Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

## **UNITED STATES SENATE**

TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE POSTURE OF UNITED STATES EUROPEAN COMMAND AND UNITED STATES AFRICA COMMAND IN REVIEW OF THE DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM

Thursday, April 3, 2025

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1029 VERMONT AVE, NW 10TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1 TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE POSTURE OF UNITED STATES 2 EUROPEAN COMMAND AND UNITED STATES AFRICA COMMAND IN REVIEW 3 OF THE DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR 2026 4 AND THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM 5 б THURSDAY, APRIL 3, 2025 7 8 U.S. Senate 9 Committee on Armed Services 10 Washington, D.C. 11 12 The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:31 a.m. in Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Roger 13 14 Wicker, Chairman of the committee, presiding. 15 Committee Members Present: Senators Wicker 16 [presiding], Fischer, Cotton, Rounds, Ernst, Scott, 17 Tuberville, Budd, Schmitt, Banks, Sheehy, Reed, Shaheen, 18 Gillibrand, Blumenthal, Hirono, Kaine, King, Peters, Kelly, 19 and Slotkin. 20 21 22 23 24



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 1

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. ROGER F. WICKER, U.S. SENATOR
 FROM MISSISSIPPI

3 Chairman Wicker: Good morning. The Committee will 4 come to order. And today, we welcome General Christopher 5 Cavoli, the Commander of U.S. European Command, and General 6 Michael Langley, the Commander of U.S. Africa Command. I 7 thank them both for being with us today.

8 First of all, we meet today in the wake of the 9 difficult news that we have been learning more about over 10 the last few days. We've been saddened by the death of four 11 American service members, and we now know the names of them 12 all. They passed away in a tragic training accident in 13 Lithuania. And so, we recognize them and send out our best 14 to their families and friends.

15 But this morning we talk about two very important areas 16 of responsibility. The European continent is now entering 17 its third year of war as Russia continues, its brutal 18 assault against Ukraine. There's no question who started 19 this war. Despite the physical and psychological exhaustion 20 and material constraints from the conflict, Ukrainian 21 military and people have heroically and successfully continued to resist Russian efforts to subjugate them. 22 The war serves as a brutal reminder that Vladimir Putin 23 24 has chosen to become an enemy of the West and to throw away



1 Russia's future. The Department of Defense is right to 2 label China as our pacing threat. Nonetheless, Russia and its thousands of varied nuclear weapons continue to pose an 3 4 existential danger to the United States and to our allies. 5 Moscow's military aggression sows uncertainty and threatens 6 vital U.S. interests every day as Europe remains by far our 7 largest trading partner and source of investment in the 8 United States.

9 The war in Ukraine has exposed the Russian Army's 10 weakness, but it also has shown that Russia can adapt to 11 changing circumstances and can endure heavy cost. The 12 Russian industrial base aided by China, North Korea, and 13 Iran has demonstrated its ability to sustain Putin's army. 14 Russia would likely use any pause in fighting to 15 reconstitute its military. I say all this to make a simple 16 point: We cannot wish away the Russian threat.

17 Despite Russia's aggression, there are some who believe 18 now is the time to reduce drastically our military footprint 19 in Europe. This is a viewpoint with which I disagree. I'm 20 troubled at this deeply misguided and dangerous view is held 21 by some mid-level bureaucrats within the Defense Department. 22 They've been working to pursue a U.S. retreat from Europe, and they've often been doing so without coordinating with 23 24 the Secretary of Defense and the National Security Council.



1 As I have said, Russia is now mobilized for permanent 2 war, withdrawing now would doom any hope of lasting peace in Europe. Right now, we have a unique opportunity in Europe. 3 4 President Trump's leadership and the Russian threat have 5 jolted Europe awake. Many nations have begun rebuilding 6 their militaries. Our allies on the eastern plank, Poland, the Baltic states, and Romania are all spending much more 7 8 than we are, much more than we are. The United Kingdom and 9 France are awakening. Even Germany shows signs of stirring. 10 NATO should be led by the United States, but Europe 11 should shoulder most of the military burden. We can achieve 12 that by combining the right incentives with low cost assistance from the United States, including a drastically 13 14 overhauled foreign military sales system. To build that 15 NATO, we must maintain our current posture, which will serve 16 as a bridge to the planned buildup of combat power by our 17 European NATO allies. After three years of war, we probably 18 should make some posture adjustments, including moving 19 forces east. But we must maintain a strong military posture 20 in Europe overall.

Failing to do so, risks tempting Russian adventurism before our European allies have been able to ramp up their forces fully and their capabilities. The Chinese Communist Party views its competition against the United States as a



global project. To China, the continents of Europe, Asia,
 South America, and Africa are all critical in Xi Jinping's
 unprecedented global military expansion. In particular,
 Beijing has been active on the African continent.

5 In Djibouti, China's naval base has grown 6 substantially. It's now capable of hosting China's most 7 advanced naval vessels and serving as an intelligence 8 collection outpost against American and allied forces in the 9 entire region. China is also actively pursuing a naval base 10 in Africa's western coast, the Atlantic coast, which would 11 provide an enduring foothold along the Atlantic Ocean.

12 According to General Langley, this would "Change the whole calculus of the geostrategic campaign plans of 13 14 protecting the American homeland." Russia also has designs 15 on the African continent. Its destabilizing strategy is to 16 trade security assistance for access to Africa's abundant 17 natural resources. This would help fund Vladimir Putin's 18 malign activities around the world. At the center of 19 Putin's Africa strategy is Libya, which serves as Russia's 20 key logistical node and enables its activities across the 21 continent.

I look forward to General Langley's assessment of
Africa's importance to Vladimir Putin's strategic
objectives, as well as his description of what's being done



to counter Russian efforts, particularly in Libya. We
cannot ignore the enduring threat posed by ISIS and Al-Qaeda
in Africa. Without sustained pressure, these vicious
terrorists will reconstitute and continue to threaten
America. President Trump was absolutely right to approve
strikes against ISIS leadership targets in Somalia in recent
weeks.

8 Our adversaries view their fight against America as a 9 global fight. We see their efforts playing out across 10 Europe and Africa in particular. Now is not the time for an 11 American withdrawal from these theaters. We cannot allow 12 the Chinese Communist Party and its partners in Moscow, 13 Tehran, and Pyongyang, to overcome us strategically or to 14 erode the ability to protect American interests around the 15 world.

So, we have a lot of important topics to talk about today. I look forward to hearing our witnesses address these and many other concerns during this hearing along with my friend, the Ranking Member whom I recognize right now.

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24



STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE
 ISLAND

3 Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
4 And let me begin by adding my condolences to the families of
5 those soldiers who perished in Lithuania.

General Cavoli, General Langley, welcome. Thank you
both for appearing today. I'd also like to take a moment to
recognize that this will be the final hearing before the
committee for each of you, before your well-deserved
retirements.

11 Chairman Wicker: No.

12 Senator Reed: Yes. Well, we could change that. Well, 13 for four decades, you have stepped forward time after time 14 to lead American service members, including in combat and 15 through historically difficult challenges. You have made 16 the United States safer. You have made our forces in Europe 17 and Africa stronger. On behalf of this committee, thank you 18 for your distinguished service to our nation.

19 Congratulations to you and your families on your pending 20 retirements.

For more than three years, EUCOM has been the backbone of the international effort to support Ukraine in its war of survival against Russia. EUCOM has enabled the Ukrainians to succeed on the battlefield while sending an unmistakable



message to America's adversaries around the globe. However,
 in recent months, the position of the United States has
 reversed. The Trump administration has repeatedly shown
 disrespect and contempt for NATO and our European allies.

5 The Trump administration has begun negotiating with б Vladimir Putin, but has surrendered much of us strongest 7 leverage for nothing in return. As the administration seeks 8 to negotiate an end to the war, I would point out that 9 Russia is not in a strong position. Putin has sustained 10 staggering costs in Ukraine, including more than 200,000 11 soldiers killed, and hundreds of billions of dollars of 12 military equipment and weapons destroyed. Russia has even 13 turned to North Korea for reinforcements.

In addition, Russia's closest ally in the war, Iran is weaker than it has been in decades due to recent Israeli and U.S. actions. In contrast, the Ukrainian military continues to hold its ground and to display impressive innovation on the battlefield, and the Ukrainian people remain resolute in the face of daily Russian brutality.

I would argue that there's never a time to appease Putin, but it is certainly not now. The Russians do not seem interested in actually negotiating or ending this war, and the Ukrainians should not be forced to make a deal that disadvantages them. General Cavoli, you have testified in



1 the past that Russia is, "A chronic and growing threat to 2 U.S. interest."

I would appreciate your assessment of the war in Ukraine and your views on future U.S. military activities and investments needed in the EUCOM area to uphold our steadfast commitment to collective defense and America's security.

8 In Africa, our adversaries are focused on building 9 trade relationships while seizing influence from us. 10 China's military ambitions on the continent are no secret, 11 from their growing presence in Djibouti to the more than 100 12 seaports that have been constructed, financed, or operated 13 by Chinese state-owned enterprises, and could be used as 14 dual use for future military contingencies.

Russia has expanded its military engagement in Africa, 15 16 also, including by restructuring the Wagner group's 17 operations under an umbrella organization called Africa 18 Corps, a historic irony of great proportions. They want to 19 expand the reach of the Russian private military enterprise 20 across the entire continent. Russia is also investing heavily in disinformation campaigns across the continent to 21 22 spread anti-Western movements, undermine democracy, and 23 promote Russian backed authoritarian leaders, especially in 24 regions like West Africa.



1 It would seem that America's competitors recognize that 2 to neglect Africa is to invite long-term strategic peril. 3 General Langley given these challenges, AFRICOM must 4 continue to explore new methods to maintain U.S. security 5 interest in the region. To do so, it is important that your 6 command receives the resources it needs to maintain engagement and increase situational awareness across the 7 8 continent. I would appreciate your thoughts on the wide-9 ranging set of challenges and what your command needs to 10 best address these challenges.

11 As we know, economic and political stability is 12 essential for any partner nation anywhere in the world. For 13 decades, we have relied upon our state department diplomats 14 and the U.S. Agency for International Development, or USAID 15 to develop deep lasting relationships that can outlast China 16 and Russia's economic coercion. Indeed, until recently, 17 AFRICOM had a senior USAID officer within the command who 18 served as the senior development advisor and reported 19 directly to the commander.

I'm deeply concerned that our soft power leadership in Europe and Africa has been badly crippled over the past several months. General Cavoli, General Langley, I would like to hear your perspectives on the critical importance of diplomacy and developmental tools to achieve our national



security objectives and how our competitors have sought to
 capitalize on the withdrawal of USAID from your regions.

3 Finally, I would highlight recent press reports that 4 suggest President Trump may soon remake the entire U.S. 5 combatant command structure, including dismantling AFRICOM, 6 and merging it under EUCOM. This would be a mistake and I 7 believe a harmful decision. Gentlemen, please provide your 8 assessment of the harms of the U.S. vital interest if we 9 reduce our engagements in Europe and Africa, and the 10 opportunity that we provide for competitors like Russia and 11 China.

12 Thank you again for your service, for your leadership, 13 for your sacrifice, and thank your families also. Thank 14 you, Mr. Chairman.

15 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Reed. Which one 16 of you wants to go first?

17 General Cavoli: General Langley has volunteered me,18 Chairman. Thank you.

19 Chairman Wicker: You are recognized.

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24



2 COMMANDER, UNITED STATES EUROPEAN COMMAND AND SUPREME ALLIED
 3 COMMANDER EUROPE

STATEMENT OF GENERAL CHRISTOPHER G. CAVOLI, USA

General Cavoli: Chairman Wicker, Ranking Member Reed,
distinguished members of this committee, it's an honor to be
here today along with Sergeant Major Rob Abernethy, to
represent the men and women of U.S. European Command, and
it's a privilege to be here sitting beside my friend,
General Mike Langley.

10 Chairman, thank you and Ranking Member Reed, thank you 11 for your condolences regarding our soldiers who were 12 tragically lost. They have all been recovered. I would like to take a moment to salute the American leadership 13 14 there, from General Donahue, to General Taylor, to General 15 Norrie, all of whom have done a fantastic job. I would also 16 like publicly to thank our Lithuanian allies and our Polish 17 allies who left no stone unturned to help us recover those 18 soldiers and who bent over backwards to give us every single 19 thing we needed.

The Minister of Defense of Lithuania was on site every single day to make sure that her country was giving us what we could. We did a repatriation ceremony today, bringing the soldiers out, the streets were lined with Lithuanian citizens expressing goodwill and alliance with us. And I



1

would commend that video clip to any member of this
 committee or any American, and I thank them.

3 Before I begin, let me thank the committee, of course, 4 for all your support to our service members and our mission 5 over the years that I have served here. So we continue to 6 face a very historic time in the European theater, Russia's war in Ukraine now in its fourth year, has revealed Russia 7 8 to be a chronic threat, and we see in the future it will be 9 a growing threat, one that is willing to use military force 10 to achieve its geopolitical goals, and is today actively 11 waging a campaign of destabilization across Europe and 12 beyond.

