## Stenographic Transcript Before the

Subcommittee on Airland

## COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

## **UNITED STATES SENATE**

## HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON AIR FORCE MODERNIZATION

Wednesday, March 29, 2017

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING

1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.

SUITE 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

(202) 289-2260

www.aldersonreporting.com

1	HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON
2	AIR FORCE MODERNIZATION
3	
4	Wednesday, March 29, 2017
5	
6	U.S. Senate
7	Subcommittee on Airland
8	Committee on Armed Services
9	Washington, D.C.
10	
11	The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 3:30 p.m.
12	in Room SR-222, Russell Senate Office Building, Hon. Tom
13	Cotton, chairman of the subcommittee, presiding.
14	Committee Members Present: Senators Cotton
15	[presiding], Tillis, Sullivan, King, Blumenthal, Warren, and
16	Peters.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. TOM COTTON, U.S. SENATOR
- 2 FROM ARKANSAS
- 3 Senator Cotton: The hearing will come to order.
- 4 Today we'll be discussing the future of our Air Force,
- 5 what shortfalls we need to fix, what capabilities we need to
- 6 develop. As we all know, Congress has a tendency to think
- 7 in one-year increments, a handicap our rivals are only too
- 8 happy to exploit.
- 9 So I consider this hearing our opportunity to take the
- 10 long view. We'll get an update on the problems we heard
- 11 about last year, like the shortage in fighter pilots and in
- 12 crew members for remotely piloted aircraft. We'll also talk
- in more depth about how to keep our technological edge over
- 14 the next 10 years.
- 15 The truth is, we've been losing ground for years. As
- 16 Lieutenant General Stephen Hoog noted a little over two
- 17 years ago, at the beginning of Desert Storm we had 134
- 18 combat squadrons. By 9/11, we were down to 88. Today,
- 19 we're at 55. In fact, he argued, if we were to conduct
- 20 Operation Desert Storm today, we would have to "completely
- 21 strip out Asia or strip out Europe, and we'd still be short
- 22 of the combat power we had in Desert Storm." And that was a
- 23 relatively small conflict against one country in one region.
- 24 We all know how we got here. The last administration
- 25 made severe cuts to the Defense budget, which Congress did

- 1 far too little to oppose, and since then the threats to our
- 2 national security have multiplied, whether it's the Islamic
- 3 State, Russia, China, North Korea, or Iran.
- 4 At the very moment when the dangers to our country are
- 5 increasing, the Air Force's capacity, readiness, and
- 6 capabilities are decreasing. But now we have a new
- 7 administration that recognizes this dire situation. The
- 8 President has promised to build a military that far outpaces
- 9 our rivals. The question we want to discuss today is how do
- 10 we do that?
- 11 I will be particularly interested to hear from our
- 12 witnesses how the Air Force plans to modernize its forces as
- 13 part of the larger effort to rebuild our military. A strong
- 14 Air Force is key to our joint war fighting capability. We
- 15 have to be able to deploy and support forces anywhere on the
- 16 globe, and to do that we need a sufficient strategic and
- 17 operational reserve, national mobilization capability, and
- 18 robust defense industrial base.
- 19 What we do this year will determine how we fare over
- 20 the next 10 years. The stakes are high, and I know all of
- 21 us here take them very seriously.
- I look forward to hearing our witnesses' testimony.
- 23 All three of them come from the Air Force's headquarters at
- 24 the Pentagon: Lieutenant General Mark Nowland, Deputy Chief
- 25 of Staff for Operations; Lieutenant General Arnold Bunch,

- 1 Military Deputy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Air
- 2 Force for Acquisitions; and Lieutenant General Jerry Harris,
- 3 Deputy Chief of Staff for Strategic Plans, Programs and
- 4 Requirements.
- 5 Gentlemen, thank you all for appearing today. Thank
- 6 you for your many decades of distinguished service to our
- 7 country in uniform.
- 8 Senator King?

- 1 STATEMENT OF HON. ANGUS KING, U.S. SENATOR FROM MAINE
- 2 Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I want to extend a welcome and thank you to each of our
- 4 witnesses for appearing before the subcommittee today. I
- 5 look forward to hearing your testimony and discussing these
- 6 important issues.
- 7 Last week the subcommittee heard from the Army
- 8 witnesses about the challenges that the Army has in its
- 9 modernization. Following our first hearing two weeks ago on
- 10 all armed warfare in the 21st century, it's clear that both
- 11 our ground and air forces need to reestablish the
- 12 capabilities required to dominate -- I emphasize dominate --
- 13 near-peer adversaries across the full spectrum of military
- 14 operations.
- 15 Today I'm looking forward to hearing from our Air Force
- 16 witnesses about the challenges and opportunities they face
- 17 in modernizing the Air Force. I'm especially interested in
- 18 hearing from the witnesses how the Air Force plans to manage
- 19 its multiple modernization programs in ways that deliver the
- 20 capabilities our war fighters need to defeat our most
- 21 capable adversaries on a timely basis -- I want to emphasize
- 22 on a timely basis -- while protecting our taxpayers'
- 23 dollars. Often, in acquisition matters, timeliness as well
- 24 as dollars are important considerations.
- Too many defensive acquisition programs over-promise

- 1 and under-deliver on cost and schedule, and we must do
- 2 better if we're going to effectively modernize our fighter,
- 3 bomber, aerial refueling and other fleets to the levels
- 4 required to meet our future requirements.
- 5 Our witnesses this afternoon face huge challenges as
- 6 they strive to balance the need to support ongoing
- 7 operations and sustain readiness with the need to modernize
- 8 and keep the technological edge so critical to successful
- 9 military operations. Resources are never unlimited, and the
- 10 Air Force must make investments based on near-term risks as
- 11 well as future threats to procure new weapons, upgrade
- 12 existing platforms, and fund research and development
- 13 accounts.
- 14 Our Air Force will bear the brunt of dealing with the
- 15 anti-access, aerial denial threats that our Armed Forces may
- 16 and likely will face in the future. These challenges are
- 17 made particularly difficult by the spending caps imposed by
- 18 the Budget Control Act. These caps were relieved somewhat
- 19 for Fiscal Year 2016 and 2017 in the bipartisan Budget Act
- 20 of 2015, but the caps again take effect in 2018 and are not
- 21 automatically nullified by making cuts in non-defense
- 22 discretionary programs.
- 23 Every year we are challenged to make decisions
- 24 balancing a number of competing demands for resources,
- 25 including resources for current operation and investment in

- 1 future modernization. In the absence of specific budget
- 2 proposals, however, we will be assessing overall plans and
- 3 programs regarding current and future aviation programs.
- 4 There are a number of other issues that we need to discuss,
- 5 but in the interest of time I will stop here and wait for
- 6 our discussion.
- 7 Again, I want to thank our witnesses; and thank you,
- 8 Mr. Chairman, for calling this hearing.
- 9 Senator Cotton: General Nowland?
- 10 General Harris: Sir, may I start?
- 11 Senator Cotton: You may, General Harris.
- 12 General Harris: Yes.
- 13 Senator Cotton: Did you draw the short straw this
- 14 morning?
- 15 General Harris: I did, sir.
- 16 Senator Cotton: Okay. Sorry to hear that.
- General Harris: It's because I'm younger. They're
- 18 more experienced.
- 19 Senator Cotton: You have the most hair.
- [Laughter.]
- 21 General Harris: I'm not sure.
- [Laughter.]
- 23
- 24
- 25

- 1 STATEMENT OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL JERRY D. HARRIS, JR.,
- 2 USAF, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR STRATEGIC PLANS, PROGRAMS
- 3 AND REQUIREMENTS, HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE;
- 4 ACCOMPANIED BY LIEUTENANT GENERAL ARNOLD W. BUNCH, JR.,
- 5 USAF, MILITARY DEPUTY, OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF
- 6 THE AIR FORCE FOR ACQUISITION; AND LIEUTENANT GENERAL MARK
- 7 C. NOWLAND, USAF, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR OPERATIONS,
- 8 HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
- 9 General Harris: Sir, thank you for having us here
- 10 today to continue our discussion on Air Force modernization.
- To the several members of the committee who have served
- in our Armed Forces before, a special thank you for
- 13 continuing to serve this great nation.
- 14 First, we would like to enter our written statement
- 15 into the record.
- 16 Senator Cotton: So entered.
- 17 General Harris: Your United States Air Force is always
- 18 there. We're providing global vigilance, global reach, and
- 19 global power. The demand for our service has never been
- 20 higher, even though we are 38 percent smaller, already
- 21 noted, than we were in 1991.
- We've been continuously deployed across the globe, and
- 23 our adversary has been watching and copying us, learning
- 24 from us every day, year in and year out. The world is
- 25 changing, and our adversaries are catching us faster than we

- 1 predicted. To ensure we keep the advantage across the
- 2 entire spectrum of warfare, we must modernize our nuclear
- 3 forces, our conventional forces, and the projection of those
- 4 forces in air, space, and cyber domains.
- 5 We're increasing our fighter and tanker procurement to
- 6 modernize the force, and soon we'll be producing the B-21 to
- 7 modernize our long-range strike fleet.
- 8 We are also in the early stages of replacing a portion
- 9 of our training aircraft, which will enable shorter training
- 10 timelines and better-trained air crew.
- 11 We are also modernizing some of our older aircraft,
- 12 extending their durability, and providing increased
- 13 capability to kill and survive in combat.
- We're making progress with the space fence, protected
- 15 SATCOM, and our Joint Space Operation Center.
- 16 Our number-one asset is our airmen, and we continue to
- 17 grow them. Venues such as this give us the opportunity to
- 18 highlight our efforts, and we appreciate the opportunity to
- 19 partner with the subcommittee. Thank you.
- 20 [The prepared statement of General Harris follows:]

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 Senator Cotton: General Bunch?
- 2 General Bunch: Sir, for the three of us, that one
- 3 statement. We look forward to answering your questions.
- 4 Thank you, Senator Cotton, Senator King, for the opportunity
- 5 to be here today.
- 6 Senator Cotton: Thank you. I appreciate it.
- 7 So, as we know, the Department of Defense, like all
- 8 departments, is operating under a continuing resolution
- 9 until April 28th. The situation is far from ideal. There
- 10 is a potential, though, for a full-year continuing
- 11 resolution for all of Fiscal Year 2017. That would be far
- 12 more damaging to our military services because of ongoing
- 13 budget disagreements within the Congress.
- 14 Can I ask each of our witnesses to give examples from
- 15 their respective areas of the damaging effects a full-year
- 16 budget under a continuing resolution might have, General
- 17 Nowland, from an operations and readiness perspective;
- 18 General Bunch, for program acquisition; and General Harris,
- 19 for long-term strategy effects?
- 20 General Nowland?
- 21 General Nowland: Mr. Chairman, thank you for the
- 22 opportunity. A continuing resolution would devastate the
- 23 readiness that we're trying to regenerate. Basically, in
- 24 air, space, and cyber, it would have operational effects as
- 25 we are working to regain our readiness. We would prioritize

