Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON UNITED STATES MIDDLE EAST POLICY

Tuesday, September 22, 2015

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY 1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 289-2260

1	HEARING TO RECEIVING TESTIMONY ON
2	UNITED STATES MIDDLE EAST POLICY
3	
4	Tuesday, September 22, 2015
5	
6	U.S. Senate
7	Committee on Armed Services
8	Washington, D.C.
9	
10	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:35 a.m. in
11	Room SH-216, Hart Senate Office Building, Hon. John McCain,
12	chairman of the committee, presiding.
13	Committee Members Present: Senators McCain
14	[presiding], Inhofe, Sessions, Wicker, Ayotte, Fischer,
15	Cotton, Rounds, Ernst, Tillis, Sullivan, Graham, Cruz, Reed,
16	Nelson, McCaskill, Manchin, Shaheen, Gillibrand, Donnelly,
17	Hirono, Kaine, King, and Heinrich.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN MCCAIN, U.S. SENATOR
 FROM ARIZONA

Chairman McCain: Committee will come to order. 3 Since a quorum is now present, I ask the committee to 4 5 consider a list of 3,725 pending military nominations. All 6 of these nominations have been before the committee the 7 required length of time. 8 Is there a motion to favorably report? 9 Senator Reed: So moved. Chairman McCain: Second? 10 11 Senator Sessions: Second. 12 Chairman McCain: All in favor, say aye.

13 [A chorus of ayes.]

14 Chairman McCain: The motion carries.

Senate Armed Services Committee meets this morning to receive testimony on U.S. strategy in the Middle East.

17 Eight years ago -- eight years ago, our Nation was 18 losing a war in Iraq. Despite the assurances of the Bush 19 administration, the generals and leaders there, despite the 20 favorable comments of, at that time, Secretary of Defense, 21 who said, quote, "Stuff happens" and other equally 22 ridiculous comments, we were losing the conflict. In fact, 23 we were at a point where there was almost sufficient votes 24 in the United States Senate to force a complete withdrawal 25 from Iraq.

1 And then a seminal event took place before this 2 committee, a day that I will never forget. On September 3 11th, 2007, General David Petraeus appeared before this committee with Ambassador Ryan Crocker. Their compelling 4 5 testimony was critical in securing support for the surge. 6 An integrated civil-military campaign plan that defeated al-Qaeda in Iraq brought security to the Iraqi people and 7 created the possibility for meaningful political 8 9 reconciliation.

10 Now we meet again. Now we meet again. At a time of 11 grave security challenges around the world, more than ever 12 our Nation must be able to draw upon the wisdom and experience of its most distinguished leaders. That's why 13 14 I'm so pleased to welcome back before this committee -- who 15 has had many appearances before this committee -- one of our 16 most extinguished -- distinguished leaders. I'm welcoming back General David Petraeus for his first appearance before 17 Congress since leaving government. 18

19 General, it's good to see you. I want to thank you, on 20 behalf of this committee, for your willingness to testify 21 today and offer insights from your decades of distinguished 22 service, especially your leadership in Iraq, Afghanistan, 23 and as Director of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Across the Middle East today, the old order is collapsing both the regional balance among states and social

1 order within states. No new vision has emerged to take its place. And across the region, chaos fills the vast 2 3 ungoverned spaces left behind. Filling this vacuum have been terrorist groups such as ISIL and al-Qaeda, on the one 4 5 hand, and hostile states such as Iran and now Russia, on the 6 other. This regional disintegration has only been made worse by a failure of U.S. strategy and leadership to shape 7 8 events in this vital part of the world for the better. Too often, we have confused our friends, encouraged our enemies, 9 mistaken an excess of caution for prudence, and replaced the 10 11 risks of action with the perils of inaction.

12 In Iraq and Syria 1 year after the President commenced 13 airstrikes and committed U.S. troops, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and Commander of Central Command have 14 characterized the fight against ISIL as a stalemate. ISIL 15 16 has consolidated control of its core territories and 17 expanded its control in Syria. Efforts to retake Iraqi 18 cities, like Mosul, Fallujah, and Ramadi, have foundered. 19 ISIL is expanding globally to places like Afghanistan, 20 Lebanon and Yemen, Libya and Egypt. This appearance of 21 success only enhances ISIL's ability to radicalize, recruit, 22 and grow.

The Obama administration now tells us their strategy is working. Ultimately, ISIL is not 10 feet tall. It can and must be defeated. However, the current policy does not

appear sufficient to achieve our goal of degrading and
destroying ISIL. To put it mildly, this committee's hearing
last week on counter-ISIL strategy did little to alleviate
these concerns. In the absence of an effective strategy,
violent extremist groups like ISIL, al-Qaeda, and their
adherents are expanding across the Middle East, Africa, and
South Asia, including Afghanistan.

8 After 14 years of fighting in Afghanistan, decisions made in the months ahead will determine whether our 9 sacrifices were worth it. After pulling out of Iraq, 10 11 against the advice of our military leaders, the President's 12 plan to withdraw from Afghanistan would risk a replay of that failure. We look forward to your views on this policy. 13 14 In addition to the so-called Islamic State, the Islamic 15 Republic of Iran has been another main beneficiary of the 16 Middle East descent into chaos. For years, many of us have 17 urged the administration to adopt a regional strategy to counter Iran's malign activities in the Middle East. 18 19 Unfortunately, that has not happened. Instead, the 20 administration has too often treated Iran as merely an arms-21 control challenge rather than the wider geopolitical 22 challenge that it is. Left unchecked, Iran has stepped up 23 its destabilizing activities in Iraq, Syria, Yemen, Lebanon, 24 Bahrain, Gaza, and elsewhere. Whatever one thinks of the 25 nuclear agreement, it will not resolve this larger Iran

challenge, and will likely make it worse as Iran gains new
 legitimacy, the lifting of sanctions, and billions of
 dollars in sanctions relief.

Into the wreckage of our Middle East policy has now 4 5 stepped Vladimir Putin. As in Ukraine and elsewhere, he 6 perceives the administration's inaction and caution as a weakness, and he is taking advantage. Putin's ongoing 7 8 military buildup in Syria is the greatest expansion of Russian power in the Middle East in four decades, and it 9 10 will allow Putin to prop up Assad, play kingmaker in any 11 transition, undermine U.S. policy and operations, and 12 ultimately prolong this horrific conflict. The main 13 beneficiary will be ISIL.

In classic fashion, the administration first condemned 14 15 Putin's move, but has now capitulated, agreeing to military-16 to-military talks. The first step toward a solution is 17 recognizing there's a problem. Unfortunately, that has appeared beyond the capacity of the administration. 18 19 Instead, they continue to resort to a litany of truisms, 20 strawman arguments, partisan attacks, and talking points 21 that, to borrow a phrase, require, guote, "a willing 22 suspension of disbelief."

In a display of self-delusion that can rival the Bush administration's Iraq policy at its worst, the Obama administration now tells us their strategy is working, that

we're making progress, that time is on our side, that strategic patience is all we need, and that we should just stay the course.

4 When our earlier strategy in Irag in the broader Middle 5 East was failing, not so long ago, we, thankfully, had 6 leaders, like our distinguished witness, who were willing to face that situation with realism and a President who, to his 7 8 everlasting credit, took responsibility for that failure and changed course. Other American Presidents, including Jimmy 9 10 Carter and Bill Clinton, have demonstrated a similar 11 capacity for change. There's no reason President Obama 12 could not do the same. No one believes that there are good options. There never are. No one believes that these kinds 13 14 of problems lend themselves to purely military solutions. 15 They never have and never will. No one expects us to 16 succeed overnight, and no one believes that America can or should solve every problem by itself. But, that does not 17 absolve us of our responsibility to make the situation 18 19 better, where we can.

Yes, these problems are hard. But, as our witness once said, they are not hopeless. Now more than ever, we need some reasons to be hopeful again.

I thank you for appearing before the committee today, and look forward to your testimony.

25 Senator Reed.

STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE
 ISLAND

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
And, General Petraeus, welcome back to the Armed
Services Committee.

6 This morning's hearing continues the committee's review of the policy issues confronting the United States in the 7 8 Middle East. And your long experience in a number of leadership positions, both in the United States military and 9 10 as Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, makes you 11 superbly prepared and qualified to provide your perspective 12 on the current situation in the Middle East. And, once 13 again, thank you for being here.

14 The situation in the Middle East presents a deeply 15 complex problem set, and it is a near certainty that the 16 problems that were there challenge our Nation's security 17 today and for many years to come. And, while our Nation's military is playing a critical role in addressing the 18 19 threats emanating from the Middle East and lasting solutions 20 will require, in addition, dogged diplomacy and persistent 21 attention by our Nation's civilian and military leaders and 22 those of our allies and partners who share a security 23 interest in the region.

As the committee heard at last week's hearing, the immediate threat confronting the United States, our

1 partners, and allies in the Middle East is ISIL. ISIL's control over portions of Syria and Iraq provides this 2 3 violent extremist organization a base from which to terrorize civilians and spread its poisonous ideology, 4 5 regionally and globally. The brutality of ISIL, coupled 6 with that of the Assad regime and other armed elements in Iraq and Syria, has caused a collapse of stability in many 7 areas and forced millions to flee the wanton violence. 8

9 The emerging refugee crisis in Europe highlights the urgent need for the international community to focus on 10 11 restoring security in the region. The U.S.-led 12 international coalition, enabled by the leadership of retired General John Allen, has brought together 60-plus 13 14 countries to respond to the ISIL threat, including a 15 multinational air campaign to degrade ISIL's capabilities 16 and programs to train and equip local forces in Iraq and 17 Syria.

General Petraeus, we are very interested in your views on the value of a multilateral approach to confronting ISIL. I would also be interested to hear whether you support the efforts to build and work through local forces on the ground to liberate and then restore stability to areas previously under ISIL control.

In Iraq, U.S. and partner nations are once againtraining and equipping Iraqi Security Forces and helping to

1 recruit Sunni tribal forces to the counter-ISIL effort. General Petraeus, given your experience on the ground in 2 3 Iraq, which is extensive and detailed both as an operational and strategic-level commander, I look forward to hearing 4 5 your assessment of the broader military campaign, but also 6 on whether the Iraqi Security Forces can summon the will to fight successfully against ISIL and other opponents within 7 8 Iraq.

9 Further, the administration has rightly, I believe, 10 conditioned our support to the Iraqi government on their 11 continuing efforts to be more inclusive and responsive to 12 the concerns of the Sunnis, Kurds, moderate Shi'as, and 13 minorities. Again, your assessment of these political 14 efforts would be deeply appreciated.

In Syria, according to public reports, the DOD-run train-and-equip program has experienced a variety of setbacks. Many observers have criticized this program. And again, I would be interested in your assessment of the viability of this program.