13 Further exacerbating this threat from Russia are the 14 deepening partnerships among our adversaries, Iran, the 15 Communist Chinese Party, the DPRK, and Russia itself, and 16 these are increasingly posing a global risk. But U.S. EUCOM 17 and the NATO alliance have arisen to the challenge. NATO 18 deterrence has held, war has not spilled into NATO 19 territory. With support from a broad international 20 coalition, U.S. European Command led the charge in helping 21 Ukraine. We established Security Assistance Group Ukraine 22 now commanded by Lieutenant General Curtis Buzzard, and for 38 months of this war, SAG U.S. has delivered critical 23 24 munitions arms and equipment from the U.S. and our allies to



1 the Ukrainians.

2 These efforts has been indispensable in enabling the Ukrainian armed forces, and they have empowered them to 3 4 mount a courageous defense. And now NATO has taken up this 5 task as well, establishing in record time in organization to take over much of SAG-U's mission. The threat of war has 6 7 also led the NATO alliance to embark upon an historic 8 campaign to fundamentally overhaul and modernize its military capabilities. 9

10 After years of underinvestment, too many years, our 11 allies are finally optimizing the alliance for high-end war 12 fighting. And within NATO, we now have the plans, the 13 command and control, and increasingly the forces, and we 14 have the focus to meet that mission. And while there's 15 still much to do, our allies are investing at a rate we 16 haven't seen since the end of the Cold War, a 40 percent 17 increase in spending since February of 2022.

And throughout this intense and challenging transformation, the presence of U.S. EUCOM forces has been essential to the alliance's modernization efforts. We've converged U.S. headquarters with NATO command structures, we've increased combined training and exercises, and we've been accelerating European modernization and readiness. Through U.S. leadership, we are laying the groundwork for



European leadership, and thus for more years of peace and
 prosperity for the United States of America.

It's a long road ahead and a sustained vigilance and 3 4 pressure will be required, but we will get there. This has 5 been to protect vital U.S. interest. Our strengthened б allies will increase our strength and our deep 7 relationships, give us the access, the basing, and the 8 intelligence necessary to do this. The first line of 9 defense of our homeland begins in EUCOM, where our naval 10 forces prevent Russian submarines from breaking out into the 11 Atlantic.

U.S. ballistic missile defense of Israel starts with EUCOM ships positioned in the Eastern Mediterranean, and it's our job in EUCOM safely to evacuate Americans from the Levant. We are America's force forward in the world.

So as the Chairman and the Ranking Member noted, ladies and gentlemen, this is my last annual testimony to you, as I'm closing 38 years of service this summer. It's been really the honor of a lifetime to serve with our brilliant service members during these historic times. I continue to be inspired by their commitment to our nation's forward defense.

And I thank you the Congress for your unwavering
 support to our servicemen and women, to their wellbeing, and



1	to their vital mission. America is the greatest country in
2	the world, and it is in large part because of our armed
3	forces, which are the finest in the world. I look forward
4	to your questions.
5	[The prepared statement of General Cavoli follows:]
6	[COMMITTEE INSERT]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	Chairman Wicker:	Thank	you	very,	very	much,	General.
2	General Langley.						
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							



1

## 2 COMMANDER, UNITED STATES AFRICA COMMAND

General Langley: Chairman Wicker, Ranking Member Reed,
distinguished committee members, it's an honor to appear
here today representing the outstanding service members,
civilians, and families of United States Africa Command.

7 As I get closer to the end of my tenure of command, I 8 remain in awe of the character and capabilities of the men 9 and women willing to serve our nation. Our team of 10 soldiers, sailors, marines, airmen, guardians, and civilians 11 perform valiantly, and I'm honored and proud to serve with 12 them. Point be known, America remains in good hands. I'm proud to testify with my good friend, General Cavoli, the 13 14 Supreme Allied Commander in Europe, and the commander of 15 United States European Command. I like to extend my 16 gratitude for his steadfast cooperation.

17 As you know, EUCOM and AFRICOM share service 18 components, and every day our teams are coordinating to 19 solve some of our nation's toughest security issues. Also, 20 joining me today is a key member of the AFRICOM team, my 21 command, senior enlisted Sergeant Major Michael Woods, 22 United States Marine Corps. His 32 years of experience provide me with the critical insight needed to understand 23 24 the operating environment. And he shows our partners what



1 true non-commissioned officer leadership looks like. I'm
2 lucky to serve with him.

Now, everything we do at the United States Africa 3 4 Command has one overarching goal in mind, achieving peace 5 through strength. Now, this requires three things, a clear б understanding of national security threats, a robust and 7 dependable network of like-minded allies and partners, and 8 appropriate resourcing to match military requirements. Now, 9 as I've said in previous testimony, Africa remains a nexus 10 theater from which United States cannot shift is gaze. It 11 is home to terrorists who take advantage of conditions in 12 Africa to grow and export their ideology.

13 ISIS controls their global network from Somalia. It is 14 where the Chinese Communist Party actively works to change 15 the international rules base order as a stepping stone to 16 become the global hegemon. And it's where the Russian 17 Federation seizes opportunities created by chaos and 18 instability.

Now, to protect our homeland and United States
interests, we must deter these nations and their malign
actors from their goals on the African continent. Many of
our security and economic interests overlap with those of
our African and European partners. Successful outcomes
enabled by burden sharing are beneficial for all. And as a



1 posture limited theater AFRICOM, we establish strength and 2 work towards peace by enhancing the security capabilities of our African partners, through a robust system of alliances, 3 4 exercises, training events, security cooperation, and 5 foreign military sales programs are the backbone, our 6 military activities on the continent. Through these 7 activities, our forces lead by example with a warrior ethos 8 and stand by our partners and enhanced trusts in the United 9 States military.

10 So, the United States Africa Command seeks to match 11 these capabilities with the current and emerging threats to 12 ensure the security of our forces while advancing American 13 interests. Intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance 14 aircraft crisis response forces, counter unmanned aero 15 system technology, and integrated air missile defense 16 platforms are critical to accomplish our mission.

Ladies and gentlemen, I welcome your advocacy in ensuring that the necessary tools are in AFRICOM's hands. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to be here today, and I look forward to your questions.

21 [The prepared statement of General Langley follows:]22 [COMMITTEE INSERT]

- 23
- 24



Chairman Wicker: Well, thank you both for your 1 2 excellent testimony. We're going to try to stay strictly to 3 the five-minute rule in our question and answer. I, 4 probably, Senator Reed, am going to take a second round 5 myself, but we'll stick to the five minutes going forward. б General Cavoli, how long have you been watching Russia 7 closely? 8 General Cavoli: Chairman, I began my Russia specialty 9 in 1995 when I spent a year at the Defense Language 10 Institute learning to speak and read and write the language. 11 And I've paid attention to it and worked in jobs associated 12 with it ever since. So, 30 years.

13 Chairman Wicker: You're not only conversational, but 14 fluent in Russian. Is that correct?

General Cavoli: I have always maintained that rating. Chairman Wicker: Yes. Well give us your assessment now. First of all, of what we have learned, what our military has learned during this two and a half years of conflict in Ukraine. What have we learned about Russia's military? Where are they now? And Ukraine's military capability and where they are now?

General Cavoli: Chairman, we've learned an awful lot. First of all, I should point out that early on in the conflict all of the services and the joint force established



1 a variety of cells and organizations deliberately to study 2 the lessons of the conflict. And we've had everything from 3 publications about it to seminars on it at a classified and 4 unclassified level. And we have learned an awful lot.

5 Some of the things that we have gained as a military 6 are well, they're technical, they're procedural, and they're 7 sort of large-scale operations focused. So technically, for 8 example we have learned an awful lot about Russian measures 9 and countermeasures and how to counter countermeasures, a 10 lot of technical stuff. We've seen a lot of growth in both 11 the Russian capability and the Ukrainian capability in electromagnetic warfare, electronic warfare. And we have 12 learned from that, and we have modified many of our 13 14 procedures. I think you're familiar with the U.S. Army's 15 transformation and contact initiative that is really based 16 on lessons learned from Ukraine.

17 As a short example, our use of drones has changed 18 deeply across the joint force. Before this conflict in the 19 U.S. Army, for example, we had very few but large drones 20 associated with large units. Now, we're proliferating 21 smaller drones to smaller units and having a larger number 22 of drones in lower and lower hands. Fundamental change in 23 the way we are doing business. There are many, many other 24 technical and tactical lessons we've learned.



At a meta level, sir, I would say some of the big 1 2 lessons we've learned are about scale. The scale of this conflict is just awe inspiring. Thousands of tanks 3 4 destroyed on both sides. The Russians have lost more than 5 4,000 tanks, which is the inventory almost of the United 6 States Tank course. So, the need for replacement, for depth of magazine, for resilience, all these are lessons that 7 8 we're learning, and I believe the services are programming 9 it as the years go forward. So, we've learned a lot. 10 Chairman Wicker: Before you continue on, in February 11 of '22, we would not have dreamed of such a loss from Russia of tanks, would we? 12 General Cavoli: We would not have. I did not 13 14 anticipate the war to go this long, and I did not anticipate 15 Ukraine to be able to destroy so much of Russia's war 16 fighting capability. 17 Chairman Wicker: I hope I didn't break your train of 18 thought. So, continue. General Cavoli: No. So, as we watch the Russians, we 19 20 see that their level of skill in some technical areas is 21 very high and is evolving during the course of the war, but 22 it's mainly isolated in specific pockets. It does not 23 appear to be generalizable across the force. And overall, 24 the quality of their force, especially their ground force,



has been decreasing throughout the conflict. On the
Ukrainian side, we see sort of the opposite. We see a
military that started pretty much from an almost cold start.
We had been helping them before the war, but not at the
scale we began to after the war, and they've evolved and
developed very, very quickly.

So we've learned a lot about institutional adaptation
from watching both sides as well.

9 Chairman Wicker: If we or our NATO allies had to face 10 Russia ourselves in a conflict because of what we learned, 11 would we be exponentially better off today because of the 12 lessons we've learned in the last two and a half years? 13 General Cavoli: Oh, oh, yes, Chairman.

14 Chairman Wicker: Thank you very much. Say a word or 15 two in a half a minute, about the Russian economy.

16 General Cavoli: So, the Russian economy has been both 17 bolstered and distorted by this war. Specifically, the 18 Russian government has had to turbocharge their defense 19 sector. And in so doing, they have created a very strong 20 inflationary pressures throughout the economy, but 21 especially in the civil sector. They've responded to that 22 with high interest rates to hold inflation down. The central banker, Elvira Nabiullina, has the 23



24

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

overnight rate. The prime rate is over 21 percent right

now. That is choking off the viability of the civil economy. So, one has a huge oversized defense sector, but an anemic civil sector. And that defense sector, as you know, Chairman, is not a productive center -- not a productive economy for the nation. It's productive for the fighting of the war, but beyond that, it is pretty much swamped things out.

8 It appears that it will be very difficult to unwind 9 such an imbalance, and we'll need to consider that as we go 10 forward.

11 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, sir. We'll continue this 12 conversation. Senator Reed.

Senator Reed: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and thank you gentlemen. General Langley, the presence of the United States on the African continent is not significantly military. Matter of fact, we don't have any troops there at all, but we do have, until recently, a state department and USAID presence. Can you indicate to us how valuable that presence has been to you?

General Langley: Senator Reed, as you know heretofore, we had a whole of government approach and some of the -some of the challenges on the African continent and we used that in past years. Now you know, given that USAID and number of capabilities are being folded under the State



Department we continue to look at ways to be able to
 leverage that in U.S. government pressure on especially our
 competitors, and achieving our strategic goals.

Senator Reed: Thank you. General Cavoli, could you
give the committee a brief assessment of the battlefield?
We hear a lot about Ukraine's challenges, but as I
understand it, they are still holding significant territory.
They are taking the fight to the Russians and innovating on
the battlefield daily.

General Cavoli: Sure, Senator Reed. All of the above is true. So, if we take just a moment and go down the front line of troops, if you don't mind?

13 Senator Reed: Yes, sir.

14 General Cavoli: So, if we start in the northern part 15 of the battlefield, the active battlefield area, that's the 16 place where Ukraine, last summer pushed an advance into 17 Russia in an area called Kursk. And they took a large part 18 of Kursk. This is where the Russians introduced North 19 Korean troops to help push the Ukrainians back out. They 20 set an original goal of the end of September to have the 21 Ukrainians out of Kursk. The Ukrainians today are still in 22 There is a sizable force holding a diminished, but Kursk. sizable chunk of ground inside Russia in Kursk Oblast right 23 24 now. And they're holding on very good defensive terrain.



South of there in Belgorod, the Ukrainians a couple of 1 2 weeks ago pushed a smaller counteroffensive back into 3 Russia. So, we still have a back and forth going up in that 4 If we come down inside of Ukraine to some of the big area. 5 battlefields up in Luhansk and Sevastopol, first of all б there's the city just west of the old Bakhmut called Chasiv 7 The Ukrainians and the Russians have been fighting Yar. 8 over this city and the city the south of Toretsk for about 9 eight months now.

In recent weeks, the Russian offensives have sort of petered out. They had been decreasing in scale, moving from company size to platoon size. Now basically small groups of infantry men trying to rush forward under artillery fire. And they have failed to gain ground in the last couple of weeks. In fact, the Ukrainians have taken a little bit of ground back from them.