- 1 to make sure that we continue to do the deployed mission,
- 2 but those other units who are not either deployed or
- 3 preparing to deploy, they would suffer readiness realities
- 4 because they would basically have to stop flying in the air
- 5 domain. The other missions in space and cyber would
- 6 continue, but training opportunities would be lost.
- General Bunch: Mr. Chairman, in the acquisition
- 8 community, a year-long continuing resolution would be
- 9 devastating for the efforts that we're trying to do to
- 10 modernize our Air Force. In the critical area of nuclear
- 11 programs, we would require as many as 17 anomalies if we
- 12 could afford to do that within our existing programs to keep
- 13 these critical upgrades to the B-2, the B-52, Minuteman, and
- 14 our NC3 capabilities on track.
- 15 It would also impact 60 Air Force acquisition new
- 16 starts that we had planned across the spectrum, and we would
- 17 end up needing another anomaly to cover the B-21 program,
- 18 which is off to a good start at this point.
- 19 It would impact us in missile and ammunition
- 20 procurement. It would impact us in our modernizations to
- 21 aircraft and our procurement to include Compass Call. It
- 22 would impact us in missile procurement. It would impact us
- 23 in space procurement with our beyond-line-of-sight terminals
- 24 and our evolved expendable launch vehicle programs, intended
- 25 to assure that we have mission assurance in space. And it

- 1 will result in a stop work issued against the GPS OCX
- 2 program, just to name a few.
- 3 Senator Cotton: You mentioned the B-21. What about
- 4 two other of your largest procurement programs, the F-35 and
- 5 the KC-46A?
- 6 General Bunch: So the F-35 right now, I do not have an
- 7 anomaly that we're listing as one we would need at this
- 8 stage, sir. On the KC-46, we provided an anomaly, and we
- 9 were able to go award lot 3 already under the continuing
- 10 resolution to keep the production program on track at the
- 11 price bands that we had negotiated with Boeing when we
- 12 awarded the contract.
- 13 Senator Cotton: You mentioned a lot of anomalies. How
- 14 would you end up prioritizing and balancing those?
- 15 General Bunch: Sir, that's why I said if we could
- 16 afford to do that. What we would have to do is work with
- 17 the remainder of the team and look at where those
- 18 modernizations are for the longer-term plan that Joe Harris
- 19 talks about and determine which areas we could take risks in
- 20 or which areas we could not take risks in.
- 21 Senator Cotton: General Harris?
- General Harris: Thank you, Chairman. That's a great
- 23 question. We appreciate the opportunity to address it.
- 24 A continuing resolution, to be honest, would be
- 25 something that our adversaries haven't been able to do to

- 1 your Air Force, and that's going to be ground us, which, as
- 2 you said, we're trying to avoid. With the current hole that
- 3 we would be in, it's about \$600 million in our flying hour
- 4 program alone. That's 120,000 flight hours, and your Air
- 5 Force goes through that in a month-and-a-half. So it would
- 6 be the last month-and-a-half the entire Air Force would have
- 7 to stop flying, but because we can't do that, it would start
- 8 affecting units almost immediately, forcing their grounding,
- 9 and that turns around our readiness program.
- 10 The airmen that we talked about are the most important
- 11 to us and our chief, number-one effort. We'd have to defer
- 12 all those bonus payments that we were setting up to cover to
- 13 retain them, which means we're going to be training more and
- 14 creating a bigger hole in our future programs.
- 15 Senator King: I want to push down a little bit on the
- 16 effects of a continuing resolution. I agree with you that
- 17 it's no way to govern, and we shouldn't be even thinking
- 18 about a further continuing resolution for the rest of the
- 19 year.
- However, basically it means that you have the same
- 21 amount of money that you had last year. Why is it that it
- 22 creates such a problem? For example, General Harris, you
- 23 just said you'd have to ground the squadrons for two months
- 24 at the end of the year. You didn't have to ground them last
- 25 year. I'm unclear as to why it would have that effect.

- General Harris: Sir, that's a great question. We are
- 2 actually flying more this year, trying to improve our
- 3 readiness status. So we had been at that since 1 October
- 4 based on the signals and messaging we've had from both
- 5 Congress and OSD. So we're flying at a rate greater than
- 6 last year, trying to reverse our readiness trends and have
- 7 more airmen prepared to fight the high-end conflict, not
- 8 just today's fight. So we're ahead of last year's curve
- 9 significantly, trying to get these airmen up to speed.
- 10 Senator King: So basically what you're saying is if
- 11 you don't have additional funding over and above what you
- 12 had for last year, you'd run out of money and that's why
- 13 you'd have to ground those squadrons.
- 14 General Harris: That's exactly right, sir.
- 15 Senator King: General Bunch, the same question. Why
- 16 such a drastic effect if indeed you're having the same
- 17 amount? This is what my taxpayers in Maine would say:
- 18 They're getting the money that they got last year; why would
- 19 there be such a drastic effect on various programs?
- 20 General Bunch: Yes, sir. So, some of these programs -
- 21 for example, OCX -- if you recall, we had to plus up the
- 22 budget to get the program back on track. That's a program
- 23 where we're going to be spending more money this year than
- 24 we were before, so that's an example of that.
- 25 On our weapons procurement, one of the things that

- 1 we've seen is the desire and the continued utilization of
- 2 precision weapons to minimize collateral damage and
- 3 dependence on those, and that demand signal has gone up, and
- 4 we have tried to respond to that by trying to increase the
- 5 production of joint direct attack munitions, small diameter
- 6 bomb, Hellfire, and advanced precision kill weapons system.
- 7 All of those were on a ramp to plus back up so that we can
- 8 rebuild our stockpiles and match what we're utilizing in the
- 9 fight today.
- 10 Senator King: Isn't that what OCO was all about?
- 11 General Bunch: So the problem -- it is, sir. But the
- 12 problem with OCO traditionally, last year you allowed us to
- 13 take some OCO dollars and predict what our utilization would
- 14 be so that we could procure weapons. That's not
- 15 traditionally how we've been able to do that. So that
- 16 helped us. The problem with OCO is it's years before I can
- 17 get to the point that I fill back up those stockpiles.
- 18 What we're trying to do is send a steady signal with
- 19 stable funding and a demand signal to the industrial base so
- 20 that they keep the production levels up at what we need, not
- 21 only for us but also for our partners, and also for foreign
- 22 military sales and for other activities as we fight around
- 23 the world.
- 24 Senator King: So part of the problem with a continuing
- 25 resolution is predictability and maintaining your

- 1 acquisitions and maintaining the industrial base.
- General Bunch: Sir, the way that we describe, I
- 3 describe, particularly in the weapons area, is for many
- 4 years we've been full up on the throttle and full back on
- 5 the throttle, and what we've got to do is set a stable
- 6 throttle and continue to keep stable funding to send that
- 7 signal to industry so that industry is willing to make the
- 8 investments so that they can support what we need to
- 9 procure.
- 10 Senator King: I hesitate to speculate how a jet
- 11 airplane would fly if Congress was in the driver's seat, the
- 12 pilot's seat.
- In your prepared testimony, one of the most, I thought,
- 14 troubling pieces -- and this is returning to the longer-term
- 15 issue -- is manpower shortfalls, particularly pilots and
- 16 maintainers. This appears to be a serious, ongoing problem,
- 17 and particularly in the next few years I understand there
- 18 are a great number of retirements in the commercial side,
- 19 and you're seeing a loss of people.
- 20 How do we cope with the shortfall in pilots, and also
- 21 maintainers?
- General Bunch: Senator King, that's a fantastic
- 23 question. Our Air Force and our chief has directed that we
- 24 take this on head-on. The answer is we're going to need a
- 25 little bit of help from everybody, work with industry, work

- 1 with Congress, but what we can control we're taking on right
- 2 now. The first thing we need to do, sir, is we're working
- 3 on our requirements.
- 4 So we have a rated staff allocation plan, which is as
- 5 you look at your United States Air Force, not every pilot is
- 6 flying. Some pilots are doing staff duty, some pilots are
- 7 doing training duties --
- 8 Senator King: Wouldn't pilots rather be flying?
- 9 General Bunch: Yes, sir, they would, but not for their
- 10 entire career because we need pilots in staff positions so
- 11 that we can make good decisions about future acquisition,
- 12 about future con ops, about command and control. So we have
- 13 a myriad of duties.
- 14 Our young pilots, your first 11 years of your career
- 15 essentially, sir, is built around building technical
- 16 capability. So that's really where the vast majority of our
- 17 pilots, our young pilots, are. As you become older, we need
- 18 less older pilots, but we need to use them to train our Air
- 19 Force and to operate our Air Force. But we basically have
- 20 cut our staff, so we're looking at requirements.
- The second thing we do is we're increasing production.
- We are pushing up our production to the maximum capacity
- 23 that we can, and in particular with fighter pilots we're
- 24 going to try to produce 335 total force fighter pilots per
- 25 year, and we're going to produce those continually for the

- 1 next five to seven years. We need to just produce those
- 2 pilots to fill in gaps.
- 3 As we do that, we will also create mobility pilots, but
- 4 we also have a responsibility to build coalition and
- 5 international pilots. So we're going to maximize our
- 6 production while balancing our training requirements.
- 7 Senator King: My time has expired, but we're going to
- 8 get back to this. I want to follow up on how we're going to
- 9 do the retention. I think that's very important. And also
- 10 recruit and retain people who are maintaining, because you
- 11 can have pilots, but if the planes aren't ready, that's a
- 12 problem too.
- 13 Mr. Chairman, thank you.
- 14 Senator Cotton: Senator Tillis?
- 15 Senator Tillis: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 16 Gentlemen, thank you for being here and for your
- 17 service.
- 18 The question I want to focus a little bit on, the
- 19 continuing resolution and the effect that it may have. But
- 20 before I do, I've got a couple of baseline questions.
- 21 How many squadrons do we currently have that are at
- their highest level of certification or readiness?
- 23 General Harris: Sir, it's not many. It's less than
- 24 half that are in what we would call Level 1 or Level 2
- 25 readiness.

- 1 Senator Tillis: How many are at Level 1?
- 2 General Harris: Three, maybe four.
- 3 Senator Tillis: I think it's four. And that's a total
- 4 of how many squadrons?
- 5 General Harris: Fifty-five.
- 6 Senator Tillis: And the number of pilots that you all
- 7 would like to have versus how many you need, how far behind
- 8 are we?
- 9 General Harris: Sir, we are probably 700 to 1,000 in
- 10 the Air Force alone; and, as we're talking about, we're
- 11 increasing our production now. The bonus increase that
- 12 Congress has given us will help us retain more of those mid-
- 13 level pilots, but we don't think it's enough. Although
- 14 we'll never keep up with airline salaries, currently between
- 15 us and the Navy are producing about 2,200 pilots a year.
- 16 This past year that we're finishing now, airlines are hiring
- 17 4,000. We're only producing 2,200.
- 18 General Nowland: Sir, we're 1,555 total force pilots
- 19 behind today.

1-800-FOR-DEPO

- 20 Senator Tillis: Okay, it's even worse than I thought.
- Now, with that sort of as a baseline, I don't think you
- 22 all would put that as something you're really happy with and
- 23 that's in the best interests of the national security, or
- 24 really, for that matter, the morale of the Air Force. Let's
- 25 say that some people around here are going to declare

- 1 victory and high-five if we get a continuing resolution
- 2 passed for 12 months that has, let's say, even the numbers
- 3 that we talked about here in terms of increased spending.
- 4 How do those numbers change at all over the next 24 months?
- 5 Do they get better, worse, or stay the same?
- 6 General Harris: Sir, they'll get worse. First off, we
- 7 won't be able to close out '17 at our end strength that
- 8 we're looking for of 321,000. We'll fall short of that
- 9 number, and that will just compound as we go forward. The
- 10 chief and secretary are trying to grow the number of airmen
- 11 we need -- maintainers, ops, flyers, those that are working
- 12 across the spectrum. Right now, we just don't have enough
- 13 doing the mission.
- 14 Senator Tillis: If passing the CR was what many people
- 15 think here would be a success, I don't think anybody in
- 16 uniform would think it was a success, particularly those who
- 17 are worried about our readiness and our capability and our
- 18 ability to project power.
- 19 Lieutenant Bunch? Or General Bunch. I'm sorry.
- 20 General Bunch: I was one once, sir. I was a
- 21 lieutenant.

