Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY CHALLENGES AND ONGOING MILITARY OPERATIONS

Thurday, September 22, 2016

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON
2	U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY CHALLENGES
3	AND ONGOING MILITARY OPERATIONS
4	
5	Thursday, September 22, 2016
6	
7	U.S. Senate
8	Committee on Armed Services
9	Washington, D.C.
10	
11	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:32 a.m. in
12	Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. John
13	McCain, chairman of the committee, presiding.
14	Committee Members Present: Senators McCain
15	[presiding], Inhofe, Sessions, Wicker, Ayotte, Fischer,
16	Cotton, Rounds, Ernst, Tillis, Sullivan, Lee, Graham, Cruz,
17	Reed, Nelson, McCaskill, Manchin, Shaheen, Gillibrand,
18	Blumenthal, Donnelly, King, and Heinrich.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN McCAIN, U.S. SENATOR
 FROM ARIZONA

3 Chairman McCain: Good morning. 4 Since a quorum is now present, I ask the committee to 5 consider a list of 40 pending military nominations. 6 Including in this list is the nomination of General John E. Hyten, U.S. Air Force, for Reappointment to the grade of 7 General and to be Commander, United States Strategic 8 9 Command. All of these nominations have been before the 10 committee the required length of time. 11 Is there a motion to favorably report these 40 million 12 -- 40 military nominations to the Senate? Senator Reed: So move. 13 Chairman McCain: Is there a second? 14 Senator Sessions: Second. 15 16 Chairman McCain: All in favor, say aye. 17 [A chorus of ayes.] Chairman McCain: The motion carries. 18 19 The Senate Armed Services Committee meets this morning 20 to receive testimony on U.S. national security challenges 21 and ongoing military operations. 22 I'd like to welcome our witnesses, Secretary Carter and 23 Secretary Dunford. Thank you for your service, and thank 24 you to the men and women you lead and their families for 25 their service and sacrifice during these challenging times.

1 This committee has conducted regular hearings on U.S. national security strategy and ongoing military operations, 2 3 and we have devoted special attention to the chaos engulfing the Middle East and the U.S. military campaign against ISIL. 4 5 It will be up to future historians to render a final 6 judgment on this administration's stewardship of U.S. interests in the broader Middle East. But, in the opinion 7 8 of this one Senator, it's been an unmitigated disaster. 9 President Obama sought to pivot away from one of the most strategically vital regions of the world out of a misplaced 10 11 hope that, quote, "the tide of war" was receding and that we 12 should focus on, quote, "nation-building at home." That withdrawal of U.S. power created a vacuum that was filled by 13 14 all of the worst actors in the region: Sunni terrorist 15 groups such as al-Qaeda and ISIL, the Iranian regime and its 16 proxies, and now Putin's Russia.

17 Just consider, over the past 8 years, this administration has overseen the collapse of regional order 18 19 in the Middle East into a state of chaos where every country 20 is either a battlefield for regional conflict, a party to 21 that conflict, or both. The rise of ISIL and the threat it 22 poses has made al-Qaeda appear modest by comparison. But, 23 both terrorist networks have expanded their influence from 24 West Africa to South Asia and everything in between. 25

The administration may have postponed Iran's nuclear

programs, but this has come at the cost of unshackling Iranian power and ambition, both of which will grow in the coming years as billions of dollars in sanctions relief is transformed into advanced military capability and support for terrorism. And then there is Putin's Russia, which has reclaimed a position of influence in Middle East it has not enjoyed in four decades.

8 The best that can be said about this devastating legacy 9 is, over the past year, in part thanks to our witnesses today, President Obama has at least begun to unleash 10 11 America's fighting men and women against ISIL. They are 12 fighting with skill and encourage, despite enormous risks, as reports of ISIL's use of mustard agent against U.S. and 13 14 Iraqi troops remind us. As a result, we are gradually 15 eroding ISIL's territorial control in removing key personnel 16 from the battlefield. This military campaign has too often 17 been slow, reactive, and excessively micromanaged by the White House. Indeed, we read this morning of plans for yet 18 19 another incremental increase of 500 troops in Iraq, one more 20 step down the road of gradual escalation. But, thanks to 21 the tremendous talent and dedication of our men and women in 22 uniform, we are making progress.

I have no doubt that ISIL will eventually be expelled from its strongholds in Mosul and Raqqa. The day of liberation will come later than it should have, but it will

1 come. This will be a tactical success, but it is unlikely 2 to lead to strategic gains, because the administration has 3 failed to address, and at times exacerbated, the underlying 4 conflict. The struggles for power and sectarian identity 5 now raging across the Middle East, ISIL is merely a symptom 6 of this deeper problem.

In Iraq, Mosul may be retaken eventually, but that will 7 8 only likely reignite the battle for the future of Iraq, a battle in which we have an important stake. The biggest 9 problems still lie ahead: combating the malign influence of 10 11 Iran and its militias, addressing the future of the Kurds and their place in Iraq, and attenuating the 12 disenfranchisement of the Sunni Iraqis that gave rise to 13 14 ISIL in the first place.

Libya, we've had success in degrading ISIL's stronghold in Sirte, but what remains is a divided nation littered with independent militias, flooded with arms, and searching in vain for legitimate governance and political unity, conditions that will remain fertile grounds for extremism and anti-Western terrorism.

21 We've also begun targeting ISIL in Afghanistan, but a 22 resurgent Taliban, backed by Afghanistan's neighbors, 23 continue to destabilize and terrorize the country while 24 Afghan National Army casualties remain unsustainably high. 25 And yet, it was in this environment that President Obama

1 chose to withdraw another 1,400 troops.

Nowhere, however, is America's strategic drift clearer 2 3 than in Syria. After more than 400,000 dead and half the population driven from their homes, after the worst refugee 4 5 crisis in a century which now threatens the project of 6 European unity, the administration still has no plausible vision of an end state for Syria. Instead, while Russian 7 8 and Syrian regime aircraft bombed hospitals, markets, and aid warehouses, and other civilian targets, President Obama 9 sent his intrepid, but delusional, Secretary of State to 10 11 tilt yet again at the windmill of cooperating with Vladimir 12 Putin, even committing to share intelligence with Russia for coordinated military operations. This agreement would be 13 14 deeply problematic even if it were implemented. It would 15 mean that the U.S. military would effectively own future 16 Russian airstrikes in the eyes of the world. It would also 17 strengthen Assad's military position in the country, thereby undermining our own strategic objective of a political 18 19 transition.

It appears that none of this will ultimately matter, because, once again, Assad and Putin are not holding up their end of the deal, as nearly everyone predicted. Assad has declared an end to the cease-fire. Barrel bombs are falling again on civilians in Aleppo. And an airstrike reportedly carried out by Russia has killed 12 members of a

U.N. humanitarian convoy. Nonetheless, administration officials are desperately trying to salvage this agreement, likely because they realize that, without this diplomatic fig leaf, the abject failure of their Syria policy will be evident, and because they know, as does everyone else, that there is no Plan B.

This should be yet another lesson, as if we needed it, 7 that diplomacy in the absence of leverage is a recipe for 8 failure. Our adversaries will not do what we ask of them 9 out of the goodness of their hearts or of -- out of concern 10 11 for our interests or the suffering of others. They must be 12 compelled, and that requires power. Until the United States is willing to take steps to change the conditions on the 13 14 ground in Syria, the war, the terror, the refugees, and the 15 instability will continue.

16 Such will be the unfortunate inheritance of our next President, a Middle East aflame, where American influence 17 has been squandered. America's adversaries neither respect 18 19 nor fear us. America's friends are increasingly hedging 20 their bets. And America's policy options have been 21 significantly narrowed and worsens. What's worse, America's 22 military will confront these daunting challenges with 23 constrained budgets, aging equipment, depleted readiness, 24 and a growing set of operational requirements driven by 25 other escalating challenges in Europe and Asia. We are

simultaneously asking our military to wage a generational fight against Islamist terrorism while rebuilding a ready and modernized force to deter and, if necessary, defeat great-power or rogue-state competitors in full-spectrum combat.

6 I would be the first to admit that Congress is failing in -- to match resources to requirements, but the failure of 7 the President is worse. After all, it is the duty of the 8 9 Commander in Chief to be the strongest advocate for the 10 needs of our military. But, President Obama has been more 11 interested in using the defense budget as a hostage to 12 extract political concessions for greater nondefense 13 spending.

14 Secretary Carter, this may be one of your last 15 appearances before this committee. I hope you will use the 16 opportunity to offer some clear answers to these troubling 17 questions.

- 18 Senator Reed.
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE
 ISLAND

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
And I would like to join you in welcoming Secretary
Carter and General Dunford.

And giving the security challenges that face the United States, your appearances before the committee are always deeply appreciated and very timely, particularly this moment.

10 While significant work remains to defeat ISIL, the 11 United States and coalition military operations have 12 resulted in important gains in both Iraq and Syria. Most notably, ISIL has been driven out of a significant amount of 13 14 the territory the group once held. In just the last few 15 weeks alone, ISIL lost its hold on the city of Manbij, a 16 number of key border crossings in Syria in several key towns 17 in advance of the Mosul offensive in Iraq.

18 The cumulative effect of these operations has been to 19 cut off key lines of communication for ISIL, thereby 20 restricting their ability to bring in additional fighters 21 and move equipment and personnel across the battlefield. As 22 a result, it appears that ISIL is under more pressure now 23 than at any other time in the campaign.

24 Unfortunately, in Syria it appears that the cessation 25 of hostilities is not going to hold. And we look forward to

your assessment of the progress and the military aspects of this campaign and whether there is a possibility of a renewed cessation of hostilities in the future.

Our military commanders are also rightly focused on 4 5 ensuring our military operations support the efforts of our 6 diplomats and other policymakers to address the continuing political challenges in Irag and Syria. Even after the 7 8 coalition retakes Mosul and Raqqa, the work of our 9 diplomats, military, and intelligence community will not be over. Ensuring ISIL is dealt a lasting defeat will require 10 11 not only continued military support, but also assistance in achieving the political reforms necessary to address the 12 underlying causes of ISIL's rise. This will require that 13 the civilian agencies of our government are provided the 14 critical resources necessary to perform this work. 15

16 With regard to Afghanistan, I support the President's 17 position to maintain approximately 8400 troops in the country into next year. This decision sent an important 18 19 message to the Afghans, our allies, the Taliban, and others 20 in the region, that the United States remains committed to 21 ensuring a stable Afghanistan. We look forward to your 22 assessment of this year's fighting season and what more we 23 can do to support the development of the Afghan national 24 defense and security forces.

25 Despite a challenging security and political

1 environment, the Afghan National Unity Government continues to be a reliable partner for the U.S. and our allies. 2 3 However, I remain concerned about continuing reports of 4 corruption in Afghanistan and the slow political progress on 5 the broader reform agenda. Both these issues present a 6 strategic threat to continued international support of Afghanistan. In light of these challenges, I hope you will 7 also discuss the efforts of the United States and our allies 8 to build institutional capacity and enable necessary reforms 9 10 in Afghanistan.

11 In Eastern Europe, Russia continues its pattern of 12 confrontation and antagonistic behavior. They persist in the use of hybrid tactics to foment discord and political 13 14 gridlock throughout the region. Their aviators have 15 harassed U.S. ships and aircraft deployed to the region. 16 And they continue to provide support and training to 17 Separatists in Eastern Ukraine, in violation of the Minsk cease-fire agreements. EUCOM and NATO have undertaken 18 19 robust efforts to deter such behavior. I look forward to 20 hearing your thoughts on the progress of, and future plans 21 for, such efforts.

North Korea remains one of the most dangerous and difficult national security challenges that this country faces. Earlier this month, North Korea conducted its fifth nuclear test, demonstrating that the North Korean regime has

11

1 little interest in resuming Six-Party Talks. While we have made significant efforts to put strong and effective 2 3 sanctions in place to curb North Korea's nuclear development, China's unwillingness to enforce those 4 5 sanctions to the full extent of its ability has undermined 6 U.S. and international efforts to bring North Korea in line. Finally, our long-term military strategy depends on a 7 budget that focuses at least 5 years into the future. Last 8 year, Congress passed a 2015 Bipartisan Budget Act, which 9 provided the Department with budget stability in the near 10 11 term. However, there is no budget agreement for fiscal year 12 2018 and beyond. Without another bipartisan agreement that provides relief from sequestration, the Department will be 13 forced to submit a fiscal year 2018 budget that adheres to 14 15 the sequestration-level budget caps and could, and indeed 16 would, undermine our defense strategy, including the 17 investments made to rebuild readiness and modernize platforms and equipment. And we must not let that happen. 18 19 Thank you, again, Mr. Chairman. And thank you,

20 gentlemen.

21 Chairman McCain: Welcome, Mr. Secretary. And this is 22 the last time for this year. We appreciate your -- you and 23 General Dunford's appearances before the Armed Services 24 Committee. And we look forward to your and General 25 Dunford's testimony. Thank you for -- both of you, for your

12

Alderson Court Reporting

1	service	to	our	Nation.
2	Se	cret	cary	Carter.
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

STATEMENT OF HON. ASHTON B CARTER, SECRETARY OF
 DEFENSE

3 Secretary Carter: Thank you very much. Chairman,
4 Ranking Member Reed, all the members of this committee,
5 thank you for having us here.

And, Chairman and Senator Reed, thanks for taking the time to talk with me before this hearing -- I much appreciate it, as always -- and for hosting General Dunford by my side, where he is all the time. And I'm very pleased, and our country is very fortunate to have him.

11 Similarly, I want to thank you for hosting the service 12 chiefs last week. I appreciated your comments to them about 13 the inefficiencies and the dangers of continued budget 14 instability and gridlock, as well as the risk of 15 sequestration's looming return. I look forward to 16 addressing those topics more today with you.

17 I also appreciate your support for our men and women serving around the world, military and civilian alike. You 18 19 always provide it. They are the finest fighting force the 20 world has ever known. They're the -- no one else in the 21 world is stronger, no one is more capable, more innovative, 22 more experienced, and has better friends and allies than 23 they. That's a fact, and a fact that Americans ought to be 24 proud of.

As you know, DOD is currently addressing each of the

1 five challenges that Chairman Dunford and I described to you in our budget testimony this spring and that the Chairman 2 3 and Senator Reed have already touched on, namely Russia, 4 China, North Korea, Iran, and terrorism. And, on the last, 5 in the wake of this week's attacks in New York, New Jersey, 6 and Minnesota, we remain as determined as ever to continue countering terrorists around the world who seek to do harm 7 8 to our country and our personnel. More on that shortly.

9 As Chairman Dunford and I testified this spring, we've been planning for our activities to be paid for by the 2017 10 11 budget that we have submitted and that we developed. That 12 budget adhered to last fall's bipartisan budget deal in overall size. While in shape, it marked a strategic turning 13 14 point for DOD, making breakthrough investments in new 15 operational concepts, in pioneering technological frontiers, 16 in reforming the DOD enterprise, and in building the force of the future. It also put a high premium on continuing to 17 rebuild the readiness of our forces, requiring not only 18 19 stable resources, but also time. Nothing is more important 20 than readiness to me or to the service chiefs.

And yet today, just 8 days away from the end of this fiscal year, that budget has yet to be funded by Congress. I want to discuss that with you today. But, because this hearing is partly about ongoing military operations, let me begin with an operational update on our campaign to deliver

1 ISIL a lasting defeat.

Now, each time Chairman Dunford and I have appeared 2 3 before this committee since back last October, I've 4 described to you our coalition military campaign plan, which 5 is focused on three objectives. The first is to destroy 6 ISIL's -- the ISIL cancer's parent tumor in Iraq and Syria, because the sooner we end ISIL's occupation of territory in 7 8 those countries, that is the sooner we destroy both the fact and the idea of an Islamic State based on ISIL's barbaric 9 10 ideology, the safer all of the world will be. And that's 11 necessary, absolutely necessary. It's not sufficient. So, 12 our second objective is to combat ISIL's metastises 13 everywhere they emerge around the world -- in Afghanistan, 14 Libya, and elsewhere. And our third objective is to help 15 protect the homeland. This is mainly the responsibility of 16 our partners in the FBI, the Justice Department, Homeland 17 Security, the intelligence community, and State and local law enforcement, but DOD strongly supports them. And I'll 18 19 address how, momentarily.

Since last fall, we've taken many steps to continually accelerate this campaign, all consistent with our strategic approach of enabling capable, motivated local forces, for that's the only way to ensure ISIL's lasting defeat. And, while we have much more work to do, the results of our effort are showing.

1 In Iraq, we've been enabling Iraqi Security Forces and 2 the Kurdish Peshmerga. After retaking Ramadi and 3 establishing a staging base at Makhmour, the ISF went on to 4 retake Hit, Rutbah, Fallujah, and the important airfield and 5 town of Qayyarah, setting the stage to complete the 6 envelopment of Mosul and the collapse of ISIL's control over it. In the last few days, the ISF became -- began 7 8 operations to retake Sharqat and other towns surrounding Mosul. And the final assault on Mosul will commence, as 9 10 with previous operations, when Prime Minister Abadi gives 11 the order.

12 In Syria, our coalition has also enabled considerable results by our local partners. They retook Shaddadi, 13 14 severing a key link between Raqqa and Mosul, and then Manbij 15 city, clearing a key transit point for ISIL's external 16 operations and plotters, and providing key intelligence 17 insights. Additionally, our ally Turkey is helping local Syrian partners clear their border region with ISIL. We're 18 19 working shoulder-to-shoulder with the Turks, supporting 20 these efforts from the air, on the ground, and with 21 intelligence. And, as we do so, we're managing regional 22 tensions, tensions that we've foreseen, and keeping everyone 23 focused on our common enemy: ISIL.

Meanwhile, we're systematically eliminating ISIL's leadership, with the coalition having taken out seven

17

Alderson Court Reporting

members of the ISIL senior Shura, including its chief of
 external operations, Al-Adnani. He was one of more than 20
 ISIL external operators and plotters we removed from the
 battlefield.

5 We're also continuing to go after ISIL's attempts to 6 develop chemical weapons as we continue to ensure that U.S., 7 coalition, and Iraqi troops are vigilantly protected from 8 that threat. And just last week, in one of the single 9 largest airstrikes of our campaign, we destroyed a 10 pharmaceutical facility near Mosul that ISIL tried to use as 11 a chemical weapons plant.

We also continue to aggressively attack ISIL's economic infrastructure -- oil wells, tanker trucks, cash storage, and more -- and we continue to take the fight to ISIL across every domain, including cyber.

With all this, we're putting ISIL on the path to a lasting defeat in Iraq and Syria, particularly as we embark on a decisive phase of our campaign, to collapse ISIL's control of Mosul and Raqqa.

With respect to the Syrian civil war, I want to commend Secretary Kerry for working so tirelessly to seek an arrangement which, if implemented, would ease the suffering of the Syrian people and get Russia pushing at last for a political transition, which is the only way to end the Syrian civil war. There remains a way to go to see if the

1 terms of that arrangement can be implemented.

2 Unfortunately, the behavior we've seen from Russia and Syria3 over the last few days has been deeply problematic.

4 Let me turn to our second objective, combating ISIL's5 metastases.

6 In Libya, thanks to U.S. precision airstrikes 7 undertaken at the request of the Government of National 8 Accord, ISIL's territory in Sirte has now been reduced to a 9 single square kilometer, and I'm confident ISIL will be 10 ejected from there.