17 If we come farther south from that, we get to Pokrovsk 18 in Donetsk province where the Russians continue to tie to 19 take Pokrovsk. They are stalled out on their axis, trying 20 to encircle it. They got pretty close, but the Ukrainians 21 have held the Russians back. That's on the ground.

The Russians seem to be suffering from a paucity of armored vehicles and a lack of manpower. The Ukrainians on their side have assumed very strong defensive positions well



dug in and appear to have solved some of their manpower problems that were so acute last autumn. They've increased the pool of people available for mobilization. They've increased the pool of people available for voluntary recruitment, and they've done a good job squeezing people out of headquarters to the front.

7 If we look in other domains both sides are relying on 8 long range attack. The Russians largely with cruise 9 missiles and glide bombs. And the Ukrainians are relying 10 mainly on one-way attack drones, as well as some 11 indigenously produced cruise missile systems, one in 12 particular that I've got in mind that we could talk about in 13 closed sessions, sir. I hope that helped.

Senator Reed: It does, sir. If the administration withholds war material, spare parts, and particularly intelligence advice, what would be the effect on the Ukrainian forces?

General Cavoli: First of all, it would obviously have a rapid and deleterious effect on their ability to fight, Senator. The Ukrainians depend on us, principally, uniquely, I should say, for their high-end anti-aircraft systems. So, the things that shoot down the ballistic missiles and the long-range attack missiles that the Russians throw at them almost daily, that is mainly supplied



1 by the United States. That would have an obvious effect.

If the Ukrainians were not able to receive intelligence from us, they would struggle to target, especially in-depth operational level targets, such as command post, logistics areas and things like that, which require a specific way to -- you've got to have very good intelligence to do that, and they would struggle to do that.

I do have to note that the Ukrainians have developed alternative sources. Many of our allies have stepped up their ability to produce things for the Ukrainians. Czechia this month is delivering more than 70,000 rounds of 155. So, there are some real advances. There's some diversification of their supply sources, but undoubtedly, the Ukrainians are very dependent on our assistance.

15 Senator Reed: Thank you, sir.

16 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Reed. Senator 17 Fischer.

18 Senator Fischer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. General 19 Langley and General Cavoli, thank you both for your many 20 years of service to this country. General Cavoli, you 21 service as both the commander of the U.S. European Command 22 and also as the Supreme Allied Commander of Europe. That 23 means you are responsible for the overall command of NATO 24 military operations and forces, including the United States



1 nuclear forces that are deployed to those NATO countries.

NATO is a nuclear alliance. Our deterrent strategy has
worked for over 75 years. Having an American serve as
Supreme Allied Commander of Europe has been a key part of
that strategy. General, can you, at this level of
classification, walk us through how command and control of
U.S. nuclear forces in Europe works today, including your
role?

9 General Cavoli: Absolutely, Senator. So, to keep it 10 at a very open level, the United States has always offered a 11 certain amount of nuclear force to NATO to be employed by 12 SACEUR. So, we have some nuclear weapons that in a conflict upon the agreement of the United States, and the rest of the 13 14 nations would be turned over to SACEUR to be delivered by a 15 variety of nations who are involved in this program, all of 16 them NATO nations.

17 That all happens under the command and control of 18 If SACEUR were not an American officer, we would SACEUR. 19 have to find some other way to do that, and it would 20 certainly not be as integrated with the rest of SACEUR's 21 operations as it is now. So yeah, I basically, as the 22 American Commander, have those weapons in my possession, and at the time of need as instructed, I would pass them to 23 24 myself as the Supreme Allied Commander to employ in



1 accordance with the 32 nations.

Senator Fischer: It sounds to me like the way the command works right now, we don't have a middleman involved in this. It would be you as an American commander in consultation with the President, the commander-in-chief, who could, I believe, more easily and also with more knowledge, make decisions affecting the use of our nuclear weapons.
Would you say that's a correct assumption on my part?

9 General Cavoli: Yes, ma'am. That's correct. Because 10 I am able to rehearse these procedures both in the American 11 system. I work very closely with General Cotton, for 12 instance, from U.S. STRATCOM. We can rehearse those 13 procedures on a U.S. basis. We rehearse the delivery 14 procedures on a NATO basis. And I, and key American elements of my staff at the NATO headquarters, at Supreme 15 16 Allied Headquarters Europe, we are able to be the link in 17 that chain that makes it seamless.

18 Senator Fischer: Thank you. The GIUK gaps, sir, it's 19 always been a critical maritime choke point, but it has 20 recently grown in its strategic importance to NATO. In 21 light of the increase we're seeing with the submarine 22 Russian submarine patrols in the Atlantic, what do you see 23 general as the largest challenges with respect to the GIUK 24 gap?



1 General Cavoli: The biggest challenge is there, ma'am 2 at an unclassified level, just the quantity of stuff we 3 have, the quantity of equipment and the quantity of forces 4 we have available to monitor the underwater activity there 5 and to counter it. It's important to understand that the б U.S. has a unique role here. We have capabilities that our allies do not have. They have capabilities in numbers that 7 8 we need to augment ours. So, we work very closely together, 9 but the U.S. component of those efforts is absolutely 10 fundamental.

11 Thank you, General. Senator Fischer: General Langley, 12 as we're considering Africa under your command, we have seen I believe an increase with the Chinese presence on the 13 14 continent. Economic developments, military activities, 15 investments on the continent. What would you see as the 16 greatest concern to us security and also to regional 17 stability in the area with regard to the actions that we are 18 observing with the Chinese?

19 General Langley: Senator, I see three lines of 20 operations by the CCP. One from geostrategic and their 21 basing initiatives. As you well know in Doraleh in Djibouti 22 is their first start, and as the Chairman talked about in 23 his assessment. Then also other basing initiatives across 24 the continent of Africa.



1 Then their geopolitical line of effort in trying to 2 dislodge the overall leadership in their quest to be the 3 regional hegemon as we start to look at their activities in 4 the UN and trying to get geopolitical advantage over the 5 west.

And then also geo-economic. They treasure critical minerals. They need those critical minerals not only for their defense and industrial base, but also for their greater industrial base. Those lines of efforts is what we're watching closely because they want to be the global hegemon.

12 Senator Fischer: Thank you very much.

13 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Fisher. Senator14 Shaheen.

15 Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank 16 you to General Cavoli and General Langley for being here 17 this morning and for your many years of service to the 18 country.

I want to follow up on Senator Reed's question about USAID's presence in Africa, or lack thereof now that this administration has closed down virtually all of the operations in Africa. This is the fastest growing continent in the world. It is a place where we're seeing increasing incursion by the Chinese, as you pointed out. So as we have



1 withdrawn our presence from countries in Africa, are you
2 seeing additional Chinese presence come in to take over some
3 of those services and programs that the United States has
4 providing?

For example, in Rwanda, we had a program to help with women and children, and the challenges of childbirth. My understanding is that now that we have left that program, that the Chinese have come in to take it over, are you seeing that in places?

10 General Langley: Senator, I am seeing that. You know, 11 there's a number of programs that we see that the Chinese 12 Communist Party is trying to replicate. They can't do what we do. They can't do what we do. And PEPFAR we've extended 13 14 the life span of a number of Africans by 20 years. They're 15 trying to replicate that. They're trying to match what we 16 do. So that's what we're seeing as we start to fold in the 17 capabilities of USAID under State Department, China's trying 18 to exploit that scene.

Senator Shaheen: And do you agree that it would be a huge loss if we don't continue many of those programs? General Langley: Senator, I won't speak to the policy part of that, but it is a need. Those capabilities are needed for the U.S. to maintain a strategic advantage over the Chinese Communist party because we do it best. And the



Africans, they speak that they do prefer the United States. 2 Senator Shaheen: Thank you. General Cavoli, I want to follow up on Senator Fischer's question about the role of 3 4 the SACEUR in NATO and in Europe, and the importance of that 5 role in terms of nuclear weapon command and control. And 6 partly because what we're hearing is rumors from the 7 administration that they're thinking about eliminating that 8 dual-hat responsibility.

I'm not going to ask you to comment on the policy point 9 there, but how concerned would you be about the lack of 10 11 control of nuclear weapons? Especially, should Article 5 be 12 invoked?

13 General Cavoli: We would want those under the command 14 of a U.S. officer, ma'am clearly.

15 Senator Shaheen: And Senator Reed and Senator Wicker 16 both asked you a number of questions about Ukraine and where 17 the war is now, and you were very forthcoming about that. 18 As we look at potential negotiations on trying to end that 19 war, what is the best leverage that we can have with Putin 20 and Russia in terms of positioning Ukraine to be able to 21 come to a negotiating table with a position of strength? General Cavoli: Senator, I'd hate to try to insert 22 23 myself into the negotiation process, which I'm not a part 24 of, but I do think --



1
Senator Shaheen: Right, I meant really asking for your
 military --

General Cavoli: So, continuing to assist Ukraine on
the battlefield daily, I think is very important.

5 Continuing to enlist not just the material assistance, but 6 the unity of our allies in this effort to support Ukraine, I 7 think is also an important function to be done. I'll leave 8 it to the administration to determine how to achieve 9 leverage against the other side.

10 Senator Shaheen: Thank you. And as we talked briefly 11 on the way in about the situation in the Western Balkans, 12 and particularly in Serbia and in Bosnia Herzegovina, while Mr. Dodik has left the Republic of Srpska for the time being 13 14 and is in Russia, can you speak to the ability of the EUCOM 15 mission there to be able to take over, should there be any 16 outbreak of violence? And then also if you could address K4 17 and Kosovo and Serbia as well.

General Cavoli: Sure, Senator. So, with regard to Bosnia, yeah, good and bad that Mr. Dodik has left the scene for a little bit. We're working through that day by day. As you know, the operation Althea, the EU mission, the operational, the one step above commander of that is for the EU is my Vice Chief of Staff, a French three star named Hubert Cottereau. So, we talk about -- his office is about



10 feet from mine. We talk about this every single week.
 We feel comfortable with the level of forces they have
 available now. I'm grateful to France, especially for
 responding quickly with unpreviously committed forces to
 help. Last summer, they sent the Charles de Gaulle and two
 parachute battalions to show force in the area.

7 So, I think we're in a pretty good place there. I 8 think Hubert and the EU know the various ways things could 9 spiral up there and we can handle that. From the U.S. EUCOM 10 side, I do meter in and out training forces. Our forces to 11 train who while not operational, certainly have a 12 stabilizing effect as they go in and out.

13 Kosovo, as you know, K4 remains on the ground. 4,300 14 soldiers on the ground right now, of whom less than 600 are 15 American. That is a place where our Italian and allies have 16 really been carrying a lot of the weight. We have had 17 reserve forces deployed in there to handle the elevated 18 tensions for about a year now. We are expanding the size of 19 the permanent force in order to relieve those forces, those 20 reserve forces. And I believe we'll come to agreement on 21 that very quickly and get that source.

The key nations really involved there are Italy, Turkey, the United Kingdom, and Hungary, who have been extremely responsive with their reserve forces.



Senator Shaheen: Thank you. Thank you very much.
 General Cavoli: Thank you, ma'am.

3 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Shaheen. Let me 4 observe. I'm doing a poor job of enforcing the five-minute 5 rule, but General Langley, let me just follow up for a 6 moment. As we dial back, USAID in Africa, China's not 7 dialing back the Belt and Road Initiative anywhere in 8 Africa, are they?

9 General Langley: Chairman, China's responding by 10 trying to replicate what capabilities USAID was very 11 successful across a number of years. They're trying to 12 replicate that, whether it's in health diplomacy or any 13 development type programs. They're trying to use that as 14 extension of the Belt Road Initiative to gain favor by the 15 African countries.

16 Chairman Wicker: And would you say it's not 17 principally altruistic on the part of the Chinese 18 Communists?

General Langley: Chairman, I'd say that they've made some missteps in the last couple of weeks, especially in Zambia with that spillage into the river that affects 5 million people. So, they're getting a horrible start using the track analogy.

24 Chairman Wicker: But they do it in their own self-



1 interest, the Chinese?

2 General Langley: Absolutely.

Chairman Wicker: Thank you very much. And Senator
Cotton, you are now recognized with the apology of the
chair.

6 Senator Cotton: Gentlemen, welcome. Thank you for 7 your service to the country. I know you'll be sad not to 8 get to come here and testify in front of the committee 9 anymore after today.

10 General Cavoli in his first term, president Trump 11 withdrew the United States from the Intermediate Range 12 Nuclear Forces Treaty. Finally, after years of Russian cheating with impunity, that's allowed our military to 13 14 develop longer range missiles. The Army has been developing 15 the long-range hypersonic weapon, a ground launch missile 16 that has a range of up to -- well, it has a long range, I'll 17 put it that way.

18 It appears that the Army's first multi-domain task 19 force in the Pacific is slated to get this weapon first. Do 20 you see a role for the long-range hypersonic weapon in 21 EUCOM?

General Cavoli: I do, Senator Cotton. I think it would be very useful. I think coming out of the INF Treaty was a very important move, and it's one that we now should



capitalize on in all the relevant theaters. The Army's multi-domain task force, Long Range Fires Battalion is the real key component. It's got several systems in, as I think you know, and there is a move to -- there is a request out there to place one of those in Europe that I could get into in closed session in detail with you if you'd like, sir.