At the same time, the already difficult task of restoring security in Syria has only been further complicated by Russian President Putin's recent provocative act of deploying Russian marines and equipment, including fighter aircraft and surface-to-air missiles to Assadregime-controlled areas under the guise of joining the

counter-ISIL effort. What President Putin hopes to gain
 from this brazen military intervention in this volatile
 situation is unclear. And we'd, again, like your
 perspective on that issue.

5 The other major issue of the United States in the Middle East is Iran. Last well, the Joint Comprehensive 6 7 Plan of Action, or JCPOA, entered the implementation phase. 8 In the coming months, the Iranians have much work to do, and 9 the world will be watching to see whether Iran will 10 discharge its obligations. Holding Iran accountable during 11 this phase of the agreement is, I would suggest, one of the 12 most significant efforts that we can take, along with our 13 allies.

Aside from the JCPOA, General Petraeus, Iran's malign 14 15 and destabilizing activities are of critical concern. This 16 includes the continued support and financing of the Assad 17 regime, Hezbollah in Lebanon, the Houthis in Yemen, Shi'a elements in Bahrain, and Shi'a militias in Iraq. Countering 18 19 Iran's malign influence is an area where the administration 20 has made a significant commitment to our partners in the 21 Gulf Cooperation Council, among them an increase in training 22 and exercise programs to ensure these partners have the 23 necessary capabilities to counter Iranian threats. Again, 24 your assessment of these efforts would be appreciated. 25 While much attention is focused on the Middle East, the

United States continues to have nearly 10,000 U.S. forces deployed in Afghanistan as part of the Resolute Support Mission. A critical decision will have to be made in the next few months regarding the size of U.S. forces to be retained in Afghanistan during 2016 and beyond. Again, your advice in this respect would also be appreciated.

And lastly, we cannot forget that al-Qaeda, especially its affiliates in Yemen and adherents in Syria, remain a transregional threat to the United States and other interests around the world. Your insights with respect to what might be done to keep the pressure on al-Qaeda, both their senior leadership and their organizational structure, is -- would be deeply appreciated.

Once again, thank you for your service -- your
distinguished service, and thank you for joining us today.
Chairman McCain: General Petraeus, welcome back.
General Petraeus: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's good
to be back.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Alderson Reporting Company 1-800-FOR-DEPO

STATEMENT OF GENERAL DAVID H. PETRAEUS, USA (RET.),
 FORMER DIRECTOR OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY;
 COMMANDER, INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE FORCE;
 COMMANDER, UNITED STATES FORCES AFGHANISTAN; COMMANDER,
 UNITED STATES CENTRAL COMMAND; AND COMMANDER, MULTI-NATIONAL
 FORCES-IRAQ

General Petraeus: Mr. Chairman, Senator Reed, members
of the committee, thank you for this opportunity to discuss
the situation in the Middle East.

As you noted, Mr. Chairman, this is the first time I have testified in open session before Congress since resigning as Director of the CIA nearly 3 years ago. As such, I think it is appropriate to begin my remarks this morning with an apology, one that I have offered before, but nonetheless, one that I want to repeat to you and to the American public.

17 Four years ago, I made a serious mistake, one that brought discredit on me, and pain closest -- to those 18 19 closest to me. It was a violation of the trust placed in me 20 and a breach of the values to which I had been committed throughout my life. There's nothing I can do to undo what I 21 22 did. I can only say again how sorry I am to let -- to those 23 I let down, and then strive to go forward with a greater 24 sense of humility and purpose, and with gratitude to those 25 who stood with me during a very difficult chapter in my

1 life.

In light of all that, it means a great deal that you have asked me to share my views on the challenges in the Middle East, where, as you noted, I spent most of my last decade in government. I thank you for that, Mr. Chairman. And I thank you for the support and friendship that you have long extended to me.

The Middle East today is experiencing revolutionary 8 upheaval that is unparalleled in its modern history. At the 9 root of this upheaval is the weakening or disintegration of 10 11 state authority in multiple countries. This has led to a 12 violent struggle for power across a vast swath of territory, the competition both between different groups within states, 13 and one between different states in the region, and some 14 15 outside it. Almost every Middle Eastern country is now a 16 battleground or a combatant in one or more wars.

17 The principal winners, thus far, have been the most 18 ruthless, revolutionary, and anti-American elements in the 19 region. This includes Sunni extremists, like the so-called 20 Islamic State, which is attempting to carve a totalitarian 21 caliphate out of the wreckage of the old order, and the 22 Islamic Republic of Iran, which hopes to establish a kind of 23 regional hegemony.

All of the revolutionary forces, whether Sunni or Shi'ite, are exploiting the upheaval in the Middle East

while also exacerbating it. While hostile to each other,
 the growth of each is feeding the sectarian radicalization
 that is fueling the other. But, none of them reflects the
 hopes of the overwhelming majority of Middle Easterners.

5 The crises of the Middle East pose a threat not just to 6 regional stability, but also to global stability and to vital national interests of the United States, for the 7 8 repercussions of developments in the Middle East extend well 9 beyond it. Indeed, the Middle East is not a part of the 10 world that plays by Las Vegas rules. What happens in the 11 Middle East is not going to stay in the Middle East. We see 12 this in the global reach of the Islamic State from the sanctuaries it has seized in the region, in the tsunami of 13 14 refugees fleeing the conflicts of the Middle East, in the danger of a nuclear cascade sparked by Iranian actions, and 15 16 in the escalating tensions between the U.S. and Russia over And it is in the Middle East today where the rules-17 Syria. based international order, the foundation of American 18 19 security and prosperity since the end of World War II, is 20 most in danger of coming apart at the seams.

International peace and security do not require the United States to solve every crisis or to intervene in every conflict. But, if America is ineffective or absent in the face of the most egregious violations of the most basic principles of the international order that we have

championed, our commitment to that order is inevitably
 questioned and further challenges to it are invited.

I will focus here this morning on three countries at 3 the eye of the present geopolitical storm: Iraq, Syria, and 4 5 Iran. It has been more than a year since the United States 6 commenced military action against the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria. And, while there have been significant 7 8 accomplishments, the progress achieved thus far has been 9 inadequate. An impressive coalition has been established. Key ISIS leaders have been killed or captured. And support 10 11 for local forces in Iraq and Syria has helped roll back ISIS 12 in certain areas. Some elements of the right strategy are 13 in place, but several are under-resourced, while others are 14 missing. We are not where we should be at this point.

In Iraq, we have halted and reversed ISIS's momentum in some areas, but we have seen gains by ISIS in others, such as Ramadi. In my judgment, increased support for the Iraqi Security Forces, Sunni tribal forces, and Kurdish peshmerga is needed, including embedding U.S. advisor elements down to the brigade headquarters level of those Iraqi forces fighting ISIS.

I also believe that we should explore use of joint tactical air controllers with select Iraqi units to coordinate coalition airstrikes for those units. And we should examine whether our rules of engagement for precision

1 strikes are too restrictive.

That said, we should exercise restraint to ensure our forces do not take over Iraqi units. I would not, for example, embed U.S. personnel at the Iraqi battalion level, nor would I support clearance operations before a viable hold force is available.

As critical as the front-line fight against ISIS is, 7 8 however, the center of gravity for the sustainable defeat of 9 ISIS in Iraq lies in Baghdad. In this respect, we should recall that the cause of Iraq's unraveling over the past 10 11 several years was the corrupt sectarian and authoritarian 12 behavior of former Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki and his government. This is what alienated the Sunni Arab 13 14 population we worked so hard to get back into the fabric of 15 Iraqi society during the surge. Maliki's actions, in turn, 16 created the conditions for the Islamic State to reconstitute itself in Iraq, after which it gained additional strength in 17 18 the Syrian civil war and then, of course, swept back into 19 Iraq.

The key now is for the United States to help strengthen those in Baghdad who are prepared to pursue inclusive politics and better governance, goals that unite the majority of Iraq's Shi'ites, Sunni, and Kurds. It is vital that Sunni and Kurds, in particular, are again given a stake in the success of the new Iraq rather than a stake in its

1 failure.

There is, at present in Iraq, an unprecedented opportunity to support Prime Minister Haider al-Abadi, who, with the back of Iraqi citizens in the streets, Iraq's senior Shi'a cleric, and one Shi'a party, is embarked on very serious reforms that are being resisted by the leaders of the major Iranian-supported militias and former Prime Minister Maliki.

9 The reality, then, is that the challenges in Irag are neither purely political nor purely military. They are 10 11 both. What is required, therefore, is an integrated civil-12 military plan in which diplomatic and military lines of effort are coordinated to reinforce each other. 13 That is 14 what Ambassador Crocker and I pursued during the surge, and 15 all the elements of that effort are once again required, 16 though it is the Iraqis who must provide the ground forces 17 and achieve reconciliation if the results are to be 18 sustainable.

Unfortunately, we do not yet have the proper civilmilitary architecture in place to support this, though we do appear to be moving closer to it. Notably, the operational headquarters for the military campaign against ISIS in Iraq is based in Kuwait. This means that the U.S. Ambassador in Baghdad does not always have a day-to-day military counterpart. I would strongly recommend facilitating this

by moving key elements of the headquarters to Baghdad and ensuring that a comprehensive civil-military plan is pursued.

I note here that I'm very encouraged that the general selected to lead the campaign in Iraq is the officer who, as a brigade commander in Ramadi in the fall of 2006, launched the reconciliation initiative on which we subsequently built during the surge, leading eventually to what became the Anbar Awakening.

I should also note that, in my view, the commander in Baghdad should focus primarily on Iraq while another commander, perhaps positioned in Turkey, perhaps under the three-star in Iraq, should be designated to focus on operations in Syria which clearly need greater unity of effort.

Let me now turn to the situation in Syria. Syria today, Mr. Chairman, is a geopolitical Chernobyl, spewing instability and extremism over the region and the rest of the world. Like a nuclear disaster, the fallout from the meltdown of Syria threatens to be with us for decades, and the longer it is permitted to continue, the more severe the damage will be.

It is frequently said that there is no military solution to Syria or the other conflicts roiling in the Middle East. This may be true, but it is also misleading.

For, in every case, if there is to be any hope of a
 political settlement, a certain military and security
 context is required, and that context will not materialize
 on its own. We and our partners need to facilitate it.
 And, over the past 4 years, we have not done so.

6 It has been clear, from early on in Syria, that the desired context requires the development of capable, 7 8 moderate Sunni Arab ground forces. Such Sunni elements are 9 critical for any objective one might have in Syria: defeating extremists like ISIS, changing the momentum on the 10 11 battlefield to enable a negotiated settlement, and upholding 12 that agreement while keeping ISIS down. Unfortunately, we 13 are no closer today to having that Sunni force than we were 14 a year ago or when support for such forces was first 15 considered, several years ago.

16 The central problem in Syria is that Sunni Arabs will 17 not be willing partners against the Islamic State unless we commit to protect them and the broader Syrian population 18 19 against all enemies, not just ISIS. That means protecting 20 them from the unrestricted warfare being waged against them 21 by Bashar al-Assad, especially by his air force and its use 22 of barrel bombs. This, not ISIS, has been the primary source of civilian casualties. It has also been a principal 23 24 driver of the radicalization fueling ISIS and the refugee 25 crisis.