Meanwhile, in Afghanistan, we worked with our Afghan partners to conduct a large operation against ISIL over the last 2 months, dealing the organization severe blows, killing its top leader, and degrading its infrastructure, logistics base, and recruiting. And there'll be more coming.

17 Next, to help protect our homeland and our people, DOD continues to provide strong support to our law enforcement, 18 19 homeland security, and intelligence partners. This is the number-one mission of our Northern Command. And the U.S. 20 military is supporting our partners in three critical ways. 21 22 First, we're ensuring the protection of our personnel and 23 the DOD facilities where they work and reside. Second, 24 we're disrupting ISIL's external operations. More on that 25 shortly. And third, we're also disrupting the flow of

foreign fighters both to and from Iraq and Syria. This is part of a broader effort within our coalition to not only stem the flow of foreign fighters, but also counter ISIL's online messaging, recruitment, and spread of its loathsome ideology.

6 Going forward, the collapse of ISIL's control over Ragga and Mosul, which we're confident our coalition will 7 8 achieve, will indeed put ISIL on an irreversible path to 9 lasting defeat. But, after that, to take up a point that 10 both the Chairman and Ranking Member Reed made, there will 11 still be much more to do. Political challenges will remain. 12 For that reason, the international coalition's stabilization 13 efforts cannot be allowed to lag behind our military 14 progress. That's critical to making sure that ISIL, once defeated, stays defeated. Truly delivering ISIL a lasting 15 16 defeat requires both strategic patience and persistence. We 17 can't predict what will come after our coalition defeats ISIL, so we must be ready for anything, including any 18 19 attempts by ISIL to remain relevant even if only in the 20 darkest corners of the Internet.

Let me now address issues DOD faces in institution, and how you can help. We have three grave concerns related to processes here in Congress. One, budget gridlock and instability. Two, micromanagement and over-regulation. And three, denial of needed reforms. As you've heard

1 consistently from me and DOD senior leaders, all three are 2 serious concerns. But, here today, because of how close we 3 are to the end of the fiscal year, I want to focus just on 4 the first.

5 We need Congress to come together around providing 6 normal, stable, responsible budgets, because the lack of stability represents one of the single biggest strategic 7 8 risks to our enterprise at DOD. That's why I've been talking about the major risk posed by budget instability for 9 over a year and a half. You heard the same from the service 10 11 chiefs last week. Such budget instability undercuts stable 12 planning and efficient use of taxpayer dollars, often in ways taxpayers can't even see. It baffles our friends, 13 14 emboldens our foes. It's managerially and strategically 15 unsound. And it's unfairly dispiriting to our troops, to 16 their families and our workforce. And it's inefficient for our defense industry partners, too. 17

We're now 8 days away from the end of the fiscal year. But, instead of stability, we're going into fiscal year 2017 with yet another Continuing Resolution. This, for the eighth fiscal year in a row. That's a deplorable state of affairs. And, Chairman McCain, I appreciate your comments to our service chiefs about the damage the CR can do to our institution.

25 As you know, the longer a Continuing Resolution lasts,

21

1 the more damaging it is. It's not just a matter of money, 2 but where the dollars are. For example, a CR that goes past 3 December would undermine our plan to quadruple our European Reassurance Initiative at a time, as the Chairman already 4 5 noted, when we need to be standing with our NATO allies, and 6 standing up to deter Russian aggression. I know you will return here in November to pass defense appropriations and a 7 National Defense Authorization Act. I look forward to 8 9 working with you then.

10 However, I cannot support any approach to the defense 11 budget that moves us towards sequestration or away from 12 bipartisanship and not at the expense of stability that 13 comes with it, not if it shortchanges the needs of our 14 warfighters, not if it means funding lower priorities 15 instead of higher priorities, not if it undermines 16 confidence in the ability to pass bipartisan budget deals 17 which could lead to the imposition of sequestration's \$100 billion in looming automatic cuts to us, and not if it adds 18 19 extra force structure that we can't afford to keep ready in 20 the long term, which would only lead to a hollow force. I'm 21 confident and hopeful that we can come back together again. 22 Today, America is fortunate to have the world's 23 greatest military. I know it. You know it. Our friends

24 and allies know it. And, critical -- critically, our

25 potential adversaries know it, too. Only with your help can

22

1	we ensure that my successors can say the same and that what
2	is today the finest fighting force the world has ever known
3	remains that way for years to come.
4	Thank you.
5	[The prepared statement of Secretary Carter follows:]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Chairman	McCain:	General	Dunford.
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Alderson Court Reporting

STATEMENT OF GENERAL JOSEPH F. DUNFORD, JR., USMC,
 CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

General Dunford: Chairman McCain, Ranking Member Reed,
members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to
join Secretary Carter this morning.

6 Before offering a brief assessment of ongoing operations, I'd like to associate myself with the comments 7 made by the service chiefs who testified before this 8 committee last week. As you'd expect, they offered their 9 10 candid assessment about the readiness and the modernization 11 challenges that affect each of the services. And I fully 12 concur with their assessment of the operational tempo and the budget challenges faced by each of the services and 13 14 across the Department.

15 But, due in large part to this committee's support, the 16 joint force remains the most capable and professional 17 military in the world. We can defend the Nation, we can meet our alliance responsibilities, and today we have a 18 19 competitive advantage over any adversary. I think that's an 20 important point that should not be lost on our allies, it 21 should not be lost on our enemies, and it should not be lost 22 on the men and women of the joint force, our soldiers, 23 sailors, airmen, marines, and coastquardsmen.

And I say all that, mindful that we remain confronted with challenges from traditional state actors and violent

1 extremism. Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea continue to invest in military capabilities that reduce that competitive 2 advantage. They are also advancing their interests through 3 4 adversarial competition that has a military dimension that 5 falls short of armed conflict. Examples include Russian 6 actions in the Ukraine, North Korea's nuclear saberrattling, Chinese activities in the South China Sea, and 7 8 Iran's malign activities across the Middle East. In 9 different ways, each of these nations leverage economic coercion, information operations, cyber capabilities, 10 11 unconventional warfare and force posture deliberately 12 seeking to avoid a U.S. military response.

Meanwhile, nonstate actors, such as ISIL and al-Qaeda, remain a threat to our homeland, the American people, our partners, and our allies. As evidenced by this past weekend's attacks, such extremist groups seek to inspire and radicalize others, and, in doing so, they're attempting to fundamentally change our way of life.

19 The joint force is engaged in responding to each of 20 these strategic challenges. We're focused on deterring 21 potential adversaries, and we're prepared to respond, should 22 deterrence fail.

23 We also remain firmly committed to defeating ISIL and 24 its affiliates wherever they may emerge. Since my last 25 appearance before the committee, I've made additional trips

26

Alderson Court Reporting

to the Middle East, and I'm encouraged by the coalition's 1 progress in Iraq and Syria. We've also degraded the Islamic 2 3 State's capabilities in Libya, West Africa, and Afghanistan. Coalition operations supporting indigenous ground forces --4 5 and the Chairman mentioned this, Ranking Member Reed mentioned, the Secretary did -- have disrupted core ISIL's 6 ability to mount external attacks, reduce its territory of 7 8 control, limit its freedom of movement, eliminate many of their leaders, and reduce the resources that they have 9 available. Most importantly, the coalition has begun to 10 11 discredit ISIL's narrative and its aura of invincibility. 12 While more work remains to be done, and we're by no means -by no means are we complacent -- it's clear we have the 13 14 momentum in the military campaign.

15 As the joint force addresses each of our strategic 16 challenges, we also recognize the need to invest in the 17 future. As the Secretary said, we don't have the luxury of choosing between the challenges that we face today or the 18 19 challenges that we most assuredly will face tomorrow. 20 To meet tomorrow's requirements, we must take action 21 today. Our nuclear deterrent remains effective, but it is 22 aging and requires modernization. At the same time, we must

develop and enhance the capabilities that -- in the 24 increasingly contested domains of space and cyber. And we 25

must also do all that while we preserve the edge in our

27

1	conventional capabilities. In the end, we must maintain a
2	balanced inventory of joint capabilities and capacities to
3	meet the full range of challenges that we will confront.
4	In closing, I am concerned about readiness today, but
5	I'm more concerned about maintaining a competitive advantage
6	in the future. If we fail to modernize the joint force, we
7	will be at a disadvantage in the future. And I know the
8	committee shares my belief that we should never send our
9	soldiers, sailors, airmen, marines, or coastguardsmen into a
10	fair fight.
11	Thank you, Chairman, members of the committee.
12	[The prepared statement of General Dunford follows:]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Chairman McCain: Thank you very much, General.

2 Thank you for your comments about the testimony of the 3 service chiefs. We appreciated it, too. We were shocked to -- or at least surprised to learn that none of the service 4 5 chiefs have had a conversation with the President of the 6 United States. That's the first time I've ever heard of it in my years of service and membership of this committee. 7 8 General Dunford, in your professional military opinion, is the Russia -- is the Russian -- in a quagmire in Syria? 9 10 General Dunford: It's not clear to me that Russia is 11 in a quagmire in Syria at this time, Chairman. 12 Chairman McCain: In your professional military opinion, is the cessation-of-hostilities agreement being 13 14 effectively implemented on the ground in Syria? General Dunford: That would not -- that would not 15 16 appear to be the case over the last 48 hours, Chairman. 17 Chairman McCain: This is not the first time we've had one of these agreements. In fact, it -- it's beginning to 18 19 fit the definition of insanity, of doing the same thing over 20 and over again. Suppose this fails again, General Dunford. 21 What do we do then? Try another cease-fire? What do we do 22 then? We just saw, as you know, evidence that a chemical 23 weapon -- and we knew that a chemical weapons factory was 24 functioning in Raqqa. What's Plan B? Is there a Plan B,

25 here, or do we just keep going back to the five-star hotels

29

1 in Geneva and have meetings with our -- with Mr. Lavrov, and 2 come out with various declarations? What do we do if this 3 one fails?

4 General Dunford: Chairman, we have a wide range of 5 military options --

6 Chairman McCain: Give us one.

General Dunford: Chairman, if I could finish. We have a wide range of military options that we would provide to the President, should our policy change in the wake of this recent cessation --

Chairman McCain: Is the present policy working?
 General Dunford: Against ISIL, the present policy is
 working.

14 Chairman McCain: In Syria, with 400,000 people killed, 15 6 million refugees, is our strategy in Syria working --16 succeeding?

17 General Dunford: With regard to political transition 18 in Syria, at this time, I would --

19 Chairman McCain: In regards to the whole situation in 20 Syria, is our policy working?

21 General Dunford: Chairman, I'd let others address the 22 policy. Our focus, from a military perspective, is --

23 Chairman McCain: I'm asking --

24 General Dunford: -- our counter-ISIL campaign.

25 Chairman McCain: -- Is the military strategy

1 succeeding in Syria?

2 General Dunford: Our military strategy is focused on a 3 counter-ISIL campaign. In my judgment, we are succeeding in 4 that campaign.

5 Chairman McCain: So, as far as you're concerned, we 6 ignore the 400,000 dead and the 6 million refugees. That's caused by Bashar Assad. Do you believe that, right now, 7 8 it's very likely that Bashar Assad will leave power? 9 General Dunford: I can't really judge that right now. It doesn't appear that he will in the near term, Chairman. 10 11 Chairman McCain: So, you can't judge that. 12 General Dunford: I can't judge the long-term prospects 13 for Assad, was my point, Chairman. I'm --Chairman McCain: In the --14 15 General Dunford: -- sure he's not --16 Chairman McCain: -- short term? General Dunford: -- leaving in the short term. 17 18 Chairman McCain: In your professional military 19 opinion, is it a good idea to share -- set up an 20 intelligence-sharing operation with the Russians? 21 General Dunford: Chairman, we don't have any intention 22 of having an intelligence-sharing arrangement with the 23 Russians. 24 Chairman McCain: That is part of Secretary Kerry's proposal, that we set up an intelligence-sharing operation 25

1 in Syria with the Russians.

General Dunford: Chairman, the U.S. military role will 2 3 not include intelligence-sharing with the Russians. 4 Chairman McCain: Do you support such an idea, that 5 they should share intelligence -- military intelligence 6 information with Russia and Syria? General Dunford: Chairman, what the President has 7 directed us to do is establish a joint implementation --8 Chairman McCain: I was -- asked for your professional 9 military opinion, not what the President has told you to do. 10 11 I'm asking, as in your confirmation hearings, if you would 12 give your professional military opinion to this committee in response to questions. I expect you to hold to that. 13 14 It is -- is it your professional military opinion that 15 it would be a good idea to have an intelligence-sharing 16 operation with Russia in Syria? 17 General Dunford: Chairman, I do not believe it would be a good idea to share intelligence with the Russians. 18 19 Chairman McCain: I thank you, General. 20 On the issue of sequestration, could -- I just 21 mentioned -- I hope it got the attention of all of my 22 colleagues -- that every one of the service chiefs said 23 that, presently, sequestration puts our men and women who 24 are serving in military in greater risk. At the same time, 25 the President of the United States is demanding -- is

32

putting the risk to American servicemen and -women on the same level as funding for the EPA. And so, it is just remarkable to a lot of us that we don't take care of the compelling argument of caring -- of reducing the risk to the men and women who are serving in the military, demanding that there be nondefense increases in spending at the same time.

8 All I can say is, I thank you, Secretary Carter and 9 General Dunford, but this latest information concerning a 10 chemical shell obviously shows that, in Raqqa, they're doing 11 a lot of things, including a chemical weapons factory, which 12 adds a new dimension to the threat to the lives of the men 13 and women who are serving in the military.

I still look forward to hearing from Secretary Carter and General Dunford, What is the strategy if the present strategy continues to utterly fail? And, frankly, I haven't heard that.

18 Senator Reed.

Senator Reed: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Secretary and General Dunford, one of the factors that appears to be -- influence the timing of the Mosul operation is to -- how do you govern Mosul after you militarily succeed -- Iraq Security Forces succeed, with American and coalition assistance? And that triggers the issue of, not only the role of agencies outside Defense,

33

like the State Department, AID, and others, but the resources they have. It would be -- is it necessary, in your view, that these agencies be robustly funded, in addition, because without them, you can have a military victory and essentially just wait around, because they'll come back because you haven't put the politics and the capacity together?

8 Secretary Carter: It is necessary. I had the Defense 9 Ministers of the key coalition contributors here to Andrews a little while ago, and we went through, as we always do, 10 11 the campaign, their role, including the moves to envelope Mosul, which we've now taken. Their biggest concern with 12 13 the campaign, at this point, in Iraq is exactly the one you 14 note. Namely, is the political and the economic lagging so far behind the military that there's going to be an issue, 15 once Mosul is -- once ISIL is ejected from Mosul? 16

And I'm just very specifically -- if I may, Senator,
I'll take the political part and then the

19 stabilization/reconstruction part.

20 On the political part, this is a question that recurs, 21 actually, everywhere we go. Everywhere we enable forces to 22 defeat ISIL, the people who live there say, "Well, what's 23 going to happen afterwards?" And that's something we have 24 dealt with in Hit, Fallujah, Rutbah, and some of -- they're 25 all complicated, all different. Mosul's going to be

1 different, too.

2 My understanding -- and that's just not mine, but the 3 Chairman's and the -- our commanders there, and also the President's -- with Prime Minister Abadi, President Barzani, 4 5 who are contributing forces -- the Peshmerga from the north, 6 a couple of brigades, and the ISF from the south, for the envelopment and collapse of control on -- of ISIL's control 7 8 on Mosul. Our understanding with them, which they are both adhering to, is that neither of the forces that will 9 10 participate in taking Mosul should be the hold-and-govern 11 force there. They should be local police -- Sunni, in many 12 cases, but it's actually a mixed-ethnicity city. And the governor of Ninawah Province is the one that they are 13 14 working with and we're working with. That's a daily 15 exercise for General Townsend, General Votel, and for us, is 16 to keep everybody aligned and focused on the job at hand, 17 which is defeating ISIL.

With respect to stabilization and reconstruction, we 18 19 don't know what the collapse of ISIL's control over Mosul 20 will look like. We've had a different experience in 21 different cities. And, obviously, no one wants to see 22 street-to-street fighting in Mosul, but you don't know. 23 There could be a large number of refugees, and we're 24 preparing for that. Not USAID. You mentioned U.S. 25 government funding. That's essential. But, also the U.N.
1 .

2

Senator Reed: Right.

3 Secretary Carter: -- and other international aid 4 agencies.

And I should say, by the way, that's one of the things I ask our coalition partners. I say, "If you don't want to make a military contribution, or you don't have a strong military contribution to make, or it's problematic, for some historical or political reason, for you to make a

10 contribution, a check is good to" --

11 Senator Reed: But --

Secretary Carter: -- "the local people to help them reconstruct."

14 Senator Reed: But, essentially, you cannot -- you can 15 conduct kinetic operations, but the real, long-term effort 16 is political/economic relief, refugee support, et cetera. 17 Those are funds outside Department of Defense. So, a 18 comprehensive approach to all these problems requires 19 relief, not just from Department of Defense spending, but 20 for other Federal agencies.

21 Secretary Carter: It --

22 Senator Reed: Is that correct?

23 Secretary Carter: It is. The whole counter-ISIL thing
24 is whole-of-government and --

25 Senator Reed: Okay. Let me -- going back also to your

1 question about Northern Command. Northern Command is critical to defense of the United States, but, without a 2 3 robust Department of Homeland Security, without adequate resources -- the FBI and for other domestic agencies -- then 4 5 you could be performing at peak efficiency, but the job 6 would not get done. Is --Secretary Carter: We --7 8 Senator Reed: -- that correct? 9 Secretary Carter: It -- that is true. We count on their support. We support them, as well. It's a whole-of-10 11 government effort, defeat of ISIL and --12 Senator Reed: And, General Dunford, do you concur, 13 from your perspective? 14 General Dunford: I do, Senator. 15 Senator Reed: Thank you very much. 16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 Chairman McCain: Senator Inhofe. Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 Let me start off by saying that we have rules in this 20 committee that, when we have witnesses coming in, we're to 21 get their written statement 48 hours in advance. We didn't 22 get both of yours until 8:30 this morning. Now, we did a 23 lot better with the chiefs last week. In fact, General 24 Hayden was in, 72 hours in advance. So, I just think it's a 25 good idea to pass on to others, before they come in, that we

1 really do need to have that to conduct the -- a hearing 2 that's meaningful.

When General Goldfein was here, he described what's needed for defense funding, and he talked about sufficient, stable, predictable funding. In your statement, Secretary Carter, you left the word "sufficient" out. And I'm -- I am concerned about this.

8 Back during the Clinton administration, when they were 9 actually trying to cut 400 out of the budget, we, in this committee, sitting in this -- in these -- in this dais here 10 11 -- were able to put 100 back in. And you remember the 12 famous bathtub chart that we used at that time. General Milley said, last week -- and I think that he said it best 13 14 -- he said, quote, "The only thing more expensive than deterrence is actually fighting a war. The only thing more 15 16 expensive than fighting a war is losing a war. And we're 17 expensive. We recognize that. But, the bottom line is, it's an investment that's worth every nickel." 18

I guess the question, just for a short answer of each one of you, is, Have our defense funding levels kept pace with the realities of the -- our environment out there? General Dunford?