Senator Cotton: Okay. Thank you. General Langley, the Trump administration has conducted multiple airstrikes against Al-Shabaab targets since taking office. What's your current assessment of the threat that Al-Shabaab poses to our national interest and specifically to the U.S. Homeland?

12 General Langley: Senator, Al-Shabaab is especially a heightened terrorist threat, namely because they're 13 14 colluding with the Houthis across from Yemen. And so we're 15 watching that closely. The President and Secretary of 16 Defense has given expanded authorities. I can talk about 17 those details as far as expanded authorities, but I will say 18 we're hitting them hard. I have now had the capability to 19 hit them harder.

20 Senator Cotton: Al-Shabaab is in the Horn of Africa on 21 the East Coast. There's obviously other terrorists 22 operating throughout Africa associated with Al-Qaeda or as 23 an Islamic state. What's your assessment of the threats 24 that all those terrorists on the African continent pose, and



1 again, specifically pose to the U.S. Homeland?

General Langley: Senator, left unchecked they will have a direct threat on the homeland, whether it's just their networks or even their ideology. We remember 1 January, 2025 what happened in New Orleans. Still there are some that have been indoctrinated by this by false ideology of Islam.

8 Senator Cotton: General Cavoli, coming back to you 9 outside of your AOR, but I think perhaps with some follow-on 10 effects, the Assad tyranny fell late last year that has 11 imperiled Russia's access to its naval base in Syria. There 12 have been reports that Russia is looking to replace that 13 base elsewhere in the Mediterranean including potentially in 14 Libya. How do you assess the situation in the Mediterranean 15 and Russia's access to the Mediterranean since the fall of 16 Assad?

17 General Cavoli: Senator, they've suffered a big loss. 18 They've suffered the ability to maintain sustained maritime 19 operations in the Eastern Mediterranean. It's much more 20 difficult for them now. They are searching -- and I'll let 21 Mike talk about this if I'd like to, sir -- they're 22 searching for alternative basing to replace Tartus, which they've mainly lost on the North shore of Africa. They've 23 24 failed so far to achieve a long-term agreement for a major



1 base. So this will be significant.

2 It's significant not only because we have a reduced presence of submarines, Russian submarines in the Eastern 3 4 Mediterranean now. It's also surface vessels that find it 5 harder to do sustained operations. And finally, it's much б more difficult for Russia to sustain the operations, both overt and covert operations that they're doing in Africa 7 8 without those bases. And the Alliance and U.S. EUCOM are 9 both taking actions to try to make sure we keep them boxed. 10 Senator Cotton: General Langley, anything to add? 11 General Langley: Yes, Senator. I watch Maghreb 12 closely. Russia does have initiatives because that is NATO Southern Flank from, from Morocco to Algeria and even 13 Tunisia and Libya. I engage with them closely for shared 14 15 objectives of denying the militarization of NATO Southern 16 Flank.

17 Senator Cotton: Thank you, gentlemen.

18 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Cotton. Senator19 Hirono.

20 Senator Hirono: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My thanks to 21 both of you for your decades of service. I did want to 22 mention the concerns that our European allies must have 23 regarding what happened with Signal Gate. So, U.S. 24 officials, including Vice President Vance and Secretary of



Defense Hegseth -- this is for General Cavoli -- uses Signal to communicate classified Yemen strike plans, putting the lives of our service members at risk. And in addition to sharing classified material on an unsecured network, the Vice President and Secretary of Defense spoke contemptuously about our European allies.

I think both of you very much know how important our allies are and how important it is for us to continue to strengthen our relationships with our allies. So it certainly doesn't help where we have our leaders in an unconscionable way of being contemptuous of them. General Cavoli, do you think sharing operation plans on unclassified systems puts our war fighters at risk?

General Cavoli: Ma'am, we have protocols to handle classified information. We'd adhere to them to the extent that we're capable. When there's a failure in that, we of course investigate

18 Senator Hirono: Answer is yes?

19 General Cavoli: Yeah.

20 Senator Hirono: Have you heard from our European 21 allies, have any of them indicated to you their concerns 22 about the security breach on our part?

23 General Cavoli: No, not directly. I've not had
24 anybody ask me about that, or talk to me about that, ma'am.



1 Senator Hirono: What do you think they are thinking 2 regarding the security breach? 3 General Cavoli: Ma'am, it's hard for me to put myself 4 into their heads. 5 Senator Hirono: Is it reassuring to them? б General Cavoli: It's hard. It's hard. I can't, you 7 know, characterize their thoughts, ma'am. 8 Senator Hirono: So, none of them actually said to you, 9 what is going on in the United States? 10 General Cavoli: No. If one did, I would of course 11 tell you. 12 Senator Hirono: That's pretty surprising. Don't we share intel with our European allies? 13 14 General Cavoli: Of course, it's one of the most 15 important parts of our alliance and our bilateral 16 relationships. 17 Senator Hirono: Do you think that the security breach 18 that occurred with Signal Gate is reassuring to our allies 19 in terms of their willingness to share security information 20 with us? 21 General Cavoli: Senator, again, it's hard for me to 22 characterize as somebody else's thoughts. But I have not had anybody pull back any of our intelligence sharing 23 24 agreements, ma'am.



1 Senator Hirono: I find that surprising, but 2 nonetheless, I hope so. There have been recent news reports 3 that the Pentagon is considering combining AFRICOM and EUCOM 4 into one command. For both of you, what is your best 5 military advice on the strategic, operational, and resource 6 implications of such a merger. General Langley?

7 General Langley: Senator, I won't speculate on the 8 evolving policy, but I will say this, I'll just give 9 comparison of the relevance of AFRICOM and our mission. 10 Back in 2007, when we were stood up, we looked at the 11 challenges across the globe, and especially the challenge of terrorism. At that time, the global presence of terrorism 12 on African incontinent was 2 percent. Senator, today it's 13 14 43 percent.

And then even adding on to a dual strategic type of perspective of our great power competitions both Russia Federation and the Chinese Communist Party activities on African continent is grand. So my responsibilities are great. So, for combined commander, it'd be a daunting task for integration.

21 Senator Hirono: So, would you agree that -- General 22 Cavoli, that the challenges as General Langley put it have 23 increased in Africa not decreased, and making it even more 24 important that this combatant command continues?



General Cavoli: Ma'am, the challenges in Africa have increased exponentially over the last 10 years. Not just the terrorism that was there during the previous period of the last 20 years, but the increasing activity of Russia and China. Yeah, there are a lot of problems there, ma'am. And I'm satisfied with the problems I have in EUCOM.

Senator Hirono: Would you like to share your thoughts on yet another potential that's being contemplated -- change being contemplated by the administration and that is to give up our role as NATO's Supreme Allied Commander?

General Cavoli: Yes, ma'am. I think that would bring some challenges in terms of nuclear commanding control. It would put us in a position where, in an Article V situation, we could have for the first time since the First World War, large numbers of American troops under non-U.S. command. So, I think those are things that would have to be considered carefully.

Senator Hirono: Giving up this command, in fact, could really endanger our national security. That's what I hear you saying. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

21 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Hirono. Senator22 Rounds.

23 Senator Rounds: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me begin24 by just thanking both of you for your service to our country



and to your families. We sometimes forget about the
sacrifice they make when you are away. And we most
certainly appreciate all of that. And once again we
appreciate what you have done and continue to do for this
country.

General Cavoli, our missile defense systems protect not
just the U.S. homeland, but our deployed forces overseas,
for example, in Europe and in the Middle East. Does EUCOM
make use of any of the systems which require the use of the
3.1 to 3.45 gigahertz band of the spectrum to fully operate?
And I'm just curious.

This is something that I've asked every four-star 12 uniformed officer as they've come before us, because there 13 14 has been an attempt most recently to take away the exclusive 15 use by the Department of Defense for the use of that part of 16 the spectrum, and literally to sell it for commercial 17 purposes, huge amounts of activity with regard to some of 18 the best physics in the world per radar and so forth were 19 found in there.

20 Can you share a little bit about what EUCOM does and 21 whether or not would impact any of our capabilities in 22 Europe?

23 General Cavoli: Yeah, we sure do use it, Senator. We 24 use it principally in our Air and Missile Defense Systems,



as you pointed out, which are part the system that protects
the United States Homeland from ballistic missile attacks.
So more than that I could go into in classified session, but
it is a source that would have to be worked out if we lost
access to that bandwidth. Yeah.
Senator Rounds: Are you familiar with some of the

7 physics involved and why we picked that particular part for 8 our radars and so forth?

9 General Cavoli: I would never volunteer just how
10 familiar I am with physics, but yes, a little bit.

11 Senator Rounds: Just to with regard to that, I mean, 12 there is a reason why that particular part of the spectrum 13 is being so closely protected by the Department of Defense. 14 Is that fair to say?

15 General Cavoli: There is.

Senator Rounds: Thank you. General Cavoli also, can you describe how the Ukrainian armed forces, as well as their defense industrial base have evolved over time and just a little bit in terms of what you've observed?

General Cavoli: Wow, sure, Senator. So, in 2014 I was a one-star commanding our training enterprise in Germany and throughout Europe. And in 2015, I was given the task to help to set up a training center in Western Ukraine for the Ukrainians as a response to the invasion and the annexation



1 of Crimea that had taken place in 2014.

At the time, they were, boy, a hand-to-mouth army that was tied in a tight fight in their Eastern area, and had suffered from 30 years of post-Soviet neglect. They were in tough shape. Between then and 2022, they managed while maintaining a fight in the East, they managed to convert themselves into the force that was able to stop Russia.

8 This came with a number of important decisions from the 9 United States, specifically an increase in aid and the 10 initiation of lethal aid, the donation of javelins, I 11 believe it was in about 2016 or 2017, we gave that. Since 12 then, you know the trajectory sir, that they went from being 13 basically minutemen with javelins stopping a Russian invasion to being an organized force that is increasingly 14 15 able to generate force in a reliable and predictable 16 fashion.

Very difficult to do, especially on the fly. It's taken a couple of years to get it going, and they've developed a defense industry that is producing many of their own munitions right now. In fact, I would say they're the world leaders in one-way attack drone technology.

22 Senator Rounds: Interesting. As you've watched this 23 and observed this, you've watched what Russia has done as 24 well. What would be your assessment of how Russia would



1 react to a conflict between the United States and China?

General Cavoli: I think clearly, whatever the United States does in the world Russia will find a way or will look for a way to turn the situation to its advantage and to seize opportunity. So, depending on the exact parameters of a conflict with China, exactly what the conditions were, I would expect Russia to try to take advantage of that either in the information domain or even physically.

9 Senator Rounds: Would it be fair to say that if we 10 found ourselves in a theater conflict in the Pacific Rim 11 region, highly, highly strong possibility that we would also 12 find ourselves in a conflict in the European theater as 13 well?

General Cavoli: Or other theaters as well, yes.
Senator Rounds: Thank you. General Langley, I did
have questions for you, but I will put them in the record.
I thank you for your service as well. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.

19 [The information referred to follows:]

- 20 [COMMITTEE INSERT]
- 21
- 22

23

24



Chairman Wicker: Thank you very much, Senator Rounds.
 Senator King.

Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Based upon the
testimony this morning, I'd like to move, we extend the
terms of these two generals for another four years.
Chairman Wicker: Without objection.

7 Senator King: Sorry, fellas.

8 [Laughter.]

9 Senator King: The bad news is you get to tell your 10 families. I have to point out, Mr. Chairman, that in the 11 hour that we've been sitting here, investors in the United 12 States stock market have lost two and a half trillion 13 dollars. And I think that's something that should be noted. 14 General Cavoli, it appears that the Russians and 15 President Putin are engaged in what they consider a kind of 16 waiting game, a grinding down in the conflict in Ukraine. 17 Are the Ukrainians destined to lose?

General Cavoli: No, Senator. Thank you for the question, because I think it's an important one. There's nothing inevitable in war, and the Ukrainians are in very strong defensive positions right now, and are improving weekly their ability to generate force and to reinforce those positions. It is hard sitting here right now to envision a major Ukrainian offensive that clears everybody



you know, out of every square inch of Ukraine. But
 likewise, it's very hard to envision Ukraine collapsing and
 losing that conflict. I do not think there's an
 inevitability to a Ukrainian loss.

5 Senator King: And a follow up question, and you 6 touched on this in answers to Senator Rounds. Describe the 7 Ukrainian's evolution of their force over the course of this 8 conflict. My sense is they've really gone, as you I think 9 you mentioned, minute men with a rifle to a really serious 10 modern military force.

General Cavoli: Yeah, absolutely, Senator. So in the beginning, they had a certain number of organized brigades in the East. But what really kicked in was sort of volunteers who spontaneously came in, organized under officer leadership General Syrskyi North of Kiev, was key in stopping that advance toward Hostomel, and then back out pushing the Russians out of Budjak.

They evolved from that. They consolidated that into Soviet style brigades, which they were used to with Soviet equipment. And then coming through late '22 and '23, we could see that that equipment, and especially their artillery ammunition of a Soviet caliber was going to be exhausted. And so, we had to help them convert to a largely western equipped and almost exclusively western artillery



equipped force, which is pretty complicated. We operate off
 of a different aiming circle.