1 The problems in Syria cannot be quickly resolved, but there are actions the U.S., and only the U.S., can take that 2 3 would make a difference. We could, for example, tell Assad that the use of barrel bombs must end and that if they 4 5 continue, we will stop the Syrian Air Force from flying. We 6 have that capability. This would not end the humanitarian crisis in Syria or end the broader war or bring about the 7 8 collapse of the Assad regime, but it would remove a particularly vicious weapon from Assad's arsenal. It would 9 10 demonstrate that the United States is willing to stand 11 against Assad. And it would show the Syrian people that we 12 can do what the Islamic State cannot: provide them with a 13 measure of protection.

I would also support the establishment of enclaves in Syria, protected by coalition airpower, where a moderate Sunni force could be supported and where additional forces could be trained, internally displaced persons could find refuge, and the Syrian opposition could organize.

Now, no one is more conscious of the costs of military intervention or of the limits of our military power than I am. As Commander in Iraq and then Afghanistan during the height of combat in those countries, I wrote more letters of condolence to parents of America's sons and daughters than any of my contemporaries. I do not make recommendations for any kind of military action lightly.

But, inaction can also carry profound risks and costs for our national security. We see that clearly today in Syria. And Russia's recent military escalation in Syria is a further reminder that, when the U.S. does not take the initiative, others will fill the vacuum, often in ways that are harmful to our interests.

Russia's actions to bolster Assad increase the 7 8 imperative of support for the moderate opposition and Syrian civilians. We should not allow Russia to push us into 9 coalition with Assad, which appears to be President Putin's 10 11 intention. While we should not rush to oust Assad without 12 an understanding of what will follow him, Assad cannot be part of the solution in Syria. He is, after all, the 13 14 individual seen by Sunnis across the region as responsible 15 for the deaths of some 250,000 Syrians, the displacement of 16 well over a third of Syria's population, and the destruction 17 of many of Syria's once thriving communities.

18 Finally, let me turn to Iran. The nuclear agreement 19 negotiated by the Obama administration contains many 20 positive elements. It also contains problematic elements. 21 Over the next 10 to 15 years, the agreement will impose 22 meaningful constraints on Iran's nuclear activities. It 23 will also, however, increase considerably the resources 24 available for the Iranian regime to pursue malign 25 activities. And, in the longer term, as constraints imposed

1 by the agreement expire, the risk of Iranian proliferation 2 will increase.

3 The key question, going forward, is, What will be the relationship of the United States to Iranian power? Will we 4 5 seek to counter it or to accommodate it? As the Obama 6 administration sought to promote the nuclear agreement, its senior members pledged the former: to counter malign 7 8 Iranian activity. But, many in the region worry that the 9 White House will now pursue the latter, attempting to work with Iran, perhaps beginning with Syria. This would be a 10 11 mistake. To be sure, the idea of reconciliation with Iran 12 should not be dismissed. But, it is one thing if reconciliation means that Iran abandons its Ouds Force-13 14 driven foreign policy, sponsorship of extremist proxies, and 15 pursuit of hegemony over its neighbors. It is a very 16 different matter if reconciliation entails accommodating 17 those actions.

As we have seen in Iraq, Syria, and Yemen, Iran's 18 19 activities are not only hostile to us and our partners, they 20 also exacerbate Sunni feelings of alienation and 21 disenfranchisement, which, in turn, drive sectarian 22 radicalization and the growth of groups like ISIS. Thus, 23 rather than viewing the nuclear agreement as marking the end 24 of a hostile relationship with Iran that will enable our 25 disengagement from the Middle East, we should see it as

1 inaugurating a new, more complex phase of that competition that will require intensified U.S. involvement in the 2 3 region. This should include several important actions: First, the United States should make absolutely clear 4 5 that we will never allow Iran to possess highly enriched 6 uranium and that any move in that direction will be met with military force. This guarantee must be ironclad to reassure 7 our partners in the region and have the desired effect with 8 Iran. Such a declaration would carry maximal credibility if 9 10 issued by the President and Congress, together.

11 Second, we must intensify our work with our Arab and 12 Israeli partners to counter Iran's malign regional activities. This can take several forms, including 13 14 continued use of existing sanctions authorities against 15 Iranian entities tied to terrorism, ballistic missile 16 development, and human rights abuses. It should also 17 include expedited approval of weapon systems sought by our partners in the region and greater integration of their 18 19 capabilities. And it should encompass additional actions to 20 demonstrate that the theater remains set with respect to our 21 capabilities to carry out military operations against Iran's 22 nuclear program, if necessary.

Beyond these actions, we should understand that the most immediate test for the credibility of our policy will be what we do in Iraq and Syria. The outcome in those

countries will be the basis for the judgments of friend and
 foe alike about our steadfastness and competence in
 thwarting ISIS, other extremists, and Iran's quest for
 hegemony.

5 Mr. Chairman, the situation confronting the U.S. in the 6 Middle East today is very hard, but, as I observed and as 7 you recalled, when I took command in Iraq in early February 8 2007 amidst terrible sectarian violence, "hard" is not 9 "hopeless." As complex and challenging as the crises in the 10 region are, I'm convinced the United States is capable of 11 rising to the challenge if we choose to do so.

12 I ended my statements before the Senate Armed Services 13 Committee in the past by thanking its members for their steadfast support of our men and women in uniform. 14 I will 15 end my statement this morning the same way, repeating the 16 gratitude that so many of us felt during the height of our 17 engagement in Iraq and Afghanistan, for the committee's extraordinary support for so many critical initiatives on 18 19 and off the battlefield, even when a number of members 20 questioned the policies we were executing.

This committee has also long played a critical oversight role poising tough questions about U.S. policy and strategy. I highlight the leadership of Chairman McCain in this regard for questioning the strategy in Iraq before 2007 and calling for many of the key elements that ultimately

1 made possible the stabilization of that country. The 2 questions that members of this committee ask about our 3 approach in Syria and the broader fight against ISIS 4 continue in this tradition.

Again, this committee's unwavering support of those serving our Nation in uniform has meant a tremendous amount to those on the battlefield and to those supporting them. And it is with those great Americans in mind that I have offered my thoughts here this morning.

10 Thank you very much.

11 [The prepared statement of General Petraeus follows:]

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2.0
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1 Chairman McCain: Thank you very much, General. And 2 thank you for probably the most comprehensive overview that 3 this committee has received on the situation. I'm very 4 grateful.

5 And I would mention, perhaps one of the most admirable 6 and important part of my experience was watching your 7 leadership, not only in the architect of the surge, but your 8 motivation of the young men and women who are serving in the 9 military as officers and enlisted. Your inspirational 10 leadership to them was something which I will always 11 remember with great admiration.

12 You called for, in your statement, what some of us have 13 been asking for, for years, and that is, the barrel bombs 14 have got to end. It's not ISIS that's dropping the barrel 15 bombs. And when my colleagues say ISIS is the problem, 16 they're not the ones that have killed 230,000 of their 17 countrymen. It's Bashar Assad. And let's -- and we should own up to that. And some kind of accommodation with Bashar 18 19 Assad, of course, would fly in the face of everything that the United States of America has ever stood for. 20

So, you are calling for, in your statement, that we tell Bashar Assad to stop the barrel bombs and establish an enclave where people could take refuge, could have protection from the incredible, insane cruelties of Bashar Assad. There's going to be blowback on that. "Well,

1 doesn't that mean that we're going to have to have American 2 boots on the ground? Doesn't that mean we're back in the 3 quagmire? Doesn't that mean" -- I can see the reaction now from some of my friends who -- by the way, the same ones 4 5 that oppose the surge when they're around. But, what's your 6 response to that, General Petraeus, that this would then cause us to be involved with boots on the ground and the --7 8 back into the quagmire that characterized our involvement 9 prior to the surge?

10 General Petraeus: Well, a couple of points, if I 11 could, Mr. Chairman.

First of all, I think very important to underscore the fact that Bashar al-Assad can't be part of the longrun solution in Syria. He is, as you noted, as I noted, the individual held responsible for well over 200,000, and perhaps as high as 250,000, Syrians dead, and he cannot -he is the magnetic attraction that is bringing jihadis to Syria to fight him. And, indeed --

19 Chairman McCain: And --

General Petraeus: -- if we are to support a force, it won't work for us, it won't be supportable if we don't support it against Bashar al-Assad's actions against it, the most horrific of which are the dropping of barrel bombs. And that can be stopped. We have the capability to do that. We don't have to put 165,000 troops on the ground to do

that. We don't have to put any boots on the ground to do that, although I think, at some point in an enclave, we should not be closed to the possibility of some advisors or support elements being in something like that, in the same way that we have them on the ground in Iraq. So, I don't see this as the -- entering a quagmire. I see this as taking out the most horrific casualty-producing item.

8 I think General Allen has said that well over 50 9 percent of the casualties overall in Syria have been caused 10 by these indiscriminate barrel bombs that can, at a moment's 11 notice, drop from the sky. We have the capability to stop 12 that. And we should.

13 Chairman McCain: Speaking of Russia, I noted that the 14 Russians have now -- have aircraft that are primarily as 15 interceptors, not close air support. ISIS doesn't have an 16 air force. It's very interesting. And what is your 17 assessment of what Vladimir Putin is trying to accomplish 18 with this incredible buildup in Syria? And what should the 19 United States do in response?

General Petraeus: Well, first of all, I think you have to look at this, writ large. I think that what Vladimir Putin would like to do is resurrect the Russian Empire. You see this in a variety of different activities. Or at least the Soviet Union. He has a number of different activities -- diplomatic, economic, and, of course, military -- in a

variety of countries around Russia. And now he is, of
 course, in Syria, as well, and trying to revive Russian
 relationships with countries in the Middle East.

4 I think the immediate objective that he has in Syria is 5 to solidify the corridor on the Mediterranean coast between Latakia, where he has his airbase, and Tartus, where they 6 have the Russian naval base, the only naval base left in the 7 8 Mediterranean. Clearly, he would like to shore up his ally, 9 Bashar al-Assad. At the very least, he wants to make sure 10 that Bashar is not thrown under the bus by either other 11 regime members or perhaps even Iran until at least he has 12 some better sense of the way forward. His objective is to 13 keep that naval base, and indeed to keep the airbase that is 14 also useful for solidifying it in that corridor. I would 15 think, beyond that, he wants to help Bashar solidify his 16 grip, which has been challenged increasingly in recent 17 months by ISIS and then by other opposition forces, as well, that runs from the coast to Holmes and then down to Damascus 18 19 and so that he can at least keep a rump Syrian state. 20 But, again, as I said, Assad cannot be part of the longrun solution. But, as I also said, we should not be 21 22 quick to oust Assad until we have some sense of what will 23 follow him.

Chairman McCain: So, the United States, in the short term, should do what in regards to this -- in response to

1 this significant military buildup?