1-800-FOR-DEPO

- 22 Senator Tillis: A little younger.
- 23 General Bunch: A day or two ago, sir, and still loving
- 24 it. It would be devastating for us --
- 25 Senator Tillis: Devastating.

- General Bunch: -- if we take a continuing resolution
- 2 throughout the year. We'll be at \$2.8 billion short. We
- 3 will have to find a way to fund within five months.
- 4 Senator Tillis: My next question relates to the sad
- 5 reality that that may be the best that we can expect. I
- 6 hope we can get more, but that may be the best we can
- 7 expect.
- 8 What advice would you give to us in terms of other
- 9 things we could do that could remove some of the hurdles or
- 10 allow you to be more productive with the money that you have
- if we're constrained by money? I don't want to be. I think
- 12 that we need to place a priority on the challenges that you
- 13 have and all the other branches have. But what other things
- 14 should we be looking at that you haven't heard any movement
- on that could potentially increase your flexibility, reduce
- 16 burdens, reduce inefficiencies to at least help bend the
- 17 curve on what is otherwise just an undeniable downward
- 18 trend?
- 19 General Harris: Senator, that's not an easy task to
- 20 come up with. We do have some new starts that we are trying
- 21 to get through authorizing those with the money would help,
- 22 allowing us to move money, if necessary, left and right to
- 23 cover some of these shortfalls. I think we're already doing
- that, and we have good support from Congress. But not
- 25 having a stable budget from year to year really impacts my

- 1 ability to work on my 5-, 10-, and 20-year plans.
- 2 Senator Tillis: No doubt about it. I mean, we can sit
- 3 here, and we beat you all until you bleed, we beat you for
- 4 bleeding, for cost overruns on certain projects, but we
- 5 create the structural inefficiencies that cause that to
- 6 happen. You can't make a long-term supply chain
- 7 optimization outcome happen unless you have a long-term
- 8 spending horizon to plan it on.
- 9 General Bunch, you were going to say something?
- 10 General Bunch: Yes. I would just say, sir, that
- 11 Congress has been very helpful with us when we've come in on
- 12 critical acquisition programs, to give us the anomalies, and
- 13 we appreciate that support. But if we go for the year long,
- 14 and I talked about the number of nuclear anomalies we would
- 15 need and the number of new starts, all that is out of
- 16 balance with what limited amount of money we've got, and
- 17 we've got to make trades, and we have to work on all of
- 18 these together, and that would be very challenging to do.
- 19 Senator Tillis: In my remaining time I don't expect an
- 20 answer, but we would like to hear back from you specific
- 21 things so that we can ease the burden. If we're going to
- get to a point to where the CR is all we can get, please
- 23 give us feedback on things that we can go nail down that at
- 24 least make you managing in this fiscally tight time more
- 25 efficient. It shouldn't be the solution, but at least it

- 1 provides some relief that we're not really talking enough
- 2 about now.
- 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 4 Senator Cotton: General Nowland, you said you have a
- 5 shortfall of 1,555 total force pilots today?
- 6 General Nowland: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 Senator Cotton: What's your shortfall of fighter
- 8 pilots today?
- 9 General Nowland: Sir, today, as of today, we're 950
- 10 fighter pilots short across the total force.
- 11 Senator Cotton: Thank you.
- 12 Senator Sullivan?
- 13 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 14 And gentlemen, thank you for testifying today and your
- 15 service, appreciate it very much.
- 16 I wanted to talk about another shortfall that we were
- 17 trying to get some more focus on. In NDAA last year, I
- 18 sponsored a provision that asked for a report on the F-35
- 19 maintainer shortage, and I think that was due a couple of
- 20 weeks ago. I know you're busy, so maybe we can see that
- 21 relatively soon, or maybe it's already completed.
- But the point of the amendment was actually to be
- 23 helpful, to try to get your ideas on how we actually close
- 24 that gap. My one question -- that language was based on the
- 25 end strength of 321,000. I think General Goldfein talked

- 1 about end strength of 350,000. What does that do to the
- 2 maintainer shortage, and will you be explaining that kind of
- 3 increase on end strength and what we need to do to address
- 4 the maintainer shortage in the report?
- 5 General Harris: Senator Sullivan, I'll start with
- 6 that. Thank you. Sorry if we're late on the report. We'll
- 7 work on that.
- 8 Senator Sullivan: We know you've got a lot going on.
- 9 And like I said, that was not a smack-you-down provision.
- 10 That's a provision trying to help.
- 11 General Harris: Understand.
- 12 Senator Sullivan: Because it's an important issue.
- 13 General Harris: What we're looking at doing, when the
- 14 chief talks about closing out this year at 321,000, that
- 15 includes growth in our maintainers. We are over-assessing
- 16 from our current need of what we need of new recruits,
- 17 because it takes us so long to train. We recognize that
- 18 we've got to bring them in somewhere. So we're bringing in
- 19 more than 1,000 now than we had planned in the long-range
- 20 plans and picking that up. So we will get healthy over
- 21 time, but it will become an experience issue for a while.
- 22 We won't have too many 3 level, and 5 and 7 level.
- 23 As we grow to 350,000, that will help the chief right-
- 24 size all of our squadrons. Right now, it's about 80 percent
- 25 manned across any squadron. We're trying to work on the ops

- 1 and maintenance first to get at the combat capability, but
- 2 we certainly need the support so that we have the quality of
- 3 life that takes care of all the airmen that are flying to
- 4 make sure we can retain them when they're at mid-level
- 5 career and they get those other choices that are out there.
- 6 Senator Sullivan: And more broadly speaking, how are
- 7 we looking on the F-35 production, the cost, the deployment?
- 8 Obviously, the President was talking about this for some
- 9 time, and I think General Mattis or a senior Air Force
- 10 official took that over. Are we seeing cost reductions and
- 11 the deployment schedules on schedule right now?
- General Bunch: Sir, let me start with that, and then
- 13 I'll let these two gentlemen jump in.
- We are seeing General Bogdan driving cost savings into
- 15 the program through his efforts with Lockheed Martin. We
- 16 are seeing it come down, the price curve, the way that we
- 17 want it to. It's at or better than what General Bogdan had
- 18 been trying to drive to, to show us what we were doing.
- 19 So one of the things we're asking for is please keep
- 20 driving that cost down and --
- 21 Senator Sullivan: And I think it's helpful to have the
- 22 President of the United States raising that and pushing on
- 23 that, too.
- 24 General Bunch: Sir, we love anybody that's putting
- 25 pressure on us to try to save money. We're all good with

- 1 that.
- 2 Senator Sullivan: That's your ultimate leverage.
- 3 General Bunch: Yes, sir. So we are seeing that. The
- 4 message we have given out is we need to see those costs
- 5 continue to come down. We want to see the sustainment costs
- 6 continue to come down, and we want to continue to --
- 7 Senator Sullivan: Is that process impacting the
- 8 schedule of deployments, or no? I mean, it's a different
- 9 place.
- 10 General Bunch: So right now we haven't deployed. We
- 11 have done Red Flag, and I'll let these gentlemen talk to
- 12 that. But right now we're staying on track with the
- 13 production the way we want it, the fielding the way we want
- 14 it and intended, and the schedule is moving forward with the
- 15 completion of the 3-F testing. So we're moving in that
- 16 direction, and I'll pass it over to General Nowland and
- 17 General Harris to address the Red Flag and how the jet is
- 18 performing.
- 19 Senator Sullivan: So there's no right movement?
- 20 Aren't the Marines getting a new IwoKuni and Bravos?
- 21 General Nowland: Senator Sullivan, the Marines have
- 22 moved them to IwoKuni. Our first operational squadron
- 23 initial operational capability is at Hill Air Force Base.
- 24 They recently deployed the Red Flag and had a very
- 25 successful Red Flag. We are next going to take them and

- 1 they're going to go to Europe on a theater security package
- 2 and work through initial operational capability, working
- 3 towards full operational capability as we work to develop
- 4 the capability of the system, the network, how do we bring
- 5 it all together and how do we deploy with the two increased
- 6 combat capability.
- 7 Senator Sullivan: Okay.
- 8 General Harris: And, sir, the pilots that are flying
- 9 this airplane, the Red Flag, love it. They step down out of
- 10 the cockpit and they tell stories of the kill ratios that
- 11 are phenomenal. Those that have flown Red Flag in now fifth
- 12 gen and fourth gen wonder why they ever did it in a fourth
- 13 gen airplane.
- General Bunch: Sir, what I've told people is we look
- 15 at the F-35 program and we had some developmental things
- 16 that we worked through, and we had challenges that General
- 17 Bogdan and his team have worked through with Lockheed Martin
- 18 and with everybody. Probably we have semi-annual meetings
- 19 with all the international partners, and it was about three
- 20 ago that the conversation wasn't about the development; the
- 21 conversation was predominantly focused on when we're going
- 22 to start deploying and how we're going to support down
- 23 range.
- To me, that was a shift in the program that we are
- 25 moving forward. The platform has crossed a hurdle and we're

- 1 really getting to where we're looking to employ the asset.
- 2 Senator Sullivan: Good.
- 3 Mr. Chairman, I have a few more questions, but I'll
- 4 defer to whatever your role is here.
- 5 Senator Cotton: You can ask one.
- 6 Senator Sullivan: It will be a multi-part one
- 7 question.
- 8 [Laughter.]
- 9 Senator Cotton: Well played.
- 10 Senator Sullivan: Let me ask you, you talk about the
- 11 fifth gen and the Red Flag. One of the things I like to do
- 12 is take military members who aren't in the Air Force, other
- 13 senior leaders, and try to get some of my colleagues up to
- 14 Eielson to see the Red Flag Alaska exercises and J-Park.
- 15 And every time I go there, which is frequently, the
- 16 superlatives that are talked about with regard to the J-Park
- 17 training -- which I think the airspace is about the size of
- 18 Florida, so a little bit bigger than what we've got down in
- 19 the lower 48, actually a lot bigger, and we're expanding it
- 20 right now, as you know -- is that one of the issues that
- 21 keeps coming out is that you talked about readiness at the
- 22 outset of your testimony and the importance of ranges.
- 23 My understanding is that when you're talking about
- 24 fifth gen air-to-air training, because the standoff ranges
- 25 are increasingly much further with the fifth gen aircraft,

- 1 that the ranges need to be increasingly large, or the
- 2 airspace needs to be bigger. We have that at J-Park, which
- 3 I think I'd like your opinion on whether, as General Welch
- 4 said prior to his leaving, that that was the best range on
- 5 the Planet Earth.
- 6 But if you agree with that, what are the suggestions to
- 7 increase readiness? Although it is a remarkable place for
- 8 air-to-air combat, I'd ask the question that the last Red
- 9 Flag evolution they did they had close to 90 aircraft up
- 10 night fighting and getting refueled, and it was remarkable -
- 11 not the notional aircraft, the real aircraft.
- 12 What are your suggestions that we need to do to improve
- 13 J-Park, and do you agree with oncoming fifth gen, that that
- 14 is not only the crown jewel of air-to-air training in the
- 15 U.S. military but it's going to be increasingly important as
- 16 we move to F-35s and F-22s?
- 17 And what do we do about the aggressor squadron? We had
- 18 a great aggressor squadron in Alaska. The F-16s are very
- 19 good. But at the fifth gen level, are we going to need to
- 20 bring in F-22s to be aggressors at a certain point?
- 21 So that's my multi-part question. And I'll also ask
- 22 about where are we on KC-46 spacing. There was NDAA
- 23 language last year that laid out particular principles that
- 24 the Congress wanted you to look at, and I just would like an
- 25 update on that.