General Dunford: Senator, I don't believe they have. And that's why we've articulated an increased requirement in FY17, and we'll continue to reinforce those areas that we

1 identified in '17 for '18. And, of course, those -- well,
2 turn it over to Secretary --

3 Senator Inhofe: I appreciate that.

4 Do you agree?

5 Secretary Carter: Yeah. And I wanted to say --

6 Senator Inhofe: All right.

Secretary Carter: -- that I agree with General Dunford, and what the Chief said, as well, and I'm -insufficiency belongs with instability. So, I'm sorry if we left that word out. Nothing intended there. The point that they were making, and that I would strongly echo, is, the effects of 8 straight years of ending a fiscal year without an appropriation --

14 Senator Inhofe: Yeah. You --

Secretary Carter: -- for the next -- that is -- has had a serious effect. We've tried to manage through it.

17 Senator Inhofe: Right.

18 Secretary Carter: We've done our best. But, it -19 that's just not --

20 Senator Inhofe: I understand, Secretary.

21 Secretary Carter: -- the way to run an --

Senator Inhofe: Now, you've been -- let me compliment you -- you've been a real stalwart on your -- in support of each leg of the nuclear triad. Had stated that the nuclear mission is the bedrock of our security. Today, we're

1 spending about 3 to 4 percent of our budget. However, the long-term plan shows that we're going to move up, within the 2 3 decade, or sometime in the decade, to 6 to 7 percent. The question I would ask is -- you know, General Dunford, with 4 5 Russia and China actively modernizing their nuclear weapons 6 and delivery system, we know what's happening in North Korea -- do you think we should accelerate this so that we would 7 8 reach the 6 to 7 percent much earlier, like now?

9 General Dunford: Senator, I think, as you know, many of those programs, it's not just the function of 10 11 accelerating the funding, it's how much time it takes for 12 development. And I'm confident, having looked at this very 13 closely, that the path that we're on and the timing for the 14 introduction of our new programs is about right. It 15 balances both the budget, but it, more importantly, balances 16 the operational readiness of those systems to be introduced 17 at the --

Senator Inhofe: Well, I think what you're saying is, even if you had more now, you could not spend it wisely. You need the -- the course that we're on is adequate, in your opinion.

22 General Dunford: Senator, that's exactly my --

23 Senator Inhofe: All right.

24 General Dunford: -- assessment.

25 Senator Inhofe: That's fine.

1 The -- I was in Ukraine right after their parliamentary 2 elections, and I was -- I've never seen Poroshenko or any of 3 them as happy as they were at that time, how proud they were, for the time in 96 years, not having one Communist on 4 5 the -- in Parliament. And yet, as soon as that happened, Putin started killing the Ukraines and the -- I would ask 6 you this, Secretary Carter. If -- is deterrence of Russia 7 8 in Europe a policy priority?

9 Secretary Carter: It absolutely is. That's why we10 quadrupled the European Reassurance Initiative.

Senator Inhofe: But, what would that -- I would ask the question, then, Why are we not providing defensive lethal assistance to the Ukraine?

Secretary Carter: Well, that is still on the table. 14 15 It's been on the table for quite some time. And --16 Senator Inhofe: Well, it's more than on the table --17 Secretary Carter: -- I want to emphasize, we do --Senator Inhofe: -- with us, because it's in our --18 19 Secretary Carter: Well, it's going to depend upon what 20 the Russians do with respect to Minsk. I just met with my 21 Ukrainian counterpart a couple of weeks ago. A great guy, 22 by the way. He's been doing this for a long time and is 23 very dedicated, a good guy to work with. And we talked 24 about everything that are doing with them. We have training 25 now. We've moved from their national --

1	Senator Inhofe: Okay. And
2	Secretary Carter: guard to their regular
3	Senator Inhofe: I don't want to be rude, Mr.
4	Secretary, but my time is just about expired.
5	Secretary Carter: Okay.
6	Senator Inhofe: And I just want to know if this
7	well, let me ask you, General Dunford. If we were to change
8	our policy, what type of weaponry would be the
9	appropriate right now? You know, we have the Javelin anti-
10	armor weapons. What would be the right weapon? And you're
11	both fully aware that, in our defense authorization bill, we
12	address this issue, because we support lethal defense
13	weapons.
14	General Dunford?
15	General Dunford: The critical capability areas the
16	Ukrainians have identified include fire support, their
17	artillery capability, as well as their anti-armor
18	capability.
19	Senator Inhofe: Yeah, and do you agree with that?
20	General Dunford: That's a capability gap, I agree with
21	that.
22	Senator Inhofe: Thank you.
23	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	Chairman McCain: Senator Blumenthal.
25	Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

I want to join in thanking both of you for your
 extraordinary service and for your very forthright answers
 to our questions here.

General Dunford, is there any question in your mind,
any doubt, that Russian planes were responsible for
attacking the United States -- the U.N. convoy that was
trying to deliver aid to Aleppo?

8 General Dunford: Senator, my -- I don't have the 9 facts. What we know are, two Russian aircraft were in that 10 area at that time. My judgment would be that they did. 11 There were also some other aircraft in the area, that 12 belonged to the regime, at or about the same time; so, I 13 can't conclusively say that it was the Russians, but it was 14 either the Russians or the regime.

Senator Blumenthal: Well, it sounds to me like you're saying that their responsibility was demonstrated beyond a reasonable doubt.

General Dunford: Senator, there's no doubt in my mind that the Russians are responsible. I just don't know whose aircraft actually dropped the bomb. So, I would certainly associate myself with the comment that you made earlier, that, yes, it is the Russians that were responsible.

23 Senator Blumenthal: Which is a war crime. I'm not 24 asking for your legal judgment, knowing that you would 25 probably disclaim your expertise as a lawyer, but you would

agree with me, as a layman, as a military person, that that
 act constituted a war crime.

General Dunford: It was an unacceptable atrocity,Senator.

5 Senator Blumenthal: Would you agree with Secretary 6 Kerry in contending that what ought to be done is a 7 grounding of all aircraft in certain areas of Syria, 8 including that one?

9 General Dunford: I would not agree that coalition aircraft ought to be grounded. I do agree that Syrian 10 11 regime aircraft and Russian aircraft should be grounded. 12 Senator Blumenthal: Would you agree with -apparently, the growing strain of thought in the 13 14 administration, that the Syrian Kurds should be armed? 15 General Dunford: Senator, we're in deliberation about 16 exactly what to do with the Syrian Democratic Forces right 17 now. We have -- providing them support. They are our more -- most effective partner on the ground. It's very 18 19 difficult, as you know, managing the relationship between 20 our support for the Syrian Democratic Forces and our Turkish 21 allies. And so, we're working very closely with our Turkish 22 allies to come up with the right approach to make sure that 23 we can conduct effective and decisive operations in Ragga 24 with the Syrian Democratic Forces and still allay the 25 Kurdish -- the Turkish concerns about the Kurds' long-term

1 political prospects.

Senator Blumenthal: If those concerns can be allayed,
and even if they can't be allayed, would you agree that
arming the Syrian Kurds presents an opportunity for us, as a
military option, to be more effective in that area?
General Dunford: Senator, I would agree with that. If
we would reinforce the Syrian Democratic Forces' current

8 capabilities, that will increase the prospects of our 9 success in Ragga.

10 Senator Blumenthal: In terms of the Russian 11 responsibility for what you have absolutely correctly termed 12 "an atrocity," a war crime in that area, what can the United 13 States do? What are some of those military options that the 14 Chairman asked about?

General Dunford: Senator, I'd prefer to talk to you in private about military options that might be being discussed as future options the President may have. I think right now managing the Russian problem is largely a

19 political/diplomatic problem, and that's what Secretary

20 Kerry and the President are dealing with.

21 Senator Blumenthal: Let me turn -- Mr. Secretary, you 22 mentioned that there are three areas -- the fiscal, the 23 over-regulation or micromanaging, and much needed reforms, 24 as you characterized them. Could you give us your 25 priorities as to what those reforms would be?

1 Secretary Carter: I have spelled -- I have a number of 2 concerns, which I spelled out at great length in a letter to the committee. And I really look forward to working with 3 you to resolve them. There are a number of them. They're 4 5 serious concerns that I have for provisions in the bill. 6 And I'd like to work all of these -- I think where we have common intentions, work them to a place where I can support 7 an NDAA that the President would sign. That's where I'd 8 like to get with you all by the time you return, in 9 10 November.

Senator Blumenthal: I would welcome that opportunity.
I'm just about out of time. This topic is immensely
important, because it involves effective use of resources.
We talk a lot about what the levels of resource should be,
but managing them effectively is very important.

16 As to the Syrian conflict, to both of you, I don't need to emphasize how desperately serious the humanitarian 17 catastrophe is in Syria. The Chairman has rightly referred 18 19 to the numbers killed and displaced. It is, as Secretary 20 Kerry right termed it, probably the biggest humanitarianism 21 catastrophe since World War II, and the United States bears 22 a responsibility to use its military forces to stop the 23 bloodshed and the needless and senseless killing of innocent 24 civilians there.

25 So, thank you very much for your testimony today.

46

www.aldersonreporting.com

1

2

Chairman McCain: Senator Sessions.

Senator Sessions: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3 And I would share that thought. The situation in Syria is a colossal disaster. I do not believe it had to happen. 4 5 I believe a wise statesman could have foreseen some of the 6 difficulties we're facing today. And we should have been more cautious and careful in our declarations of how we 7 8 expect Syria to develop over the years. It hasn't developed like President Obama projected. And disaster has been the 9 10 situation.

11 With regard to the sequestration issue, Mr. Secretary, 12 I'm trying to contain spending on all our accounts. I've come to believe that we have to have more defense spending. 13 14 And we've exceeded sequestration, I guess, for the last 2 15 years. But, I guess my question to you is -- Senator McCain 16 has proposed an increase in defense spending. All the items 17 that he proposed are things the Defense Department have said they need. And is it your position that the -- and is it 18 19 the President's position -- that we will not spend that 20 additional money for the Defense Department unless at least 21 an equal amount of money is spent on the Commerce 22 Department, the EPA, and other government agencies? 23 Secretary Carter: Well, what -- I'll speak for myself -- what I can't support, and won't support, is anything that 24

25 moves towards instability. And that means towards

47

Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

sequestration. And that means away from bipartisanship. We
 submitted a budget that was consonant with the bipartisan
 budget agreement. That's what we did.

Senator Sessions: Well --4 5 Secretary Carter: Eight months --6 Senator Sessions: Okay. So, I understand. Secretary Carter: We did that --7 Senator Sessions: It --8 Secretary Carter: -- a few months into the bipartisan 9 10 budget --11 Senator Sessions: All right. 12 Secretary Carter: -- agreement. And I -- the -- I can't -- I don't control this. I simply approve it. 13 14 Senator Sessions: It's the President's decision, 15 ultimately. I understand that. So, what he's saying, in 16 leading the Democrats, and they're saying, not only do we have to bust the budget for the Defense Department, we have 17 to bust it an equal amount for nondefense spending. That's 18 19 the problem we have today. That's why we don't have a 20 bipartisan agreement. 21 So, if we can go on to the next subject --22 Secretary Carter: Well, there is a bipartisan -- if I 23 may say so, there is a bipartisan budget agreement, and that

- 24 is what we --
- 25 Senator Sessions: Well --

1 Secretary Carter: -- submitted our budget in 2 accordance with, whatever, 8 months ago. And now --Senator Sessions: Well --3 Secretary Carter: -- the fiscal year ends, and there's 4 5 no --6 Senator Sessions: Well, we'll have to --Secretary Carter: -- there's no budget on that basis. 7 8 Senator Sessions: -- to avoid a government shutdown. And the leadership of the President and his determination to 9 compromise has bitterly been reached. I wish we could have 10 11 supported Defense without going further. 12 Mr. Secretary, Secretary Rumsfeld, Secretary Gates, and you have criticized our allies in Europe about their 13 14 unwillingness to even meet their minimum commitments to 15 defense. I suppose you still believe they should meet those 16 minimum standards, do you not? 17 Secretary Carter: Yeah. Yeah, I absolutely do. They said that --18 19 Senator Sessions: And you've said that before. But, 20 this European Reassurance Initiative -- a European official 21 told me, "Why did not the United States demand that Europe 22 increase their defense spending at the same amount we're 23 increasing our defense spending for Europe in the European 24 Reassurance Initiative?" 25 Secretary Carter: Well, all I can tell you is, yes, I

1 am, in a long tradition -- and it actually goes back before
2 --

3 Senator Sessions: So, my question is, Why did you not 4 tell the Europeans --

5 Secretary Carter: I did.

6 Senator Sessions: -- and --

Secretary Carter: I did. We've been talking -Senator Sessions: Well, we don't have a commitment

9 from them to match that amount of money, do we?

10 Secretary Carter: Well, it's complicated, because some

11 -- each of them has made a contribution to European

12 Reassurance, but you're -- in terms of aggregate spending,

13 they have a commitment, which not many of them have met,

14 Senator, but a few have --

15 Senator Sessions: Four out of --

16 Secretary Carter: -- which is to meet --

17 Senator Sessions: -- 28 countries are at the minimum.

18 Secretary Carter: -- which is to spend 2 percent of

19 their GDP. And important major countries in Europe aren't

20 even doing that. And that's unacceptable.

21 Senator Sessions: With --

22 Secretary Carter: It means that Europe -- too many

23 European militaries have made themselves incapable of

24 independent --

25 Senator Sessions: Well --

1 Secretary Carter: -- military activity --

Senator Sessions: -- I'll just say this. For the last 8 to 12 years, they've continued --

4 Secretary Carter: Okay.

5 Senator Sessions: -- on this, and we've said it, and 6 nothing's happened. It's time for something to happen from 7 Europe.

8 Let me ask you, really, about the Syrian situation. It's such a disaster. I mean, we've got hundreds of 9 thousands dead, 6 million refugees, and I don't see an end 10 11 in sight. General Dunford just said that Assad is not 12 leaving anytime soon. Five years ago, the President said, "Assad has to go, and is going." He did not go. And this 13 is all a result of that. So, now we're making some 14 15 progress, I understand, against ISIS. What kind of 16 agreement -- what kind of end do you see, Mr. Secretary, for 17 this disastrous conflict? How can we see an end to it? What do you foresee, and what's our goal? 18

Secretary Carter: We are making progress in the counter-ISIL campaign in Iraq and Syria. In western Syria, where the civil war rages --

22 Senator Sessions: No, no, no. I'm asking, What is the 23 goal of the United States --

24 Secretary Carter: The goal of --

25 Senator Sessions: -- of America --

1 Secretary Carter: The goal --

2 Senator Sessions: -- for Syria?

3 Secretary Carter: The goal of United States policy in 4 Syria is to end the Syrian war -- civil war. It has been 5 that for a long time. And that means a end to the violence 6 there. That's -- and also a political transition from Assad 7 to a government that includes the moderate opposition and 8 that can run the country. Our approach has been a political 9 one --

10 Senator Sessions: The problem is -- let me ask you 11 this. It seems to me that the problem is that, with our 12 support, ISIS is being damaged, but they're not utterly 13 destroyed. If some sort of peace agreement is reached, some 14 sort of cease-fire, and the United States and others reduce 15 their presence there, can you assure us that ISIS, the 16 toughest, meanest group in Syria, won't be able to 17 destabilize any government that might be put together? Secretary Carter: Well, let me be clear about 18 19 something, which is, our counter-ISIL campaign is not on the 20 table or part of the discussions of Secretary Kerry with the 21 Russians. That is about the Russian activity, Syrian 22 activity in western Syria. Our counter-ISIL campaign, we 23 are conducting, and will conduct. And you're right, we are 24 making progress in it. And that's --

25 Senator Sessions: Well --

1 Secretary Carter: -- going to go on. 2 Senator Sessions: I don't see --3 Secretary Carter: But, what Secretary Kerry's trying to do -- and again, as we sit here today, it's very 4 5 problematic -- but, what he's trying to do is exactly what you're calling attention to, namely to end the humanitarian 6 disaster occasioned by the civil war in Syria, and to 7 8 promote a political transition. 9 Senator Sessions: Well --Secretary Carter: He's trying to work with those --10 11 Senator Sessions: -- let me wrap up and --12 Secretary Carter: -- who have influence --Senator Sessions: My time's over --13 14 Secretary Carter: -- there, and they're not --Senator Sessions: -- Mr. Secretary. 15 16 Secretary Carter: -- and they're not exercising that influence. 17 Senator Sessions: I believe we could have done a 18 19 better job with safe zones. I'm worried about the area in 20 Iraq. I've talked to you previously and personally about 21 it. We need a active American policy, a leadership in the 22 world. But, we cannot establish all these governments, and 23 run them, and assure how they'll come out in the end. And 24 we can't occupy these countries for decades to try to assure 25 that. That's just not realistic.

53

Alderson Court Reporting

1 A wise statesman would have seen the danger in Syria. A wise statesman would have seen the danger in Libya. A 2 3 wise statesman should have seen what could have happened in Egypt. And, except for 30 million Egyptians going to the 4 5 public square and driving out the Muslim Brotherhood, we could have a disaster there. 6

We've got to be more realistic in our foreign policy. 7 8 We've got to know what we can do to affect, positively, the world and what we cannot do. And we're not able to ensure 9 democratic governments throughout this region of the world. 10 11 And we're now facing colossal humanitarian disaster, and 12 it's been bubbling for a number of years. And there's no 13 easy solution to get out of it. I wish it were, but there's 14 not.

15 Thank you.

16 Senator Reed [presiding]: On behalf of Chairman 17 McCain, let me recognize Senator King.

18 Senator King: Thank you.

19 An observation about the budget. A year and a half 20 ago, we had a bipartisan agreement on the budget number. 21 And then allocations were made to the Appropriations 22 Committee, and they went through their process. And I 23 thought, "Finally, some stability. We can have 24 appropriations." But, I'm reminded of an old saying in 25

Maine, "He's so dumb, he could screw up a two-car funeral."

54

www.aldersonreporting.com

We had the numbers, we had the allocations, we had the
 agreement, and yet, here we are at a Continuing Resolution.

3 I think we ought to be clear about what it is that's gotten us here. There is a dispute, as Senator Sessions 4 5 pointed out, on the numbers. But, that's the kind of thing 6 that can be negotiated. If there's an \$18 billion been added to Defense, and there are people that feel that, on 7 8 the domestic side, there also needs to be increases in areas 9 like the FBI, for example, that's a legitimate area that 10 reasonable people in an afternoon should be able to figure 11 out.

12 What's really holding things up, as I understand it, are riders that have nothing to do with the budget, that 13 14 have to do with policy preferences of various individuals. 15 A perfect example is the National Defense Authorization Act, 16 which, my understanding is, is now being held up by the sage 17 grouse. The sage grouse is what is stopping the finalization of the National Defense Authorization Act. A 18 19 very important issue to a lot of people, I'm not denigrating 20 it. I know it's very important in the West. But, it should 21 not be the thing that holds up the National Defense 22 Authorization Act and the support of our men and women all 23 over the world.