3 Senator King: They've been effective in making that 4 transition?

General Cavoli: It's amazing to do that under fire,
under the pressure, one of the world's largest armies. It's
a fantastic feat.

8 Senator King: Let me change the subject entirely for a 9 minute. Please discuss Russian and Chinese activities in 10 the Arctic and the risks in the Arctic. It strikes me that 11 this is a looming threat area that we should be addressing. 12 And I should mention, the reason it's becoming so important 13 is the melting of the Arctic ice, which has something to do 14 with climate change.

15 70 percent of the Arctic ice has disappeared in the
16 last 40 years. So, talk to me about the strategic
17 importance of the Arctic.

General Cavoli: Absolutely, Senator. So, from the U.S. perspective, the most important thing to understand is the shortest distance from Russian airfields to the United States is over the polar cap.

Senator King: And they are building up those airfields, are they not?

24 General Cavoli: They were before the war at a fast



1 It's slowed down a little bit during the war, but pace. 2 they're still opening airfields and repairing existing ones. 3 The other thing that comes out of the Arctic, sir, the 4 Northern fleet in Murmansk comes up, sails down through the 5 GIUK gap and breaks out, or tries to break out into the б Atlantic from which they can hold key U.S. targets at risk 7 with sub launch cruise missiles among other weapons. 8 Senator King: So we should be paying particular 9 attention to the Arctic as a new domain, if you will, of 10 potential conflict? 11 General Cavoli: And I think we are. The U.S. Northern 12 command, so General Guillot, has the primary U.S. 13 responsibility for it, but of course, strategic command also 14 has activities up there. EUCOM has activities up there, 15 because so much of the Arctic is in my AOR and NATO, of 16 course, you know, almost all of the nations in the Arctic 17 Council are NATO nations. The only one that's not is 18 Russia. 19 So, we've recently been sponsoring tabletop exercises

20 to make sure we understand the details of command and 21 control and coordination of operations there.

22 Senator King: Thank you. General Langley, I'm out of 23 time, but I'm very concerned about the rise of ISIS in the 24 Sahel. That is a serious issue that we need to attend to,



1 that we're talking about great power competition, China, 2 Russia, we can't forget about terrorism. Is that correct? 3 General Langley: Senator, that's correct. And the 4 rise of ISIS by numbers in Sahel really more focused on the 5 Isis elements in Somalia, because of their networks and б Sahel they're growing in number, but not so much in capability. But we are still engaged, especially in coastal 7 8 West Africa of like-minded countries to deter that from the outside in. 9 10 Senator King: Thank you. 11 Chairman Wicker: Thank you for that yes or no question, 12 Senator King. 13 Senator King: Yeah, exactly. 14 Chairman Wicker: Senator Ernst. 15 Senator Ernst: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. And first 16 I want to express my condolences as well to the families of

17 the four fallen, and as they begin their dignified transfer 18 process, our hearts are with their family members as well as 19 the entire 3rd ID family. So, General Cavoli, thank you for 20 your respects to those family members.

Let's just start and talk a little bit about leadership because it has been brought up many times over the situation that I believe is being contemplated between SACEUR and European command. And you have expressed that you believe



1 it is important to have American leadership in those 2 positions. Is that true, General Cavoli?

General Cavoli: Ma'am, that, of course, would be a policy decision. I merely laid out the advantages and disadvantages of it from a military perspective.

Senator Ernst: Thank you.

б

7 General Cavoli: From a military perspective,8 problematic.

9 Senator Ernst: Thank you. I do believe it is 10 important, and I'll paraphrase a little bit, but the army 11 definition of a leader is someone who inspires others to 12 follow towards a common goal or objective for the good of the unit or the organization. And I would say, again, this 13 14 is just me speaking Senator Ernst but I do think it is very 15 important that America remains engaged on the world stage, 16 and that we exhibit that type of leadership in order to 17 secure our own national defense. Would you also believe 18 that General Cavoli?

19 General Cavoli: I do agree with that, and I see it 20 every day that our allies crave our leadership and are 21 stronger with it, and they're stronger for us.

Senator Ernst: Thank you. And General Langley, I
shared a quote with you yesterday. It is, "An American
soldier is a hero standing still." And I looked it up. It



is General Patton that gave us that quote. Because you mentioned something about the convening, really the convening ability of our United States military. Can you speak to that please?

5 General Langley: Yes, Senator. You know, as the б Chinese Communist Party and even the Russian Federation, are trying to encroach upon what we do as far as influence and 7 8 cooperation with our African partners, they pale in 9 comparison. You know, as evidenced by what we do in our 10 conferences that we hold, or moreover, the exercises we 11 Whether it's Obangame Express all those countries, a have. 12 number of countries in the 40 plus are always wanting to be 13 part of it.

Same thing as far as our express series, and we get our maritime members because of the illicit activities that China is doing in IEU fishing. All those qualities and shared objectives gives us a convening power and influence on African continent. There's no better type partner that the Africans can have than the United States.

20 Senator Ernst: No, thank you for that. And I remember 21 a story that was shared with me a number of years ago by a 22 special operator that was in charge of a small tactical 23 unit. And they were brought into that situation because 24 they were many warring factions in this particular area.



The French were there, few other nations were there as well,
 but none of them could get along, and they couldn't come
 together in a cohesive, collaborative engagement.

It took the Americans coming into that situation and
providing leadership and guidance. And when that happened,
all of those different factions were able to come together.
So, talking about Americans being a convening authority, I
think is exceptional. It is true.

9 So again, I'll just stress how important I think it is 10 that the United States remain engaged on the world stage and 11 provide that leadership. We don't have to provide all the 12 boots on the ground, but certainly I think American 13 leadership is valuable.

14 General Cavoli, I'll go back to you in reference to the 15 war in Ukraine and Russia. Again, another story that I will 16 share with you. When I deployed to Operation Iraqi Freedom 17 as a transportation company commander, we occupied a base in 18 Kuwait. We ran convoys through Kuwait and Southern Iraq. 19 On this sub camp in Kuwait, half was occupied by Americans. 20 Can you imagine who would occupy the other half of that 21 camp? You don't have to know this. The other half of the 22 camp was occupied by Ukrainian soldiers.

Why were the Ukrainians there? They were not part of NATO, correct? Can you guess why they were there?



General Cavoli: Probably because we asked them to be
 there, and they responded positively because of our
 leadership position in the world.

4 Senator Ernst: Absolutely. And I share that story 5 because so many people don't realize that the Ukrainians б were there for us when we needed them. Those men in -- it was all men, actually -- the Ukrainian men that were there, 7 8 their soldiers, they were route clearing engineers. I was a 9 transportation company commander. I'm very grateful that 10 the Ukrainians were there for us. I can't imagine how many 11 American lives might have been saved by those Ukrainian 12 soldiers.

So, again, I apologize for going over, but I just wanted to stress that we do not operate in a vacuum no matter where we are. Our country is safer because we exhibit leadership and we have the convening power to bring many nations together. And I thank you, gentlemen for all that you do for our great nation in so many different ways. God bless you both. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

20 Chairman Wicker: And thank you very much, Senator21 Ernst. Senator Kelly.

22 Senator Kelly: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'd like to associate myself with Senator Ernst's remarks and echo them. When I was in Ukraine just a few



1 weeks ago, one of the messages I heard from their Head of 2 Intelligence, General Budanov and others, is if we stick 3 with them, they will stick with us. Wherever we need them 4 to be, they will be there. And when you consider that 5 they're literally fighting for their survival and fighting 6 for their lives to express to me how important our relationship was and what it means to them going forward, I 7 8 think we have a friend we can always rely on.

9 But I am concerned about over the last few weeks the 10 politics around this conflict have gotten us off track. 11 And, but I'm curious, General Cavoli, from your perspective 12 you know, friction between President Zelensky and President 13 Trump. How do you feel our Ukrainian allies are handling 14 this right now, and what concerns do you hear directly from 15 them about our ability to support them?

I just had members of the Ukrainian Parliament in my office, and we were talking specifics. We're talking about ATACMS rounds, HIMARS, PAC-3 rounds for Patriots, AIM-120s, 155 millimeter artillery rounds, GPS, these systems.

20 Can you just give me a summary about where you think we 21 are with our support and what do they need to continue this 22 fight?

General Cavoli: Thank you. Thank you, Senator Kelly.
With me, my interlocutors who are principally general



officers or members of the Ministry of Defense, they're resolute. They're resolute, they're focused on a task, they have a defense to execute. And they talk to me about the practical aspects of that. You're correct, they do continue to depend on our support. Our support is in a wide variety of munitions especially, but also the provision of intelligence. They're very eager to maintain those.

8 And there are certain specific ones that I could talk 9 about in closed session that are especially valuable. I 10 did, however, earlier in open session mention air defense 11 missiles is one of the most important because we're 12 basically the sole provider of those for them.

13 Senator Kelly: All right. Thank you.

14 And I want to move to General Langley for a second 15 here. Good to see you again, too, General. AFRICOM has 16 continued to experience conflict arising from the uptick in 17 violent extremist organizations. As our focus continues 18 towards large scale combat operations in INDOPACOM in 19 Europe, I'm concerned that our defense modernization and 20 optimization will trend towards those theaters, but away 21 from other issues that we can't ignore, the real threat of 22 terrorism and gray zone operations, especially in AFRICOM. It's critical that we don't lose sight of this real 23 24 threat. And you previously highlighted, General, your need



1 for more ISR capability, calling ISR an active deterrent in 2 Africa, yet we have limited platforms to go around. SOCOM 3 has begun purchasing the OA-1K Skyraider aircraft, which I 4 think could fill a requirement that you seem to have.

5 Can you talk a little bit about the importance of an 6 armed ISR platform, like the OA-1K, especially one with a 7 dual use capability that could fill the close air support 8 gap?

General Langley: Senator, thank you. I'll speak to 9 10 the capabilities of various ISR platforms in Africa, 11 especially as far as our ongoing operations across Somalia 12 and in support of the Somalia National Army. So, I'll just 13 speak to the capabilities, the need for that armed ISR 14 across since it makes sense and do responsible type 15 targeting across the region. That's all too important. 16 So, I'll speak to the capabilities that every combatant 17 commander needs more ISR in support of our operations. 18 Senator Kelly: And do you feel the OA-1K could fill a 19 gap that you have in AFRICOM? 20 General Langley: Senator, I'll take any additional ISR 21 and any enhanced technologies that would add to the credibility and our lethality of our forces. 22

23 Senator Kelly: All right. Thank you. Thank you, Mr.24 Chairman.



1 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Kelly. There is a 2 vote going on, and we actually have a series of three votes. 3 The hearing will continue and I'll pass the gavel to various 4 members, but the hearing will not recess for the votes. 5 Senator Scott, you're recognized.

6 Senator Scott: Thank you, Chairman. Thank both of you 7 for what you do. Thank you for the men and women that work 8 for you and serve with you. And I want to recognize, honor 9 the four brave American heroes who lost their lives serving 10 the country in Lithuania. So that has to be a tough day 11 when that happens and talking to their families.

12 So General Cavoli, can you talk a little bit about the importance of what Poland, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania are 13 14 doing in contrast to historically at least maybe Germany's 15 finally going to show up and do their part. But can you 16 talk about the importance of what they're doing along the 17 Eastern Flank and then in contrast to what the lack of 18 investment that Germany's made in the past and the 19 importance of what these countries on the Eastern Flank are 20 doing?

General Cavoli: Sure, Senator. It's a great opportunity to talk about some of our allies, some of our newer allies, right? These are not plank owners. These are post-Warsaw Pact allies of the United States. Poland.



Poland's at 4.7 percent of GDP, right? As a benchmark, we are at about 3.4 percent. So this is a country that has had very rapid economic growth sustained over the last 15 years, and is committing it to correct an imbalance in their defensive capability.

6 They're buying vast quantities of U.S. equipment, by 7 the way, but more important, they're integrating it with the 8 alliance, and they're making it available to the Alliance 9 for Collective Defense, which is fantastic. They are 10 rapidly becoming the bulwark of our ground defenses on the 11 Eastern Flank. And their Officer Corps is stepping up to 12 the task as well.

13 If we come up through Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia, 14 every single one of those countries has come above 2 15 percent. Estonia is headed over 3 percent. Two of the 16 three countries have reintroduced at least limited 17 conscription. They're building defensive fortifications, 18 and they have extremely well integrated national defense 19 plans with our NATO defense plans. Very, very active. I 20 spend quite a bit of time there working with them on their 21 plans.

Finland. Of course, Finland never looked away from its national defense requirements throughout years and years and years since the Winter War of 1939. And they have happily



and seamlessly and quickly integrated their defense plans
into our NATO defense plans. And in fact, they're
contributing a three-star land component command to handle
the potential ground fight in the high North for us. So
very grateful to those countries.

6 Senator Scott: You want to talk about Germany? 7 General Cavoli: Germany has performed as an ally for 8 many decades, sir. During the Cold War we know how strong 9 they were. They, like many other nations in the alliance 10 took a holiday from readiness and for sizing, and they're 11 trying to correct that. It's a complicated situation 12 politically, but I believe that Herr Merz, Friedrich Merz, 13 has been able to negotiate as part of a future coalition. 14 He'll be the future chancellor.