- 1 That's one question, by the way.
- 2 [Laughter.]
- General Nowland: Sir, I think you might get multiple
- 4 answers.
- 5 Senator Sullivan: Well, if the Chairman would allow
- 6 it, I think that would be great.
- 7 General Nowland: Senator Sullivan, as the director of
- 8 operations, I can tell you J-Park is critical towards the
- 9 future. Airspace and the range size that you talk about is
- 10 absolutely -- you're spot on, we need greater distances.
- 11 But our chief has just directed a new initiative that's
- 12 called Operational Training Infrastructure. We used to talk
- 13 about live, virtual, constructive, but what we have
- 14 determined, it's much bigger than just live, virtual,
- 15 constructive. It's the whole system that goes into it.
- So part of the F-35 and F-22 fifth generation platforms
- 17 are so smart that threat replication becomes very, very
- 18 important, and you can't do it necessarily. You have to
- 19 have some very high-fidelity simulation. So in A-3,
- 20 director of operations, we are standing up a new division
- 21 with a general officer that will be looking at how --
- 22 Senator Sullivan: You put your pilots here and the
- 23 trainers if they get a kill?
- [Laughter.]
- 25 General Nowland: We'll look at -- every day come to

- 1 work thinking about do we do training better and how do we
- 2 maximize our investments in training to include our Nellis
- 3 ranges, to include J-Park, our training infrastructure, how
- 4 do we man our aggressor squadrons, how do we train in our
- 5 aggressor squadrons, what is the future of our adversary air
- 6 squadrons, how do we get adversary air while not reducing
- 7 readiness of blue forces.
- 8 So your question is spot on. We are thinking every day
- 9 about it because we know that fifth generation training is
- 10 different than training of fourth generation.
- 11 Senator Sullivan: You can integrate the fifth gen
- 12 physical air-to-air with some of the notional. Isn't that
- 13 correct?
- General Harris: Sir, we're working on that. That's
- 15 part of our upgrade that we're looking for follow-on. Right
- 16 now we're concentrating on combat capability for the F-35,
- 17 but we are working through several programs to bring that
- 18 training in because, as you're aware, the J-Park, I've been
- 19 lost in it as a former Blue Fox pilot back in the '90s. It
- 20 is a massive air space, yet these threats are partially
- 21 reliant on threat density and being able to put multiple
- 22 threats overlapping on top of each other. That's what we
- 23 meant to kick the door in with our fifth gen aircraft, and
- 24 we continue to work on that.
- 25 That's not easy to do. J-Park is a jewel. It's not a

- 1 backyard range that we have, but where we base our F-35s
- 2 with two squadrons at Eielson, in addition to what we've got
- 3 at Elmendorf with the F-22s, we need to continue to work on
- 4 that, but we have to balance it. Are we buying more threats
- 5 to train against versus combat capability in the aircraft
- 6 that we're trying to do? That's always a balance that we
- 7 work through on a daily basis.
- 8 Senator Sullivan: Thank you.
- 9 General Bunch: I love what they said. We're trying to
- 10 do this holistically to make sure we're on the right path to
- 11 inquire what we need to be able to support the live,
- 12 virtual, constructive and what we're trying to do for the
- 13 future. There's not only a range aspect of this, but
- 14 there's also a security aspect of this as to what you can do
- 15 virtually and what you can really do in the open air that we
- 16 have to balance as well, sir. And I, unfortunately, do not
- 17 have the KC-46 basing thing with me, so I'll have to take
- 18 that one for the record, sir. But I'll get you an answer
- 19 back.
- 20 Senator Sullivan: Okay. Great. Thank you.
- 21 Mr. Chairman, thank you.
- 22 Senator Cotton: Thank you.
- 23 General Nowland, I want to return to this question
- 24 about fighter pilot shortfalls. I'm not trying to sharp-
- 25 shoot the number. I'm just trying to get a handle on them.

- 1 Whatever they are, I think the upshot of those numbers is
- 2 not good.
- 3 Last year your predecessor, General Raymond, testified
- 4 the Air Force was short 511 fighter pilots. That was
- 5 expected to grow to 834 by 2022, in part because of the
- 6 higher than expected hiring by private airlines last year,
- 7 though you finished 2016 short over 700 pilots. I
- 8 understand that Congresswoman Wilson in her questions for
- 9 the record submitted for tomorrow's hearing has said they
- 10 will be short 800 fighter pilots, but you say it's 950
- 11 fighter pilots short today?
- 12 General Nowland: My numbers are total force. So it
- depends on how you look at the numbers, but we'll make sure
- 14 we square with --
- 15 Senator Cotton: And that's total force fighter pilots.
- 16 General Harris: Nine-hundred-fifty total force fighter
- 17 pilots.
- 18 General Nowland: Total force fighter pilots.
- 19 General Harris: Active, Guard, and Reserve.
- 20 Senator Cotton: So might the discrepancy be counting
- 21 Guard and Reserve, along with active?
- General Nowland: Quite possibly, sir, because our 55
- 23 fighter squadrons include our Guard and Reserve. They are
- 24 not in addition to that. And as we look at our
- 25 requirements, we stack nearly 50 squadrons on top of each

- 1 other to get through the defense planning guidance that we
- 2 have now for some of our larger conflicts, and that's when
- 3 we need 55 healthy and ready squadrons, then look at growth
- 4 to make sure that we can meet our needs.
- 5 Senator Cotton: Whatever the number is, and I'm
- 6 pleased to get it to us broken down along those dimensions,
- 7 would you agree with my first statement that the upshot
- 8 number is not good for the health of the Air Force and for
- 9 the security of our nation?
- 10 General Nowland: Mr. Chairman, absolutely. We can
- 11 show you that the number has gotten worse over time and is
- 12 increasing. Now, we are very hopeful, under retention, our
- 13 third line, that the extra money that Congress allocated to
- 14 us and the plan that we're coming back with, with a pilot
- 15 bonus, with a tiered system, a business model system that
- 16 allows us to give multiple options, will be part of the
- 17 solution. But it's not money alone that's going to keep
- 18 pilots in. It's also partially got to do with culture of
- 19 the squadrons and getting pilots back to their primary duty.
- One of the primary irritants for pilots is additional
- 21 duties, and our chief has taken actions to eliminate
- 22 additional duties. We put five additional personnel into 24
- 23 fighter squadrons to help with the additional duties as we
- 24 look at --
- 25 Senator Cotton: Could you give the committee some

- 1 examples of additional duties?
- General Nowland: Yes, sir. For example, we put people
- 3 in that will help them do the scheduling duty that requires
- 4 you to do that every day; help with reporting, reporting
- 5 functions, whether that be OPRs, ZPRs, administrative type
- 6 of work that needs to be done in a squadron. The things
- 7 that take a squadron, a fighter pilot away from flying,
- 8 being an officer -- remember, we're all officers first, so
- 9 professional officership comes first. But then replacing
- 10 those duties that detract him from not preparing for his
- 11 next sortie. So we're looking at areas such as that.
- 12 Senator Cotton: Okay. The committee last year
- increased the cap for bonuses from \$25,000 to \$35,000. Do
- 14 you anticipate a request that you'll be increasing the cap
- 15 further?
- 16 General Harris: Sir, we would request that. We're
- finding almost a one-to-one ratio. So with the \$35,000
- 18 bonus, that is an increase, and that's good. After two
- 19 decades, we have not changed it, but we're actually hoping
- 20 for higher. At \$50,000, we may get up to 50 percent
- 21 retention. So we will tier that based on where we have our
- 22 biggest needs, and right now that is in the fighter pilot
- 23 community.
- 24 Senator Cotton: At what phase in one's career would
- 25 one receive that bonus?

- General Harris: At the end of your pilot training
- 2 commitment. So it is around that 11- to 12-year point, and
- 3 then the option is for five years or to keep you out to 20
- 4 years. We have several options to get at different
- 5 lifestyles to retain as many of the pilots as we can.
- 6 Senator Cotton: So perhaps a \$50,000 bonus for a five-
- 7 year commitment, or more?
- 8 General Nowland: Essentially, the RAND study said
- 9 \$48,000 a year. The problem is --
- 10 Senator Cotton: I'm sorry. Is it a year?
- 11 General Nowland: A RAND study said -- yes, sir -- a
- 12 \$48,000 bonus is what they were recommending, and that was
- 13 based on a number of 3,500. The problem is the airlines are
- 14 hiring more than that. In 2022, we have data that shows
- 15 that 58 percent of American Airlines pilots are going to be
- 16 eligible for retirement.
- 17 So as we look to the future, this problem is not going
- 18 away. That's why it's production, retention, requirements,
- 19 and then as we think about this as a national problem, if
- 20 you think it cost us \$11 million to create an F-22 pilot,
- 21 and you lose him at the peak of his proficiency, it's a loss
- 22 to the nation of a big investment.
- 23 Senator Cotton: My time is up on this round. I will
- 24 just say that our committee staff has traveled and conducted
- 25 sensing sessions with some pilots in Europe and in the

- 1 Middle East, and I think there's a strong non-monetary
- 2 component to it as well. One of those components is the
- 3 operational tempo. The Air Force has been heavily deployed
- 4 in those theaters now for 27 years. Obviously, there's a
- 5 self-reinforcing aspect to it as well as the pilot shortage
- 6 grows shorter and the up tempo becomes even greater. So I
- 7 think that's something that both the Air Force and this
- 8 committee needs to consider as well, as important as those
- 9 monetary bonuses are.
- 10 Senator Peters?
- 11 Senator Peters: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 12 And I thank all three of you for being here. I
- 13 appreciate your testimony here today.
- 14 My question centers around the A-10 aircraft. In
- 15 Michigan, our Air National Guard flies A-10s and has been
- 16 doing that very successfully, but we are also now on the
- 17 short list of five potential sites for deployment for the F-
- 18 35, which we hope will have a successful outcome as that
- 19 process moves forward.
- 20 But if you could talk a little bit about how you see
- 21 this transition as we move away from A-10s, what will be
- 22 some of the sequencing and timelines for that, as well as
- 23 new F-35 squadrons coming in, perhaps taking some of these
- 24 positions. How do you see that unfolding?
- 25 General Harris: Senator Peters, that's a great

- 1 question. First off, what I'd like to say is we are living
- 2 with the NDAA requirements right now on the A-10s, and we
- 3 are waiting for the comparison testing to be complete before
- 4 we make decisions on the final outcome. But at this time we
- 5 are not asking for additional upgrades with the A-10. It is
- 6 an awesome airplane, and I would venture to say it is the
- 7 best CASS airplane that we have.
- 8 But where I think we have not done well in telling our
- 9 story with the F-35 is that as it moves in, as we are
- 10 holding at 55 squadrons, things have to fall off the bottom,
- 11 and it's going to be our older fighters that we have not
- 12 upgraded. A-10s may be some of that, F-16s, F-15s. We're
- 13 buying roughly two squadrons a year. When we look at the 60
- 14 range that we're aiming for, by the time we put some in
- 15 training, some in test, and continue to grow the efforts
- 16 that we need. So that's the pace that we're looking at, and
- 17 we are working a few years ahead because of the MILCON
- 18 required to support the F-35 and the capabilities that it
- 19 brings to any fight. A lot of that involves the higher
- 20 classification and the security requirements on ramps, those
- 21 types of things that we have to upgrade.
- 22 Senator Peters: Right. Thank you.
- 23 Your testimony also mentions the space domain and that
- 24 we need reliable access to space, including jam-resistant
- 25 position navigation and timing. My understanding is that

