do
two things. First, in terms of the satisfaction of the
troops that are in the ranks and how we view ourselves,
Senator, I would just point to the fact that we have the
highest reenlistment rates probably that we have seen in
several decades.

8 You know, what that means is that people are satisfied 9 with the opportunities they are provided and with the 10 development that they are receiving. The second thing is 11 with respect to recruiting, as you know, there are a number 12 of things that have combined to create headwinds, and they 13 include the lowest jobless rate that we have seen in many, 14 many years. Also, two years of COVID.

And, you know, Senator, without recruiters not having access to high schools and centers of influence for two years will have a profound impact on our ability to recruit. Our leadership in the services is doing the right thing. I mean, our Secretaries, our Chiefs are doing the right things.

They are they are investing, beginning to invest the right monies in advertising and encouraging their recruiters to be in the right spaces. They are hiring the right people to be recruiters.

25

And I used to be a recruiter, so I am not guessing at



1 what this takes. I know how hard this is and what you need 2 to do to be successful. They are beginning to do those 3 things again, and I think we will see this -- we will see 4 the trends move in a different direction.

5 Senator Scott: So, the next year, we will have good 6 numbers?

Secretary Austin: Next year, you will have better numbers. We will be where we want to be probably the year after that. It took two years to get us to where we are because of COVID. It will take a little bit longer to get to get turned around.

General Milley: Senator, I have had many thanks and conversation with all the chiefs on this whole topic. Yes, we have a recruiting challenge, no question about it. I do think there is a cause-and-effect link to COVID and I am not blaming that. But we do know that recruiting numbers are directly linked to contact time.

And because kids have been in high schools and we haven't had the recruiters in high schools, contact time is very, very low. So, consequently, you have much lower recruiting numbers as one key factor. Another key factor is just the familiarity with the American citizenry, with their military.

Now we are at an all-time high, 50 year high of reenlistment, which is incredible, actually. That indicates



1 that the people in the military service like what they are 2 doing every day.

3 So that is an all-time 50 year high. But 80 percent, 4 70 to 80 percent in that range, depending on the service, 5 of our folks in uniform are in uniform who have a family 6 member in uniform, either a father, mother, brother, 7 sister, uncle, grandfather, grandmother, something along 8 those lines.

9 So, it is familiarity with the military that makes a 10 difference, I think, in terms of recruiting. And that is 11 what we got to get out. We have to get out more into the 12 society. We got to make sure we increase our contact time 13 significantly.

And on the politicization piece, look it, I have tried from day one to make sure that we have an apolitical, nonpartisan U.S. military. If we have anything other than that, that is a danger to the Republic.

We must remain a nonpartisan, apolitical military that is fundamental to this country and that is what I am committed to. That is what all the generals are committed to. And that is what you have right now, and that is what we want to continue to keep.

Senator Scott: General Milley, I like your answer to
Senator Sullivan on you being able to compete against
China. Do you actually believe that all the countries you



1

named will show up if China invades Taiwan?

General Milley: I think in different ways, yes.
Perhaps not all with combat forces and that sort of thing.
I think allies operate differently. Every country operates
in its own strategic interest at the moment in time. But I
do know that we have an incredible network of allies and
friends around the world.

8 And in the Pacific, we have a series of bilateral 9 defense treaties that are ratified by the Senate, and those 10 are ironclad, you know, with the Republic of Korea, Japan, 11 Philippines, Thailand, Australia. These are treaties that, 12 you know, we are committed to fight on their behalf sort of 13 thing. So, I think we have a network of allies and 14 partners that gives us a strategic advantage over China or 15 Russia, for that matter. If you look at who China's 16 friends are, it is not very many.

They are operating in a purely transactional way around the world. They are trying to garner as many natural resources as they can from around the world. But there is not a lot of people who are "friends" with China.

There are many, many countries that are very tight, very close with the United States, and that is a great strength of ours, and I do think they would be there in time of need.

25 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Scott. Thank you



1 very much. Senator Rosen, please.

Senator Rosen: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
really appreciate holding this hearing. I want to thank
each of the witnesses today for testifying for your service
to the country.

6 And General Milley, I know this is going to be your 7 last time before the committee, and I want to thank you for 8 your decades of distinguished service leading the brave men 9 and women of our armed forces and really navigating so many 10 challenges worldwide. We all appreciate your service.

And so, we are going to -- I am going to talk a little bit about combating Iranian aggression, because attacks on the U.S. installations and service members by Iran's terrorist proxies are increasing, particularly by rocket and drone attack.

16 The Pentagon estimates that hundreds of American 17 troops have been killed by Iranian backed militias, 18 including, as we have discussed already today, a deadly 19 attack last week on a U.S. base in Syria.