24 So, I think we ought to be clear about what the problem 25 is, here, that trying to load on a lot of political baggage

1 to both the appropriations bills and the national defense bill is what has gotten us to this place. The numbers have 2 3 been agreed on by a year -- for a year and a half. And if 4 we want to increase them, let's discuss that and work out an 5 agreement. That should be easy. But, to be holding up 6 this -- and the -- similar to the sage grouse, other kinds of those issues are why, my understanding is, is holding up the 7 8 appropriations process.

9 So, we're doing a Continuing Resolution even though we've had a number agreed on for 2 year -- for a year and a 10 11 half. It's just -- you know, this institution, as Senator 12 Lindsey Graham pointed out last week, is one of the greatest threats to American security. He went through a litany of 13 14 how we've taken more troops off the battlefield, more 15 airplanes out of the air, more ships out of the ocean than 16 any enemy has done by our inability to work out what 17 ordinary people on the street would think people ought to be able to figure out in a relatively short period of time. 18

19 If you can find a question in there, you're welcome to 20 it.

21 Secretary Carter: I would like to say one thing, which 22 is just to repeat that it is on the basis of that bipartisan 23 budget agreement, and the stability it promised, that we 24 submitted our budget.

25 Senator King: Right.

Secretary Carter: Now -- and that -- we figured that
 was the best the country could do on a bipartisan basis.
 That's the only way we've had stability in the past.

Now, I'm asked about this proposal and that proposal
that would depart from that, and my answer is, in all
seriousness, with responsibility for trying to shepherd this
institution, is -- I have to look at what I think can be
delivered --

9 Senator King: Sure.

10 Secretary Carter: -- on a stable basis. That was what 11 the bipartisan budget agreement is, and that is the -- that 12 has been the foundation, and remains the foundation, for our 13 budget submission. We did a very good job, in my judgment 14 -- and this is the senior leadership of the Department -- to 15 manage responsibly within that budget. We've done that. 16 That's the budget we submitted, months ago --

17 Senator King: Mr. Secretary, I --

Secretary Carter: -- for this fiscal year. And now the fiscal year ends, and -- so, we've played it very straight.

21 Senator King: And my point is, we had a budget 22 agreement, we had a number, and we still can't get it done.

23 Let me ask an entirely different question.

Next week, we are probably going to be dealing with a veto of the bill that would allow people to sue Saudi

Arabia, the so-called Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act. General Dunford, do you have -- or both of you -- do you have concerns about what the effect on our troops, our liability around the world, would be if that bill becomes law?

6 Secretary Carter: Let me -- if I may, I'll say
7 something first --

8 Senator King: Sure.

9 Secretary Carter: -- and then General Dunford, if he
10 wishes to.

11 The -- first of all, I completely associate myself with 12 the intention of this, which is to honor the families of the 13 9/11 perished. And so, that is the origin of this. And 14 that's -- is a worthy one.

I -- it is a law enforcement matter, and, I have to 15 16 say, we're -- we -- we're not the ones who are dealing with 17 it, nor are -- am I, at least, an expert on it. But, you 18 did raise one thing that I am aware of, which is a 19 complication from -- that would be a complication, from our 20 point of view, namely that were another country to behave 21 reciprocally towards the United States, that could be a 22 problem for some of our servicemembers. That is, I'm told, 23 a -- something that we, in the Department of Defense, should 24 be concerned about. And you're referring to that. And 25 that's my understanding, as well.

1	Let me ask the Chairman if he wants to add anything.
2	General Dunford: Senator, the potential second-order
3	effect the Secretary has raised is one that was been
4	brought to my attention. So, that's my concern, as well.
5	Senator King: I think it would be helpful if you could
6	give us more detail on that issue, because we're going to be
7	having to make a decision, probably next week. And I, for
8	one, want to be sure I understand the full implications of
9	that decision, not only on the victims' families, but also
10	on other United States interests around the world. So, I'd
11	appreciate it if that could be made available in the next
12	few days.
13	Thank you.
14	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15	[The information referred to follows:]
16	[COMMITTEE INSERT]
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Senator Reed: On behalf of the Chairman, Senator
 Ayotte, please.

3 Senator Ayotte: Thank you, Chairman.

4 I want to thank you both for your service and5 leadership for the country.

6 You know, just to briefly weigh on this funding issue, what's been most disappointing to me, as someone who 7 8 supported the bipartisan budget agreement, is that the defense appropriations bill passed within that cap set by 9 the bipartisan budget agreement unanimously out of the 10 11 Appropriations Committee. So, both parties agreed with the 12 funding on defense. Then it came to the Senate floor, and it's been blocked multiple times because it's being held 13 14 hostage to other issues.

So, just to be clear, what you're asking for, it's there. And it's just disappointing to people like me and others here, because the priority of defending this Nation and having the funding for our troops and what you need to do should be our priority, no matter what.

So, you know, as I hear this kabuki dance, it's obvious. We passed an appropriations bill. It was completely bipartisan, within the budget caps. So, why is it being blocked? I was proud to vote for it. I'd vote for it again tomorrow. And I just wish we'd get it done for you and our men and women in uniform.

60

Alderson Court Reporting

I wanted to shift gears here and ask about Iran. And,
 General Dunford, does Iran continue to be one of the lead
 sponsors of terrorism around the world?

General Dunford: They are, Senator. I describe theirmajor export as malign influence.

Senator Ayotte: And are they continuing to test
ballistic missiles that is quite troubling to both us and
our allies and, I think, in violation of U.N. resolutions?
General Dunford: They are, Senator, as well as

10 provocative behavior in the Gulf.

Senator Ayotte: Exactly, that our military has encountered in the Gulf just recently.

13 General Dunford: That's right, Senator.

14 Senator Ayotte: So, one of the things that I wanted to 15 ask about is -- recently, we learned that the 1.7 billion in 16 cash relief has actually gone -- that the administration has provided Iran -- has actually gone directly to the 17 Revolutionary Guard Corps. I don't know if you were aware 18 19 of that. And, in fact, the Iranian parliament, or their 20 equivalent of our -- their legislative body passed a law 21 that essentially said if there was a settlement, a legal 22 settlement from a foreign country, which is how this \$1.7 23 billion has been characterized, it would go directly to the 24 military. Does that trouble you, that they're taking the 25 proceeds that we're giving them and funding their military?

General Dunford: Senator, I wasn't aware of it. It doesn't surprise me that the Republican Guard would have a high priority for funding inside of Iran. But, it certainly is troubling. The more funds that they have available, obviously, the more effective they'll be in spreading malign influence.

Senator Ayotte: So, you know, one of the things, as I 7 look at this -- this is our -- you know, this relief that 8 we're giving them, they're testing ballistic missiles, they 9 -- the money that they're getting -- this isn't going to the 10 11 Iranian people, it's going to their Revolutionary Guard 12 Corps, that we know promotes terrorism and undermines stability around the world. And yet, as I see this 13 14 situation, I don't see us taking any steps that we should, 15 in terms of being aggressive in coming back, especially on 16 the ballistic missile program and their terrorism issues. So, what should we be doing, General? 17

General Dunford: Senator, there's two things that I'd 18 19 draw your attention to. First is our posture in the Central 20 Command, which is, in fact, their -- both to deter Iran, but 21 also to respond to Iran, should a response be required. 22 Also, in the FY17 budget -- and I expect you'll see similar 23 requests in the FY18 budget -- much of what we are focused 24 on is dealing with what we describe as anti-access area 25 denial. That's Iran's desire to keep us from moving into

1 that area, and then operating freely within that area. And 2 many of the programs, from a cyber perspective, from 3 ballistic missile defense capability, strike capability, are 4 all designed to deal with the threat of Iran in the region.

5 Senator Ayotte: So, let me just ask you. They're 6 still testing ballistic missiles. Would you agree that's a 7 grave threat and something that needs to be addressed, in 8 terms of our security? And this is all post-agreement, that 9 they're doing this, agreed?

General Dunford: Absolutely, Senator, and that's why we've identified them as one of the four state challenges that we benchmark our joint capabilities against.

13 Senator Ayotte: One of the things I wanted to ask your 14 thoughts on, General, is that we've learned about this \$400 15 million in cash that Iran got, that would be included in the 16 1.7 billion that I referenced, for release of the American 17 hostages. And did you think that was a good idea? Were you 18 consulted about that?

19 General Dunford: Senator, that would, in the normal 20 course of events, not be something that would be in my lane, 21 so I was not consulted.

22 Senator Ayotte: Well, do you think it's a good idea 23 that we should exchange cash to a country like Iran, that 24 you've already confirmed is one of the largest state 25 sponsors of terrorism, in exchange for hostages? Because,

63

Alderson Court Reporting

www.aldersonreporting.com

as I look at this situation, they've now taken at least
 three more American hostages.

General Dunford: Senator, I just don't know the
details of the agreement that was made with Iran and what
the nature of that money was. I -- you know, on principle,
I would prefer that we not provide additional resources to
Iran.

8 Senator Ayotte: So, on principle, you'd rather them 9 not have more money. And, I mean, doesn't it worry you 10 that, as we think about exchanging cash with a country like 11 Iran -- obviously, it was funneled through the European 12 countries -- and that, in fact, we're going to encourage 13 more bad behavior from Iran, and we've seen some of it? 14 Isn't that something we should be concerned about?

General Dunford: Senator, before whatever arrangement was made, and after whatever arrangement was made, I'm under no illusion of what Iran is intending to do, nor are we not -- nor are we -- we are mindful of the capabilities that they're developing, as well.

20 Senator Ayotte: Well, I hope -- you know, I've 21 introduced sanctions legislation on -- to address their 22 ballistic missile program. I think this ransom payment 23 issue is just deeply troubling, and it's just causing 24 further bad behavior from Iran. We know they've taken 25 further hostages. And I just hope that this administration

will step up and finally address Iran's bad behavior.
 Senator Reed: On behalf of Chairman McCain, Senator
 Ernst, please.

4 Senator Ernst: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

5 Thank you, gentlemen, for being with us today and 6 joining in the discussion.

7 I'd like to start with just a few quick yes-or-no
8 questions; very brief, please, gentlemen.

9 For Secretary Carter, did you know that Khalid Sheikh 10 Mohammed, the mastermind of the 9/11 attacks, and Ramzi 11 Yousef, who masterminded and planned the 1993 World Trade 12 Center attacks, utilized the Philippines as a safe haven for 13 their planning and training? Yes or no?

14 Secretary Carter: Senator, it's -- I'll just say it to 15 be -- I'll try your questions yes or no. It depends on 16 whether they lend themselves to that. And, in this case,

17 no, I was not aware.

18 Senator Ernst: Okay. Yes, he did use it as a safe
19 haven during that planning and training.

General Dunford, did you know that Operation Enduring Freedom covered the Philippines in order to train and assist those local forces in the Philippines against al-Qaeda-

- 23 linked terrorist organizations?
- 24 General Dunford: Yes, I did, Senator.
- 25 Senator Ernst: Okay, thank you, General.

1 And, for both of you, are you both aware that ISIS 2 released a video this year encouraging fighters that can't 3 get into Syria to head to the Philippines?

4 Secretary Carter: I am, yes.

General Dunford: I am, as well. And I was in Manilalast week, Senator.

7 Senator Ernst: Wonderful. Thank you, General.

8 Just like we're witnessing in the Middle East, and we have heard much of the discussion today focus on the Middle 9 East. General, I appreciate you've spent time in Africa, as 10 11 well, dealing with Islamic extremist groups. They are also 12 in Southeast Asia. And we are not spending much time 13 talking about that. Groups like Abu Sayyaf, they're bonding 14 together beneath the flag of ISIS. Yet, we really, like I 15 said, don't seem to be focusing on this. The Philippine 16 forces lost 44 of their special police in a single battle to these terrorist groups last year. Fifteen soldiers were 17 killed in a single battle just last month. It's clear that 18 19 this is a very real threat.

And President Obama admitted that we have underestimated the rise of ISIS in the Middle East. And what I fear right now is that we are completely underestimating the rise of ISIS in Southeast Asia.

24 So, before the President went to Asia last month, I did 25 send a letter to him and encouraged him to visit about how

we can counter terrorism and counter ISIS in that region.
 And I did urge him to bring up this issue with the
 President. And shortly after that, ISIS claimed another
 attack, killing 14 civilians.

5 Secretary Carter, are you concerned with what we see as6 the rise of ISIS in Southeast Asia?

7 Secretary Carter: I am. And I'll say something, and8 then I'll ask the Chairman also if he'd chime in.

When I talked about the metastasis of the cancer of 9 ISIL, you're absolutely right that South Asia clearly is a 10 11 place they aspire to spreading. I talk to our counterparts 12 there who are concerned about it. We work with them. Just next week, I'm -- I'll be convening them in Honolulu on a 13 14 number of issues of Pacific security, but one of them is 15 going to be counterterrorism and countering ISIL. I'd say 16 Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore -- you mentioned the 17 Philippines, and other places, but those four come to mind. I've spoken to the Defense Ministers in each of those four 18 19 countries. They have concerns, particularly about the 20 possibility that ISIS could establish a foothold there. In 21 some places, it's already troubled, in some way. And there 22 are places in all those countries. And it could grab hold 23 there.

24 Senator Ernst: Absolutely.

25 Secretary Carter: So, it is very much on our agenda.

1

Chairman, please.

General Dunford: Senator, I agree with your assessment and concerns. Last week, I met with 29 Chiefs of Defense in the Pacific, in Manilla, hosted by the Chief of Defense of the Philippine Armed Forces, and we discussed, broadly, the threat of extremism in Asia and what we need to do to deal with it.

8 To your point, there's 1,000 foreign fighters, alone, 9 we estimate have come from Indonesia into Syria and Iraq. 10 There are hundreds that came from the Philippines. Other 11 countries, as well, are dealing with that issue.

12 I think, although it's not very visible, there's a 13 significant amount of activity going on to build the 14 capacity of our partners in the Pacific. We're trying to 15 work with them to develop a framework within which they can 16 share information, share intelligence. We have a significant maritime domain awareness initiative, which will 17 help them understand the movement into the sea. We see, for 18 19 example, significant cooperation between the Philippines, 20 Malaysia, and Indonesia in the Sulu Sea associated with the 21 movement of people and so forth, you know, in -- as part of 22 this violent extremist problem.

23 So, it is a different fight. I call it a requirement 24 for a regional approach in Southeast Asia, as opposed to a 25 coalition, which is required in Syria and Iraq. But, we are

absolutely putting pressure on ISIL in South Asia. We are absolutely working very closely with our partners. And, frankly, the limit of the support we provide is often what they are willing to accept politically. And so, we're very keen, and we will bring to the President any requests for support. And I think, as you know, Senator, we are providing some support now to the Philippines --

8 intelligence support and --

9 Senator Ernst: Absolutely.

10 General Dunford: -- other support, to help them to 11 deal with the extremist problem that they have in the south. 12 Senator Ernst: Thank you, General.

13 Thank you, gentlemen.

I just really want us to ensure that we are not taking our eyes off of that region. We seem to focus very heavily, as we should, on the Middle East and Africa, but we do have other footholds for ISIS. We do have five new bases going into the Philippines, and I think it's important that we really focus on these counter-ISIS opportunities.

20 So, thank you, gentlemen, very much.

21 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

22 Senator Reed: On behalf of the Chairman, let me
23 recognize Senator Shaheen.

24 Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 And thank you, Secretary Carter and General Dunford,

for being here today and for your service to the country. General Dunford, at one point before this committee, you indicated that you believe Russia poses the greatest threat to the United States. Do you still feel that way? And, if so, can you identify where you think those threats are most concerning?

General Dunford: Senator, I can. Thank you. And I 7 8 raised that issue -- I was asked before the committee, what did I think the most significant challenge to the United 9 States was. And, of course, we talk about all four state 10 11 challenges and one violent extremist. But, when I look at 12 Russia's nuclear capability, when I look at their cyber capability, when I look at their developments in undersea 13 14 warfare, when I look at their patterns of operation -- how 15 often they've operating, the locations they're operating --16 it's a pattern of operations that we haven't seen in over 20 years. When I look at Mr. Putin's activities in the 17 Ukraine, in Crimea, in Georgia, that causes me to say that a 18 19 combination of their behavior as well as their military 20 capability, again, in some high-end areas, would cause me to 21 believe that they pose the most significant challenge, 22 potentially the most significant threat to our national 23 interests.

24 Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you.

25 I very much appreciate, Secretary Carter, your raising

70

www.aldersonreporting.com

1 the European Reassurance Initiative as one of the programs that's threatened if we can't get agreement in Congress on 2 3 funding, and share that concern, especially because of the potential threat that Russia poses on -- in Eastern Europe. 4 5 One of the things that Secretary Kerry said yesterday 6 was that we should consider grounding all military aircraft 7 in key areas of Syria in response to what appears to be a 8 blatant Russian bombing of the humanitarian aid that was 9 scheduled to go into Syria. And they have denied, of 10 course, but, I think, as we've seen in the past, we can't 11 really believe what they say.

So, I would ask you, Secretary Carter, do you agree 12 that that is one avenue that we could take? And what would 13 14 be the followup position if they continue to fly aircraft? 15 Secretary Carter: Well, I can't speak for Secretary 16 Kerry. He is trying to get on the -- for the Syrian and Russian air force, exactly that, a cessation of hostilities 17 and a -- which means a grounding of their aircraft, and not 18 19 continuing to use them, particularly in a clumsy way -- it's 20 a nice word -- in the Syrian civil war.

There's no question -- can be no question of grounding U.S. aircraft that are conducting strikes against ISIL. We do that. We do that with exceptional precision and care and concern for civilian casualties that no other country can match. And that's true of our whole coalition in all the
1 strikes we conduct.

2 So, they're not in the same category at all. And we 3 need to continue with our air campaign to defeat ISIL. 4 Let me ask the Chairman if he wants -- anything to add. 5 General Dunford: Senator, the most significant concern 6 I would have -- and I don't know what the proposal is -but, I would not -- first of all, there's no reason to 7 8 ground our aircraft. We're not barrel bombing civilians, 9 we're not causing collateral damage. And we have momentum, as we've all discussed here earlier today, against ISIL 10 11 right now. And I think what the Secretary is saying, I 12 fully associate myself with. We need to keep the pressure 13 on ISIL. The number-one priority that we have is disrupting 14 their ability to plan and conduct external operations from 15 Syria. And the cost of taking pressure off of ISIL right 16 now exposes us to risk that I think is not acceptable. 17 Senator Shaheen: In the absence of some other action that we take, along with our allies in that area, do you see 18 19 anything changing the dynamic of the civil war in Syria? I mean, I -- I believe it's going to take some other outside 20 21 -- some other intervention in order to change the direction

23 would do that.

- 24 Either one of you.
- 25 Secretary Carter: Well, I'll start.

72

of this war. And right now, there's nothing happening that

1 The direction in which Secretary Kerry is trying to get 2 the Russians to move, which I understand fully, is the 3 direction they always should have been in Syria, which is 4 towards putting an end to the civil war, not pouring 5 gasoline on it, and not emboldening Assad to be intransigent 6 --

Senator Shaheen: But --

7

8 Secretary Carter: -- let alone conducting an air 9 campaign, which is -- doesn't adhere to the standards that 10 ours does.