2 General Petraeus: Well, the first is, we should not go 3 in league with this, we should not think that we should -we can partner with Russia and Iran and Bashar al-Assad 4 5 against ISIS. Again, if Russia wanted to fight ISIS, they 6 could have joined the 60-plus-member coalition that General Allen has so capably put together, and helped drop bombs on 7 8 ISIS. They have some capabilities that would be useful to 9 that fight. So, this is clearly not what they're up to. And we have to be very clear in our resolve to ensure that 10 11 we deter action by Russia that would involve any of the 12 forces we're supporting and certainly anything that we're doing in that region, and show firmly, not provocatively, 13 14 that we will not accept that.

I might add that this also extends, of course, to what's going on in Ukraine. I was there a week or so ago. The good news is that the violence is down somewhat in the east, probably because Putin is going to the U.N. General Assembly, then has another negotiating round and would like to get out from underneath the sanctions that are so crippling.

I might note that I think that Putin is not playing the strongest hand in the world, although he's playing his hand, tactically, quite effectively. But, at the end of the day, Vladimir Putin is going to run out of foreign reserves.

1 He's probably got 200 billion or so left. He will burn 2 through those in the course of the next 2 years. And if the 3 sanctions are still imposed at that time, he and the 4 companies that have debt coming due -- he running a very 5 large fiscal deficit -- are not going to be able to go to 6 the world markets and get money to finance their government operations. So, I think he has, actually, a limited window 7 8 of a couple of years to continue provocative actions in 9 Ukraine, Belarus, Moldova, Syria, Georgia, and so forth. 10 And we have to be very careful during this time, when he 11 could actually lash out and be even more dangerous than he 12 has been.

13 Chairman McCain: Senator Reed.

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
And thank you, I think, for calling this very important
hearing.

And, General, thank you for your very incisive and
extraordinarily erudite treatment of these complex issues.
It's been very helpful.

Just want to sort of go back to the point that you made. Long term, Assad cannot be the future of Syria. General Petraeus: Correct.

23 Senator Reed: But, short term, you acknowledge that 24 there has to be some recognition of what the following on --25 General Petraeus: Absolutely.

1 Senator Reed: -- would be.

2 General Petraeus: Sure. I mean, Syria could actually 3 get worse.

4 Senator Reed: Right.

5 General Petraeus: And we --

6 Senator Reed: Now, the --

General Petraeus: It's hard to believe that, but itcould get worse.

9 Senator Reed: Does that in some way imply that, for at 10 least the temporary expedient measure, we would have to work 11 with Assad, with the Russians, et cetera, to create a 12 transition? You know, your -- you seem to pose this dilemma 13 as, "He can't go until we know what's following him. But,

14 he can't stay forever. But, we don't know" --

15 General Petraeus: Right.

16 Senator Reed: -- "where to move."

17 General Petraeus: Sure.

18 Senator Reed: I think that's a key --

19 General Petraeus: I --

20 Senator Reed: -- to the question.

General Petraeus: I think actually being seen to work with Assad would unravel our relationships with our Sunni partners in the region. And I think it's, therefore, not something we can do.

25 Having said that, what we can do is ensure that we

1 don't launch an offensive or support an offensive by 2 opposition forces that could precipitate his departure 3 before, again, there is some sense of what will follow.

As I mentioned, again, this Sunni Arab force that we need to support is essential not just to fight ISIS. It's essential to create --

7 Senator Reed: Right.

8 General Petraeus: -- the context within which you 9 might actually get a political agreement. And that context 10 is not there right now.

Senator Reed: So, essentially what your advice would be is that this Sunni opposition force, composed of a whole range of elements with different political philosophies, if it put sufficient pressure on Assad, could force him to leave. Is that the solution?

General Petraeus: Well, but -- well, I don't know about "force him to leave." Again, they can force a negotiated settlement, out of which, I would think, there will come something that will not include Assad.

20 Senator Reed: Well, let me ask --

21 General Petraeus: Again, I don't see how he's possibly 22 part of the longrun --

Senator Reed: -- let me ask -- again, I think you have -- because of your insights, you have continually revealed the complexity of this issue, and let's -- if we could

pursue it -- who's going to do the negotiations if we're looking for a negotiated agreement?

General Petraeus: Well, there is the U.N.'s Special
Representative of the Secretary General. He happens to be
the same individual, Staffan de Mistura, who was the SRSG in
--

```
7 Senator Reed: Iraq.
```

8 General Petraeus: -- Iraq during the surge, as you'll
9 recall.

10 Senator Reed: Yeah.

General Petraeus: And, candidly, I played a role in persuading him to do the same position in Afghanistan, where he was also highly capable. So, he -- we have -- there is a -- an extant process. I actually am seeing him on Sunday in New York, just purely coincidentally.

Senator Reed: So, you know, in a practical sense, we should begin to energize this U.N. process as --

18 General Petraeus: We --

19 Senator Reed: -- an effective means to create at least 20 a forum for negotiations --

21 General Petraeus: Correct. Now --

22 Senator Reed: -- without embracing --

General Petraeus: -- I mean, this does exist. It's been -- it's had -- been halting, to put a happy face on it, but it does exist, and it is something on which we could
build, again, as there is a sense of the context developing, where those in Damascus are going to realize that perhaps it's time to cut a deal, and those who are supporting Damascus in Tehran and now --

5 Senator Reed: Right.

6 General Petraeus: -- in Moscow.

Senator Reed: Let me -- you, early on, were advocating a train-and-equip program for Syria, to get effective counter-ISIL and counter- -- at least counter-ISIL forces on the ground. Now, what can we do to revitalize that effort? Is it possible to revitalize it, to be very --

General Petraeus: I think it is. Frankly, again, it has to. If we cannot do this, we aren't going to defeat the Islamic State. We've done a great deal with the Syrian Kurds.

16 Senator Reed: Yeah.

17 General Petraeus: But, you can't push Kurds, there or in Iraq, farther than the areas that they can hold with 18 19 legitimacy. So, you can't push them all the way, I don't 20 think, to take out the capital of the Islamic State, for 21 example, and expect them to hold that. It's not their 22 traditional territory. And the same is true in Iraq. Those 23 who say, "Well, just keep pushing the peshmerga further." 24 The peshmerga shouldn't go further. Masuhd Barzani knows 25 that. I have heard that. And there's recognition that that

shouldn't go. So, again, in Iraq there also has to be the
 development of this force. And that is moving along.

I think, actually, the pieces are in place if we will 3 resource them and actually make a critical policy decision. 4 5 And I think that's the critical element for a Sunni force in 6 Syria. They are not going to be willing to be supported by us if we're not going to support them when they're under 7 8 attack by Bashar as well as when they're under attack by 9 ISIS. Oh, by the way, for that matter, Jabhat al-Nusra, the 10 Khorasan Group, or some others.

Senator Reed: So, you think the -- it's been a long and winding road, but it can be done, putting in the field indigenous forces, Syrian forces, et cetera. And the key policy decision is that they would be protected against any foe that --

16 General Petraeus: Indeed. And taken down the barrel 17 bombs. If the barrel bombs continue, then the air force 18 goes down.

Beyond that, I think we're going to have to support some forces that will not have gone all the way through our train-and-equip program. Again, I think pushing everybody through that is not necessarily the solution for ramping up. Senator Reed: If the President -- if the Chairman would indulge -- one of the approaches to taking down the barrel bomb is eliminating the airfields, although some of

these can be dropped by helicopters, so that makes it very difficult. But, the other is to destroy the aircraft, et cetera. Is there any sort of -- that runs the risk, obviously, of some response -- if not by the Syrians, some response by even in the Russians, at least protesting.

General Petraeus: Well, there was a -- it was publicly reported that, had we taken out the chemical systems in the redline issue, that a lot of that would have been done, if not all of it, by sea- and air-launched cruise --

10 Senator Reed: Right.

11 General Petraeus: -- missiles and a variety of other. 12 So, you don't even have to fly in the airspace, necessarily. 13 The fact is, we're already in Syrian airspace. We're flying 14 over it all the time. We've already put boots on the ground 15 in Syria, special mission-unit boots. So, we have the 16 capability to do a great deal, and I think we know how to do 17 it capably and without undue risk.

18 Senator Reed: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

19 Thank you, General.

20 Chairman McCain: Senator Inhofe.

21 Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm -- I agree with Senator McCain when he said that it's refreshing to get a very succinct presentation, breaking it out -- Iran, Iraq, Syria. And you've -- you

25 have certainly done that, and I appreciate it very much.

1

General Petraeus: Yes, sir.

2 Senator Inhofe: One thing we haven't talked about very 3 much is the refugee situation. And it's been our feeling, 4 or at least my feeling for a long time, that until such time 5 as we develop a strategy in the Middle East, that it's going 6 to be very difficult to address this. It's also, as you 7 pointed out, become more severe if we don't.

In January, General Mattis testified before this group. He said, quote, "We have many potential allies around the world in the Middle East who have rallied to us, but we have not been clear about where we stand in defining or dealing with the growing violent jihadist terrorist threat." He's saying the same thing. We don't have a specific strategy there.

Dr. Kissinger stated before this committee, "The role of the United States is indispensable. It's time for a global upheaval. And the consequences of American disengagement magnifies and requires larger intervention later."

20 First of all, I'd ask, Do you agree with these 21 assessments?

22 General Petraeus: I do. Yes.

23 Senator Inhofe: You know, I'm embarrassed to say that 24 if we have a strategy in the Middle East and dealing with 25 specifically these countries and others, I don't know what

1 it is, because we've been waiting for that strategy. And it seems to me that you're not going to resolve the refugee 2 3 problem, that's a very real one -- here we are, expanding 4 the numbers that we would be willing to accept. And that's 5 just a drop in the bucket when you look at 4 million that 6 are out there, plus another 8 million that have been displaced within -- are still in Syria. So, until that 7 8 time, I don't think that's going to resolve the problem. 9 Would you have a specific explanation of the strategy of the administration in the Middle East, affecting the whole 10 11 Middle East along with the Syria, Iran, and Iran? Do you 12 know what that is?

13 General Petraeus: I'll defer to the administration for 14 that.

Senator Inhofe: Well, I've been deferring to the 15 16 administration for that, also, and we still don't have it. 17 The -- you mentioned Ukraine. I know this is supposed to be the Middle East subject, but I happened to be there 18 19 right after the Ukrainian elections, with Poroshenko, with 20 Yatsenyuk, the Prime Minister, and how proud they were, and 21 how committed they were to us, that they, for the first time 22 in 96 years, don't have one Communist on their -- in their 23 Parliament. And then immediately -- of course, the -- Putin 24 started invading, sending troops in, sending equipment in. 25 Very similar to what's happening in Syria. Now, you did

1 respond to what they're trying to, I guess, do with their 2 military buildup in Syria. Is there anything you would like 3 to add to that, in terms of what their end game is, what 4 they're trying to accomplish with that?