- 1 our adversaries have been investing a great deal in their
- 2 space capability, something that we have to be concerned
- 3 about.
- 4 What do you believe are the most important investments
- 5 that we need to make now in space to support those vital
- 6 missions? What should we be doing here in Congress to help
- 7 you achieve that important mission?
- 8 General Bunch: Sir, I think we've outlined in our
- 9 budgets that we put in, we put those in the highest
- 10 priority. I believe we're giving you what we believe we
- 11 need for the future. I will tell you right now, we're very
- 12 focused on developing the new launch capability to get us
- off the RD-180 and to move the pads so that we can have two
- 14 domestically produced, commercially viable providers of
- 15 launch services to meet all of our NSS requirements. So
- 16 those programs, we've been doing other transaction authority
- 17 investments with public-private partnerships with industry
- 18 for the last few years that we're trying to expand out now
- 19 to go to launch service agreements.
- 20 Also, we need to keep -- and I'm pointing to myself --
- 21 the OCX program on track because we need that to have a
- 22 stronger encrypted M-code GPS signal for what we're going to
- 23 do for those activities.
- 24 The last thing that our chief is very focused on, and
- 25 I'm not going into a whole lot more details about specific

- 1 programs, is we need to normalize how we're doing our space
- 2 business. We need to treat it like an operational domain.
- 3 We need to treat it like the air domain. We need to set the
- 4 requirements. We need to make sure we've got con ops for
- 5 how we would operate and do things. We have to do all those
- 6 things beforehand. We need to streamline the acquisition.
- 7 That's in my swim lane. We need to partner better with the
- 8 NRO. We need to make sure we're moving out and using the
- 9 operationally responsive space authorities that we have. We
- 10 need to look at doing RCO-like activities in the space
- 11 domain, which is another area that we're focused on right
- 12 now.
- 13 So we have a big focus in the space area to be more
- 14 responsive to what we're trying to do because we know that's
- 15 a critical domain and a critical area that we do our
- 16 business in.
- 17 Senator Peters: Thank you.
- 18 Senator Cotton: Senator Warren?
- 19 Senator Warren: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 20 General Harris, last week the House Armed Services
- 21 Committee held a hearing on Air Force readiness, and during
- 22 that hearing your colleague, Major General West, seemed to
- 23 indicate that the Air Force might be prepared to retire the
- 24 F-15C and D in the next year or two. Is that right? Does
- 25 the Air Force propose retiring the F-15? And how is the Air

- 1 Force going to replace that capability?
- 2 General Harris: Ma'am, thank you. It's an opportunity
- 3 for us to tell the story. That's pre-decisional. We have
- 4 not decided, and throughout we continue to deploy the F-
- 5 15C/D fleet. It's an air superiority fighter for us with
- 6 somewhat limited capabilities from a fourth gen perspective
- 7 compared to an F-22, but we are not replacing it at this
- 8 time. It is something that we're looking at as we continue
- 9 to bring in more fifth gen capability, what assets do we
- 10 push out at the bottom of that chain.
- 11 Senator Warren: All right. So let me ask you, then,
- 12 if it's pre-decisional, has the Air Force done the analysis
- 13 to demonstrate that purchasing new F-16s instead of
- 14 servicing the F-15s will provide the same level of
- 15 capabilities and actually save the taxpayer money?
- General Harris: We are doing that analysis. I don't
- 17 know how that is going to turn out from a cost perspective,
- 18 but I think it's going to be fairly balanced. So I look
- 19 forward to providing that when we get that information
- 20 completed.
- 21 Senator Warren: And I'll take it you'll get that
- 22 resolved before you make any decisions?
- 23 General Harris: We will, and certainly that's part of
- 24 it, but we also have to look at the operational capabilities
- 25 and what a fifth gen fighter, although it is a multi-role

- 1 fighter in the F-35, brings to this fight as a family of
- 2 systems. It far out-classes anything in our fourth gen.
- 3 Senator Warren: I understand that, and actually I've
- 4 got some questions about that. But what I really want to
- 5 focus on is what we're doing in the next year or two, at a
- 6 time when we're hearing about readiness difficulties with
- 7 the Air Force.
- 8 I also want to ask, you know that more than 60 percent
- 9 of our F-15s belong to the Air National Guard. So what I'd
- 10 like to have here today is your commitment that the Air
- 11 Force will consult with the Air Guard and specifically with
- 12 the Adjutants General in states with the Air Guard F-15
- 13 wings before any decisions are made.
- General Harris: Ma'am, they're part of my team on the
- 15 plan process. So they're involved with us every day. At
- 16 that testimony, seated next to General West was General
- 17 Rice, the Air National Guard commander. So they're involved
- 18 with --
- 19 Senator Warren: I know General Rice well. Good.
- 20 General Harris: -- and we'll continue to work with
- 21 them.
- 22 Senator Warren: All right. I just want to make sure
- 23 we're going to do that.
- 24 Given its cost, I realize that we may not be able to
- 25 procure the F-35 in the numbers the Air Force has planned

- 1 until 2045. So I'm also not convinced that the F-16 is
- 2 fully capable of replacing the F-15 in meeting the air-to-
- 3 air mission. So I just want to raise the point, because it
- 4 seems to me that retiring hundreds of aircraft at a time
- 5 when the Air Force is in need of additional capability may
- 6 not make a lot of sense here, and I just want to make sure
- 7 you're looking very carefully at the proposal. I know we'll
- 8 have questions as this emerges.
- 9 General Harris: Yes, ma'am, we're looking at that, and
- 10 what our chief is trying to drive to is to maintain us at 55
- 11 fighter squadrons, including our Guard and Reserve in that
- 12 number, and making them healthy with what we have.
- 13 Senator Warren: Okay. I also want to, if I can get it
- 14 in quickly here, I want to ask you about the nuclear command
- 15 and control network, the NC3 system that connects our
- 16 President to our field forces in case of emergency. As you
- 17 know, the system is absolutely essential to provide early
- 18 warning, to communicate critical information in a nuclear
- 19 crisis. If NC3 doesn't work, the rest of our nuclear triad
- 20 becomes essentially useless here.
- 21 The current NC3 system is old. General Hyten recently
- 22 said that NC3 was his highest priority for nuclear
- 23 recapitalization, and he said, and I'm going to quote him
- 24 here, "Any delay, deferment, or cancellation of NC3
- 25 modernization will create a capability gap potentially

- 1 degrading the President's ability to respond appropriately
- 2 to a strategic threat."
- 3 So I just want to ask, General Bunch, is NC3 the
- 4 highest priority for the Air Force as well?
- 5 General Bunch: Ma'am, we have multiple priorities.
- 6 Senator Warren: I know.
- General Bunch: NC3 is a priority that we have within
- 8 the Air Force. We named Air Force Global Strike Command and
- 9 General Rand as the lead for the NC3 effort. So we named a
- 10 four-star and a MAGCOM as the lead. We aligned NC3 efforts
- 11 under a program executive officer up at Hanscom right now,
- 12 and we designated someone. We did not have that before.
- 13 He's designated to look over all those programs. We've
- 14 aligned that into the nuclear weapons center, which we've
- 15 stood up now and we've restructured so that it's really only
- 16 focused on the sustainment and the modernization of our
- 17 nuclear inventory.
- 18 So we, the Air Force, have made a big commitment to
- 19 prioritizing that. I will not tell you that we have it 100
- 20 percent straight today. I will tell you that there are a
- 21 lot of small efforts that are in a lot of different POs that
- 22 don't have a whole lot of manpower. Our team met with the
- 23 A-10 and pulled in all the program executive officers who
- 24 have those assets, and pulled in the Global Strike Command
- 25 and other expertise to get us on a path to make sure that we

- 1 are focused on that and delivering those capabilities which
- 2 are so critical to our ability to keep the nuclear deterrent
- 3 viable.
- 4 Senator Warren: Good. I appreciate that.
- I have additional questions, but I'll just ask them in
- 6 a QFR. I just want to say I think this is an absolutely
- 7 critical program, and it's important for us to keep an eye
- 8 on it. So, thank you, General.
- 9 General Bunch: Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Senator Warren: Thank you.
- 11 Senator Cotton: Senator Blumenthal?
- 12 Senator Blumenthal: Thanks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I have a couple of simple numbers questions which you
- 14 may have answered; I apologize if you have, but I would like
- 15 to hear the answers.
- 16 What is the ideal procurement number for Fiscal Year
- 17 2018 on the F-35 for you? What do you want on the F-35 for
- 18 Fiscal Year 2018?
- 19 General Bunch: Sir, I'd like to get to 60 F-35A models
- 20 for the U.S. Air Force.
- 21 Senator Blumenthal: Okay. And I think there's been
- 22 some testimony about the number of -- and by the way, I'm
- 23 not a business guy, and I'm not a military professional, but
- 24 common sense tells me if you order enough of them, the price
- 25 comes down with scale, and I've heard that from the company.

- 1 So if you confirm it, I'll believe it's true.
- General Bunch: Sir, what we've asked and what I've
- 3 given in our dialogues and the message I've carried from our
- 4 chief is we want to go to 60. We need to see the price
- 5 continue to come down on the curve so that we can continue
- 6 to procure those, and we need the operations and sustainment
- 7 effort that we need so that we can operate and maintain
- 8 those the same way. So that is what General Bogdan -- I
- 9 apologize, sir, for interrupting you. That is what General
- 10 Bogdan's team is working. They understand where we want to
- 11 go, and I'm comfortable that as we've gone through the buys
- 12 over the years we are moshing down the curve and we are
- 13 coming down to a lower rate, and that's where we want
- 14 Lockheed Martin to stay.
- 15 Senator Blumenthal: And Lockheed Martin and Pratt and
- 16 all the contractors involved have begun a war on costs. In
- 17 fact, they began it some time ago, maybe years ago, and they
- 18 have continued that war to drive down costs, but scale is
- 19 very important to that effort.
- 20 General Bunch: It is, sir.
- 21 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you.
- 22 Could you tell me -- I know there's been some talk
- 23 about the number, the shortages of pilots -- how many are
- 24 you down from the number that you need? I've heard
- 25 different numbers -- 800, 900. Maybe it's --

- General Nowland: Senator Blumenthal, the number
- 2 changes every day. But as of today, we are 1,555 total
- 3 force pilots short of our requirements.
- 4 Senator Blumenthal: Fifteen hundred and fifty-five.
- 5 General Nowland: Yes, sir, 1,555.
- 6 Senator Blumenthal: And what is the number from which
- 7 you are short?
- 8 General Nowland: Sir, our total number of pilots is
- 9 approximately 20,300. That's approximately what our total
- 10 requirements are for pilots.
- 11 And I'm sorry, Chairman Cotton, I gave you 1,550
- 12 because I didn't have my glasses on. I apologize.
- 13 Senator Blumenthal: And of those 1,555 -- again, I
- 14 apologize, because my terminology probably won't be as
- 15 exact, as official as it should be. What number of those
- 16 are fighter pilots?
- General Nowland: Sir, we are 950 fighter pilots short
- 18 today.
- 19 Senator Blumenthal: That would square with what I've
- 20 heard. And out of what number is that?
- 21 General Nowland: Sir, I'll get you the exact number.
- 22 I don't want to give you a wrong number. I've got it right
- 23 down here, but I don't have total number of fighter pilots
- 24 broken out. I have it broken out by the services, so I can
- 25 get it to you.