Senator Shaheen: But -- I'm sorry to interrupt, Mr.
Secretary, but I guess -- I appreciate what you're saying,
and that that should have been Russia's position all along,
but clearly --

Secretary Carter: That's what Secretary Kerry's trying to get them to.

Senator Shaheen: Right. But, we have had no success, after 5 years of civil war. And so, what I'm asking is, What other options do we have that might change the trajectory of what's happening in Syria?

Secretary Carter: Well, I'm -- again, I'm not going to try to get in the middle of these negotiations, but I think that Secretary Kerry is trying to find a way to achieve those objectives. They're -- those are the right objectives to have. And I -- but, as we sit here today, the Russians

73

1 do not, and the Syrians do not, seem to be moving in that 2 direction, as he said yesterday.

3 Chairman, you want to add anything?

4 Senator Shaheen: Thank you.

5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

6 Chairman McCain [presiding]: Senator Cotton.

7 Senator Cotton: Thank you.

8 Mr. Secretary, I share your regret about the Department 9 starting the fiscal year with another Continuing Resolution. 10 I also regret that the Democrats have filibustered the 11 Defense appropriations bills three times. Do you share my 12 regret over that fact?

Secretary Carter: I can't speak to the internal deliberations of the Congress. The only thing I'd say --Senator Cotton: Well, that's a public vote. That's not an internal --

17 Secretary Carter: Well, let me just say, we know that the only way to get budget stability is with everybody 18 19 coming together. And I see proposals from this side and 20 that side, and this committee and that committee, and 21 they're all different. We submitted a budget, in accordance 22 with the bipartisan budget agreements just months after a 2-23 year bipartisan budget agreement was agreed. That's what we 24 did. And that is, in my judgment, the only way we can get 25 true stability.

1 So, I'm -- I am continuing to support the position of the bipartisan budget agreement. And anything that comes 2 out of the Congress that is supported, an appropriation, at 3 last, for FY17 would be good for the Department of Defense. 4 5 And I hope we get such a thing --6 Senator Cotton: Do you --Secretary Carter: -- in November. 7 8 Senator Cotton: Do you --9 Secretary Carter: But, the reality is that these things have to be supported by both parties, both houses, 10 11 and signed by the President. I'm the Secretary of Defense. 12 I can't make all that happen. But, I know that's what has to happen in order for us to get an appropriation. Eight 13 14 years in a row, straight, that hasn't --15 Senator Cotton: Okay. 16 Secretary Carter: -- happened. Senator Cotton: I understand. And my time is limited 17 18 here. 19 Do you believe, if a bill is passed out of the House of 20 Representatives that has a larger increase for defense 21 spending than it does for nondefense discretionary spending, 22 that the President should sign that legislation? 23 Secretary Carter: I can't speak for the --24 Senator Cotton: Mr. Secretary, you are the --25 Secretary Carter: I'm going to give you --

1 Senator Cotton: -- Secretary of Defense. Secretary Carter: You asked the question --2 3 Senator Cotton: You are not the Director of the National endowment of the Arts. You're not the Secretary of 4 5 Housing and Urban Development. You are the --6 Secretary Carter: That's where I was --Senator Cotton: -- Secretary of Defense. 7 8 Secretary Carter: -- headed. That's exactly where I was headed. I'm not. And therefore, I can't speak for the 9 needs of those departments. I do know that some of the 10 11 national-security-related departments, which are outside of 12 the defense appropriation --13 Senator Cotton: You stated that --14 Secretary Carter: -- with which I am --15 Senator Cotton: -- stated that testimony up to here --16 Secretary Carter: -- need their funding --17 Senator Cotton: -- and others --Secretary Carter: -- as well. So, it's not a matter 18 19 of indifference to me whether the government as a whole is 20 funded. And it's certainly not a matter of indifference to 21 me whether an appropriation that can be supported by 22 everyone up here so that it passes, and passed by the 23 President, is done, or not. That's what I have to be for. 24 Because I'm for getting a budget and for budget stability. 25 And I just observe -- I'm not a participant, I'm an observer

-- that the only way that happens is not with this proposal and that proposal, it's with a bipartisan budget agreement. That's the line we tried to hew to. We're just playing it as straight --

5 Senator Cotton: I --

6 Secretary Carter: -- as we can.

7 Senator Cotton: I understand. You were the Deputy 8 Secretary of Defense for Secretary Panetta. Is that 9 correct?

10 Secretary Carter: Yes.

11 Senator Cotton: On page 374 of his memoirs, he states, 12 "In fact, as my efforts to fight the sequester began to get 13 some attention, a few congressional Democrats urged me to 14 emphasize the danger of cuts to domestic programs, not just 15 defense. To my amazement, the rest of the Cabinet, 16 including members responsible for those parts of the budget, 17 largely stayed out of the debate. That left me to argue for all of us, which I tried to do, even when I found myself 18 19 frustratingly alone."

Have congressional Democrats urged you to advocate for increased domestic spending in addition to defense spending? Secretary Carter: Well, first of all, I should say, you know, few had the experience with bipartisan budget management than Secretary Panetta. I don't remember that passage of his memoirs, but that sounds -- it sounds like

1 his --

2	Senator	Cotton:	Do	you	remember		
---	---------	---------	----	-----	----------	--	--

3 Secretary Carter: -- his voice. But --

4 Senator Cotton: Do you remember --

5 Secretary Carter: -- I've not found myself in the same 6 circumstance, except I am in the same circumstance he was, namely -- and I quess that was 2013 -- facing the prospect 7 of sequester. And he didn't like it. And I didn't like it. 8 I don't think any Secretary of Defense liked it. And I 9 10 think it's awfully unfair to our troops to do this again and 11 again and again and again. And that's what we've been 12 warning about. That's where I have been. That's what our chiefs did last week. And I'm just hoping that, when 13 14 everybody comes back in November, Congress reconvenes, that 15 we get an appropriation that everybody can stand behind and 16 that moves the country forward.

Senator Cotton: General Dunford, are we in great-power competition with China?

19 General Dunford: We are, Senator.

20 Senator Cotton: Secretary Carter, are we in great-

21 power competition with China?

22 Secretary Carter: We are, absolutely right.

23 Senator Cotton: Thank you.

24 One final question. Are you engaged in any planning,

25 deliberations, internal consultations of any kind to

1 transfer control of the detention facility at Guantanamo Bay 2 to the Department of Justice?

3 Secretary Carter: No. I'm not.

4 Senator Cotton: Thank you.

5 Chairman McCain: Senator McCaskill.

6 Senator McCaskill: I'm going to take a deep breath. I'm always proud to serve on this committee, because it's an 7 8 oasis of bipartisanship in the Senate. And I hope we keep our eye firmly on our ability to lead in a bipartisan way to 9 get the funding for our military that we really need, 10 11 including being honest about budgeting, not putting base 12 budget items in OCO so that we can pretend that we're not spending money because OCO is off the budget books. I think 13 14 that the Chairman has done a remarkable job to try to keep 15 us in an honest place as it relates to budgeting. I respect 16 him for his effort in that regard. And I know I speak for 17 many on our side of the aisle, including, I hope -- I know, the Ranking Member, that we're going to continue to try to 18 19 work as hard as we can in a bipartisan way to get your 20 budget done and make sure we're not trying to come back in 6 21 months and fund the war effort because we've played budget 22 games at the eleventh hour with OCO.

23 My question today -- we've got 1.3 in the FY17 budget 24 for train-and-equip for local opposition forces and for the 25 Iraqi Security Forces. I'd like some kind of brief update,

1 if I could, on the screening process. How are we determining who -- I mean, one of our challenges has always 2 3 been in Syria. Who do we help? And are they really the 4 good guys? And, obviously, we had one massive failed 5 attempt to try to put together a force on the ground through 6 train-and-equip. And now I know we've gone back. And I was in Jordan and visited with our leaders over there about the 7 effort that's ongoing, working with smaller groups and 8 testing them first and making sure they're doing the right 9 thing. But, if you could briefly talk about how we are 10 11 doing the screening process for those resources, I'd 12 appreciate it.

Secretary Carter: Sure, Senator. I'll start. And 13 14 thank you. And, basically, it is as you say. Namely, we 15 have the same vetting process going on -- and I'll as the 16 Chairman to describe that -- but, the train-and-equip program that was a disappointment when it started is now --17 we have a -- changed completely our approach to it. And it 18 19 is as you describe; namely, not trying to create de novo 20 forces that will go in and oppose ISIL, but identifying 21 forces that are, and then enabling them. And that has been 22 successful. We're going to continue to do that. It does 23 involve vetting to our standards, which is required of us. 24 But, the program has changed. It needed to change. It did 25 change, and is now on a much successful footing.

I should also thank the committee, in the spirit of what you said earlier, about -- for their budgetary support in a timely way to our requests for that. And much appreciate that, as well.

5 And if -- I'd ask the Chairman, also.

6 General Dunford: Senator, just some of the mechanics. First, individuals who we are working with are vouched for 7 8 by their tribal leadership. We do biometrics. We do a detailed interview process. We watch closely their 9 behaviors. I would say our leaders over the last several 10 11 years have been very, very good at literally separating 12 wheat from the chaff as we go through the process of growing 13 Syrian opposition -- Syrian or, as the case may be, forces 14 in Iraq -- tribal forces in Iraq.

So, the vetting process, I think, is fairly
sophisticated. And again, it's built on 15 years of lessons
learned right now. A combination of the technology that we
have available with biometrics, but also some intangibles
that include, again, tribal leadership, behavior
identification, those kinds of things.

Senator McCaskill: And I also wanted to -- to both of you, I appreciate your continued commitment in the area of sexual assault. I know we have put a lot on the military. I think we have counted up -- literally, there are hundreds of changes we have made over the last few years to the

1 Uniform Code of Military Justice. I did want to hone in on one area, because, as we looked at all of the reports in the 2 last year, lots of good news -- incidents down, reporting up 3 4 -- but that retaliation thing is an issue. And you issued a 5 report in April which highlighted standardizing the 6 definition of "retaliation," which is tough, because, you know, sometimes it's in the eye of the person who's being 7 8 retaliated upon. And getting a standard definition, I think, is really important. We put, in this year's NDAA, a 9 provision to make retaliation its own offense. I wanted to 10 11 find out, What kind of progress are you making on trying to 12 come up with a standardized definition of "retaliation" in 13 this context?

14 Secretary Carter: Thanks very much, Senator. And let 15 me just begin by saying -- by thanking you and all the 16 members of this committee for bearing down on this problem. 17 You know, I'm really proud of the way our forces conduct themselves, but there always -- there are people who don't 18 19 conduct themselves up to that standard. And we can't have 20 it. It's objectionable anywhere in society, but, in the 21 profession of arms, it's particularly objectionable. And 22 so, I very much appreciate your efforts.

You're right, retaliation is something that we have
begun to realize is a dimension of this problem that was
under-attended. We had done good work, I think, at the law

1 enforcement part, attending to victims, and at prevention.

2 Retaliation -- the reason why, definitionally, it's

3 complicated, but we'll get there, is that there are a number 4 of different ways that retaliation takes place, some of them 5 quite subtle, but serious. So, one is, you know, a superior 6 who holds it against somebody that they reported a sexual --

7 Senator McCaskill: Right.

8 Secretary Carter: -- assault, which is --

9 Senator McCaskill: Failure to promote.

Secretary Carter: -- completely unfair. A little more indirect is people who are getting taunted --

12 Senator McCaskill: Social --

13 Secretary Carter: -- via social --

14 Senator McCaskill: -- retaliation.

Secretary Carter: -- media and so forth. So, we need to define these in such a way that they're legally appropriate, which you would understand, but that also cover the full gamut of things that a commonsense definition of "retaliation" would include. So, we are working towards that. And it is complicated, but we'll get there. And I very much --

22 Senator McCaskill: And how soon --

23 Secretary Carter: -- appreciate --

24 Senator McCaskill: -- do you think you'll get there?

25 Secretary Carter: -- your effort. I believe that the

update on that is due by the end of the year -- of this year. I did -- the report that I submitted to you was earlier in this year. And we should be able to get that done. And, of course, we'll communicate that to the committee and get your views. But, I appreciate your sticking with us on this issue.

Senator McCaskill: Thank you both.

8 Chairman McCain: Secretary, I'd just like to point out 9 that, if it were not for the work of the women on this committee in a bipartisan basis, we would not have achieved 10 11 the results that we have. And I am deeply appreciative for 12 the bipartisan effort that's gone on, and continues to go on, in this committee to address an issue that you know is 13 14 still with us. It may be to a lesser degree, but is still 15 with us.

16 Senator Tillis.

7

17 Senator Tillis: Good morning, gentlemen.

Secretary Carter, I want to go back to the comments 18 19 that Senator Ayotte made about -- I was someone else who 20 supported the bipartisan budget agreement. Very 21 disappointed that, on three different occasions, the defense 22 appropriations bill has been filibustered. What -- not 23 talking about any other discussions about appropriations. 24 You're familiar with our defense appropriations bill, right? 25 The one that's been filibustered on three different

84

1 occasions. Do each of you think that passing that bill 2 would be helpful with respect to completing your mission 3 within your lanes?

Secretary Carter: Well, I'm going to go back to where
I started, which is, there's no particular bill. I -- I'm
aware of three or four different versions --

7 Senator Tillis: Are you familiar with the measure that 8 we've tried to get on --

9 Secretary Carter: Well, let me --

Senator Tillis: -- in the chamber on three different occasions --

12 Secretary Carter: I'm aware of --

13 Senator Tillis: -- that were filibustered?

Secretary Carter: -- several different measures, both in the Senate and the House.

16 Senator Tillis: No. Secretary Carter, this is a 17 specific thing that we're trying to get on in the chamber of 18 the Senate. Are you familiar with a bill that passed out of 19 appropriations -- the defense appropriations bill -- that 20 we've tried to get on in the chamber?

21 Secretary Carter: I am. I've -- am aware of the one 22 that came before, yes. And --

23 Senator Tillis: Are you -- is anyone on your staff 24 familiar with an appropriations bill that we're trying to 25 get on in the Senate chamber?

1 Secretary Carter: I'm sure they are. Senator Tillis: Okay. And what would they generally 2 3 say about the passage of that bill with respect to you being 4 able to complete your mission? In your lane. I'm not 5 talking about any of the other appropriations bills. 6 Secretary Carter: Well, I think what they'd say is that if the Senate and the House pass an appropriations bill 7 8 that comports -- that the President can sign, we will get an appropriations bill. I fully --9 10 Senator Tillis: Let me --11 Secretary Carter: -- hope we can get exactly that --12 Senator Tillis: Let me go to General Dunford. General Dunford, are you --13 14 Secretary Carter: -- after the election. Senator Tillis: -- familiar --15 16 Secretary Carter: -- in November when people --17 Senator Tillis: -- with the defense --Secretary Carter: -- return here and --18 19 Senator Tillis: -- appropriations bill that's been filibustered on three different occasions? 20 21 General Dunford: Senator, I'm not familiar with the 22 details. 23 Senator Tillis: Do you know, generally, from your 24 service chiefs or anyone else, that they think it would be 25 helpful to pass that bill? Have you received any feedback

1 on -- this is a specific measure. This isn't a concept,
2 this is something that's gone through the appropriations
3 process, it's something that we want to pass that gives you
4 certainty, that's within the constraints of the bipartisan
5 budget agreement.

6 Chairman McCain: Senator, we do not ask the uniformed 7 military for their opinion on issues that are political in 8 nature.

9 Senator Tillis: Fair enough.

10 Let me go to something else.

11 Well, it just seems odd to me that we can't get a 12 straight answer on something -- at least on the political 13 side, Mr. Chair, I understand that -- from the Secretary on 14 something that's specific to helping provide the certainty 15 that we want to provide the Department.

16 I want to ask a -- go a completely different direction.
17 And, General Dunford, maybe I'll ask you.

Back in January, we had Iranians fire missiles within 18 19 about 1500 yards of the Harry S. Truman. Later in the same 20 month, we had patrol boats captured. I'm sure you're familiar with Article 2 of the Code of Conduct for Members 21 22 of the Armed Forces. Do you think the commander who 23 surrendered met the dictates of the Code of Conduct under Article 2, or where there other mitigating factors that 24 25 prevented him from doing that?

87

General Dunford: Senator, I believe that's being adjudicated right now in accordance with the UCMJ, so it wouldn't be appropriate for me to comment publicly. But, the fact that it's going through the UCMJ, obviously, I think, answers your question.

6 Senator Tillis: Another subject. This has to do with ISIL. You said that we need to keep the pressure on ISIL. 7 8 And I know that that was being answered in the context of Syria, and probably Iraq. But, do you feel like we have 9 10 adequately addressed putting -- keeping pressure on ISIL 11 globally when you talk about Libya, you talk about Egypt and 12 other areas where they seem to be -- and Senator Ernst talked about the Philippines -- do you feel like that we 13 14 have an adequate global strategy for keeping pressure on 15 ISIL?

16 General Dunford: Senator, I want to assure you that we have a military strategy to deal with ISIL globally. And we 17 look very carefully at ISIL, wherever they are. We have 18 19 ongoing -- and we don't have an opportunity often to talk 20 about it -- but, we have ongoing operations in West Africa. 21 We have ongoing operations in Libya. We have ongoing 22 operations in East Africa. Of course, Syria and Iraq, we've 23 spoken much about that today. We have ongoing operations in 24 Afghanistan. And we're involved in a wide range of 25 capacity-building exercises and initiatives in Southeast

88

Alderson Court Reporting

1 Asia. We're also working -- and I've just spent this 2 weekend with a large group of my counterparts to look at counter-ISIL. I'll have almost 50 Chiefs of Defense here in 3 October to discuss this. This is, in fact, what you're 4 5 suggesting, a transregional problem that will require a 6 global response. One of the key drivers of our success will be a broader intelligence and information framework within 7 which we can harness all of these other nations who have 8 information that would be helpful to us. 9

But, am I satisfied or complacent with where we are? No. Do I believe we have a strategic framework within which to deal with ISIL transregionally? Yes.

13 Senator Tillis: Thank you.

14 Chairman McCain: Senator Nelson.

15 Senator Nelson: Gentlemen, thank you for your public 16 service.

Would either one of you like to characterize the resurgence of the Taliban in Afghanistan?

19 Secretary Carter: I'll start.

The -- it is the fighting season in Afghanistan. The Afghan Security Forces have done well this season. The Taliban has been strong. But, the Afghan Security Forces are much stronger this year than they were last year. They continue to gather strength. General Nicholson's doing a great job of helping them with that. We made some decisions

-- the President made some decisions which gave General
Nicholson some wider scope to advise, assist, and so forth,
the Afghan Security Forces. The President made a decision
to adjust upward our presence there next year. We're
continuing to go forward with the aviation and other
enablers for the Afghan Security Forces.

So, the process, which has been under some -- underway some -- for some years to try to build the Afghan Security Forces to the -- to a point where they can maintain the security of their country and Afghanistan doesn't become again a place from which terrorism arises in the United States, that is our program. That is what we've been trying to accomplish.

I should turn to -- because we -- that progress, we owe, in very important measure, to General Dunford, when he was the commander there. And he knows that very well, so let me ask him to join in.