General Petraeus: Let me go back to Ukraine, if I
could, actually, because I think --

7 Senator Inhofe: Sure.

6 General Petraeus: -- what Putin wants in Ukraine is to 9 ensure that the -- that Ukraine does not succeed. His worst 10 nightmare would be a thriving, vibrant, prosperous democracy 11 with free-market economy on his western border. He knows --12 he can look at Poland and see what's -- what happened in the 13 20 years since -- Poland and Ukraine had roughly the same --14 Senator Inhofe: That's right.

15 General Petraeus: -- per capita GDP. Poland is twice 16 as much now. Ukraine is still mired where they were. So, 17 he's going to do everything he can, not only now that the conflict seems to be freezing, to keep it bubbling, but what 18 19 he really wants to do is, again, ensure that there is 20 failure in Ukraine. And, in that regard, the future of 21 Ukraine is going to be determined in Kiev, not out in the 2.2 Donbass.

23 Senator Inhofe: Yeah.

24 General Petraeus: And there are concerns about 25 political infighting and so forth. And the Ukrainian

1 leaders have got to pull together and get the politics right, just the way, by the way, Iraqi leaders have got to 2 3 pull together, because the center of gravity, as I 4 mentioned, of the fight in Iraq is actually not on the front 5 lines. As important as fighting on the front lines is, and 6 pushing back ISIS and out of Ramadi and out of Mosul and so forth, the future of Iraq is going to be determined by 7 8 politics in Baghdad. And, as I mentioned, we have a unique opportunity right now to support the Prime Minister of 9 10 Baghdad, who is, a year into the job, pursuing very 11 aggressive reforms. He's done away with the vice 12 presidencies, the deputy prime ministers, eight ministries, and is now asking for examination of the activities of the 13 14 chief justice, somebody who was actually a solid, reasonable 15 chief justice during the surge and a few years after that, 16 but then increasingly became used, I think, is an accurate 17 description, by Prime Minister Maliki to go after the senior Sunni Arab politicians and to support other activities that 18 19 ultimately alienated the Sunni population and undid what we 20 achieved during the surge.

Senator Inhofe: But -- General Petraeus, my time is expired, but here's what I'd like to do. I'd like to have you answer, for the record -- I go back to Oklahoma, and I talk to people, and they contend, and I do, too, that we're over-complicating this deal that we have proposed with Iran,

and that you don't really need to go beyond the fact that, as our -- Ronald Reagan used to say, verify. Verification is important. I don't think verification is there. So, I'd like to have you analyze just that part of this proposed deal. If we have something that can go as long as 54 days before going in to find out whether or not Iran is developing some of the things that we think they are, I'd like to know how that is -- verification plays into this, if you'd do that for me. General Petraeus: Sure. [The information referred to follows:] [COMMITTEE INSERT]

1 Senator Inhofe: Thank you.

2 Chairman McCain: Senator Nelson.

3 Senator Nelson: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 General, thank you for your service.

5 Characterize, if you would, on the solution that 6 follows Assad in order to get there. How could we interact 7 with Russia, in the U.N. context, in order to bring about a 8 political solution?

9 General Petraeus: Well, first of all, I think it's 10 just important to acknowledge that there are various 11 potential options for Syria. One could be, you could put 12 the whole country back together again and have a multiethnic, multisectarian, pluralist democracy -- I find 13 14 that probably remote, in terms of possibilities -- all the 15 way to acknowledging that we can't put Humpty Dumpty back 16 together again and there will be a number of states carved 17 out of the old Syria, perhaps a Sunnistan, a Shi'a-Alawite-18 stan, and Kurdistan. Perhaps more than one. But, again, 19 none of this is going to happen. They're not going to have 20 negotiations, certainly unless the individual most 21 responsible for this civil war, Bashar al-Assad, and his 22 regime feel that they are threatened and that their survival 23 is in question.

I think if you can get to that point, then you might have the leverage to conduct negotiations, in which case we

1 would expect that Russia would be on the side of keeping a favorable regime to them, because, again, their overriding 2 3 national interest in this case, beyond President Putin striding the world stage again, as he did to provide the way 4 5 out of the chemical weapons conundrum, is to maintain the seaport that he has at Tartus, and the airbase in that 6 corridor that connects them on the Mediterranean coast. 7 8 Senator Nelson: In your opinion, are we not getting 9 close to that point, where Assad feels completely

10 threatened?

11 General Petraeus: I think probably the Russian 12 intervention gives him a degree of new hope. I think he has been losing recently, gradually, steadily over the course of 13 14 recent years -- or a sense that he might not be able to 15 continue the fight. But, of course, progressively what has 16 happened over the years has been that, first, Quds Force 17 advisors entered to help, Iran bankrolled and provided equipment and so forth, Russia's provided some of that, and 18 19 then Lebanese Hezbollah entered the fray on the side of 20 Syria, as well. There are also reports of various Shi'a 21 militias from neighboring countries fighting on his behalf. 22 And certainly the support from Russia, especially if it 23 includes a considerable amount of military hardware, will 24 bolster him further.

25 Senator Nelson: Turning to Iran and the agreement, I

1 read your op-ed with Ambassador Ross, and I find it very 2 compelling. There are a lot of conclusions that the two of 3 you drew that I had drawn, as well, in determining how I was going to vote. And that was that, in the short term, it 4 5 certainly is, in my judgment and apparently what you 6 articulated, in the interest of the United States with the agreement, but, in the long term -- and you speak in terms 7 8 of 10-15 years down the road. Do you want to expand, then, on your idea? And I'll quote from your op-ed. "In other 9 words, deterrence is the key to ensuring not just that the 10 11 Iranians live up to the agreement, but also to preventing 12 them from developing nuclear weapons."

General Petraeus: Absolutely. And not only that, deterring, if you will, or dissuading or persuading, countries in the region that they don't need to go to that similar point that Iran is, or will be at the 15 year mark and perhaps beyond, because then we're going to have a real threat to the proliferation regime that is in place -- the nonproliferation regime.

20 So, again, the key element here is an ironclad U.S. 21 position -- again, ideally from both Congress and the White 22 House -- that states unequivocally that if Iran ever moves 23 toward enriching to weapons-grade, that we will stop that, 24 militarily.

25 Senator Nelson: And your other sentence that leapt out

1 at me, "But, verification means only that we catch the 2 Iranians if they cheat. What matters more is that the 3 Iranians recognize that they will pay a meaningful price 4 when we catch them."

5 General Petraeus: Correct. Absolutely. Yeah. Again, 6 they've got to know in advance. And there are provisions in this. The snap-back provision actually, I think, is fairly 7 8 artful. Again, there are many positive features in this. The elimination of other entire 20-percent stockpile, 9 elimination of 97-98 percent of the low-enriched 3.5 10 11 percent, ends the plutonium path to a bomb, intrusive 12 inspections, with some wrinkles, to be sure, and some challenges that have been noted. But, again, a number of 13 14 positive, but some problematic ones, because along with that 15 will come the release of at least \$50 billion, according to 16 the Under Secretary of the Treasury, that has been frozen 17 around the world. And this is for a country -- that's 10 percent of its GDP, just given to it. And, while most of 18 19 that undoubtedly will go to worthy programs for Iranian 20 citizens, there will be a portion that will end up in the 21 pockets of the Ouds Force and enable them to further enable 22 Lebanese Hezbollah, Hamas, the Houthis in Yemen, who, when 23 they couldn't get their way at the political table, got 24 their way with force of arms and so forth, and Shi'a militia 25 in Iraq.

1 Chairman McCain: Senator Sessions.

2 Senator Nelson: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3 Senator Sessions: Thank you.

General Petraeus, thank you for your service to your country. It's been so valuable to us. Those who have watched your career, who have been with you in Iraq, seen you serve the country, I'm not aware of anyone who's done a more superior job than you. And my respect for you and your integrity is unmatched.

And Senator McCain, I believe his opening statement is very important for all of us. I think the questions Senator Reed has asked raise the kind of practical questions we've got to deal with. And I believe that, at this point in time, we, as a Congress, needs to assert itself.

15 I think the first thing Congress should say to this 16 administration is, "Show us a strategy that will leave us -lead us out of this morass that we're in." And we don't 17 have that today. I believe -- and I'll ask you. You've 18 19 seen the political world, and you see the disagreements and 20 agreements that occur. Don't you think it's possible for 21 the Republicans and Democrats on this panel, in this 22 Congress, to agree on a long-term overall strategy for the 23 Middle East that could guide us for decades to come? And 24 isn't that important?

25 General Petraeus: Well, what's interesting is that

this is one of those moments in time where there seems to be bipartisan -- a bipartisan sense of a need to do more, frankly. And that includes to define all the elements of a strategy. As I mentioned, some of those elements are there, some are under-resourced, and some are missing.

6 Senator Sessions: Well, if we had an overall goal, I 7 think it would be important to have our allies also join in 8 that. I think -- do you think that's possible -- our 9 European --

10 General Petraeus: I --

11 Senator Sessions: -- allies, particularly --

12 General Petraeus: I --

Senator Sessions: -- could join with us in a -- on a plan that we could -- it's got to extend beyond the next presidential election. We can't change our strategy every time a President changes.

17 General Petraeus: Look, I -- you know, it's always good to recall Winston Churchill on allies, and he said the 18 19 only thing worse than allies is not having any. And I spent 20 a good bit of my time in Afghanistan, in particular, but 21 also in Iraq, doing what might be termed "coalition 22 maintenance." And I firmly believe that we should never go 23 it alone if we can avoid doing that, although we should also 24 recognize that there will be different contributions from 25 different countries. And, at the end of the day, there was

-- there were virtually no countries in Afghanistan that did not have some caveat of some type. And the art of that -of coalition command is figuring out what each country can uniquely do well, where each country needs to be augmented, frankly, by U.S. assets to enable it to do what it -- to contribute the most that it can, given the limitations that it has.

8 Senator Sessions: I think this is a historic hearing. 9 I remember Senator McCain's reference to your testimony with 10 Ambassador Crocker. I asked you at that time, and you've 11 already answered it, about the Middle East, I think, today, 12 what you said. And we worried, "Could we be successful?" And I asked you, "Do you believe, if you go to Iraq with the 13 14 -- that we can achieve a successful result?" You said yes. 15 I asked you, "If you got to the point where that wasn't 16 possible, would you tell us so?" And you said yes. And you 17 succeeded as you suggested that we could succeed.

I just can't tell you how much I value your opening statements. And I think we all should appreciate the efforts of Senator McCain at that time in 2007, when his presidential election -- he placed everything he believed about the forces and our men and women in combat above any personal political goals. And I think that's a good example for all of us today.

25 General Petraeus: I seem to recall him saying that he

1 would rather lose an election than lose a war.

2 Chairman McCain: So, I did both.

3 [Laughter.]