1-800-FOR-DEPO

- 1 Senator Blumenthal: If you could, I would appreciate
- 2 it.
- 3 General Nowland: Yes, sir.
- 4 Senator Blumenthal: And again, I don't mean to make
- 5 too much of this numbers stuff, but one theory that has been
- 6 advanced to me about how to keep and maybe even attract more
- 7 skilled pilots is this idea of readiness, giving them time,
- 8 basically time to train, because they need, so it's been
- 9 described to me, time in the air to be proficient. And if
- 10 they don't get that time, they feel they're not proficient,
- 11 and it's a real deterrent to stay in the Air Force. So it
- 12 makes the offers they may receive from the private world all
- 13 the more attractive.
- So readiness is a key to keeping and attracting skilled
- 15 pilots. Is that over-simplistic, or is it relevant?
- General Nowland: Senator Blumenthal, no, you are
- 17 exactly right. It's a combination, a series of things.
- 18 Chairman Cotton already mentioned it also, personnel tempo.
- 19 Deploying down-range is one thing, but then when you come
- 20 home, flying and then being home -- I have a story of an F-
- 21 22 pilot, a major who was in Alaska, sir. He had been there
- for seven years. He went to weapons school. He was at the
- 23 peak of his game. He came home one day and his wife put her
- 24 hand on his shoulder and said, honey, I love you, but you've
- got to get out of the Air Force. And he said why? Because

- in the last five years you've been home 10 months.
- 2 So that's an extreme case. Now, the good news is he
- 3 went to the Reserves and he's still serving with the Air
- 4 Force. But personnel tempo is part of it. When you're a
- 5 very small Air Force and you're a very small F-22 fleet,
- 6 you're constantly in demand. So we're looking at how we get
- 7 personnel tempo under control.
- 8 There's deployment to dwell, but there's also personnel
- 9 tempo. Building white space is super important.
- 10 General Harris: May I add to that also?
- 11 Senator Blumenthal: Yes, absolutely. Thank you. With
- 12 the Chair's permission.
- 13 General Harris: Sir, you're exactly right. Quality of
- 14 life is a part of this. The pilots came in, they intended
- 15 to fly and they like to fly. So one of the chief's number-
- one items is to fix our squadrons and right-sizing them. So
- 17 we are putting administrative support back into the
- 18 squadrons. Over the last two decades as we've been getting
- 19 smaller, we've been cutting as much of the tail as we can,
- 20 and we realize we did too much. The flying squadrons are so
- 21 small, they had no support.
- 22 So after a full day of flying or an exercise that they
- 23 were deployed to, they had to come home and do a significant
- 24 amount of paperwork that could be done by many people, not
- 25 just the flyers. So we're looking at a lot of those tasks,

- 1 if you want to call them additional duties, whether it's
- 2 keeping the areas that we work in that are highly classified
- 3 open and having somebody to do that, rather than requiring
- 4 an aviator to do that, we're getting at a lot of those
- 5 tasks.
- 6 So the quality of life will continue to rise. So as we
- 7 get them home, that 10-month/5-year vignette, that's just
- 8 not all combat operations. It's a significant portion of
- 9 that, but it's all the other training they're doing off-
- 10 station that we're trying to free up back at home.
- 11 Senator Blumenthal: And if I could ask just one more
- 12 question, please? In terms of continuing proficiency, the
- 13 administrative duties, personnel tempo, when someone comes
- 14 home they want to stay proficient, ready, are they getting
- 15 enough time actually in the air flying?
- 16 General Nowland: Sir, it varies by weapon system. But
- 17 the answer is we are working to increase weapon system
- 18 sustainment. This is where our maintainers come in. We
- 19 have a minimum number of sorties per month that we need to
- 20 fly pilots to consider them mission ready, and we are
- 21 driving our maintenance to try to increase our utilization
- 22 rate so we can fly pilots more, because that minimum number
- 23 should be the floor, not the ceiling. We want to be above
- 24 that number to increase our readiness, and we are driving
- 25 towards a utilization rate, with additional maintainers and

- 1 more weapons systems sustainment funding, which we've done.
- 2 We're moving in that direction. The trends are going in the
- 3 right direction, but we're not where we want to be right
- 4 now.
- 5 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you. Thank you all very,
- 6 very much for your service and for being so forthcoming
- 7 today.
- 8 And thanks to the Chair.
- 9 Senator Cotton: Senator King?
- 10 Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 11 We've talked a lot about retention. I'd just note,
- 12 General, you made the point that whatever the bonus is, it's
- 13 a lot less than \$11 million, which is the cheapest training,
- 14 the training you don't have to do because you've got
- 15 somebody who is already trained. So I think that's got to
- 16 be part of our calculus as we talk about this.
- 17 Again, talking a bit about the shortage, I understand
- 18 that there is an excess of pilots on the mobility side, and
- 19 I was wondering if there was any effort or plans or thought
- 20 being given to shifting pilots from mobility over to where
- 21 you are facing the shortages.
- General Nowland: Senator King, that's a great
- 23 question. The mobility excess pilots we have moved into our
- 24 training architecture. So as we looked at our overall
- 25 operational training infrastructure, it also deals with

- 1 manning our T-38s, T-6 squadrons, and UPT. And as we became
- 2 shorter on fighter pilots, we took fighter pilots out of the
- 3 training infrastructure and moved them into unique fighter
- 4 pilot jobs. So mobility pilots have moved into that
- 5 training function.
- We have a very small number, but we have moved some
- 7 mobility pilots who went through T-38s into a fighter
- 8 cockpit. But that's a very small number because a
- 9 specialized UPT, the vast majority of our pilots who have
- 10 gone through T-1 training go into the mobility world, and
- 11 they've not formed T-38s.
- 12 Senator King: Are bonuses being paid to mobility
- 13 pilots even though there's an excess?
- 14 General Nowland: Well, there's not really -- it
- 15 depends on what you mean by excess. We're still short of
- 16 our overall pilot numbers, and we're still taking risk in
- 17 our training infrastructure, and the mobility pilots are
- 18 also going to be short like fighter pilots here. Air
- 19 Mobility Command tells us by 2020 we will start to lose
- 20 them.
- Our pilot retention, our pilot numbers are based upon a
- 22 65 percent take rate. Unfortunately, our mobility pilots
- 23 are not at that rate. They're below 65 percent. But we've
- 24 been living off of over-producing mobility pilots in years
- 25 7, 8, 9, 10.

- 1 Senator King: You had an excess but the excess is
- 2 being worked down, is what you're saying.
- 3 General Nowland: We are using all of our pilots to the
- 4 maximum extent capability. It's just we filled our mobility
- 5 pilots; they're filling other functions. So I think we're
- 6 saying the same thing. Over time, our mobility excess that
- 7 is allowing us to do our training function will degrade and
- 8 will be gone, and then we'll have to figure out how we're
- 9 going to fill our training cockpits.
- 10 Senator King: Let me talk about a related, not the
- 11 same but a related issue, and that's UAV pilots. My
- 12 understanding is that we're now in a world of using enlisted
- 13 personnel as UAV pilots, at least with the Global Hawk.
- 14 What about Predators and Reapers? How is that working?
- 15 Have you found any diminution of quality using enlisted
- 16 people in these slots? Because we were stressing out the
- 17 regular pilots, as I understand it. Has that change been
- 18 implemented, and to what extent has it been successful?
- 19 General Nowland: Senator King, this is a fabulous
- 20 question. I love this question. Our RPA pilots, we are
- 21 moving enlisted pilots into our RQ4 community, because what
- 22 we found is that in our RQ4 community it's very similar to
- 23 what we did in space, the way we're operating. They have
- 24 not arrived there yet, sir. They're going through the
- 25 training pipeline right now. So our first batch is going

- 1 through the pipeline. We now have the next batch. We just
- 2 had our second batch of enlisted pilots who have been
- 3 selected and now are starting down the track to be enlisted
- 4 RPA pilots.
- 5 Our MQ1s and MQ9s -- our MQ1 fleet we're going to
- 6 retire. Our MQ9 is going to be essentially our new
- 7 platform. We don't have the enlisted aviators in MQ9s right
- 8 now, sir.
- 9 Senator King: What's the MQ9?
- 10 General Nowland: The MQ9, it is the Reaper.
- 11 Senator King: That's the Reaper, okay.
- 12 General Nowland: Yes, sir. We have the Predator,
- 13 which is the MQ1, which we are eliminating, and the MQ9, the
- 14 Reaper.
- 15 Senator King: So you have no enlisted people in the
- 16 Reaper program. Are you planning to move in that direction?
- 17 General Nowland: We have enlisted people in the Reaper
- 18 program, sir. They're just not pilots. We have a pilot, we
- 19 have a sensor operator, and they are a team, and they work
- 20 side by side.
- 21 Senator King: Will you have enlisted pilots in the
- 22 Reaper program?
- 23 General Nowland: At this time, Senator, what we're
- 24 doing is we are looking and evaluating. As we go down this
- 25 road, we're going to evaluate all options in the future.

- 1 But the first thing is we need to get our enlisted aviators
- 2 into the RQ4 and see how they go.
- Right now, our training pipeline is pretty much set.
- 4 This year we're going to train over 300 RPA operators.
- 5 Whether they're an officer or enlisted, you still have to
- 6 train 300 RPA pilots. So there really is no advantage one
- 7 way or the other right now. We've got time to make this
- 8 decision down the road, sir.
- 9 Senator King: I commend -- again, we've got to think
- 10 very creatively about how we fill this shortfall, and if the
- 11 enlisted people can do this job effectively, that's another
- 12 resource that at least being able to reallocate trained
- 13 fighter pilots into fighters.
- The B-21, are the requirements for the B-21 locked
- down, no more good ideas?
- 16 General Bunch: Yes, sir. We locked the requirements
- 17 down before we went into -- General Welch did. Before
- 18 General Welch, we continue to keep them under General
- 19 Goldfein. General Goldfein has made it crystal clear to the
- 20 two of us that he is the chief requirements officer for the
- 21 Air Force, and if we want to change anything in those
- 22 requirements, particularly in the KPP, key performance
- 23 parameter, he needs to know about it and he needs to approve
- 24 it.
- 25 Our intent all along has been to keep those locked down

- 1 so that we would not increase costs. We could control. One
- of the things that I talk about, I believe one of the key
- 3 things we did on the program was we put cost as a key
- 4 performance parameter for what we wanted, and I viewed that
- 5 as serving as an appetite suppressant. It caused everyone
- 6 not to try to add additional things. It caused everybody to
- 7 use what we had, and we built it in a way that we can
- 8 increase its capability over time because of the open
- 9 modular system that we designed as the backbone for the
- 10 platform.
- 11 Senator King: And as you know, we have an interesting
- 12 contract, which I think is a creative solution to the risk
- 13 problem of 70 percent fixed, 30 percent cost plus. But that
- 14 30 percent could explode if we start redesigning the
- 15 platform in the middle of the construction process.
- 16 General Bunch: It's been a key factor for us, sir,
- 17 that we're looking at. There are a couple of things we
- 18 didn't want to get into the B-2. We rewrote the
- 19 requirements after we awarded the contract and we redesigned
- 20 the platform. We don't want to go there. That's why
- 21 holding the requirements so stable is so critical to us.
- 22 Senator King: I like hearing you say we don't want to
- 23 go there. I'd rather have you say we will not go.
- 24 General Bunch: No. I apologize, sir. We're not going
- 25 there.