General Dunford: Senator, there is no doubt that the 18 19 Afghan National Security Forces have had some challenges 20 over the past 18 months, when they've been in the lead and 21 we have gone to a train-and-advise-assist mission. Our 22 assessment is that they continue to control about 70 percent 23 of the country. They've taken far more casualties than 24 we're comfortable with, and they still have capability gaps 25 in their Special Operations capability, their aviation

90

enterprise, their intelligence, logistics, and, of course, broadly at the Minister of Defense, Minister Interior level. And that's our focus right now, is to further develop those capabilities so we can mitigate the casualties that they're suffering, which is of great concern, as well as some of the tactical setbacks that they've had.

But, on balance, I would call what's going on right now between the Afghan National Defense Security Forces and the Taliban as roughly a stalemate. The Taliban have not been successful in achieving the goals that were outlined in their campaign plan, which they typically make public in the spring of each year. And, on balance, the Afghan forces are holding.

In my judgment, if we commit to continue to support the Afghan forces, and continue to grow their capability, they will be able to provide security in Afghanistan. And, as Secretary Carter said, as importantly, we'll be able to maintain an effective counterterrorism presence and platform in South Asia in conjunction with our Afghan partners.

20 Senator Nelson: Thank you, gentlemen.

21 Thank you.

22 Chairman McCain: Senator Lee.

23 Senator Lee: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thanks, to both of you, for all you do to keep us safe and to keep our country free. Your service and sacrifice

1 are deeply appreciated.

Late last week, there was a video that surfaced, a 2 video that appeared to show the Free Syrian Army --3 personnel from the Free Syrian Army -- threatening and 4 5 insulting American servicemembers and forcing them to leave 6 the town of al-Rai, where they had been providing assistance to the FSA. Analysts who have studied the video believe the 7 8 incident occurred because the U.S. is also supporting 9 Kurdish forces in Syria.

10 Secretary Carter, first, have you seen this video, and 11 can you confirm reports that it appears to have taken place 12 in al-Rai?

Secretary Carter: I've not seen the video. I've read reports about it. Let me ask Chairman Dunford, who has followed that closely, to answer you.

General Dunford: Senator, it took place in northern Syria. I'm familiar with it. I didn't watch the video. I have spoken to our commanders about it.

What I can assure you is that that -- the group that was taking some action against our forces, at least verbally, was a very small minority of the forces we're supporting. And that incident was policed up by our other partners. And we view that to be an isolated incident and not reflective of the relationship that our forces have with the vetted Syrian opposition forces. In fact, I think the

progress along the northern border between Syria and Turkey is indicative of the relationship we have, which is very effective.

4 Senator Lee: Okay. So, I think that goes a certain 5 distance toward answering what was my next question, which 6 was, you know, What's the level of tension that you're seeing between some of the Sunni Arab rebel groups that 7 8 we're assisting, on the one hand, and, on the other hand, the Kurdish groups that we're also supporting in Syria? And 9 is that -- is there tension there? And could that tension 10 11 and the resentment that it engenders possibly threaten the 12 security of our U.S. personnel?

General Dunford: Senator, it is incredible tension in 13 14 that region. And I would offer to you, I think it's a 15 testimony to the professionalism of our forces that are 16 there, because they have actually been managing this tension 17 for months and months. And the fact that we've been able to continue to support the Syrian Democratic Forces and have 18 19 them make the significant progress they made, and continue 20 to support the vetted Syrian opposition forces while we 21 politically manage the relationship between Turkey and the 22 Syrian Democratic Forces and the United States, is all --23 it's -- it is all part of a pretty complicated situation on 24 the ground over there that we are managing on a day-to-day 25 basis.

93

Alderson Court Reporting

I'm not dismissive of the challenges. But, frankly, to
 date, we have been able to mitigate them.

3 Senator Lee: Okay. Thank you.

Yesterday, as I'm sure you're both aware, the Senate debated a resolution of disapproval related to the sale of U.S. weapons to Saudi Arabia. And there was some discussion of our broader support of Saudi Arabia's intervention in Yemen. This is a headline from November 2014, "Houthis Gain Ground Against Yemen's Al-Qaeda, Say They Will Continue Their Fight Until Al-Qaeda Is Defeated in Their

11 Strongholds."

12 Secretary Carter, you stated, on April 8th, 2015, 13 regarding new gains being made by al-Qaeda in the Arab 14 Peninsula, quote, "AQAP is a group that we're very concerned 15 with as the United States, because, in addition to having 16 other regional ambitions and ambitions within Yemen, we all 17 know that AQAP has the ambition to strike Western targets, 18 including the United States," close quote.

Now, your quote was made, I believe, roughly one month after the U.S. supported intervention against other Houthi rebels, who, 4 months before, had been pushing back against AQAP, before that began in earnest.

Now, I understand the complexity of the conflict in Yemen. And I completely appreciate the fact that there are no easy answers when it comes to that conflict in Yemen.

94

But, Mr. Secretary, do you -- do AQAP and other Sunni extremist groups operating in Yemen still pose the greater threat to U.S. security?

Secretary Carter: I absolutely stand by what I said.
We continue to watch very closely AQAP and to take action
where we need to, to protect ourselves. No question about
it.

8 Senator Lee: Okay. And does our support of the fight 9 against the Houthis, who are also AQAP's enemy, does that 10 threaten, potentially, however inadvertently, to strengthen 11 or take the focus off of AQAP or ISIS?

Secretary Carter: We've not taken our focus off of AQAP, no.

Senator Lee: General Dunford, you look like you wanted to add something.

General Dunford: No, I just -- I fully agree with the Secretary on that. We are singularly focused on AQAP. And we have the resources dedicated to AQAP that we think are appropriate.

20 Senator Lee: Okay.

21 I see my time's expired. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

22 Chairman McCain: Mr. Secretary, are the Houthis

23 sponsored by the Iranians?

24 Secretary Carter: They are certainly assisted in some
25 respects by the Iranians, Chairman, yes.

95

1	Chairman McCain: Senator Donnelly.
2	Senator Donnelly: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	Mr. Chairman, would you like me to proceed?
4	Chairman McCain: Please proceed.
5	Senator Donnelly: Thank you.
6	Mr. Secretary, General, I want to get your input on
7	something I asked each of the service chiefs about last
8	week. In the FY15 NDAA, we passed a requirement from the
9	Jacob Sexton Act for every servicemember to receive a robust
10	mental health assessment every year. Can you give me an
11	update on where the Department is with implementation of the
12	Sexton Act requirement on mental health assessments?
13	Mr. Secretary? General?
14	Secretary Carter: I'll need to get back to you
15	specifically on the that assessment.
16	[The information referred to follows:]
17	[COMMITTEE INSERT]
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Secretary Carter: I would like to say something more 2 generally about mental health and the priority --Senator Donnelly: That's fine. 3 Secretary Carter: -- if I may, Senator. I appreciate 4 5 your interest in it. 6 As it happens, it is Suicide Prevention Month this month. And I only mention that because we do have suicide 7 in our services, and we do believe that suicide is 8 9 preventable. That's what the doctors tell us. All the 10 specialists tell us this is something that is preventable. 11 And therefore, it belongs in the family of things that we do 12 to take care of our troops and ensure their welfare. 13 We're spending more -- and I can get you the numbers on that, but I --14 15 [The information referred to follows:] 16 [COMMITTEE INSERT] 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 Secretary Carter: We have, over the last few years, 2 increased severalfold our spending on mental health treatment specifically aimed at suicide, and trying to 3 4 remove the stigma associated with seeking mental health 5 care, and also emphasizing the need for other servicemembers to watch out for one another. Because one of the things we 6 know is, there's usually somebody who spotted the behavior 7 that's -- looks -- that can lead to suicide. 8 9 Senator Donnelly: Right. 10 Secretary Carter: Self-isolation, depression, odd 11 things on social media, and so forth. So, we're trying to 12 tell everybody to watch out for their fellow servicemembers. 13 Chairman? General Dunford: Senator, I know each of the services 14 15 has the tools. I don't know, in application, what the 16 percentage is of the force that has received the evaluation 17 yet, but we can certainly get that. [The information referred to follows:] 18 19 [COMMITTEE INSERT] 20 21 22 23 24 25

General Dunford: That's largely a service-chief responsibility, not something I pay attention to on a dayto-day basis, although, as you know, I've been -- have been very involved in the mental health issues over the last several years.

6 Senator Donnelly: I do. And this was signed into law 7 in December 2014. It's about 2 years now. So, do you 8 expect, General Dunford, to see this fully implemented in 9 the next year?

10 General Dunford: I do, Senator. I guess what I was 11 alluding to is the percentage of the force that actually has 12 it right now, because --

13 Senator Donnelly: No, I understand that. Yeah.

14 General Dunford: Right. So, you know, my -- and I'll 15 get back --

16 Senator Donnelly: And I know it takes time to ramp up. 17 I was just wondering if you think 2017 is the year that this 18 can get fully implemented.

19 General Dunford: I think that's -- based on my 20 previous experience as a service chief, I think that's a

21 realistic timeline.

22 Senator Donnelly: Okay.

23 Mr. Secretary?

24 Secretary Carter: I absolutely concur. We'll meet 25 that timeline.

1 Senator Donnelly: To both of you, I wanted to talk a 2 little bit about broader counterterrorism strategy. In 4 months, we're going to have a new Commander in Chief, and 3 preventing the next attack on our homeland and addressing 4 5 the persistent conflict and instability in the Middle East 6 is going to be one of the most pressing and complex challenges. How would you advise this concern about our 7 8 counterterrorism strategy? And, you know, how would you inform that next Commander in Chief as to how to move 9 forward at this time? Obviously, there's a number of areas, 10 11 but, looking forward, how would you talk to them about our 12 counterterrorism strategy as we head into a new

13 administration?

14 Secretary Carter: I'll start and then turn it over to 15 the Chairman.

We need to continue to press on all fronts. We can't let up, whether it's in the counter-ISIL campaign, in Syria and Iraq, elsewhere, or here at home. AQAP was mentioned a moment ago. That's a serious one. And so, our capabilities -- our military capabilities, our law enforcement

capabilities, our homeland security capabilities, all of this, which we've honed now in the years since 2001, this is not going to go away, this phenomenon. We'll defeat ISIL, but there will be terrorism in our country's future. And so --

100

Senator Donnelly: If I could ask you another question
 and --

3 Secretary Carter: -- it'll be part of the national 4 security landscape --

5 Senator Donnelly: -- I apologize, I'm running out of 6 time here. And you may have answered this earlier. I had to come in and go out. But, Raqqa. When do you -- or --7 8 and not, obviously, a single date, but how is this moving forward? Are we cutting off -- I know closing Manbij has 9 cut off a significant amount of the flow. Where do things 10 11 stand in Ragga? Are we moving forward on that? Do you see 12 progress every day? And what are you looking at as a time 13 when Ragga's liberated?

14 Secretary Carter: I do see progress. We're working in 15 that part of Syria with the Syrian Democratic Forces. 16 They're the group with which we worked in -- as you 17 indicated, successfully in Manbij. And they and others 18 associated with them will be the force that envelopes and 19 collapses ISIL's control over Raqqa.

At the same time, I emphasized -- and the Chairman already stressed this -- we're working with the Turks also, the Turkish military, our good ally, very strongly, also in northwest -- in the northwestern part -- portion there. And obviously, the have difficulties with one another, but, in each case, we support them against our common objective --

1 Senator Donnelly: And, Mr. --

2 Secretary Carter: -- which is counter-ISIL.

3 Senator Donnelly: -- Mr. Chairman, if you'd give me 4 just 15 seconds.

5 On behalf of everyone in Indiana, the family and 6 others, too, when we go to Ragga -- we lost some young men and women there who were killed by ISIL. And we want to 7 8 have them come home. We don't want to leave anyone behind. 9 And we would ask for your cooperation and assistance. My young man, Peter Kassig, Kayla Mueller, so many others, not 10 11 to leave any names out, but all the parents and all the 12 folks back home, we want them all to come home. And we'd 13 sure appreciate your assistance in making that happen. 14 Secretary Carter: Noted, Senator. Senator Donnelly: Thank you. 15 16 Secretary Carter: Thank you.

17 Chairman McCain: Thank you, Senator, for bringing that18 issue up. They should come home.

19 Senator Graham.

20 Senator Graham: Well, thank you.

21 Thank you both for your service to the country.

I'm going to try to get through as much as possible
here.

Do you support the arms sale to Saudi Arabia that's being proposed?

1 Secretary Carter: I do, yes. 2 Senator Graham: Do you, General? 3 General Dunford: I do, Senator. 4 Senator Graham: Okay. JASTA. Are you concerned that 5 we could be creating an environment where something like 6 this bill could be used against our troops down the road? Secretary Carter: That is -- the law -- it is a law 7 8 enforcement matter, but we are watching it closely, for the 9 very reason --10 Senator Graham: Do you support the President's veto of 11 ___ 12 Secretary Carter: Well, I'm very -- I'm concerned about the --13 14 Senator Graham: Okay. 15 Secretary Carter: I'm concerned --16 Senator Graham: Fair enough. 17 Secretary Carter: -- about exactly what you're talking 18 about. 19 Senator Graham: Okay. Fair enough. And we'll talk. 20 I'll write you a letter and go into it more in detail. But, 21 I understand your concerns. 22 Do you support arming the Syrian Kurds? Secretary Carter: I do support working -- continuing 23 24 to work with them, yes. 25 Senator Graham: I mean, no, I didn't say "work with

103

1 them." Providing them arms.

Secretary Carter: Yeah. Well, we are -- we have 2 3 provided them with some equipment already, and providing them arms, yes. They are part of the -- they are --4 5 Senator Graham: I gotcha. 6 Secretary Carter: -- part of the Syrian Democratic 7 Forces. 8 Senator Graham: Right. 9 Secretary Carter: Now, we haven't taken any specific 10 ___ 11 Senator Graham: I gotcha. Well --Secretary Carter: -- decisions about --12 13 Senator Graham: I gotcha. Secretary Carter: And -- but --14 Senator Graham: Right 15 16 Secretary Carter: -- they are --17 Senator Graham: The answer is yes, you support arming the Kurds more --18 19 Secretary Carter: I support --20 Senator Graham: -- in Syria. 21 Secretary Carter: -- whatever is required to --22 Senator Graham: Okay. 23 Secretary Carter: -- help them move in the direction 24 of Raqqa --25 Senator Graham: Which could be providing them more

1 arms.

2 Secretary Carter: Yeah.

Senator Graham: What about you, General Dunford? 3 General Dunford: Senator, it's important -- I can't 4 5 answer this yes or no. And it's important that I --6 Senator Graham: I gotcha. General Dunford: -- say a couple of things about this. 7 Number one, they're the most effective force that we 8 have right now, and a force that we need to go in Ragga. 9 10 And we do have sufficient forces --Senator Graham: Can I ask this? 11 12 General Dunford: -- to be able to secure and --Senator Graham: I --13 14 General Dunford: -- seize Raqqa. 15 Senator Graham: Yes, sir. I appreciate that. 16 We -- they -- do they support removal of Assad? General Dunford: Today, that is not their stated 17 political objective. 18 19 Senator Graham: So, wait a minute. 20 General Dunford: They're focused --21 Senator Graham: Slow down. Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa. 22 We have two objectives -- to destroy ISIL, right? -and to remove Assad. Is that correct? Both of you. 23 24 General Dunford: We have a military objective to 25 destroy ISIL. I do not have a military objective to --

1 Senator Graham: Do you --2 General Dunford: -- remove Assad. 3 Senator Graham: Well, the President has an objective 4 of --5 General Dunford: He has a political objective --6 Senator Graham: Okay. General Dunford: -- to remove Assad. 7 8 Senator Graham: All right. So, do you agree with me, 9 Assad is winning right now? 10 General Dunford: I think Assad is clearly in a much 11 stronger place than he was a --12 Senator Graham: All right. 13 General Dunford: -- year ago. 14 Senator Graham: Well, thank you. You've always have 15 been very honest with this committee. 16 Do you agree that Obama will leave office and Assad will still be in power, January 2017? 17 General Dunford: I don't see a path right now where 18 19 Assad would --Senator Graham: Okay. 20 General Dunford: -- not be in office in --21 22 Senator Graham: So, let's talk about how you change 23 the political equation. Do you agree with me that the only 24 way Assad's ever going to leave, if there's some military 25 pressure on him that makes the threat, militarily, more real

1 to him?

General Dunford: I think that's a fair statement,
 Senator.

Senator Graham: Okay. So, if the main fighting force
inside of Syria is not signed up to take Assad out, where
does that force come from?

General Dunford: Senator, I can't identify that force,
but I do want to distinguish between what you're suggesting
with Assad and Raqqa. The reason why I support the SDF is,

10 my number-one priority is to --

11 Senator Graham: Yeah, I --

12 General Dunford: -- stop the planning and conducting 13 of external operations.

14 Senator Graham: Totally --

15 General Dunford: And moving forward --

16 Senator Graham: Totally --

17 General Dunford: -- against Raqqa with the SDF --

18 Senator Graham: Yes, sir.

19 General Dunford: -- is the way to do that.

20 Senator Graham: So, let's look at it this way. ISIL's 21 Germany and Assad's Japan, we're focusing on Germany. So,

22 will this force, which is mainly Kurd, but not all -- can

23 they liberate Raqqa, and hold it?

24 General Dunford: This force is not intended to hold 25 Raqqa, no.
Senator Graham: What is the plan to hold Raqqa?
 General Dunford: We currently have 14,000 Arabs that
 have been identified. And when we --

4 Senator Graham: Is that the holding force?
5 General Dunford: That may consist of part of the
6 holding force.

Senator Graham: Well, do we have a plan to hold Raqqa?
General Dunford: We have a plan. It is not resourced
9 --

10 Senator Graham: Okay.

11 General Dunford: -- Senator.

12 Senator Graham: All right. So, I just want everybody 13 to know where we're at in Syria. We're making gains against 14 ISIL. The main force that we're using are Kurds, who can't hold Raqqa. The Arabs have to. You're absolutely right 15 16 about that. The Kurdish force, which is the main center of 17 gravity inside of Syria, at this moment is not interested in 18 putting military pressure on Assad. Other than that, we're 19 in a good spot.

Now, I'm not blaming y'all. You didn't create this problem. Years ago, most of you recommended we help the Free Syrian Army when it would have mattered. We are where we are. I just want to make sure that the country knows what's going on in Syria is going to be inherited by the next President. And if there's not a change in strategy to

108

Alderson Court Reporting

create a ground component that not only can hold Raqqa and
 put military pressure on Assad, this war never ends.

3 Russia. Did they bomb this convoy -- U.N. convoy?
4 General Dunford: Senator, we -- that hasn't been
5 concluded, but my judgment would be that they did. They're
6 certainly responsible.

Senator Graham: Do you agree with me, Secretary Carter
-- and we've been friends for years, and I'm sorry it's so
contentious -- I --

10 Secretary Carter: That's all right.

11 Senator Graham: You're a good man. What should we do 12 about Russia, who was given notice about this convoy, if 13 they, in fact, bombed a U.N. convoy delivering humanitarian 14 aid? What should we do about that?