General Petraeus: And he -- you know, if I could just 4 5 make one quick comment, Senator. It's really important to 6 remember the surge that mattered most was not the surge of forces, it was the surge of ideas. It was a change in 7 8 strategy. Big ideas are everything. And shifting from 9 consolidating on big bases and getting out of the neighborhoods to recognizing that the only way to secure the 10 11 people is by living with them was big idea number one. It 12 was very difficult to execute. It was costly. But, it was 13 necessary, and it actually did help bring security and, 14 ultimately, brought violence down by some 90 percent, 15 coupled with the other big idea, which was, you can't kill 16 or capture your way out of an industrial-strength 17 insurgency. You have to promote reconciliation. And that's why I singled out building on that case of reconciliation 18 19 that had been established in late 2006 in -- outside Ramadi 20 by Lieutenant -- now Lieutenant General MacFarland, who is 21 actually back in that region and spending the bulk of his 22 time in Baghdad.

23 Senator Sessions: Thank you for your service. Thank 24 you for your wise words. And I think it's a challenge to us 25 to see if we can't, at this point in history, develop an

1 overall view of the Middle East. There's been studies that show the violence that have been around where extremists --2 3 extreme Islam tends to cause conflicts. And I think we need to see the whole region. And, within it, we'll have allies, 4 5 and we'll have problems, we'll have things we have to accept 6 even if we don't like. Some things we're going to have to 7 try to provide leadership on. And a long-term agreement of 8 that kind among both parties and all of our people, 9 including our allies around the world, I believe would be a 10 positive development. My hand is open to try to reach that 11 kind of agreement. 12 Chairman McCain: Senator Manchin. 13 Senator Manchin: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 And, General, thank you again for your service --15 General Petraeus: Yes, sir. 16 Senator Manchin: -- but also for being here today and 17 presenting to us. I think it's most valuable. 18 You know, we look at trying to find something that 19 would resonate over in that part of the world, especially 20 with Syria being so unstable right now, and our 21 relationships in that area. You can only look back at our 22 past performance and find out, you know, and learn from 23 So, you know, when Qadhafi was taken out of Libya and that. 24 we had nothing to replace Qadhafi with, we see what's 25 happened to Libya. We're gone out of there.

52

Alderson Reporting Company 1-800-FOR-DEPO

1 In Iraq, when Maliki was put in, there had to be 2 somebody making decisions on this was the person we're going 3 to put in, knowing he had to be a hardline Shi'ite, knowing that he would divide up the Sunni-Shi'ite forces there and 4 5 cause, basically, the unstability that we have. Was that 6 not considered, or is it just impossible to find a moderate that can work with the different sectors involved? 7 General Petraeus: First of all, there's been a lot of 8 9 discussion of this, and a lot looking back. Ambassador 10 Jeffrey, who was the Ambassador at the time --11 Senator Manchin: I might add, so I --12 General Petraeus: -- has written about this. Senator Manchin: That's the caution that we have with 13 14 Assad right now. Everyone's saying, "Who do you replace him 15 with?" What do you do, since we've had failures in both of 16 those? 17 General Petraeus: Again, this is -- you know, you play the --18 19 Senator Manchin: Sure. 20 General Petraeus: -- part you're dealt. You can 21 influence that sometimes. There may have been a moment to 22 do that. I actually ended up being in Afghanistan during 23 the penultimate months of that. But, I was there for the 24 initial piece. 25 We should remember that -- you know, I've been tough in

53

Alderson Reporting Company 1-800-FOR-DEPO

1 Prime Minister Maliki here, but Prime Minister Maliki during the surge and, indeed, in the years after the surge, he's 2 3 the one who went after the Shi'a militia in Basrah, very, frankly, impulsively, as you may recall, in the charge of 4 5 nights in -- in March. We called it March Madness, in March 6 of 2008. And it was a very close-run affair until we could get all of the forces marshaled to support his elements that 7 8 were on the ground. And ultimately, it was a resounding 9 victory there, in Sadr City, in Khatami, in a number of other places in Baghdad, and actually set the conditions for 10 11 a period of relative stability and reasonable harmony that 12 lasted for several years after that. Tragically, he undid 13 much of what was done during the surge, no longer honored 14 agreements that were made with the Sunni population, with 15 the so-called Sons of Iraq, and so forth. And again, there 16 has been a lot of academic and pundit discussion, think-tank 17 discussion on why we hung with him, especially because -former Prime Minister Allawi got one more vote in the 18 19 Parliament, but then just couldn't form a government, and so 20 there was a lot of wrangling back and forth.

21 Without question, this is something that is in 22 everyone's mind and in everyone's memory. And again, 23 certainly the experience with Qadhafi, although I think, at 24 the point at which we committed to support the upspring --25 wellspring of citizens going after Qadhafi, that that,

1 arguably, was the right move. What needed to happen after that, of course, was to immediately, as quickly as possible, 2 3 try to carry out a DDR program -- a disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration program -- for all the 4 5 different militias, try to help form security forces as 6 quickly as possible. And I think that we have learned some lessons in that regard so that, when you've got an inclusive 7 8 government, that it's supported wholeheartedly and you move 9 forward.

10 Senator Manchin: If I may, sir, I --

11 General Petraeus: Sure. Yes, sir.

12 Senator Manchin: Time's limited here. But, the Iran 13 nuclear decision was probably the most difficult for all of 14 us, not just in this committee, but, I think, in the whole 15 Senate membership. With that being said, I leaned strongly 16 toward supporting, because I wanted to work with our allies. 17 And I always said, if I couldn't go home and explain it, I couldn't vote for it. And I could not explain to West 18 19 Virginians, basically, when they would ask the question, 20 What happens at the end of 8 and a half to 10 years? What 21 happens at 15? Because we put them in a position to be 22 stronger if they had not changed their ways. And, since we 23 didn't hold them accountable, basically, for their actions 24 of terrorists, then how do you expect them to change their 25 ways later on? So, that was the one thing to stop me from

1 supporting it.

2 What I would ask you is, How damaging to our allies 3 would we have been -- would the U.S. have been if it had 4 been defeated, if we had not -- those who voted for it had 5 not voted for it? Would it have damaged our relationships, 6 since our allies were all saying, "We're going to go without 7 you"?

8 General Petraeus: Oh, absolutely. Sure. And I think 9 there are big questions about what would have happened --10 what would happen to their sanctions regime. Could you get 11 it back together? We had kept Russia and China onboard 12 through this whole process. Does it all become unraveled? 13 And so forth.

And, look, I think the real question -- this is a reality. Focusing forward, taking the rearview mirrors off the bus, the biggest question is, What happens after 15 years? That's when virtually all -- there's a few that linger.

19 Senator Manchin: I got it.

General Petraeus: But, virtually all of the restrictions of the agreement end, and Iran can move out quite smartly in a variety of different areas in building its enrichment capacity and other elements of a program. And that's why it is so vitally important that the U.S. be very, very clear, crystal clear, ironclad, why the White

House and Congress, together, should be very clear about 1 what would happen if Iran ever made a move towards weapons-2 3 grade enrichment. That will also, again, not only, hopefully, deter Iran, but also reassure our Gulf allies. 4 5 And that's another very important consideration. 6 Senator Manchin: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 General Petraeus: Thank you, sir. 8 Senator Manchin: Thank you. 9 Chairman McCain: Senator Wicker. Senator Wicker: General Petraeus, thank you for your 10 11 testimony. 12 I think we could have gotten a Status of Forces Agreement in Iraq if we'd really, really tried. Do you 13 14 agree with that? General Petraeus: Actually, I -- let me put it to you 15 16 another way. I -- I mean, if it goes through the Parliament 17 -- the problem was, it was not probably going to be approved 18 by the Parliament. 19 An interesting fact is that I believe we now have 3500 20 troops on the ground without a Status of Forces Agreement. 21 So, we seem comfortable doing this now that we really have

to. And, candidly, that was something I think we might have considered trying, given that the Prime Minister was going to give his personal assurance, and tested out. There's no guarantee that having 10,000 troops on the ground would have

1 given us the influence or prevented Prime Minister Maliki 2 from taking the highly sectarian actions that he did, but I would have liked to have tested the proposition. 3 4 Senator Wicker: Thank you for that. 5 I'm encouraged that you're so positive about Prime Minister Abadi and his reforms, and the fact that he is --6 has the backing of Iragi citizens in the streets. 7 I assume 8 by that you mean Kurdish Iraqi citizens in the street. 9 Sunni --10 General Petraeus: No, I mean -- I mean Shi'a Iraqi. 11 If you look at the --12 Senator Wicker: Okay. Well, what about --General Petraeus: I think folks haven't --13 14 Senator Wicker: -- the Sunnis --15 General Petraeus: -- picked up --16 Senator Wicker: -- and the Kurds? 17 General Petraeus: I don't think folks have picked up 18 -- well, they very much want to see inclusive governance. 19 The Sunnis desperately need it, because, without this, they 20 have no source of revenue. So, those who say, "Let Iraq 21 break up," by the way -- it's one thing to -- for Kurdistan, 22 which his largely autonomous, now actually has pretty good 23 oil revenues coming in, although not enough. I can -- they 24 are running a deficit, and they still need what they can 25 get, their 17 percent out of the oil revenues from Iraq

1 proper, which means really the two southern provinces that produce the most. But, there's no oil or gas revenue going 2 to be provided for the Sunni areas. There's no production 3 in those areas. So, one of the really serious problems is, 4 5 How would they survive? The second is, Who draws the 6 boundaries? Where are the borders? I mean, if you have a state of politics that's so fractious that you have a 7 8 population that's alienated, how in the world are you going to have an amicable divorce? This will be a very fractious 9 10 divorce, and it will be another civil war, perhaps, along 11 the lines of Syria.

12 Senator Wicker: Okay.

13 General Petraeus: So, great concern about that. Abadi 14 wants to pursue inclusive politics. But, I don't think 15 people have picked up that there are huge demonstrations 16 going on in the cities of Irag in the southern part of the 17 country, because of citizens who are outraged by insufficient services, particularly electricity, during 18 19 extraordinarily hot weather in recent weeks -- really, month 20 -- and then corruption. And they are just flat outraged. 21 The Grand Ayatollah, then, seeing this outrage through his 22 clerics, issued statements that really encouraged the kinds 23 of reforms that Prime Minister Abadi has now pursued, and 24 they are now moving -- each week, he has pursued more 25 reforms. And, indeed, he knows that the only way to get --

1 to combat ISIL sustainably is to get the people in the area 2 where ISIS is located to turn against them in the same way 3 that we did with reconciliation with the Anbar Awakening with the Sons of Iraq Program. But, of course, citizens 4 5 can't turn against a particularly barbaric force unless they 6 have a sense that they're going to be secured. So, this will have to proceed. But, to do that, you have to have 7 8 Sunni Arab Iraqis who will not only clear, but then be able 9 to hold these forces, with a considerable assistance from us in the form os intelligence, surveillance, and 10 11 reconnaissance and precision strike assets.