He is been able to negotiate at long last in agreement to be able to use deficit spending to be able to finance more rapid growth. And we look forward to having that happen. They have very solid plans for expansion and for modernization. We just need to see it get going.

20 Senator Scott: If you were placing troops in, you 21 know, around the NATO alliance American troops without any 22 past, where would you move troops, if you didn't have to 23 worry about existing physical structures, things like that? 24 General Cavoli: Ultimately, what we would like to see



is sufficiently robust national forces to be the basis of
 the defense of any part of the Eastern Flank. In some
 places that's hard to see because just the nations are not
 large enough, the Baltic nations, for instance.

5 The second thing we'd like to see in those places is a б reinforcement by a multinational alliance force. We have that in the three Baltic countries. We would like to use 7 8 U.S. forces to be able to deploy rapidly from on the 9 continent responsibly to the point of need. One of the 10 differences between the Cold War and now is we were very 11 sure where the battlefield would be during the Cold War. 12 Now we have, you know, 2300 kilometers of expanse.

13 The U.S. forces bring capabilities that nobody else has 14 and can move the fastest. So I need them in locations where 15 they can be trained, ready, and where they have the 16 infrastructure and the political agreements, the 17 international agreements to be able to deploy quickly from. 18 Senator Scott. All right, thank you. Thank both of 19 you for your service.

20 General Cavoli: Thank you, sir.

Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Scott. Senator
 Tuberville.

23 Senator Tuberville: Good morning. Thank you,
 24 gentlemen for your service and good luck after retirement,



1 but you're not done yet.

General Langley, AFRICOM has historically suffered from short pause and manpower and ISR and security and all those things. Sounded like from your testimony that Africa's in trouble, 40 percent rise in terrorism. What's your most pressing need that you can tell us for what we can help you with?

8 General Langley: Senator, thanks for that question. 9 My number one operational priority is protection of a force. 10 And so, as I stipulated in my opening statement, I focused 11 on matching capabilities to the threat. We matched 12 capabilities to the threat first calls for integrated air missile defense, and it calls for ISR and other platforms 13 14 would add to the capacity and capability of protecting the 15 force.

In closed session I would be able to elaborate with more specificity. But all combat commanders always ask for those aforementioned type platforms.

Senator Tuberville: Yeah. Thank you. General Cavoli, how much closer today is Ukraine from this time last year winning this war against Russia?

General Cavoli: They're in a much better position not to lose it, Senator Tuberville. They have shored up their defenses. They've assumed very strong defenses, and they've



improved their forced generation capability. So they're in a much better position than they were. You know, depending on what the objective is, of course, which has always been the question in this chamber as well as others it would be hard for them to accomplish some things. But they're doing a good job of what they're trying to do now, which is hold their line.

8 Senator Tuberville: But they're trying to win, right? 9 General Cavoli: They're trying to win. They want to 10 start by making sure Russia doesn't win.

Senator Tuberville: Yeah. Status of the F-16s that we've sent? I know it's not classified because Russia obviously knows what's happened to them.

14 General Cavoli: No, there are a number of F-16s in 15 there. There are a number of pilots in there. There are 16 more F-16s prepared to be deployed in there. There are more 17 pilots in the training pipeline. Sir, I can give you the 18 numbers in closed session. They are active, the planes are 19 active and they fly every day. They've defeated a large 20 number of cruise missile threats, and they've delivered an 21 awful lot of offensive attacks as well.

22 Specifically, bombing attacks in the east. None of the 23 F-16s has been from the U.S. though. They've mainly been 24 from Northern European countries, Netherlands, Denmark.



Senator Tuberville: Status for Patriot systems that
 we've had there for several years?

General Cavoli: Yeah. They are up and running, sir. I can tell you exactly in closed session. But that has been, in my mind, a success story. Frankly, I was just a little bit dubious in the beginning. It's a complicated system. We train guys for a long, long time to be able to operate it.

9 The Ukrainians put their really, their best people 10 against it and took to it like a fish to water. And in 11 fact, we learned from some of their employment techniques 12 right now.

Senator Tuberville: How effective have the long-range missiles that we've allowed Ukrainians to shoot into Russia. How effective has that been?

16 General Cavoli: Very.

17 Senator Tuberville: In all areas?

General Cavoli: Yeah. They've been extremely effective. Sir, I could go into this in private session, but the, the United States makes some very good weaponry and it's the ones we've donated have been very effective. In closed session I'd be happy to talk to you about a few of the things they've done with it.

24 Senator Tuberville: So where do you think the --



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) what's the status of Kursk as we speak today? After they've gone in, and obviously there's been a lot of battles, a lot of people killed, a lot of prisoners taken. Where does that stand?

5 General Cavoli: There is a Ukrainian force that is б holding on inside Kursk Oblast inside Russia. They've got quite a bit of terrain. I'd give it to you in closed 7 8 session, sir and how many troops, but they've got a sizable 9 force there, and they maintain a well defended piece of 10 terrain there. They've also augmented it with some terrain. 11 They recently captured just a few kilometers in Belgorod 12 just south of there.

Senator Tuberville: So, they gave up some. Now, they're taking it back. Is that what you're saying? General Cavoli: That's correct. They've taken a little back farther south.

17 Senator Tuberville: Thank you --

18 General Cavoli: Thank you, sir.

Senator Tuberville: -- for your service, gentlemen.
Thank you.

21 Chairman Wicker: Thank you, Senator Tuberville.

22 General Cavoli, the Russians are nowhere near capable

23 of making a big push right now. Are they?

24 General Cavoli: That is my assessment, Chairman.



Chairman Wicker: And what nationality are the pilots
 of those F16s?

3 General Cavoli: They're Ukrainian.

Chairman Wicker: And where were they trained?
General Cavoli: They were trained in a variety of
places. Some in Romania, some in the Netherlands, some in
Arizona.

8 Chairman Wicker: Thank you very much. I think we have9 Senator Slotkin now.

10 Senator Slotkin: Thank you, Chairman. Generals, thank 11 you for being here and for your really significant service 12 to the country. We appreciate you. I'm sure you're not 13 crying any tears that this may be your last hearing in front 14 of the, this committee, but we're happy to have you.

15 And General Cavoli, I can't think of a general more 16 associated with American's response to the invasion of 17 Ukraine than you, given the role as SACEUR, that you've been 18 playing for these last number of years. Just to establish a 19 baseline, you said the Aspen Security Forum that supporting 20 Ukraine is vital for American security. Can you confirm 21 that you still believe that that is correct? 22 General Cavoli: I do believe that. Yes, ma'am. 23 Senator Slotkin: And you also said that, you know,

24 Russia, no matter what, no matter how this war concludes,


was still going to be a big problem. Do you believe that after Russia invaded Georgia, then Crimea, then Eastern Ukraine, that if some sort of deal was reached with Vladimir Putin, especially one that advantaged him, that he'd be done with invading neighboring countries, that he would be done with adventurism in Europe?

General Cavoli: I do not ma'am. My professional assessment based on years of study is that this is part of a broader pattern in Russian history, and certainly in current Russian activity. How the U.S. oriented against that is not my call.

12 Senator Slotkin: Of course.

13 General Cavoli: But that is my assessment of their 14 threat?

15 Senator Slotkin: Based on your experience on the 16 ground and having to negotiate again, military to military 17 with the Russians and others, do you believe that the 18 Russians are a trustworthy negotiating partner?

19 General Cavoli: I believe they are -- I believe they 20 are a very deliberate negotiating partner. I believe they 21 are very strategic in their negotiations, even over small 22 tactical things that I've been involved in over the years. 23 And I believe they're highly proficient at it, and they will 24 always chase their interests.



Senator Slotkin: Can we talk about how the
 relationship between Russia and China has evolved over the
 course of this war? Is it safe to say that since the war in
 Ukraine, Russia has become the biggest provider to China of
 military technology and equipment?

General Cavoli: б They have certainly increased the I'm not a China expert, so I'm not 7 amount they provide. 8 sure who exactly the biggest is. And in closed session, we 9 can talk a little bit about what exactly they've been 10 providing. One of the things in reverse though, Senator, 11 frequently I get asked you know, what sort of support is 12 China providing Russia?

13 And I think one of the most pernicious types of support 14 they provide is moral support to Russia. Because it 15 justifies violation of another country's sovereignty, which 16 I think is highly problematic, were it to become widespread. 17 Senator Slotkin: Yeah. I mean, just in open source, 18 we can find that Moscow is helping Beijing develop 19 submarine, aeronautic, and missile technologies in exchange 20 for China's, as you say, moral support for Russia's war, 21 maybe other things beyond moral support. And even though 22 this administration has had very little to say about China's 23 military role and about this partnership as they try and 24 negotiate with Russia, and despite the Pentagon's new



national defense strategy, which says that they're focused on China, we really don't see a lot of visibility on this pernicious topic, which is an alliance stronger than ever, or relationship, at least stronger than ever between China and Russia. Is Russia helping China develop military capabilities that could impact the United States?

General Cavoli: You know, again, in closed session we could talk about it, but they're certainly cooperating not for the benefit of the United States.

10 Senator Slotkin: Right. But I mean, China, I mean, 11 they can have other interests in other countries, but I 12 think we are a primary focus for the Chinese military. I don't think that's a classified statement in any way. Do 13 14 you believe that the Chinese are watching what we do in 15 Europe, in Ukraine, and with Russia, and learning lessons 16 that they may use, when it comes to potentially taking over 17 Taiwan?

18 General Cavoli: They are.

Senator Slotkin: What are the lessons then that you think they're learning from this, watching us in this conflict as we now quickly move to negotiate with Putin, put a lot of trust in him, in the person that invaded a democracy? Do you think it makes them more likely or less likely to go ahead and try and take Taiwan?



General Cavoli: Ma'am, I think they're learning lessons at every echelon, one of them being the policy making and decision-making echelon, I'll leave that to others to comment on.

5 But at the military level, operationally and 6 tactically, they're studying the conflict very, very hard in 7 ways that we know they would apply to any potential conflict 8 in Taiwan.

9 Senator Slotkin: Again, thank you for your service,
10 your long service, both of you and I yield back to whoever
11 is acting as Chairman.

12 Senator Banks [presiding]: Thank you. I recognize myself for five minutes. Germany is the biggest economy in 13 14 Europe, twice the GDP of Russia, but it's also been the 15 biggest free rider in NATO for decades. They've finally 16 reached 2 percent of GDP on defense by some metrics, but 17 they're still not a very capable force. Germany promised in 18 2023 to deploy an armored brigade to Lithuania, and that 19 brigade isn't going to be fully operational until 2027. It 20 takes the nation that once fielded hundreds of divisions, 21 four years to move a single brigade just a few hundred miles 22 away from Germany.

General Cavoli, the Europeans are finally starting to spend more on their defense, but how long is it going to



1 take before their spending turns into real capability?

2 General Cavoli: Thanks, Senator. This is a very 3 important question because we have been waiting a long time 4 to get the levels of defense spending we're starting to see. 5 Now there's got to be something to buy. So we have a lot of 6 countries, 23 nations budgeting over 2 percent this year. Several going over 3 percent, I believe the number six are 7 8 over 3 percent this year.

9 Now it's a matter of converting it into hardware and 10 organizations. And our industrial base, both in Russia and 11 on this side of the Atlantic is struggling to produce the 12 relevant equipment and the quantities needed. So basically 13 there's more money available than stuff right now, sir. I 14 think the --

15 Senator Banks: Why?

16 General Cavoli: Well, our defense industrial basis on 17 both sides of the Atlantic consolidated and atrophied under 18 lack of orders. And then there are some bureaucratic 19 problems in governments in terms of acquiring stuff so that 20 it goes slowly. But I think this guestion of the elasticity 21 of our defense industrial base, again, on both sides of the 22 Atlantic, is one of the great strategic questions of the 23 next 10, 15 years.

24 Senator Banks: Got it. The German defense minister



1 last year said that Germany needed to move towards spending 2 3 percent of its GDP or more on defense. Do you think the 3 Germans are serious about spending that much on their 4 military?

5 General Cavoli: Yeah, I think they are, Senator. And 6 the reason I say that is because Friedrich Merz was just 7 elected as the next chancellor in Germany. And very 8 rapidly, he, you know, sort of outlined the next coalition 9 that he's going to be leading. And they came to an 10 agreement to do a massive increase in defense spending far 11 beyond the, you know, 100 billion euros they talked about a 12 couple of years ago.

13 And the way they got there was by releasing the so-14 called debt break that prevented Germany from assuming 15 deficit spending. So they have exempted defense spending 16 from the debt break. This is an historic breakthrough for 17 them, and it is the thing that's going to allow them to 18 apply their rather prodigious economic resources to defense. 19 They have a very strong plan to modernize and to expand. 20 It's exactly in accordance with what I need as the Supreme 21 Allied Commander, and I'm very eager to see this coalition. 22 Senator Banks: You feel like they're collaborating 23 with you on that plan?