Secretary Carter: Well, I -- if -- let me put it even a little more harshly. And the Chairman said this earlier. The Russians are responsible for this strike, whether they conducted it or not, because --

19 Senator Graham: I totally agree.

Secretary Carter: -- they have taken responsibility for the conduct of the Syrians by associating themselves with the Syrian regime. What they're supposed to do, and what Secretary Kerry has been indefatigably pursuing diplomatically, is to get a true cessation of hostilities and get Assad to move aside in a political transition.

109

1 Senator Graham: They're not doing their part. Secretary Carter: And I -- I'm -- that is what 2 3 Secretary Kerry is trying to achieve. Is that difficult? Absolutely. Does it look, in the last few days, like that's 4 the direction it's headed? No. And he's said as much. 5 But, that's what he's trying to accomplish. 6 Senator Graham: Do you think the Russians are being 7 8 helpful? My time is up. Have they been more -- do you think the Russians bombed this convoy? Most likely? 9 10 General Dunford: I do, Senator. 11 Senator Graham: Last question. Is there a Plan B, in 12 terms of -- if diplomacy fails, a Plan B for Syria that has 13 a military component? 14 General Dunford: Senator, we have --15 Senator Graham: Regarding Assad. 16 General Dunford: -- we have done, and will continue to do, a wide range of planning. And, should the President 17 change the policy objectives, we'll be prepared to support 18 19 those. 20 Chairman McCain: Senator Fischer. 21 Senator Fischer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 And thank you, gentlemen, for your service. It is 23 appreciated by all of us. 24 Secretary Carter, you stated that the United States will not ignore attempts to interfere with our democratic 25

processes, which I believe is in reference to the recent cyberattacks on political parties, candidates, and election systems. By that, do you mean that costs will be imposed on those responsible for these attacks?

5 Secretary Carter: It's -- sadly, the reference is a 6 very broad one. I made it in Europe, and was speaking to that audience, very broadly, to include the issue you 7 8 stated, but which is a concern they all have, and we have, 9 at NATO. The broader category is called hybrid warfare. It 10 ranges from little green men to people interfering in 11 democratic process. That's a concern that I was discussing 12 with allies when I was over there.

13 Senator Fischer: But, when --

14 Secretary Carter: And it's part of the way NATO's 15 going to have to adapt to the world as it really is. And, 16 yes, we're going to have to defend ourselves against --

17 Senator Fischer: So costs --

18 Secretary Carter: -- that kind of thing.

19 Senator Fischer: -- would be imposed for cyberattack.

20 Secretary Carter: That is -- like any other attack.

21 Senator Fischer: Do you think that -- with regards to 22 cyber, that this should be done in a public way so that the

23 penalties are clearly visible and -- to other potential

24 attackers in the future?

25 Secretary Carter: Well, I certainly think that we need

111

to defend ourselves and then take action against perpetrators when we identify them, and that in -- is in appropriate ways. I simply mean that because the perpetrators are -- of cyberattacks range from -- and cyberintrusions -- range from nation-states to cutouts to hackers to criminal gangs.

7 Senator Fischer: Correct.

8 Secretary Carter: And it's quite a variety. And it's 9 why our highest priority in cyber, and including in our 10 Cyber Command, is defense of our own networks.

11 Senator Fischer: Right.

12 Secretary Carter: It's something --

13 Senator Fischer: It has been widely reported that 14 Russian hackers are responsible for the penetration that 15 we've seen at the Democratic National Committee, those 16 computer systems, when we look at leaks of the DNC emails 17 and documents. I guess the questions continue to persist regarding the strength of that connection between the 18 19 hackers and Russian officials. And it is generally accepted that the affiliation exists. 20

If this is true, that there is this connection out there, what is clear is that it's a -- to me, another very public instance, this time using cyber, where Russia continues their aggression towards this country and towards our interests. And when we have an adversary who so

112

Alderson Court Reporting

brazenly strikes at the heart of our democratic process, I think that indicates how low they believe the cost of that behavior is going to be. So, in other words, I think we've possibly lost the deterrence factor when it comes to cyberattacks.

6 Would you agree with that?

Secretary Carter: We can't lose deterrence effect, 7 8 ever. And with respect to Russia, it is -- one of the 9 reason -- one of the emphases, stresses we made in our budget -- and, by the way, this is one of the reasons why we 10 11 would appreciate having our budget passed, as is, to get 12 back to an earlier question -- is because it prioritize 13 something we haven't had to do, Senator, as you're 14 stressing, for a quarter --

15 Senator Fischer: But, do you --

16 Secretary Carter: -- of a century, which is -- we --17 it used to be -- we haven't had, as a major component of our 18 defense strategy, countering the possibility of Russian 19 aggression.

20 Senator Fischer: But, now we do.

21 Secretary Carter: That's why we're making --

22 Senator Fischer: And --

23 Secretary Carter: -- investments. And it ranges from 24 cyber to the European Reassurance Initiative, which is one 25 of the things that we hope doesn't get affected in --

1 Senator Fischer: Am I --

2 Secretary Carter: -- budget --

3 Senator Fischer: I apologize for interrupting you.
4 The Chairman's strict on time.

5 But, dealing with -- dealing with cyber, when we look 6 at cyber, do you have plans that you have given to this administration or are plans available to provide the 7 8 administration with flexibility in dealing with cyber? 9 Specifically, how do we address such attacks, whether they 10 are from a nation-state, whether they are from organized crime, or whether they are from individuals? Are there 11 12 plans out there on how these attacks are going to be 13 addressed, whether through deterrence or actual actions? 14 And are those plans updated as we continue to see the 15 expansion of cyberattacks on this country?

Secretary Carter: That's a very good question. And we're just discussing here, because there are many aspects to the answer to this, but, yes, we have a lot of cyber capabilities that we are building, developing in all the services and at Cyber Command

21 And, more generally, for the Russians, let me ask the 22 Chairman to add something.

General Dunford: Senator, for exactly the reason you're raising, we're in the process of rewriting, at the Secretary's direction, a more broad framework for dealing

114

Alderson Court Reporting

1 with Russia in contingencies associated with Russia. It's also the reason why our national military strategy now will 2 3 be a classified document, because what we are trying to do is provide a strategic framework to deal with the full range 4 5 of behavior that we may see from a state like Russia, China, 6 North Korea, and Iran. And, in some cases, a cyberattack may not beget a cyber response. We want to make sure our 7 national command authority has a full range of options to 8 deal with something that has been determined, in fact, a 9 10 violation of our sovereignty and an attack in cyberspace. 11 So, there's really two things. One, the strategic

12 framework working on, and we're also working on a full range 13 of tools -- cyber tools -- so that we have both the ability 14 to protect our own network and to take the fight to the 15 enemy in cyberspace, as required, our offensive cyber 16 capability.

17 So, I would tell you that the issue that you're 18 outlining really is being addressed in both a strategic 19 framework as well as physical tools that we're developing. 20 But, again, it's not just focused on cyber, it's focused on 21 providing the Secretary and the President a full range of 22 options with which to respond in the event of an attack --23 again, whether it be cyber or anything else.

24 Senator Fischer: I thank you for that. And I think 25 the deterrence aspect of cyber response is very, very

115

Alderson Court Reporting

important, that we keep that, and also that public responses
 make an impression, as well. Thank you, sir.

3 Chairman McCain: Senator Sullivan.

4 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

5 Gentlemen, last week, as you know, we had the service 6 chiefs testify. And I began my comments commending you, Mr. 7 Secretary, and the President for the selection of such men 8 and women of high caliber, high integrity, leading our 9 military, including the current Chairman. And one of the 10 reasons is that they typically give this committee and the 11 American people honest testimony.

12 And an example of that was last week. I asked what the risk level was our Nation faced in being able to conduct a 13 full spectrum of operations, including one conventional 14 15 conflict. And each service chief said that this would 16 entail, quote, "high military risk" for their service. Each 17 service chief. Which I found remarkable. And distressing. General Dunford, do you know if that's ever happened 18 19 before, where all four service chiefs have stated that we 20 currently exist at a state of high military risk for our 21 forces? General Milley described what that meant, which is 22 a lot of death for our military if they have to go into this 23 kind of spectrum of ops. Is this unprecedented?

24 General Dunford: Senator, I don't know if it's 25 unprecedented, but, over the last several years, I think all

the chiefs, to include me when I was the Commandant, and the chiefs before I assumed that responsibility, have been articulating the risk associated with the readiness challenges that we've had, really, now that date back as far as 2005.

6 Senator Sullivan: So, do you -- you agree then, I 7 assume, with the assessment of each service chief, that we 8 face high military risk, in terms of a --

9 General Dunford: Senator --

Senator Sullivan: -- spectrum of ops that includes --General Dunford: Senator, I don't agree that we have -- I agree that each of the services has high risk, and they've articulated it.

The one thing I think I want to -- I would like to say and then answer your question is, We, today, can defend the homeland. We, today, can meet our alliance responsibilities. And we, today, have a competitive advantage over any of those four-plus-one we spoke about.

19 But, I fully associate myself with the chiefs when they talk

20 about the time and the casualties that we would take as a

21 result of readiness shortfalls that we have today.

22 Senator Sullivan: You think high military risk is
23 acceptable?

24 General Dunford: I did not say that, Senator, for one 25 minute.

117

Senator Sullivan: So --

1

General Dunford: What I want to do is, I want to communicate, to those who are listening, both in the force and our potential adversaries, to make it clear that my judgment is that the U.S. military, today, can, in fact, dominate any enemy in a conflict. I --

Senator Sullivan: Mr. Secretary, the four service 7 8 chiefs talked about high military risk. Again, I thought that was remarkable. I don't know if that's ever happened, 9 Mr. Chairman, before this committee before. But, it begs 10 11 the question that we've been talking about in this hearing 12 today, is how -- if that's what they're saying, how can we 13 not -- how can the President not support increased military 14 spending? Right now, there's a new Gallup poll out, saying, 15 "First time since 2002, the American people support more 16 military spending." If the service chiefs are each saying we face high military risk, how can we not be supportive of 17 additional military spending? I just don't -- I just don't 18 19 understand that at all.

20 Secretary Carter: Well, first of all, let me thank you 21 and associate myself with your commendation of the senior 22 leadership of our Department. We're blessed as a country to 23 have such people serving us. And they told it to you 24 straight. And I, too, associate myself with what they said. 25 There is risk in the force. And the risk --

1 Senator Sullivan: It's actually high risk.

2 Secretary Carter: Let me just tell -- let me unpack 3 that, because they each did that for you. There are -- it's 4 different in each of the services, but there are a few 5 common denominators.

6 One has been budget instability, which is why I am and 7 will continue to hew to the idea that we need budget 8 stability. And that means everybody coming together. Not 9 this idea and that idea and that idea, but one that 10 everybody can agree to. And we haven't seen that yet, and 11 it's the end of the fiscal year.

Senator Sullivan: Mr. Secretary, just to -- just real
--

Secretary Carter: Eight -- let me finish -- eight -Senator Sullivan: -- a quick point on that -Secretary Carter: -- eight times in a -Senator Sullivan: -- just a quick point on that -Secretary Carter: -- eight times in a row. So, that's
going to have an effect --

20 Senator Sullivan: You've had the minority leader -21 Secretary Carter: -- on risk.

22 Senator Sullivan: -- of the United States Senate 23 filibuster the defense appropriations bills, not three 24 times, as my colleagues have said -- six times in the last 25 year and a half year. So --

Secretary Carter: Let me - Senator Sullivan: -- we're trying to make that happen.
 And --

Secretary Carter: Thank you. Let -Senator Sullivan: We're all trying to make that

6 happen.

Secretary Carter: Thanks. Let me go on. There's 7 8 another thing that's so substantive of importance, other 9 than the budget instability the last few years. And that is the services -- and, I think General -- I think you 10 11 mentioned General Milley -- he, in particular -- and I want 12 to associate myself with this -- is trying to move to full 13 spectrum, exactly the words you used, from an Army that we dedicated almost wholly, in terms of force structure, to the 14 15 COIN fights that we had to conduct in Iraq and Syria. So, 16 the Army's been resourcing them heavily. Now he is trying to get his forces trained for full-spectrum combat. 17

And as -- I think, as he said to you, that's a matter of budget stability, yes, but it's also going to -- it also is a matter of time. And he's working on it. That's his highest priority. And I agree with him, for the U.S. Army. He's trying to get all his Brigade Combat Teams to go through the Nellis, the CTC at Nellis. That's going to take some time.

25 If we go to the Marine Corps -- and I know General

120

Neller spoke to you about that -- their highest readiness priority, which I also want to foot-stomp, as I'm sure he did, is in their aviation. And there are a lot of different dimensions to that. One is the recap of their aviation, both rotary wing and with the F-35 joint strike fighter coming down the line.

7 With the Navy, it's mostly a matter of ship
8 maintenance, depot maintenance. And Admiral Richardson's
9 working on that.

10 In the Air Force, for General Goldfein, the Air Force 11 continues to have readiness challenges which are associated 12 partly with budget instability, but mostly with the high OPTEMPO of the Air Force. We're working the United States 13 14 Air Force really hard in that air campaign over in Iraq and 15 Syria. It's essential. It's important. But, it means that 16 air wings are constantly rotated in and out, and, when they 17 come back, they have to go back in for readiness training.

So, in the budget we submitted for FY17 -- and we said 18 19 this -- readiness and resourcing are -- the readiness plans 20 of each of the services was our highest priority. So, 21 there's no question about it, there's risk there. It has 22 accumulated over the years. We need stability and we need 23 priority in order to work through it. We need stability 24 from you. We'll give it priority. And I totally support 25 the chiefs in what they told you last week.

121

Alderson Court Reporting

1 Chairman McCain: Senator Cruz in just a second. 2 Mr. Secretary, the impression that was given by the 3 service chiefs was: it comes down to readiness, training, spare parts, all the things that go when you have budget 4 5 cutbacks. We've seen the movie before. So, although, as 6 you pointed out, each individual service has some specific needs, it all comes back to funding for operational 7 8 readiness and training, which is always the first to go. 9 And that's -- obviously, when we have U.S. pilots flying less hours per month than Chinese or Russian pilots, there's 10 11 something fundamentally wrong. And I know you agree with 12 that.

```
13 Senator Cruz.
```

14 Senator Cruz: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Secretary Carter, General Dunford, thank you for being here today. Thank you for your testimony on the critical national security threats facing our country.

The last 7 years, we've had an administration that has, 18 19 in many ways, neutered itself and ignored one transgression 20 after another from our enemies. And, as a result, our 21 adversaries are continuing to increase their belligerence. 22 Iran has received no meaningful repercussions for 23 illegally seizing American sailors and endeavoring to 24 humiliate them, and has since increased their aggressive 25 tactics and harassment of U.S. Navy vessels operating in the

1 Arabian Gulf.

For months, Russia has been ramping up the pressure on 2 3 our military, previously flying within 30 feet of a U.S. Navy warship, and most recently flying within 10 feet of a 4 5 U.S. Navy surveillance aircraft. And instead of treating 6 these as escalatory acts from an adversary, Secretary Kerry rewarded Russia by agreeing to share intelligence in Syria. 7 8 These examples don't even touch on Iranian and North Korean efforts to develop their ICBM programs, nor the 9

10 expansion of ISIS beyond the Middle East.

11 Sadly, this week's terror attacks in New York, 12 Minnesota, and New Jersey once again demonstrated that radical Islamic terrorism continues to threaten our safety. 13 14 By any reasonable estimate, we can conclude that our 15 national security interests are at serious risk. And I want 16 to thank both of you for your service during such a pivotal 17 and dangerous time in our Nation's history, and for your leadership of our men and women in uniform. 18

I want to ask you, starting with Iran, What is and what should be our response to escalating Iranian belligerence and threats?

22 Secretary Carter: Well, first of all, thank you very 23 much, Senator, for that. And you hit them all, the five 24 parts of our military strategy that are reflected in what 25 we're trying to get in our budget, namely counter-ISIL,

123

Alderson Court Reporting

Iran, North Korea, Russia, and China. All of those are - present very different, but serious, challenges that have a
 serious military dimension to them.

4 With respect to Iran, notwithstanding the nuclear deal, 5 which was good, in the sense that it removed -- if 6 implemented faithfully, which it is being, so far -- removed nuclear weapons from our concerns about Iran. It did 7 8 nothing to alleviate other concerns we have -- their malign 9 influence, their support for terrorism, malign influence in 10 the region. And this is why, to give you one answer to your 11 question -- and I'll ask the Chairman to pitch in -- why we 12 have a strong, ready presence in the Gulf. Gets back to our 13 readiness discussion. It's not just about ISIL. We have a 14 big OPTEMPO to defeat ISIL. We're going to do that. Takes 15 a lot of force structure, but also readiness consumed doing 16 that, consumed in a good thing because we're defeating ISIL. 17 But, we are also standing strong in the Gulf. That means defending our friends and allies there, defending our 18 19 interests, and countering Iranian malign influence. So, it 20 is an enduring commitment of ours.

21 Let me ask the Chairman to join in.

General Dunford: Senator, I think there's -- from a military perspective, there's three things that we need to do. Number one is, we need to make sure that the inventory of the joint force can deal with Iranian challenges that do

range from ballistic missile defense to the malign influence 1 that you spoke about earlier. Number two, we need to make 2 3 sure, in our day-to-day operations, we make it clear that we're going to sail, fly, and operate wherever international 4 5 law allows us to, and we'll continue to do that. Number three, as the Secretary said, we need to have a robust 6 presence in the region that makes it very clear that we have 7 8 the capability to deter and respond to Iranian aggression. Those would be the three elements that we need to have for 9 -- from a military perspective, to give our President 10 11 whatever options he may need to have.

12 Senator Cruz: General, in your judgment, was flying 13 \$1.7 billion in unmarked cash to give to the Iranian 14 government incentivizing positive behavior from Iran? 15 General Dunford: Senator, I'm not trying to be 16 evasive, but I don't know the details of that arrangement. And it really was a political decision that was made to 17 18 provide that money. And I don't think it's appropriate that 19 I comment on that.

20 Senator Cruz: Well, let me ask it this way. I spoke, 21 yesterday, to Pastor Saeed Abedini, who was one of the 22 American hostages held in Iran. And Pastor Saeed described 23 how, when he was preparing to fly out, that his captors told 24 him they were going to wait until the planeload of cash 25 landed. And if the planeload of cash didn't land, he wasn't

125

Alderson Court Reporting

flying out. And when \$400 million touched down in cash,
 they allowed him to fly out.

Now, under any ordinary use of language, that would seem to be payment of a ransom. Does it concern you if the United States is now in the business of paying ransom to terrorist governments for releasing Americans, the incentive that we face for future terrorists and future terrorist governments to attempt to kidnap and hold for ransom Americans?

Secretary Carter: It -- Senator, let me just jump in here for the Chairman.

We weren't involved in this. This was the settlement of a legal case. And the -- it's longstanding. I don't know all the details of it. And the Chairman and I were not involved in that. It is a decision that was taken by the law enforcement and the diplomatic --

17 Senator Cruz: Mr. Secretary, I appreciate --

18 Secretary Carter: -- community. I think we have to 19 refer you --

20 Senator Cruz: -- that, but --

21 Secretary Carter: -- refer you there.

Senator Cruz: -- I would like an answer from General Dunford to the military question, whether, in his

24 professional military judgment, it concerns him, the

25 precedent of paying ransom for Americans, to terrorist

126

1 governments.