- 1 Senator King: Thank you.
- 2 General Bunch: We're not going there.
- 3 Senator King: Mr. Chairman, thank you.
- 4 Senator Cotton: I want to return to Senator King's
- 5 questions about RPAs, and I want to ascend above the
- 6 enlisted question for a moment. We'll return to that,
- 7 though.
- 8 Last year, Secretary James recommended an RPA get well
- 9 plan that included over 140 specific actions. General
- 10 Nowland, how many of those actions have been completed, and
- 11 when will they all be completed?
- General Nowland: We call that the cultural process
- improvement program. The exact numbers, I'll have to
- 14 consult or get back to you; I don't know. The most
- 15 important part of it, though, was the deployment to dwell
- 16 and the crew ratios, Mr. Chairman, and we've reached over a
- 17 10.1 crew ratio. We've had a series of initiatives.
- 18 In my previous job I was the 12th Air Force Commander,
- 19 so I was intimately familiar with this. We've had a series
- 20 of initiatives to try to normalize what we call life at
- 21 Creech Air Force Base in Northwest Las Vegas, and those
- 22 initiatives have gone through. The morale at Creech is good
- 23 because we now have the crew ratios where we are driving to
- 24 what we call a deploy to dwell, so that the pilots will
- 25 actually have time to come out of combat sorties and go into

- 1 training sorties. This is so important for us, because we
- 2 are learning that our MQ9s are force multipliers.
- One vignette. On the most sophisticated sortie we do
- 4 at the weapons school, which is weapon school integration,
- 5 they always have an exercise where they have personnel
- 6 recovery of a downed airman. The RPA, the MQ9 crew, when it
- 7 operates in that role, is a perfect, perfect example of how
- 8 you integrate all the information in and then get it to
- 9 Sandy-1, who is the A-10 that is doing the actual job of
- 10 rescuing the pilot, because they can have situational
- 11 awareness, coordinate all activities.
- 12 So we're learning that there's multiple functions for
- 13 these weapons systems as we move forward. The exact number,
- 14 sir, on CPIP, I will get back to you, but we are progressing
- in a very good fashion.
- 16 Senator Cotton: General Harris, did you have an answer
- 17 to that question?
- 18 General Harris: Not to the number. We closed out on
- 19 the 140, but we are more than halfway through that program.
- 20 Last time I left the dance at Air Combat Command, we were
- 21 executing that, so we will get back to you on that.
- 22 Senator Cotton: That's fine. Just please get it to us
- 23 for the record.
- How are we doing on pilot production for the MQ1 and
- 25 MQ9 as a whole, putting aside the question of the division

- 1 of labor, or the potential division of labor between officer
- 2 and enlisted?
- General Nowland: Yes, sir. For Fiscal Year 2016, MQ1
- 4 and MQ9, we produced 252. We forecasted 271. So we were a
- 5 little short of what we wanted to do. But we also did
- 6 foreign military sales with 35, and we hit all 35 of those
- 7 pilots as we moved forward.
- 8 The 271, the reason we were a little short had to do
- 9 with a little bit of weather. It also had to do with some
- 10 maintenance and student proficiency, and that's pretty
- 11 normal as you're going through to meet your productions. As
- 12 we forecast to the future, in 2017, we're expecting to
- 13 produce 346 MQ1 and MQ9, although we have closed our MQ1 RTU
- 14 now. We are moving exclusively to MQ9.
- 15 Senator Cotton: Three-hundred and forty-six you said?
- 16 General Nowland: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 Senator Cotton: Does that meet the standard we need
- 18 for a healthy force in the RPA community?
- 19 General Nowland: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We've got a very
- 20 good number now on where we're moving forward. We are
- 21 driving to a 14-to-1 crew ratio, which will really enable us
- 22 to start training, and that's part of the CPIP. We also are
- 23 looking forward to opening another wing at Shaw Air Force
- 24 Base as we grow the capability. So that will enable us to
- 25 do the transition as we move over and manage our force.

- 1 Senator Cotton: Two years ago in the NDAA, the
- 2 committee authorized bonuses of up to \$35,000 for these
- 3 pilots. My understanding is that the bonus was at \$25,000
- 4 for some time, but it recently may have gone to \$35,000. Is
- 5 that correct?
- 6 General Harris: Yes, it has. We expect to start
- 7 paying that out this year. It's the initial air crew that
- 8 are now coming up being bonus eligible. So to this point it
- 9 hasn't mattered. They're still on that first commitment
- 10 that they haven't been eligible for it. We expect it to
- 11 help.
- But, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to explain. We will look
- 13 at how these people are being retained, because some of the
- 14 contractors that we're currently competing with are paying
- 15 upwards of \$40,000 a month for these RP operators to come
- off of active duty and to go fly other missions associated
- 17 with what they're doing. So again, we will never, even with
- 18 our RPA team, compete with the prices that are available on
- 19 the commercial market, but getting back to that quality of
- 20 life, opening a new base at Shaw, the mission
- 21 accomplishment, the sense of being a part of the team is
- 22 everything that we're putting into the CPIP program to
- 23 retain these fantastic aviators.
- 24 Senator Cotton: Finally, to return to Senator King's
- 25 specific question about enlisted personnel and tie a bow on

- 1 it exactly, in the Fiscal Year 2017 NDAA we directed Air
- 2 Force to transition a significant number of those pilots to
- 3 enlisted personnel by Fiscal Year 2020, and to Guard and
- 4 Reserve by Fiscal Year 2023. Will you complete that
- 5 transition and compliance with the Fiscal Year 2017 NDAA?
- 6 General Harris: Yes, sir. We are on track to meet
- 7 that. That was specific to the Global Hawk, the RQ4, and we
- 8 think we are on track at this time. So the first students,
- 9 as you heard, are graduating this year and will start
- 10 rolling through the mission. We will continue in that
- 11 process, and as we learn more about how the enlisted team
- 12 are doing in this, we will then take that information and
- 13 consider do we move that into the next RPA platform, maybe
- 14 an MQ9.
- 15 But again, they're employed differently, so that is a
- 16 value judgment we'll have to look at as we understand how
- 17 well these airmen are doing, and right now in the training
- 18 they're doing outstanding.
- 19 Senator Cotton: So you take it as an open question at
- 20 this point whether we should have enlisted personnel in the
- 21 future acting as pilots for MQ9s, to be considered in the
- 22 future based in light of the evidence we'll acquire during
- 23 the transition for the Global Hawk?
- 24 General Harris: Yes, sir.
- 25 Senator Cotton: Thank you.

- 1 Senator King?
- 2 Senator King: Just one follow-up on the B-21.
- 3 Whatever we build will be obsolete the day it comes off the
- 4 line, and therefore I hope that the design takes that into
- 5 account and will allow modular replacement upgrades,
- 6 software, in some cases hardware, so that the platform
- 7 itself can be maintained but that its capabilities can be
- 8 modernized as technology develops. Is that the design
- 9 concept, General?
- 10 General Bunch: Sir, that was a fundamental design
- 11 concept. We went with the open mission systems architecture
- 12 for the software so that everything would have an interface
- 13 and we could advance technology as it evolved or we could
- 14 make changes as adversaries evolved. We also designed the
- 15 aircraft with additional power, electrical air conditioning
- 16 in space in key areas that we may need to utilize so that we
- 17 can grow the platform for the future.
- 18 Senator King: So if you have to add one cable, there's
- 19 a place for it.
- General Bunch: If we need to add something, sir, we've
- 21 got areas that we can do that. If we need to change out a
- 22 component, if it ties into the open system architecture, we
- 23 can do that. We can do it and keep competition in the
- 24 platform for the life of the platform, and we can do it in a
- 25 more efficient manner because we won't have to test as much,

- 1 and I personally believe it will increase our cyber security
- 2 as we go through that process.
- 3 Senator King: Excellent. I hope that you'll keep in
- 4 touch with the committee on that project as it moves
- 5 forward. I think it's one of the most important that we
- 6 have, that the Air Force and the government is undertaking,
- 7 and I hope we can have a continuing dialogue on that.
- 8 General Bunch: Sir, we welcome that. We are committed
- 9 to complete transparency with the appropriately cleared
- 10 individuals on all the defense committees. We have been
- 11 working with the defense committees for four years before we
- 12 awarded the contract so that everyone knew what we were
- 13 trying to do, and we're balancing all other transmissions to
- 14 the public and what we can communicate against the security
- and the risks involved so that we can be as transparent as
- 16 possible with the American public as well.
- 17 Senator King: But you feel at this point the contract
- 18 and the development is on track?
- 19 General Bunch: Sir, I get monthly updates. We've done
- 20 our initial baseline review. We've completed the
- 21 preliminary design review. We're going into detailed design
- 22 review. The contractor is hiring people at the appropriate
- 23 level to get the work done, and we're tracking what they're
- 24 doing. Everything right now indicates to me that we haven't
- 25 slipped anything.

- 1 Senator King: Gentlemen, thank you very much for your
- 2 testimony. It's been very illuminating, and we look forward
- 3 to working with you.
- 4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 5 Senator Cotton: Let me ask maybe a broader
- 6 philosophical question about the B-21, but also especially
- 7 what we've learned over the last 25 years.
- 8 General Bunch, do you think, from a development
- 9 perspective, it's best to develop prototypes and have a fly-
- 10 before-you-buy methodology for large and complex systems
- 11 like these aircraft?
- General Bunch: It depends, in my experience, on how
- 13 you set up the prototype project to go. I believe the best
- 14 thing we can do, and I think the B-21 is an example of this,
- 15 whether you build an exact prototype, I think a robust tech
- 16 maturation and risk reduction phase is critical. If you
- 17 recall, in that program we invested in the B-21 program with
- 18 multiple contractors for quite a few years before we awarded
- 19 a contract so that they could develop the technologies,
- 20 mature those technologies. We were more informed buyers,
- 21 and we had everything almost up to the preliminary design
- 22 review before we awarded the contract. That cost us money
- 23 up-front to be able to do it, but it also made the
- 24 technology much more mature and made it much better for us
- 25 to do.

- 1 There are areas, though, that we can prototype and we
- 2 can go procure straight out of that. That's an area we're
- 3 trying to do with some of our experimentation campaigns to
- 4 see what the art of the possible is there, and the Congress
- 5 has been very willing to give us rapid prototyping and rapid
- 6 filling authorities that we will employ in those
- 7 opportunities where that arises.
- 8 Senator Cotton: Developmental planning experimentation
- 9 prototyping used to be resident in the Air Force's systems
- 10 command, which was disbanded 25 years ago. Since then we've
- 11 had struggles with programs like the B-2, the F-22, the C-
- 12 17, the F-35. Do you think it's a coincidence that that
- 13 command was disbanded and we've had these struggles since
- 14 then, or is it a cause?
- 15 General Bunch: Sir, I wouldn't tie it directly to the
- 16 way that we reorganized to go to Air Force materiel command
- 17 from systems command or logistics command. What I will say,
- 18 we the Air Force, we let that developmental planning skill
- 19 atrophy. That's something that our chief, General Welch,
- 20 and Secretary James viewed as something we needed to get
- 21 refocused on, and they believe we need to do more
- 22 experimentation and more of that type of prototyping
- 23 activity to see what technology can do so that we can
- 24 respond more rapidly.
- I believe they made a real strong commitment to that

- 1 when they started budgeting \$100 million a year into the
- 2 budget so that we could have it for experimentation and for
- 3 developmental planning. They stood up an office that's in
- 4 Air Force materiel command that leads those efforts for us.
- 5 So I believe our stepping away and letting that atrophy
- 6 as we looked at capabilities and technology hurt us more
- 7 than a reorg. We're refocused on that, and we're invested
- 8 in those areas to see what technology can do so that we make
- 9 wise investments.
- 10 Senator Cotton: So an atrophy of skill sets more so
- 11 than a reorganization?
- General Bunch: That is my view, sir. I believe it was
- 13 an atrophy of skill sets and a loss of, a lack of importance
- 14 placed on that as we moved forward, and we needed to refocus
- 15 on those efforts.
- 16 Senator Cotton: Thank you.
- 17 General Nowland, I want to speak about force structure,
- 18 capacity. I mentioned in my opening statement General
- 19 Hoog's remarks from 2014 about Air Force capacity. In
- 20 response to this situation, in the NDAA a couple of years
- 21 ago we directed the Air Force maintain a minimum inventory
- of 1,900 total fighter aircraft and 1,100 combat coded
- 23 fighters. Do those numbers enable the Air Force to meet
- 24 combatant commanders' demands and execute our national
- 25 defense strategy today?