There are families across the country without their loved ones as a direct result of Iranian aggression. I can't repeat that enough, a direct result of Iranian aggression. Yet Iran's regime has never been held accountable for orchestrating attacks against Americans. So, Secretary Austin, I appreciate that in this latest



1 instance, last week, the U.S. responded with airstrikes 2 against individuals connected with the IRGC. 3 But just as you told my colleague, Senator Cotton, 4 Senator Budd, we know there have been about 83 such attacks 5 by Iranian proxies on U.S. forces in Syria in the past two 6 years alone. We have only retaliated four times. 7 And so why has the United States responded so infrequently, particularly when these militias are most 8 9 pervasive threat, the most pervasive threat to U.S. and 10 coalition forces in the region? 11 Secretary Austin: Well, first of all, as was stated 12 earlier, our troops have the ability to certainly protect 13 themselves. And there have been responses, local responses 14 to certain types of activity in those -- our troops always 15 have the ability to do that. In terms of major responses, 16 strikes, you are right, there has only been four of those. 17 You know, at the three tours I spent in Iraq, you 18 know, I can tell you that me and my troops received a lot 19 of attacks from Iran. But when we respond, we want to make 20 sure that, Iranian backed militias, we want to make sure that that we are going after the element that is 21 22 responsible for whatever that activity is. So, it takes a 23 little time to develop, you know, attribution. 24 And then we want to make sure that we are holding the

25 right element accountable, and we are doing everything that



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 we can to protect our troops. And so, all of those things 2 go into, you know, our consideration when we plan a 3 response option.

4 Senator Rosen: Well, I have two questions. One for 5 you, General Milley, another one for you, Secretary Austin. 6 So, the first one is for General Milley to follow up. What 7 can we do proactively to counter these militias before they 8 injure and kill American service members? And then, 9 Secretary Austin, can you tell us, do our Commanders who I 10 know want to do more, have the necessary authorities to target Iran's proxies other than the ability for self-11 12 defense? So, General Milley, we will start with you.

General Milley: In terms of proactive measures, what we are looking at is force protection in all of the various camps and outposts in the Central Command area of operations, specifically in Iraq and Syria, where these attacks occur. They have, we think, adequate force protection in terms of the kind of rocket and missile defenses.

They are able to, in fact -- forward responses is probably an understatement because we end up shooting down a lot of these incoming UAVs, for example. If a rocket or a 107 rocket or something like that is going to miss the compound by two or three or four or five kilometers, that is a significant amount.



1 So really what we owe you is some better numbers 2 actually on attacks that I would categorize as actual 3 attacks on the compound, and missing a compound by two or 4 three kilometers, that may or may not -- we don't know what 5 that was necessarily. So, we owe you some better numbers. 6 But to get to your point about proactively, we have to 7 work closely with the Iraqi government for those Shia 8 militia groups that are inside Iraq. And we have to 9 continue to work with our partners in the region for the 10 attacks that are occurring in Syria. So, working with our

11 indigenous allies and partners is key.

12 And then also putting these groups on notice, the 13 various Shia militia groups who know who they are, letting 14 them know that we will respond affirmatively and forcefully 15 if they attack our troops.

We have done that. There are some of these groups that are much more aggressive than others, and we have actually in the past done some things to those groups that are not necessarily on the front page of the paper.

20 So, there are activities that are occurring that do 21 act as a proactive measure. The last thing I would say 22 with respect to Iran. Messaging Iran is critically 23 important. The Iranian government is a complex, large 24 organization, and the supreme leader may or may not be 25 making every single decision.



We do know that the Iraqi or the Iranian Revolutionary Guard force and specifically their Quds force, which is designated a terrorist organization, that group there is what we need to be targeting and targeting them very harshly or harshly over time, and that is exactly what we plan on doing.

Senator Rosen: Thank you. I know my time is up. But
Secretary Austin, just asked if we have the necessary
authorities, quickly.

10 Secretary Austin: You know, I think we do, Senator. 11 And this is something that we need to continue to review as 12 we go forward. And, you know, we certainly have the right 13 to self-defense. We have authorities under the 2001 AUMF, 14 and that enables us to do what we need to do to in or 15 counter ISIS efforts.

16 But again, as we go forward, it is always good to look at the authorities and make sure that we evolve them if 17 18 that is required. And so, you have my commitment to work 19 with leadership and with you to continue to do that. 20 Senator Rosen: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 Thank you, Senator Rosen. Chairman Reed: The 22 committee will stand in recess until 12:55 p.m., and we 23 will reconvene in closed session in SVC-217. Thank you, 24 gentlemen.

[Whereupon, at 12:38 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.]



25