2 General Dunford: Senator, without commenting on 3 whether or not that was ransom, again, because I don't know the details, our policy in the past is that we don't pay 4 5 ransom for hostages. And I think that's hold us -- held us 6 in good stead in the past. But, again, I don't know the arrangements that were made in this particular case, and I 7 8 can't make a judgment as to whether or not that's what we 9 did. All I've done is read the open-source reporting on 10 that.

11 Senator Cruz: Thank you.

12 Chairman McCain: Senator Wicker, if you would give13 General Sullivan a chance to ask one more question.

14 Senator Wicker: Indeed.

15 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

16 Gentlemen, I just wanted to turn to the issue of the 17 South China Sea. And the international ruling in the Hague put China on the defensive. Mr. Secretary, as you know, a 18 19 number of us at the Shangri-La Dialogue have been supportive 20 of your efforts. And I certainly want to give the 21 administration credit for sending two carrier battle groups 22 to the region together recently. But, I think a number of 23 us remain concerned about the likelihood of reclamation at 24 the Scarborough Shoal and the ongoing -- and it's definitely 25 ongoing, from all reports -- militarization at Fiery Cross,

Subi, and Mischief Reef, which was also declared as not, you know, being within China's territorial realm. So, what's the strategy to deter future Chinese reclamation activities in the South China Sea, especially at Scarborough Shoal? And equally, if not more important, what's the plan to respond to ongoing militarization of the land that they've already claimed?

8 Secretary Carter: Thanks, Senator. I'll start and 9 then -- Chairman can join in.

I'm actually glad you raised the issue. We haven't talked much about the Asia-Pacific, but you know a great deal about it, and I appreciate that Chairman McCain always leads a delegation out there to Shangri-La, because it shows the persistence of the American presence in that region and the centrality of our continued presence there.

16 Now, the -- what we have stood for there now for many, 17 many years, and continue to stand for, and the reason why so many countries there associate their -- themselves with us, 18 and increasingly so, is, we stand for principle. And one of 19 20 those principles is the rule of law. So, the decision did 21 come down, and our -- we didn't take the position the 22 disputes themselves -- we do support the decision of the 23 court.

24 China's rejection of that is having the effect -- you 25 asked, sort of, What's the reaction to all this? -- the

128

1 effect of causing countries there to express their concern by wanting to do more with us. And we like building the 2 3 security network there. We're not trying to do that against 4 China, but, if China chooses to exclude itself in this way, 5 this is the development that occurs. So, we're working more 6 with each and every country there. We find them increasingly coming to us. And we are continuing to operate 7 8 there, as we always have and always will.

9 And last, I guess I should say, in terms of investments, in addition to putting a lot of our force 10 11 structure there, which you're very familiar with, and I'm 12 grateful that your State hosts some of that, including some of our most modern stuff, we're making a number of 13 14 qualitative investments in -- and that's one of the things 15 that's reflected in our budget, and one reason why we hope 16 that, in addition to funding our budget, we -- nobody shuffles around in our budget stuff that we -- new stuff 17 that is oriented toward the high end for old force 18 19 structure. And we've seen a tendency towards that. 20 So, we're reacting in a number of ways, in terms of our 21 own activities and investments. But, the most important

22 thing that's going on is in the region, itself.

23 Let me ask the Chairman to add.

24 General Dunford: Senator, I think a response to the 25 challenge you identified clearly is going to require

diplomatic action, economic action, and military action.
So, I'll talk to the military piece of this, which, right
now, is actually, I don't think, the most prominent piece in
dealing with the challenge of China. But, I think, from my
perspective, we need to do a couple of things:

Number one, militarily, we need to recognize the
implications of the militarization of the South China Sea,
and our plans need to be adjusted accordingly.

9 Number two, we need to continue to fly, sail, and 10 operate wherever international law allows, and make it very 11 clear that we're doing that on a routine basis

And, number three, we need to make sure that our posture in the Pacific assures our allies and deters any potential aggression by China, and makes it absolutely clear that we have the wherewithal, both within the alliance as well as U.S. capabilities, to do what must be done, vis-avis China.

18 So, I think if we provide the President with clear 19 options, I think we will have done our job. But, primarily, 20 right now, I think the President is -- has some diplomatic 21 and economic areas where -- also will contribute to 22 moderating China's behavior.

23 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 Chairman McCain: Senator Wicker.

25 Senator Wicker: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 And let me say that I hold both of these witnesses in 2 high regard. I appreciate their distinguished career of 3 service.

I do have a statement for Secretary Carter, followed bya question.

6 Mr. Secretary, in his farewell speech to the U.N. General Assembly on Tuesday, President Obama stated, "There 7 8 is no ultimate military victory to be won in Syria." As a member of this committee for many years, I find this 9 assertion to be astounding. Our Chairman and I, along with 10 11 other members of this committee, have made repeated 12 admonitions over the years that decisive action needs to be 13 taken against President Assad.

In August 2012, the President delivered his now infamous red-line statement in which he said, "We have been very clear to the Assad regime that a red line for us is, we start seeing a whole bunch of chemical weapons moving around or being utilized. That would change my calculus. That would change my equation."

Now, Mr. Secretary, a year later, disregarding the counsel of your predecessor, Secretary Hagel, the President canceled airstrikes against Assad, who had unleashed sarin gas on his own people outside of Damascus and continued his gruesome use of barrel bombs on civilians. This dramatic demonstration of weakness by the President left a vacuum in

131

Alderson Court Reporting

the region that was quickly seized by President Putin. We
 are now faced with an enduring quagmire.

3 Sadly, President Obama's stunning remark that there is 4 no ultimate military victory belies the reality of the Obama 5 foreign policy that has ignored and belittled the advice of 6 our leaders in the Department of Defense.

To add insult to injury, the President issued a memo 7 8 yesterday ordering you and General Dunford to consider 9 climate change during our military planning process. Last weekend, we dealt with a multiple -- with multiple terrorist 10 11 attacks on our shores. Last night, we heard that ISIL may 12 have launched a chemical attack on our troops. It boggles the mind that the President would issue such an order during 13 this critical time in our history. Four-hundred thousand 14 15 civilian deaths in Syria. I wonder what the carbon 16 footprint of these barrel bombs would have been that we could have prevented, had we acted decisively. 17

Mr. Secretary, I have the highest regard for you as an individual, as I've already stated, and I thank you for your service. I just wish you had been given the appropriate authority by the President to turn this administration's misguided policy around.

Now, I was here when this hearing began, at 9:30.
You've all been very patient with your answers. And I know
you've discussed this already, Mr. Secretary, but it -- at

1 this point, toward the end of this hearing, is there 2 anything else you'd like to add in response to what I've 3 said?

It seems the President is now -- is more resolved now than ever to forget his 2012 promises. What's your recommendation as to the future of the Assad regime? What about the President's -- what about your statement during confirmation that, as the President has said, Assad has lost his legitimacy and cannot be a part of the long-term future of Syria? Is that statement still operative?

11 Secretary Carter: I think it is. I -- and I'll just 12 give a general answer to your general question. You're right, it was discussed earlier. And even though we are 13 going to be, I'm confident, militarily successful against 14 15 ISIL, insofar as the Syrian civil war is concerned, the 16 violence can't end there until there's a political 17 transition from Assad to a government that is decent and that can govern the Syrian people and put that tragically 18 19 broken country back together. That doesn't look in sight 20 now. It was we talked earlier about Secretary Kerry's 21 trying to make arrangements to promote, but it is -- that's 22 necessary for the resolution of what is, as you say, a very 23 tragic situation.

Let me see if the Chairman wants to add anything.
Senator Wicker: Well, let me just ask this, if you

133

don't mind, Secretary Carter. It would help if the barrel 1 2 bombing ended. And I spoke to a Democratic colleague of 3 mine today. I've been calling for a no-fly zone to stop the barrel bombing, and I asked this colleague of mine on the 4 5 other side of the aisle if he would support that. And he said, "Yes." He said, "I want to call it something else, 6 rather than a no-fly zone," but that this particular Senator 7 8 -- it is a fact that this particular Senator has now changed 9 his position and would like us to take action to present --10 to prevent the barrel bombing.

11 What is your position about that? And wouldn't it help 12 if we took decisive action and ended this carnage?

Secretary Carter: I don't know the specific proposal which you're discussing with your colleague. I'll make one comment and see if the Chairman wants to add anything.

Senator Wicker: I think he was talking about a no-fly
zone --

18 Secretary Carter: Well, okay.

19 Senator Wicker: -- but described in more palatable 20 terms.

Secretary Carter: There are -- a number of different proposals have been made, but I -- the one that I think it -- the focus on right now is the one Secretary Kerry's trying to promote, namely a no-fly zone for the Russians and the Syrians who are attacking the Syrian people. If they're

134

Alderson Court Reporting

talking about a no-fly zone for American aircraft fighting
 ISIL, needless to say, that -- that's not going to get any
 enthusiasm, get strong opposition from me.

4 Senator Wicker: I'm speaking about a --

5 Secretary Carter: But, I think that's what a -- but --6 it's not called that, but Secretary Kerry is trying to get a 7 standdown of the Syrian and Russian air force. And if he's 8 successful, that would be a good thing.

9 Let me ask the Chairman if he has anything to add. 10 General Dunford: Senator, the only thing I'd say is, 11 you know, as the situation on the ground changes, I think I 12 have a responsibility -- we, the joint force, has a responsibility -- to make sure the President has a full 13 14 range of options. We have discussed that issue in the past under certain conditions. The conditions on the ground will 15 16 change, and we'll continue to look at those options and make sure they're available to the President. 17

18 Senator Wicker: What about the option of controlling 19 the airspace so that barrel bombs cannot be dropped?

20 General Dunford: All options --

21 Senator Wicker: What do you think of that option, sir? 22 General Dunford: Right now, Senator, for us to control 23 all of the airspace in Syria, it would require us to go to 24 war against Syria and Russia. That's a pretty fundamental 25 decision that certainly I'm not going to make.

1 Chairman McCain: To impose a no-fly zone --2 General Dunford: Chairman, could I, for a second, say 3 ___ Chairman McCain: No. No. 4 5 Senator Gillibrand. 6 General Dunford: That's not what I said, Chairman. Chairman McCain: Go ahead. 7 Senator Wicker: Well, yeah, I do think that's --8 General Dunford: What Senator -- what the Senator 9 asked me was "to control all of the airspace" --10 11 Chairman McCain: No, what he asked was, Should we have 12 a no-fly zone so we can protect these people from being slaughtered? What's what he's talking about. 13 General Dunford: I answered that first. 14 15 Chairman McCain: That's what we're all talking about. 16 Senator Wicker: So, that would not require going to war, full-scale, would it? 17 General Dunford: Not necessarily, Senator. I -- I'm 18 19 sorry, but I tried to answer the first question first, and 20 then I was responding to the second part of your question. 21 But, that -- I did not mean to say that imposing a no-22 fly zone would require us to go to war. That's not the 23 question I was answering. 24 Senator Wicker: Thank you. 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 Chairman McCain: Senator Gillibrand.

Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 Thank you both for testifying today.

4 I want to continue some of the issues that Senator 5 Fischer brought up about cyber. In the past year, we've 6 learned, obviously, about a number of cyberattacks, whether it was against the DNC or against NSA or the Office of 7 8 Personnel Management. And these attacks have demonstrated the integrated nature of our networks and how our -- how 9 10 targeting one system can have a broader effect. Whether 11 it's critical infrastructure, private companies, or 12 political party networks, we need to have a much more 13 integrated response to these attacks.

How can we create an integrated framework for response to hacks and cyberattacks? And what is DOD's role? And are the processes and authorities now in place for DOD to respond in a systemic way rather than ad hoc to each attack? Secretary Carter: I'll start.

And you're -- you used the phrase, Senator -- and thank you -- that I would use, as well, which is "an integrated approach," because you don't necessarily know, at the beginning, who the perpetrator is. And there's this whole spectrum of possible and actual perpetrators, ranging from criminals and kids right up to nation-states. And, you're right, it's -- it is -- the Defense Department shares this

responsibility with law enforcement and Homeland Security and intelligence. But, we aim to play a big role -- a big supporting role.

4 Our first job is the defense of our own networks. 5 That's our highest priority within the DOD cyber system, 6 because we depend so abjectly upon those systems for the performance of our military, overall. All our soldiers, 7 sailors, airmen, and marines, ships, planes, and tanks, and 8 9 so forth are networked together. In order to function as 10 excellently as they do, those networks need to be secure. 11 That's our first job.

We also do develop cyber offense. We've acknowledged that in the last year. And --

14 Senator Gillibrand: Yeah, and I really appreciate the 15 work you're doing on innovation. I think the Defense 16 Innovation Unit Experimental that you started in Silicon 17 Valley and now have expanded to both Boston and Austin is really exciting. And I actually would invite you to look at 18 19 New York for your next site, because we have so many 20 venture-capital high-tech developing there. It's becoming 21 sort of this new Silicon Alley.

22 Secretary Carter: I appreciate that. And I appreciate 23 the committee's support for DIUX. It's one of many things 24 we're trying to do to continue to connect our Defense 25 Department to the most innovative parts of American society,

1 get good people to want to join us or our defense companies -- good scientists and engineers -- and let them feel the 2 meaning of contributing to national defense. And we've got 3 to work extra hard at that, simply because, generationally, 4 5 a lot of young people haven't served -- they may be cyber experts, they haven't served, they've never worked with or 6 for our Department before. And so, we're really working 7 8 hard to draw them in.

9 I just opened up the DIUX branch in Austin, and 10 there'll be more. And I appreciate --

11 Senator Gillibrand: And I'd be grateful --

12 Secretary Carter: -- what you said about New York.

13 Senator Gillibrand: -- if there's any further

14 authorities or resources you need to continue to develop the 15 strongest cyberforce we possibly can, if you could give that 16 to me so I can put it in the NDAA. Because I think this

17 effort you're doing needs thoughtful and continual

18 investment of thinking and resources. So --

19 Secretary Carter: Thank you. We'll give --

20 Senator Gillibrand: -- things that you need further,
21 you --

Secretary Carter: -- we'll give you more. I should say, it's strongly represented in our FY17 budget, because --

25 Senator Gillibrand: Yeah.

Secretary Carter: -- because we gave it a lot of priority. And the reason why it was possible to give it priority is not just because of its importance, but because -- it's not just a matter of money, it's -- as you indicated, it's a matter of good people.

6 Senator Gillibrand: Right.

7 Secretary Carter: They're the hard thing to find in 8 cyber. And --

9 Senator Gillibrand: And, lastly, I just want to continue on Senator McCaskill's line of questioning. We've 10 11 been really looking at this issue of retaliation very hard. 12 We've made it a crime for several years. But, the 62 percent of retaliation being reported over and over again is 13 14 very challenging. And those being reported, their view is 15 that it's from above them in the chain of command, more 16 often than not. So, that's just what we're working with. And it's a perception, not necessarily a defined, enumerated 17 crime. I fully understand that. But, have you done any 18 19 prosecutions of retaliation this year? Have you actually 20 taken any cases to court-martial yet?

21 Secretary Carter: I can't answer that question. I 22 believe the answer to that is yes. And I'll get back to you 23 on that, Senator.

24 Senator Gillibrand: Yeah.

25 [The information referred to follows:]

140

1	[COMMITTEE	INSERT]
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Secretary Carter: But, can I just thank you for -- I think you, among others on this committee, were the ones who really tuned us in to retaliation as another dimension of the sexual assault problem we needed to combat. We are trying to -- you're right, sometimes it's higher up, but sometimes it is laterally, also.

Senator Gillibrand: Yeah. And all of those reasons, whether it's lateral or higher up, is one of the reasons why survivors don't report. It's one of their enumerated reasons. They feel it will end their career. And we still only have two out of ten survivors reporting. So, we're not where we need to be. It's not good enough. And --

13 Secretary Carter: Right.

14 Senator Gillibrand: -- I'm grateful for your continued 15 efforts.

16 Secretary Carter: Thank you. Likewise.

17 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you.

18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

19 Chairman McCain: I can assure the Senator from New 20 York, as long as I'm Chairman of this committee, we will not 21 take away the responsibility of the commanding officer, the 22 chain of command, as hard as she may try to remove that.

- 23 Senator King, did you have anything else?
- 24 Senator King: Just one quick question, to follow up on
- 25 this line of questioning about cyber.

Gentlemen, do you believe that we should separate -or, I'm sorry -- that Cyber Command should be elevated to a independent combatant command?

Secretary Carter: Senator, that's not a decision we've 4 5 taken yet, but I think that's going to be a natural 6 evolution for us and is going to be part of the natural evolution of our cyberforce in giving this new priority. 7 So, we are looking at the various managerial aspects of 8 cyber. Chairman and I discuss that frequently. We discuss 9 it with our colleagues around Washington and the 10 intelligence community with which we share a lot of it -- of 11 12 responsibility.

I mean, ultimately, something that involves combatant commanders would be a presidential decision. But, this committee will have a big role in that, as well. So, we look forward to working with you as we make that evolution. But --

18 Senator King: I --

19 Secretary Carter: -- we're thinking about it,

20 absolutely.

21 Senator King: I just hope the evolution takes a little
22 less time than the evolution of human beings.

23 [Laughter.]

24 Secretary Carter: I think it will.

25 Chairman McCain: Mr. Secretary, it's been a long

143

1 morning for you and General Dunford, but I would just like 2 to ask one additional question.

This news of this chemical -- what appears to be a chemical weapon yesterday, can you tell us what you know about that and what -- any conclusions you may have reached on that?

Secretary Carter: Absolutely, we can.

7

8

Go ahead, Chairman.

General Dunford: Chairman, it's a -- we assess it to 9 be a sulfur mustard blister agent. We don't assess that 10 11 ISIL has the -- has a very rudimentary capability to deliver 12 that. It went on one of our bases. We have effective detection equipment there. We have effective protection 13 14 equipment. We can also decontaminate. But -- and we also 15 are tracking a number of targets. One, we struck last week, 16 which was a pharmaceutical plant, which is part of the 17 chemical warfare network that ISIL has. And so, we have been tracking this. We've had a number of strikes -- I 18 19 think 30 over the past year -- against emerging chemical 20 capability. And, in this latest strike, again, we assess 21 was sulfur mustard. None of our folks were injured by this 22 particular incident. And it wasn't particularly effective, 23 but it was a concerning development.

24 Chairman McCain: It is concerning, because we have
25 known that they had some kind of chemical weapons facility

there in Raqqa. And, as you say, we have struck it, but it s concerning, particularly on those people who don't have the protective equipment, as well.

4 I thank the witnesses. I know it's been a long 5 morning. And I appreciate their being here. And we will 6 look forward to, perhaps in the lameduck session, trying to get them the authorization that they require in order to 7 carry out their responsibilities. And I am not proud of the 8 9 fact that the Congress of the United States has not carried 10 out ours. 11 I thank the witnesses. 12 This hearing is adjourned.

13 [Whereupon, at 12:25 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.]

- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- ___

- 23
- 25