24 General Cavoli: Absolutely, sir.



Senator Banks: Good. How much would it strengthen
your hand if all of our NATO allies increase their spending
on defense up to 3 percent?

General Cavoli: Sir, I don't think you've ever met a
general who wants less defense spending among the force
providers. It would help a great deal.

7 Senator Banks: But it would strengthen your hand? It
8 would help?

9 General Cavoli: Absolutely. And we're eager to see it 10 come to pass, and we're especially eager to see it turn into 11 real capability, which is going to require some improvements 12 in our industrial capacity.

13 Senator Banks: It's clear that the United States 14 should redeploy more of its units in Europe to the Pacific, 15 but I also want to make sure that we do that in the right 16 way. General, what U.S. capabilities are our European 17 allies least capable of replacing right now?

General Cavoli: Yeah, they're least capable of replacing high-end Air and Missile defense on a large basis. They depend on us for significant intelligence collection, processing, exploitation, and dissemination. They depend on us for deep, long range fires. They depend on us for aerial command and control for large scale campaigns. They depend on us for the backbone of certain parts of command and



1 control.

2 Those are really the key things. There are a few things else that I'd like to go into closed session, sir. 3 4 Senator Banks: What capabilities are European allies 5 most -- where are they most prepared to take over from us? 6 General Cavoli: Well, right now they do a variety of things without us, right? So today I have, I believe it's 7 8 17 or 20 European ships, NATO ships turned over to my 9 command, as SACEUR. Normally military forces are held by 10 the nations, and then they turn it over to me for particular 11 operations. I have zero U.S. ships working for me as SACEUR 12 right now.

I have only 40 individual human beings, marines, who are participating in the operation to monitor the Baltic Sea. We call it Baltic Sentry. Everything is being done by our European allies in those cases. So they have a variety of things they can do for us in any one of those areas.

One of the most important things they do provide is intelligence. While we have exquisite capability collect, they have very deep understanding of their regions, and they have some capabilities that we struggle to match in that regard. And, you know, those intel sharing relationships, sir, are based on a broader relationship that we cultivate very carefully.



1 Senator Banks: Thank you.

2 General Cavoli: Thank you, sir.

3 Senator Banks. Senator Peters.

Senator Peters: Thank you. And I want to thank both
of you for being here today, and certainly I've appreciated
your participation in these hearings over the years. And
thank you for your service.

8 General Cavoli, I want to begin by expressing my 9 deepest respect for the soldiers that were lost in Lithuania 10 last week. One of those soldiers that was lost was from my 11 state of Michigan. And I just want folks to know that their 12 service and their sacrifice will never be forgotten.

13 General Langley, Exercise Northern Strike in Michigan helps train thousands of service members from over 20 14 15 countries at the National All Domain War Fighting Center. It's also known as NADWC. It's composed of Camp Grilling 16 17 Training Center, and over 17,000 square miles of special use 18 military airspace at the Alpena Training Center. NADWC 19 provides realistic joint force training with our global 20 allies and our partners.

In fact, Liberia has been a part of this exercise for the past several years. My question for you is, what role do exercises like Northern Strike play in advancing AFRICOM's strategic goals on the continent?



General Langley: Senator, thanks for that question. You know, our joint exercise program is indicative of the influence that we have, positive influence in building institutional capacity for our African partners, and then the whole portfolio, and then the exercise that you, you mentioned as well adds to their institutional capacity. That's why we're the preferred partner.

8 As we go forward, and they address their challenges in, 9 in building security cooperation or the ability to address 10 the multiple layered threats that these countries face, they 11 choose the United States. I know that the Chinese Communist 12 Party and even the Russian Federation through their throes of efforts of trying to replicate it. But they always come 13 14 back to the United States to be the preferred partner for building institutional capacity. So for the exercise there 15 16 and what Liberia does they chose right.

17 Senator Peters: Well, that's good. And I want to kind 18 of pick up on that as in terms of the competition we have 19 with both Russia and the CCP and their influence operations 20 in Africa.

As you know, China employs strategic narratives to justify and enhance their presence in Sub-Saharan Africa. Through partnerships with African journalist training programs, the CCP integrates its perspective into local



1 media. It directly engages in more than 60 African
2 political parties. But their most influential impact I
3 think you would agree, has been the Belt and Road
4 initiative, which has significantly impacted Africa's
5 economic landscape through substantial investments,
6 particularly infrastructure investments.

7 In 2023, African countries experienced a 47 percent 8 increase in Chinese construction contracts, and 114 percent 9 surge in investments compared to the previous year, which 10 totals about 21.7 billion, a substantial amount. So my 11 question for you sir is, can you expand upon the growing 12 presence that China has in Africa politically, economically, 13 and militarily, and how that is a threat to our very 14 important strategic interest on the continent?

15 General Langley: Yes, Senator. Thanks for that 16 question. China's trying to set the globe now. They want 17 to be the global hegemon now. Chairman Xi has put out a 18 2049 plan, but they want to get there earlier. So therein 19 lies the importance that we engage and show assurance 20 actions. But we just can't just harbor the facts. We 21 harbor the facts, but we don't own the narrative. And that's being drowned out by the CCP and their campaign plans 22 to be able to influence civil society, influence the 23 24 militaries.



1 They can't replicate what we do, whether it be their 2 trying to copy what we're doing in our IMET, or our 3 International Military Education Training. It doesn't 4 compare. And we match our efforts, whether it's Title 22 5 funding or Title 10 funding. Whether it be security, the 6 state partnership program, or the SFABs, Security Force 7 Assistance Brigades.

8 They build institutional capacity like none other. Our 9 African partners know that. So whatever is in the 10 information space, we do need to meet it with a comparable 11 narrative. Thanks, sir.

Senator Peters: Thank you. And once again, thank youto both of you for your service.

14 Senator Schmitt [presiding]: Thank you. Thank you. 15 I'll call myself now. From Truman and Eisenhower through 16 Reagan and Gates, U.S. leaders no matter how committed, NATO 17 have made some interesting comments I think are worth 18 highlighting here. General Eisenhower, the Supreme Allied 19 Commander, noted that, "If in 10 years -- by the way, in 20 1951 -- if in 10 years all American troops stationed in 21 Europe for national defense purposes have not been returned 22 to the United States, then this whole project will have failed." 23

Dean Acheson, the Secretary of State, said, "The United



States must lead, but it must not carry." President Kennedy said, "We want to make sure that NATO is not a fair-weather organization. The burden of freedom must be shared equally among its members." LBJ had similar thoughts, and his administration demanded offset agreements from West Germany to cover the costs of U.S. troops there.

President Nixon and Henry Kissinger. Nixon noted, "United States will participate in the defense and development of allies and friends, but America cannot and will not conceive all plans, design all programs, execute all decisions, and undertake all the defense of free nations of the world."

13 Casper Weinberger said, "Our European allies must 14 recognize that security cannot be achieved by words alone. 15 It must be backed by commitment and capability." Robert 16 Gates, in his farewell speech to NATO, said, "There will be 17 a dwindling appetite to expand increasingly precious funds 18 on behalf of nations that are apparently unwilling to devote 19 the necessary resources to be serious and capable partners 20 in their own defense." Even Secretary Mattis said, 21 "Americans cannot care more for your children's future 22 security than you do."

23 While some of my colleagues have been wring their hands 24 over the possibility that the United States might step away



1 from its role as a Supreme Allied Commander in Europe, I can 2 tell you who isn't all that worried about it. It's the American people. Quite frankly, they probably thought that 3 4 ended when Dwight D. Eisenhower was no longer the Supreme 5 Allied Commander. And speaking of General Eisenhower, I 6 read that quote, "If in 10 years all American troops in 7 Europe for military purposes have not returned to the United 8 States, then this whole project will have failed."

9 That's 70 years ago. Over 70 years ago. Time and time 10 again, the United States is expected to shoulder Europe's 11 defense while rich European countries sit back, issue 12 statements, and undeliver. Some of my colleagues point out that since the war in Ukraine began, Europe has collectively 13 14 spent as much on European defense as the United States, as 15 if that's some great triumph. To me, it just shows how 16 upside down this whole arrangement is. How is it even 17 remotely impressive that the nations defending their own 18 continent are only barely keeping pace with the country 19 across the Atlantic?

If Europe really believes it's facing an existential threat from Russia, it should be spending not just as much as we have, but magnitudes, magnitudes more than we do. 10 times, 100 times. That's what a commitment would look like. And please stop telling me that comparing spending in Europe



is even, that's laughably narrow. When you compare U.S.
 defense spending in Europe to Europe's, you ignore
 everything else America does worldwide, much of which
 directly benefits Europe.

5 Who ensures freedom of navigation in the Red Sea, when 6 European trade flows there daily? We do. Who contains 7 China's growing dominance across the Indo-Pacific, 8 stabilizing global markets and maritime routes that Europe 9 depends on? We do. Without U.S. global power projection, 10 the European way of life wouldn't exist as we know it. And 11 still, they won't even take primary responsibility for their 12 own backyard.

13 If Russia really is a continent-wide threat, as 14 European leaders say, then where is the urgency? Where is 15 the mobilization? With few exceptions, Poland and the 16 Baltic states, Europe is not acting like it's facing down a 17 generational threat, not even close. And I'm getting tired 18 of being asked to go home to Missouri and explain to working 19 Americans, the people I represent, why they should send more 20 money, more troops, more of their sons and daughters to 21 defend a continent that refuses to defend itself.

Why should we care more about Moscow than people in Paris who are two hours away by plane? It doesn't make sense, and it's time to stop pretending that it does. For



80 years, we've told Europe to get serious about their own
 defense. They have blown us off. They haven't listened.
 Maybe they never will, as long as we keep doing the job for
 them.

5 So yes, maybe we should have a conversation about the 6 Supreme Allied Commander role. Maybe having an American 7 general in that seat furthers this ruse. We should have the 8 debate, not simply dismiss it. Let's have an honest 9 conversation about it. American priorities in Europe, what 10 they should be. Let's stop confusing symbolism with 11 strategy. And while we're at it, maybe let's stop waving 12 foreign flags in the house floor when Americans can barely 13 afford groceries.

Some of my colleagues seem to think that defending Ukraine matters more than defending our own border. I disagree and so do the American people. It's time to have a serious realignment, let Europe grow up and carry its own weight. America's job is not to babysit the world. It's to protect our people and our interests. Full stop.

General Cavoli, I had a bunch of questions for you, but I had to say what I had to say. So I guess since I'm the Chairman, I'll ask one question right now. Greenland. Can you just talk about the strategic importance and why that matters to the United States of America?



General Cavoli: Sure. I'll talk about it from my
 perspective as --

3 Senator Schmitt: Yeah, not from a policy perspective,4 from a military perspective.

5 General Cavoli: But from a military perspective, б Senator, I'll limit my comments to my field of expertise, 7 which is the European Theater, right? EUCOM AOR, and the 8 The key there is, it forms the western border SACEUR AOR. 9 of the Greenland Iceland UK gap, which is that body of water 10 through which Russian submarines from the northern fleet in 11 Murmansk come up, and then down through that gap. And once they get past that gap, they break out into the Atlantic. 12 13 It becomes very tough to track them. It's a vast expanse. 14 There are some acoustic things about the underwater 15 geography that make it pretty tough. From those positions 16 that they can achieve, they can hold the U.S. homeland at 17 risk. Several important targets with land attack cruise 18 missiles.

19 Greenland is the western boundary of that gap. So my 20 access to it, their airspace and water space, bounding 21 Greenland is absolutely critical for the United States. 22 Senator Schmitt: Senator Blumenthal.

23 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you, Senator Schmitt. First 24 of all, thank you both for your service, and I join many of



my colleagues in regretting your retirement. You both have
 been frank, informative, dedicated, and really models of
 public service. And I wish you well on behalf of all us.

4 General Cavoli, we've talked over the years about 5 Vladimir Putin's murderous invasion of Ukraine. There's no 6 question who the aggressor is here, and there's no question 7 in my mind that the aggression, this murderous bloody 8 assault is continuing with undiminished force against 9 Ukraine. As you note very powerfully in your testimony, 10 Russia is building its military strength. The Kremlin 11 learns quickly and historically that it restructures forces 12 as necessary. It's already reconstituting itself. It's 13 tapping additional manpower and preparing to continue this 14 assault, and at the same time, slow walking and playing us 15 in supposed negotiations.

I'm not going to ask you to comment on the negotiations. I recognize that your mission is military in nature, but I would ask you how you would characterize Russia's ability to recoup its material and personnel losses in Ukraine. Will it succeed in rebuilding after its losses? And what kind of threat does that represent to American security interests?

General Cavoli: Sure, Senator. Thank you. So if we
 divide Russia's rebuilding capability into two big blocks,



personnel and equipment, I believe the personnel, they'll be able to build as quickly as they want to. They continue to maintain a conscription. You saw that just last week the Russian government announced its annual conscription drive. It increased by 10,000 over last year, 160,000 this year. With those numbers, they will be able to constitute the four size that they choose fairly quickly.

Be a little tougher, take them a little bit more time to organize it into the sort of organizations that they have said they want to build after the war. They want to have an army of about 1.5 million they've announced. They want to put a lot of it on the border of NATO and Northeastern Europe. It'll take them a little bit of time to do that, but we're talking a couple of years.