- General Nowland: Mr. Chairman, that's a fantastic
- 2 question. If you were to think about our 55 fighter
- 3 squadrons, the seven years of declining budgets have caused
- 4 cracks within those. So we are working on our chief's
- 5 number-one priority of strengthening the squadrons. By
- 6 strengthening the squadrons, we see fantastic results. I
- 7 just returned from Jordan, where we have an F-15E squadron
- 8 that is doing fantastic work. It's about to drop its
- 9 5,000th bomb in the war against Isis, because it has all its
- 10 manning and it has the weapon system support.
- 11 So when we strengthen all of them, and if we could
- 12 strengthen all of our squadrons to be at the maximum
- 13 capacity, we can absolutely execute the national defense
- 14 strategy, but we would be very tight if you think about the
- 15 changes that have happened with our adversary. That's why
- 16 the Air Force would like to grow to 60 fighter squadrons,
- 17 and we would also like to build some attack squadrons in the
- 18 future.
- 19 But in the end, Mr. Chairman, we can execute the
- 20 strategy. But to be perfectly honest, it will be great
- 21 airmen that will make it happen, and we'll do it on the back
- 22 of our airmen.
- 23 Senator Cotton: So Congress did so with an intent to
- 24 stem further divestment in combat air power, and sometimes
- 25 Congress can act as a blunt instrument, not a fine scalpel.

- 1 So given that intent, can you tell us what the Air Force's
- 2 actual requirement today is for total fighter aircraft and
- 3 for total combat coded aircraft?
- 4 General Harris, you look like you'd like to answer.
- 5 General Harris: I would. As part of the requirements,
- 6 sir, one of the things we're looking at is we think the
- 7 1,900 number is a bare minimum at the floor. We think it's
- 8 probably closer to 2,100, a little above that for our
- 9 fighter aircraft so that we can maintain probably 60
- 10 squadrons. We don't want to grow to 60 now and still have a
- 11 broken force or a force that is not as ready as it can be.
- 12 We want to fix the 55 we have. We are not planning to go
- 13 below the 1,900. We are struggling with the way the budget
- 14 is rolling out to us to maintain that in the long term, but
- 15 we do understand the task of the NDAA. We do not intend to
- 16 go below the 1,900. We will keep our 55 squadrons. We will
- 17 grow them healthy if we are able to get a stable,
- 18 predictable budget that comes to us and continue to improve
- 19 along that line.
- 20 General Bunch: It's a complicated answer.
- 21 Senator Cotton: A simple question, complicated answer
- 22 maybe?
- 23 General Bunch: Fifty-five fighter squadrons. We would
- 24 like to have 24 aircraft per squadron, and that's probably
- 25 our most efficient use of those airplanes. Fifty-five times

- 1 24 is not 1,900. It is slightly above that number. Where
- 2 we believe we have some of that change is some of our
- 3 aircraft, our squadrons are manned at 18 airplanes per
- 4 squadron. So that's what drives some of these changes --
- 5 Senator Cotton: Is that a concession to budgetary
- 6 necessity?
- 7 General Bunch: It really is. If we were
- 8 unconstrained, we would have every squadron with 24 combat
- 9 coded airplanes, plus a couple of backup or BAI spares,
- 10 which is common in each one of these units, so if you lose
- 11 an airplane you have it available already maintained and
- 12 ready to go, employed on a daily basis.
- 13 Senator Cotton: Okay. General Bunch, I need to ask
- 14 about the UH1 November helicopter replacement program.
- 15 There's a little bit of history here. Last year you
- 16 submitted an out-of-cycle request to Chairman McCain for
- 17 authorization to use provisions of the Economy Act of 1932
- 18 to purchase UH-60 Mike Model helicopters on the Army's
- 19 contract. These aircraft would be replacements for our
- 20 aging November model helicopters used for the ICBM missile
- 21 fields security and other utility missions as determined by
- the commander of STRATCOM.
- The committee agreed, and we passed that request and
- 24 authorization in the Senate version of the NDAA.
- 25 Afterwards, the Air Force reversed that decision and decided

- 1 to proceed with the full and open competition, and then
- 2 after receiving responses from potential offerors on the
- 3 draft request for proposals, the Air Force determined none
- 4 of the offerors, including the 60 Mike Model, met the
- 5 requirements for the program.
- What was the reason the Air Force rescinded the request
- 7 for authorization to use the provisions of the Economy Act
- 8 when your own business case analysis showed that the course
- 9 of action met the requirement and provided the best value
- 10 for the taxpayer?
- General Bunch: Sir, the Department made a decision
- 12 based on the amount of money that we were going to move into
- 13 the year that we needed to --
- 14 Senator Cotton: The Department of Air Force or the
- 15 Department of Defense?
- 16 General Bunch: The Department of Defense made a
- 17 decision based on the amount of money we were going to need
- 18 to move into those areas, and we were going on a full and
- 19 open competition from that point forward.
- 20 Senator Cotton: Have requirements changed from the
- 21 time the decision was made to use the Economy Act until the
- 22 draft RFP was opened for full and open?
- 23 General Bunch: Sir, let me take that. I don't believe
- 24 we changed the requirements from that point forward. We did
- 25 not.

- Senator Cotton: General Harris --
- General Bunch: We did not. He's the requirements quy,
- 3 and I'm the --
- 4 Senator Cotton: You're shaking your heads. Can you
- 5 answer for the record?
- 6 General Harris: The requirements did not change. Yes,
- 7 sir.
- 8 Senator Cotton: Okay.
- 9 General Nowland, I understand that the commander of
- 10 STRATCOM has rescinded the request for forces to provide
- 11 additional security since mitigation measures have been in
- 12 place to satisfy his security concerns. Do these mitigation
- 13 measures now supplant entirely the need to recapitalize --
- General Bunch: They do not, sir. They do not change
- 15 the need to replace the UH1.
- General Nowland: Sir, I'll pitch in also, Mr.
- 17 Chairman. What they've done is they've done tactic
- 18 techniques and procedures and had airmen that have been able
- 19 to. It does not replace the need to replace the airplane.
- 20 The requirements are still valid. It's just that the airmen
- 21 have figured out a way to meet the requirements, but it's a
- 22 band-aid type of solution. We need new aircraft for that
- 23 mission set.
- 24 General Bunch: Chairman Cotton, our nuclear arsenal
- 25 remains secure, and we're able to execute the mission, but

- 1 we still need the recap. We still need to get those in.
- 2 We're doing a draft RFP in April. We will do the final RFP
- 3 this summer. We'll award a contract next year. We plan to
- 4 field helicopters in the '20 to '21 timeframe, sir,
- 5 depending on what the winner comes in with.
- 6 Senator Cotton: Thank you.
- 7 I have one final question about the budget, which will
- 8 probably tie a bow on it. My first question, the
- 9 constraints of a CR in the short term. This is going to be
- 10 facing the long term, General Harris. You have many large,
- 11 long-term procurement programs over the next decade. We
- 12 touched on some of those but not all of them, nuclear
- 13 enterprise modernization, F-35A, KC-46A, the B-21, JSTARS,
- 14 the TXT-38 replacement, the Presidential aircraft
- 15 replacement, and now there's growing discussion of a
- 16 penetrating counter-air and associated capability required
- 17 to outpace our strategic competitors in the realm of air
- 18 superiority.
- I think it's safe to say that these represent hundreds
- 20 of billions of dollars required to recapitalize and
- 21 modernize the Air Force and remain ahead of our near-peer
- 22 competitors. Have you received indications from the new
- 23 administration that the Air Force budget modernization
- 24 program will support all of these modernization programs?
- General Harris: Yes, sir, we have. We continue to

- 1 ensure that those modernization programs, which are large,
- 2 continue to fit into the budget and the planning cycle that
- 3 we have. So that's the work that my team does on a day-in
- 4 and day-out basis. We're doing as much as we can in
- 5 parallel to solve as many problems as we can, and then we
- 6 will also continue to modernize the current fleets and
- 7 assets to make sure that we can sustain the capacity that we
- 8 have and not drop below any of the requirements over the
- 9 long term.
- 10 So it is part parallel, part serial to get at what
- 11 you're rightly pointing out are some of the concerns we have
- 12 with the large budget of the future. The best thing we can
- 13 get out of Congress is a stable budget that predicts, allows
- 14 us to have a good prediction of where we will be in the
- 15 future, and then we can right-size our acquisition and our
- 16 approach to that to make sure we're getting the best value
- 17 we can.
- 18 Senator Cotton: General Bunch, General Nowland, do you
- 19 have anything to add to General Harris' answer?
- 20 General Bunch: I do not, sir.
- 21 General Nowland: Mr. Chairman, the modernization byway
- that we face is critical, and Congress and working with
- 23 everyone, it's critical to our operators to give our airmen
- 24 the best chance of success. We are also thinking about how
- 25 do we out-think our enemy. It's multi-domain operations.

- 1 We need to think about how we operate in space, cyber, air
- 2 domain, land, maritime domain, and bring joint effects to
- 3 the battlefield.
- 4 I know we focus on all the money and how we're doing
- 5 it, but what we're really going to do is enable our airmen
- 6 to think multi-domain of how we can defeat our enemy who has
- 7 watched us for 26 years and is working to defeat us. So
- 8 we're going to out-think our enemy while we simultaneously,
- 9 with your help, reestablish and renew our weapons systems.
- 10 Senator Cotton: General Bunch?
- 11 General Bunch: Chairman Cotton, what I want to stress
- 12 is our role as the acquisition, we have to do that
- 13 efficiently and effectively to maximize the use of those
- 14 dollars to get that capability, and our most important
- 15 treasure, our airmen, America's sons and daughters, that
- 16 were entrusted to provide that equipment and have a decided
- 17 advantage on the battlefield, which, as you've talked about
- 18 earlier, we do not have as much as we did before. That has
- 19 atrophied away, and we need to continue to go after that.
- 20 That's our commitment in the acquisition community to do
- 21 that each and every day.
- 22 Senator Cotton: Thank you, gentlemen. Thank you very
- 23 much for your time and your views. This has been a very
- 24 wide-ranging and informative hearing. We appreciate your
- 25 service to our country, and on behalf of all the airmen you

1	represent,	thank	you	for	their	serv	vice as v	well.	•	
2	This h	earing	g is	adjo	ourned.	•				
3	[Where	upon,	at .	5:02	p.m.,	the	hearing	was	adjour	ned.]
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										