12 Senator Wicker: Okay. So, let me make sure I 13 understand. That -- this sort of divorce you talk about is 14 something really to be avoided in Iraq. And you have a 15 different view about Syria, where you seem to suggest in 16 your testimony it wouldn't be the end of the world if Syria 17 as we've known it does split up into three or four --

18 General Petraeus: Well, because they've had this 19 horrific situation. I mean, Syria --

20 Senator Wicker: So, you have --

General Petraeus: -- has gone through considerable -you can call it sectarian cleansing. I mean, you've had horrific sectarian displacement. I mean, this would not have been the solution for Syria 4 years ago. But, we are where we are with Syria, and you see enormous displacement

1 of different sects. You --

Senator Wicker: But, we can avoid that in Iraq, and we should make every effort to --

4 General Petraeus: No guarantee we can, at all. This 5 is a -- going to be a very close-run affair. But, we should try to avoid it, I think. There will be greater devolution 6 of power. There's going to be -- have to be a different 7 8 political bargain, if you will, between Baghdad and the 9 Sunni Arab provinces. And, by the way, one of the challenges on the Sunni Arab side is that the Mosulawis 10 11 don't agree with the Tikrikis who don't agree with the 12 Anbaris. So, you even have a fractious situation among the 13 various Sunni leaders -- by the way, all whom come through 14 here or see you somewhere out in the region. So, that's 15 going to be difficult, as well. There is nothing easy about 16 this situation right now. But, I don't think we should just say, "Okay, we'll just let it go further," because there are 17 still mixed areas in Baghdad, there are still mixed areas in 18 19 the Baghdad belts. Diyala Province is still highly mixed. 20 There have been efforts to reduce that amount of mixing. 21 There has been sectarian displacement; indeed, in some 22 cases, perhaps worse than that. But, the only way to 23 prevent that kind of horrific civil war breaking out, which 24 is what will -- the result will be if there is a 25 determination to break it into Sunni, Shi'a, and Kurdistan

-- you've got to get inclusive politics. You, once again, have to give the Sunnis a sense that they have a stake in the success of the future of Iraq rather than a stake in its failure. And that's what they came to feel, back in 2006, before the surge, and it's what they have come to feel in the last couple of years, as well. Senator Wicker: Well, your answers are very thorough, and we're way out of time. I -- let me just ask for something on the record, because Senator McCain mentioned it in his opening statement. I would hope that, on the record, you can give us your insight as to what lessons we might apply in Afghanistan that we've learned from our experience in Iraq. That will -- but I'll --[The information referred to follows] [COMMITTEE INSERT]

General Petraeus: Could -- Chairman, could I make two
 quick points on Afghanistan, possibly?

First of all, there have been reports recently that 3 there was a policy or an acceptance of what clearly is 4 5 absolutely reprehensible, unacceptable behavior by certain 6 Afghans with using male, essentially, sex slaves and so forth. I was very pleased to see General Campbell issue a 7 8 statement today, the current Commander in Afghanistan, who, by the way, was a two-star in Afghanistan, as you'll recall, 9 10 with the great 101st Airborne Division when I was the 11 Commander of the International Security Assistance Force. 12 He was also there as a brigade commander. And he stated 13 very clearly that has never been a policy, it is not a 14 policy now, and it certainly was not something that was 15 acceptable or even discussed, frankly, when I was the 16 Commander of the International Security Assistance Force. 17 The very first line of a counterinsurgency guidance

18 that I put out as COM ISAF said we have to help -- we have 19 to be seen to be helping secure and serve the people, and we 20 have to help the Afghan forces do the same. There's no way 21 that that kind of behavior would be seen as helping to serve 22 the Afghan people. And it is absolutely unacceptable.

23 Second, look, I do think that we have to take a very 24 hard look at our future plans for the footprint that we have 25 in Afghanistan, recognizing that now there is an Islamic

1 State presence being established there, recognizing there still is work to be done to continue the disruption, the 2 3 further disruption of al-Qaeda senior leadership in the Federally Administered Tribal Areas of Pakistan. That 4 5 campaign has had considerable -- considerable progress, 6 success, indeed not only on Osama bin Laden, but, over a certain period, three number-twos in about an 18-month 7 8 period. And that is a very, very much diminished in capability central headquarters for al-Qaeda, but it has to 9 10 continue to be disrupted, because we don't own the ground, 11 and really nor does Pakistan, fully.

12 Beyond that, we're in a situation where, with a relatively modest number of U.S. forces providing assistance 13 14 to our Afghan partners, we are able to continue to 15 accomplish the mission that we went to Afghanistan to 16 achieve. And we cannot forget why we went there and why we 17 stayed. It was because Afghanistan was where al-Qaeda planned the 9/11 attacks and conducted the initial training 18 19 for those attacks. And our mission was to ensure that never 20 again would Afghanistan be a sanctuary for al-Qaeda or other 21 transnational extremists to do that again.

That mission has been accomplished, so far, as you know, Senator. It is now being done with a relatively modest number of U.S. forces. There still are casualties, but way, way less for us. In the meantime, Afghan forces

1 are very much fighting and dying for their country to help 2 achieve the mission that is so important to us and to them, 3 to not allow the force retake their country, the Taliban, 4 that did allow al-Qaeda to camp out on its soil and plan 5 those attacks.

6 Senator Reed [presiding]: Thank you very much.
7 On behalf of Chairman McCain, let me recognize Senator
8 Donnelly.

9 Senator Donnelly: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

10 And, General, thank you and your family for your 11 service to this country. And it is good to have you back 12 here with us today, and we appreciate your ideas, your 13 advice a great deal.

14 One of the things I want to ask you about is, you 15 emphasized the need to work with the Kurds, Turkey, Israel, 16 and other allies, to interdict Iranian arms bound for extremist groups. We've had the authority to cut off these 17 shipments. What are the challenges, and what are your 18 19 recommendations, to help finish the job on this? 20 General Petraeus: Well, the challenges have been that 21 there has been fairly devious and difficult operational 22 security carried out by Iran when it has provided weapons to 23 different forces, whether it's Hamas, Hezbollah, Houthis, 24 whatever. We do have a unique situation with respect to 25 Hamas now that is quite extraordinary, and that is that

Egypt, for the first time, is cutting the tunnels and absolutely obliterating the tunnels that used to enable the, basically, free movement of goods and -- including weapons and ammunition, from the Sinai into Gaza. That is no longer a reality, and that is a major development in that regard, and a big help to us.

Beyond that, I do think we make gains in a variety of 7 8 different technologies and forms of intelligence, whether 9 it's so-called maritime big data or a variety of other 10 advances that can help us interdict that flow of -- maritime 11 flow, first, as it has, to some degree, limited the flow to 12 the Houthis, where, of course, in Yemen, where the Saudis, 13 the Emirates, the Qataris, other Gulf Cooperation Council 14 countries are engaged in rolling back the action of the 15 Iranian-supported Houthis, who, as I mentioned earlier, are 16 trying to get at the point of a gun what they couldn't get 17 at the negotiating table.

Senator Donnelly: Because I see this as a critical 18 19 part of the nuclear agreement that was just put together, 20 is, what you were talking about, the promise and the 21 guarantee that we'll stand with them to push back on the 22 conventional side from corner to corner here. And one of 23 the areas is Lebanon, as well, and Hezbollah. How do you feel we can be most effective at interdicting materiel, 24 25 missiles, and others going to Hezbollah?

General Petraeus: I think what we can most effectively do is assist our Israeli allies, frankly, with the provision of intelligence from a variety of different sources. And they have certainly not shrunk from taking action when there have been meaningful movements of military capabilities going from Syria to Lebanon, for example.

7 The concerns that President Netanyahu discussed with 8 President Putin yesterday, I believe it was, undoubtedly 9 included a discussion of Israel saying, "We will continue to 10 take action if hardware that matters moves from, say, 11 Damascus to -- into the Beqaa Valley into Lebanon 12 Hezbollah."

13 Senator Donnelly: I wanted to follow up with a 14 question about Baghdad, where you say so much has to be 15 determined. When we were in Iraq not too long ago, it was 16 pretty clear that the Shi'a leadership in Baghdad was not 17 creating any confidence with the Sunni leaders in the tribal belt out in Anbar and in other areas. And so, how do we 18 19 change that mix? I know supporting Abadi is critical, but 20 how do we change the mix of so many of the Shi'a leaders who 21 are tied to Iran so closely in getting some understanding in 22 them that it's not going to work against ISIS unless we have 23 our Sunni tribal leaders with us, and they're not going to 24 be with us until they start to feel that the Shi'a leaders 25 in Baghdad understand that, give them a piece -- give them,

> Alderson Reporting Company 1-800-FOR-DEPO

in effect, a piece of ownership of the country? General Petraeus: Well, what's very, very important is 2 3 that the elected Prime Minister of the country recognizes the criticality of inclusive politics. That is hugely 4 5 important. It's also important to recognize that the people 6 right now are quite supportive of the actions the Prime Minister is taking, because the people are outraged about 7 the lack of basic services, the corruption --8

9 Senator Donnelly: He has a real --

1

10 General Petraeus: -- and so forth.

11 Senator Donnelly: -- window now, then.

12 General Petraeus: He has a window. This is a very 13 tenuous situation, because, again, opposing him are the very 14 forces that, arguably, saved Baghdad when the Islamic State 15 was threatening it on its -- on the belts. And then, these 16 are the forces that some people are allied with. And they 17 -- by the way, at least a couple of these forces are led by individuals who were in detention, during my time as the 18 19 commander of the multinational force, because of their 20 involvement in the killing of our soldiers. They are now 21 leading, not only militias, but parties in the Parliament, 22 to give you some sense of how challenging this is.

23 So, we're going to have to patiently, painstakingly, 24 day after day, engage, use our convening authority, our 25 support for the establishment of Iraqi Security Forces not

beholden to a particular political party with Iranian
 support, and so forth.

But, this is going to be a close-run affair, make no 3 mistake about it. Prime Minister Abadi has crossed the 4 5 Rubicon into -- in the form of the reforms that he is 6 pursuing. Keep in mind that when he did away with the vice presidencies, he did away with the jobs of the former Prime 7 8 Minister of Iraq, Maliki, another former Prime Minister, Allawi, and the former Sunni Arab Speaker of the Parliament. 9 These are considerable figures, and I think it was the right 10 11 move, a very strong move, but he is going to have to be 12 shored up in every way that is possible, not just by the 13 United States, but by the coalition, and, more importantly, 14 by forces within Iraq that want to see their country move 15 forward again as an inclusive country rather than one that 16 practices exclusive politics that are carried out, in many 17 cases, at the force of a qun.

Keep in mind the outrageous activities that have taken 18 19 place in Baghdad, where one of these militias just recently, 20 basically, kidnapped -- I think it was 18 or so Turkish 21 workers, moved them all the way from Baghdad down to Basrah 22 without being stopped, and is holding them ransom down there 23 for some not particularly clear objective, other than Turkey 24 stopping the flow of ISIS into Iraq. There have been very, 25 very public threats by some of the militias against serving

1 leaders, including the Prime Minister.