15 Equipment, that depends on how much more they lose 16 inside Ukraine, sir. They've lost a vast amount of 17 equipment inside Ukraine. They started the war with 18 someplace near 13,000 tanks on active and in storage. And 19 they're starting to approach near the end of that, near the 20 end of the useful tanks in storage. So depending on how 21 much more they lose, that will really determine how guickly 22 they can regenerate.

23 They have expanded their capability to produce some
24 things, artillery shells, cruise missiles tremendously. And



they're producing some things such as one-way attack drones in prodigious numbers that they weren't even producing at all before the war.

Senator Blumenthal: And I would characterize that
capability as offensive. In other words, they're not
defending against a possible invasion from Ukraine. They
are preparing for continuing offensive actions against
Ukraine and possibly NATO allies if they are successful in
Ukraine.

10 General Cavoli: And I would add to that, Senator, that 11 this is not just in the ground domain. They have long range 12 aviation that practices daily, moving out and doing strikes across North America. They have their strategic ballistic 13 14 missile fleet that their road mobiles that are constantly 15 out there, and they have their submarine fleet that's 16 constantly out there. So it's not just in those domains. 17 And then there is of course, the cyber domain in which, you 18 know, they're very active. We can talk about in closed 19 session, sir.

20 Senator Blumenthal: Just one last question, General. 21 Because I've heard from some of the critics of our military 22 aid to Ukraine that there are significant numbers of weapons 23 embezzled, stolen, missing from the aid that we are 24 providing Ukraine. On every one of the visits -- I've been



1 to Ukraine six times now -- I've talked to our military and 2 logistics folks in Poland, and they are tracking virtually every bullet that we have provided to Ukraine. And there's 3 4 no evidence whatsoever of this kind of misconduct or 5 misappropriation of our aid to Ukraine. Would you agree? 6 General Cavoli: Yeah, I do agree with that, Senator. 7 There will be a challenge locating all of it later. You 8 know, all of us have been in combat, realize that it's 9 pretty easy to misplace things and to lose track of things. 10 But we've seen no deliberate effort to transfer, sell, or 11 steal weaponry. The Ukrainians are using it to defend their 12 country.

13 Senator Blumenthal: My hope is that Russia will take 14 seriously the efforts to peace and stop stonewalling and 15 slow walking negotiation. But right now, they seem to be 16 playing us and playing for time. And I want to thank you 17 again for your incredible service, both of you to our great 18 country. Thank you.

19 General Cavoli: Thank you, sir.

20 Chairman Wicker [presiding]: Thank you very much, 21 Senator Blumenthal. General Langley, let me ask a few more 22 questions here as we hopefully recess. Africa is a mineral 23 rich, resource rich continent. Is that correct?

24 General Langley: Yes, Chairman.



1 Chairman Wicker: Oh, okay. And you can leave the 2 microphone on. Are there success stories for the citizens 3 of African countries when these larger more prosperous 4 nations or companies from more prosperous areas come in and 5 exploit these minerals? What I'm asking is, does it ever redound to the benefit of the citizens there in Africa? б 7 General Langley: Chairman, there's very few cases. 8 When the Chinese Communist Party is outed on them pulling

9 out raw materials, not benefiting the, the populations of 10 the host country, they've been trying to come up with ways 11 how they can stay there and process only at the protests of 12 the people of these African countries that host these 13 mineral resources.

Chairman Wicker: So is there any exception? Can you point to any success story where the people of one country or one or two countries have actually benefited

17 substantially?

General Langley: Not on China's behalf. Not on China's behalf. Just what happened in Zambia when they had the spillage into the river that services 5 million people. There are just these mining concessions. It just doesn't turn out real well for the African countries.

23 Chairman Wicker: And do the countries that are24 receiving entreaties from the Belt and Road Initiative, are



1 they more and more mindful of that now and therefore 2 reluctant?

General Langley: Yes. And that's where you know, we need to be able to do information operations to illuminate some of the irregularities and some of the malign type activities of the Chinese in the African countries.

7 Chairman Wicker: Information and narratives are power 8 then, aren't they?

General Langley: Absolutely. And as I said a few
minutes ago, and I always will tout this, that we own the
facts. We own the facts. We know exactly what the Chinese
Communist party's doing, and to some degree what the Russian
Federation is doing and their propaganda operations. But we
don't own the narrative. Both of them own the narrative.
Chairman Wicker: We sure don't. One more thing for

16 you General Langley, the approach that we have had with 17 USAID and the other things like the President's initiative 18 on aids. How does our U.S. approach compare and contrast to 19 the approach of Russia in Africa and also communist China IN 20 Africa?

General Langley: Well, Chairman, we've always had the lead. So what you're seeing in those two competitors or challengers, they're following in our wake. They see what works as far as our influence and deepening partnerships



through those soft powers, whether it be health diplomacy or whether it be in development type programs. So, they're following in our wake, trying to replicate what we do best.

4 And I think the African countries know this. And so, 5 this is where as we start to look at going forward, State 6 Department starts to reset these programs looking at what worked and what didn't work, and doubling down on what does 7 8 I think we have enough capital built up in these work. countries for them to ensure their stabilization and also 9 10 prosperity. The other two competitors are just trying to 11 replicate what we do best.

12 Chairman Wicker: I can imagine a situation, though, in 13 which the ruling elite of a country is receiving gratuities 14 on the side that in order to the benefit of the strong man 15 leader and not to the populace of the country. Does that 16 sort of corruption and bribery go on with regard to the Belt 17 and Road?

General Langley: Absolutely, Chairman. You know, I see this, and I don't mind calling them out, but Captain Traore in Burkina Faso. You know, as they know that both, you know, whether it's their gold reserves, all those proceeds are just in exchange to protect the Junta regime. There's a number of those examples across. Even in Sudan, what's going on with the Rapid Support Forces and Al-Burhan



of the Sudan forces. When you get down to it, is all about the revenue that can be drawn out of that. And there are nefarious actors in the CCP or in sometimes Russia, are complicit in those activities.

5 Chairman Wicker: Well, you can't imagine how 6 frustrating it seems to me that this mineral rich continent 7 has not been able to move to a utilization of the resources 8 to benefit the people there after all this time. It's a 9 puzzle that we haven't unwound.

Let me see if I can move to General Cavoli, and then I, I hope we can leave. You are aware of various voices coming from inside government here in the United States, as well as in the media that have spoken with approval about the Russian organized referendums in 2022 in so-called overwhelming Russian speaking provinces.

General Cavoli, tell us what you know and what you understand about the freedom of those organized referendums and the accuracy of them as a measure of public opinion.

19 General Cavoli: Sure, Mr. Chairman. I don't think I'm 20 familiar with the voices in Washington that are talking 21 about this, but I do remember them very well. They were 22 referendums in Luhansk, Donetsk, Zaporizhzhia, and Kherson 23 provinces. They were in September, 2022.

24 They were roundly condemned by nations throughout the



world and by international organizations. The Organization
for Security and Cooperation in Europe called them
illegitimate. The United Nations called them illegal. I
think, I think the only country in the world that accepted
the result of those referenda other than Russia was North
Korea.

So they have widely been considered not to be legitimate for a variety of reasons about the way they took place and taking place during a conflict by an occupying power.

11 Chairman Wicker: So if members of Congress want to be 12 informed by what happened in these referenda, if our 13 negotiators in this peace process want to be informed by 14 these referenda, what lesson should we take from the 15 legitimacy of those of votes?

16 General Cavoli: Sir, I think that anybody who wants to 17 know about it, it's pretty well-established history. It's 18 pretty easy to find. I could certainly help direct your 19 colleagues or anybody you want to sources on it. What 20 conclusions can we draw? We can draw the conclusion that, 21 you know, the Russian Federation was intent on absorbing those provinces and attempted to put a gloss of legitimacy 22 over it by holding a referanda that had, you know, results 23 24 like 99 percent in favor.



1

Chairman Wicker: Rigged results, correct?

General Cavoli: That was the widespread conclusion at
the time in 2022. Yes, sir.

4 Chairman Wicker: And also, since you are a student of 5 the Russian language yourself, is the fact that the Russian 6 language is spoken in part of Ukraine, is that demonstrative 7 of their loyalty with regard to their nationality?

General Cavoli: Well, we see linguistic minorities in occuntries all over the world, sir. There certainly is a dominant Russian language population in Eastern Ukraine. We see a Russian language population in Eastern Estonia. So it's not --

13 Chairman Wicker: And they consider themselves loyal14 Estonians, do they not?

General Cavoli: Yeah. It really doesn't have much to do with sovereignty at all. I mean, we have large populations in our country that don't speak English.

18 Chairman Wicker: That's the point I was trying to 19 make. Senator Reed, we will be going into a closed session. 20 Do you have any questions for the open session?

21 Senator Reed: I have a few questions, and thank you, 22 Mr. Chairman. General Cavoli, in your military opinion, 23 does the U.S. agreement with Denmark to facilitate access 24 and basing needs in Greenland meet current military



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO

(800.367.3376)

1 requirements?

General Cavoli: Sir, I can only talk about the part of
the military requirements that affect me. So our principle
presence on Greenland, the United States personal presence
on Greenland is the base at Pituffik in Northwestern
Greenland. That is not under my operation or administrative
control. That's a space force base. And I don't know if
it's adequate for them or not.

9 From my perspective as both the Supreme Allied 10 Commander and the U.S. European Commander, I have all the 11 access and basing I need to prosecute the operations that 12 we've talked about in the high North during this, during 13 this Conference.

Senator Reed: So is that from your perspective, European Greenland, just to get the facts right, is within your area of operations?

17 General Cavoli: Greenland is within my area of 18 operations as the Supreme Allied Commander. And it is 19 within my area of operations as the U.S. European Command. 20 Senator Reed: And you feel at this juncture, we have 21 all the capacity we need to confront the threats going 22 forward?

23 General Cavoli: Yeah. Again senator, my part of that 24 is what I can comment on. That's from the coast of



Greenland eastward, and northward to counter Russian threats
 coming out of there. I can't really speak for NORTHCOM's
 interest or anything like that.

Senator Reed: Thank you. If AFRICOM is dissolved and
merged into EUCOM, you would've a responsibility for more
than 50 countries. Do you have the capacity, the expertise,
the infrastructure to do that?

General Cavoli: I would have the responsibility for 50
more countries. Yeah.

10 Senator Reed: Exactly right.

11 General Cavoli: It would be a stretch, Senator. I 12 mean, that's a wide span of control. I think that the 13 organization, if it were, you know, aggregated, would 14 possess the expertise and the capacity, because when AFRICOM 15 was created in 2007, it was mainly created by cleaving it 16 out of the EUCOM headquarters and establishing it separate, 17 actually in some cases in the exact same buildings.

So my assumption would be that a lot of that capacity would have to come back to EUCOM in order to be able adequately to handle the threats and the problems that General Langley has talked about today. It would have to be studied very, very closely, of course.

23 Senator Reed: Thank you. I have one question for24 General Langley, it's just a comment. We've talked a lot



about the German contributions and it's increasing, thank goodness, but I think we miss some of the history here. For many, many decades, Germany was divided so that it's not the same country now that it was in the 1960s, et cetera, in terms of its economic capacity.

б And the second point that strikes me is that for many, many years after World War II, there was a great reluctance 7 8 upon Germany and indeed most other countries in the world, 9 to see them create a powerful military force. We had seen 10 that before, right after World War I. And so I think that 11 has to be factored into their, one, their reluctance to 12 expand on defense. But now I think it's a turnaround and 13 they're putting a great deal of resources as they should.

Final question, General Langley. Have you had specific comments from any of your counterparts about the withdrawal of USAID from Africa? Have they commented to you, like, why are you doing this, or?

General Langley: Ranking Member, thanks for that question. I went to the meeting security conference about a month ago, or greater than a month ago. And, you know, after I got my direction from the Secretary of Defense what my priorities were especially with counter terrorism and also to deter China and their military activities on the continent, it kind of refined my mission.



1 Then I had to come up with my narrative to engage these 2 countries to be very transparent and straight talk, of that 3 we're going through the pause and we're in a reflection 4 period of assessing what has worked in the past and what's 5 going to work in the future, and what's going in the future б will be more targeted to what their needs are but they also 7 need to be able to build towards a sense of independent 8 operations.

9 You know, I expressed this and talked to Cote d'Ivoire 10 their MOD and even in Ghana the new President-elect you 11 know, President Mahama. They understood that and also 12 carried on that narrative. They appreciated me being 13 transparent. Carried on that narrative when I went to 14 Morocco as well, when we delivered the helicopters and they 15 understand it.

And so, it's more of a burden sharing type message and also a regimented message of getting towards the host nation coming to the point of independent operations.

19 Senator Reed: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

20 Chairman Wicker: And thank you, Mr. Ranking Member. 21 This concludes the open portion of today's hearing. I'd 22 like to thank our witnesses for their testimony, for the 23 information of members and staff. Questions for the record 24 are due to the committee within two business days of the



1	conclusion of this hearing. We will commence the closed
2	portion of this hearing in Senate Security at the hour of
3	12:10, 10 minutes past noon. And until then, we are
4	recessed.
5	[Whereupon, at 11:50 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