So, this is a moment of real consequence, a moment of considerable drama in Baghdad, and I think we have missed how significant it is to see this number of Iraqi citizens in the streets expressing their outrage at what's going on in Baghdad, a Prime Minister who's moving to take action in response to that, but very powerful elements that are going to oppose him.

9 Senator Donnelly: Thank you again for your service to10 the country.

11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

12 Chairman McCain [presiding]: Isn't it true that the major political influence is Iranian in Baghdad? 13 14 General Petraeus: It is certainly a very important 15 one. I'd have to think through what other one might 16 possibly rival it. But, I can't think -- come up with one. 17 But, you know, having said that, Chairman, as you know, Iraq has never wanted to be the 51st state of Iran and use 18 19 that support like a crutch when it's required. The problem 20 is that, when that support gets tentacles into parties and 21 so forth, it's very hard to get it back out. 22 Chairman McCain: Senator Fischer.

23 Senator Fischer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 Thank you, General, for your --

25 General Petraeus: Senator.

Senator Fischer: -- service to this country, but also
 for being here today so that you can provide us with, I
 think, some very important insights.

4 Our approach in Syria and Iraq seems to be that we're 5 going to be relying on local partners to be the boots on the 6 ground. Just how far do you think these local partners are 7 going to be able to take us?

General Petraeus: Well, again, they'll go as far as is 8 9 in their interest to do so, which is why I mentioned earlier 10 -- we just have to be realistic about that. That is 11 reality. That's why I mentioned earlier, we should not 12 think that the Kurdish peshmerga, for example, can be pushed 13 much farther below where it is that they are in Iraq right 14 now, or, frankly, the Syrian peshmerga. Again, you might get them a bit farther, you might employ them for some 15 16 specific operations. They'll play a role in clearing parts 17 of Mosul, one would think. But, they can't, ultimately, hold those areas if they are predominantly Sunni Arab. So, 18 19 I think, in that sense, we just have to be realistic. They 20 have a stake, however, in doing, generally, what it is that 21 we want done, which is to defeat the extreme -- the most 22 extreme of extremists, the Islamic State, and then also, of 23 course, ultimately to create a context within which Bashar 24 al-Assad will be ushered from the scene in Syria, although it's difficult to tell, again, what ultimate shape Syria 25
1 will have at that point.

Senator Fischer: General Dempsey speaks about patience 2 3 and risk, and weighing of the patience needed and against how much risk we're looking at. How much patience should we 4 5 be exhibiting towards our local partners in Iraq and Syria? 6 How long should we stick with them before we reach a point where we've assumed too much risk and there may be no 7 8 options left that the United States can look at? When do we 9 reach that point? And is a tactical stalemate where we want 10 to be?

11 General Petraeus: Well, look, as I said, we are not 12 where we should be. And the tactical stalemate is actually 13 a fairly dynamic stalemate. This is not a stalemate that 14 has, you know, World War I trenches, and so forth. There's 15 a lot of movement. We are rolling back ISIS in certain 16 places, inflicting very heavy casualties on them. I would 17 not want to be a leader in the Islamic State in Irag or Syria, because I think it would be very hard to get a life 18 19 insurance policy if you were in those shoes.

Having said that, there's a lot of reinforcements flowing in. And yes, we've pushed them out of this area or that area, and then they go into Ramadi. Or, in Syria, they've sustained defeats around Khobani, and they go into lightly defended Palmyra. So, again, this is still a lot of movement. And ISIS is on the defensive in certain areas --

without question, in many areas -- but still has the freedom
 of action to exercise initiatives, certainly in some places.

The key with our partners is, of course, to be -- we should be impatient, we should push it as hard as we can. But, as you know, this is one of those where you can't rush to failure. And that's, unfortunately, what can happen if we push it just too hard.

8 Senator Fischer: I believe, in your opening, you said 9 that, in the future, what will be our relationship to the 10 Iranian power, as we see this after the agreement, and that 11 the United States used to be a counter to Iran, and now we 12 may be looking at accommodating them. Can you tell me what 13 you feel would be the challenges and if there are any 14 opportunities to both of those positions --

15 General Petraeus: Well --

16 Senator Fischer: -- if we find ourselves as --

17 General Petraeus: Sure.

Senator Fischer: -- a counter or if we find ourselves as being there just to accommodate Iran?

General Petraeus: Yeah. And again, what I said was that there are concerns in the region that we might accommodate Iran, that we might work with them, and now Russia --

Senator Fischer: And certain comments -General Petraeus: -- and Bashar.

1 Senator Fischer: -- I think --

2 General Petraeus: Now --

3 Senator Fischer: -- have challenged our credibility 4 recently, from the Secretary of State, with -- in Syria, for 5 example, though, as well. So, it goes to our credibility in 6 the region, too, beyond that.

7 General Petraeus: And credibility matters.

8 Senator Fischer: Yes.

General Petraeus: I can tell you, I was just out in 9 Asia, Mr. Chairman, and Australia, and it's all about U.S. 10 11 credibility and what does it -- what does that mean for the 12 South China Sea? Does what happened in Syria a few years 13 ago have implications for that? The answer is yes, it does. 14 At the end of the day, if Iran's foreign policy is 15 continued to be dictated by the Revolutionary Guards Corps 16 Quds Force and enables proxies like Lebanese Hezbollah, a 17 designated terrorist organization by the U.S., Hamas, another one, Houthis, again, with what they're doing, and 18 19 murderous Shi'a militia in Iraq, then obviously we have to 20 counter that malign activity. If, on the other hand, Iran 21 changes spots, whatever changes its approach and so forth, I 22 -- by all means, if the conditions change, then we should be always alert for opportunities to work with what used to be 23 24 a former enemy. We've done this throughout our history. I 25 think the chances of that are not particularly high, but

1 it's not something one can rule out if something happens as 2 a result, perhaps of Iran being reintegrated into the global 3 economy and deciding that it wants to be a responsible world 4 citizen instead of trying to achieve regional hegemony.

5 Senator Fischer: Thank you, sir.

6 Chairman McCain: Senator Cotton.

Senator Cotton: General Petraeus, thank you very much for joining us today. Thank you for your many decades of distinguished service --

General Petraeus: Thanks for your own service.
 Senator Cotton: -- to our country.

12 In your testimony, you've broken your main areas of focus down to Iraq, Syria, and Iran, and also you recognized 13 the interrelated nature of them. I want to start with the 14 15 section on Iran where you emphasize that the nuclear deal, 16 whatever its short-term implications for the nuclear program, cannot be seen as ushering in a new age of 17 accommodation or conciliation of Iran's interests in the 18 19 regions. Given what's happened in Syria over the last month 20 with Russia entering the picture, how do you think that our 21 Arab and Israeli partners in the region view our current 22 posture towards Iran's influence in Syria?

General Petraeus: Well, I think they're actually waiting to see right now, frankly. I think -- that's why I inserted the point. I talk to a number of those

individuals, and -- on a quite regular basis -- and they 1 have expressed concerns about the future. And they want to 2 see us continue to counter malign activity by Iran if that 3 continues. And we have to be very, very clear about that. 4 5 Beyond that, I think, again, the very clear, ironclad 6 statement about what would happen if Iran moves towards weapons-grade uranium enrichment after the 15-year mark, or 7 8 if they should do it before then, has -- that has to be very clear, as well. That would speak volumes. 9

10 Senator Cotton: You helpfully recommend in your 11 testimony a few concrete suggestions for policy direction 12 for each of Iran, Syria, and Iran. There is one related to Iran that says, quote, "additional actions to demonstrate 13 14 that the theater remains set with respect to our own 15 capabilities to carrying out military operations against 16 Iran's nuclear program, if necessary," end quote. Would you 17 elaborate on what you mean by that?

18 General Petraeus: Thanks, Senator.

Back -- in fact, when I was the Commander of U.S. Central Command, we developed a plan that would attack Iran's nuclear program. It was quite thoroughly developed, rehearsed, and the theater was set. In other words, as a logistician, as Senator Ernst would appreciate, we -- you know, we had all the bed-down sites, we had munitions positioned, the fuel. Everything is there so that if you

1 need to conduct an attack like that on relatively short 2 notice, you can do it. The theater has remained set, by and 3 large, ever since. I think there's the possibility of adjustments now, because some of the countries in the 4 5 region, I think, would be more accommodating to basing than 6 they were at that time. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia foremost 7 among them. So, again, I think it's time to very publicly 8 lay out how we have postured our forces -- again, not giving 9 away major secrets, here, or something like that -- but ensuring that the region knows, and Iran knows, that, if 10 11 need be, we can do what is necessary with our military 12 forces.

Senator Cotton: What message does the absence of a United States Navy aircraft carrier group in the Persian Gulf send to Iran, Syria, and Russia, on the one hand, and the Sunni Gulf states, on the other hand?

17 General Petraeus: It says that there are limits to U.S. military power. What I don't know is whether that 18 19 means that there's none in, not only the Arabian Gulf, but 20 also in the Arabian Sea. In the past, we've actually had 21 two out there, at a -- or at least a minimum of one, 22 although that one might sit off the coast, sort of south of 23 Pakistan, flying its aircraft up into Afghanistan every day. 24 And if there's none in either of those locations, again, 25 that's a statement that there are distinct limits to what it

is we're capable of doing, and therefore, there are limits
 to what we can do to help the forces in the region.

3 Senator Cotton: Moving northward to Syria, you write, in one of your proposals for Syria, "We could, for example, 4 5 tell Assad that the use of barrel bombs must end, and, if 6 they continue, we will stop the Syrian air force from I suspect that he will not listen to us if we tell 7 flying." 8 him that, so we must stop him if we want them to stop. Did you propose this policy to President Obama while you were in 9 10 government?

General Petraeus: Yeah. When Syria started, I was the Director of the CIA, not in uniform anymore, and certainly didn't have any responsibility for military actions with respect to Syria.

15 Senator Cotton: Did you support that policy that 16 others recommended?

General Petraeus: I don't remember a recommendation of it. I don't remember barrel bombs at that time, frankly. Again, this is the very early stages, where there was no Lebanese Hezbollah, there was no ISIS, there was no Jabhat al-Nusra, there was no Khorasan Group, and there was no -maybe limited Quds Force advisors on the ground.

23 Senator Cotton: And now there's Russia, with surface-24 to-air missiles and fighter aircraft. Could you explain to 25 us what exactly it would look like if we were to stop Assad

1 from using these barrel bombs or to ground his aircraft, 2 given the presence of Russia in such heavy numbers now?

General Petraeus: Well, I think Russia would probably get a little bit of advanced warning once certain assets are in the air. This doesn't mean that you have to penetrate into the integrated air defense of what might be left of that integrated air defense of Syria. You can do this with, again, lots of different forms of cruise missiles coming off of ships, subs, and planes.

Senator Cotton: Thank you. My time is expired.
 Senator Reed [presiding]: Thank you, Senator Cotton.
 On behalf of the Chairman, let me recognize Senator
 Kaine.

14 Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And, General Petraeus, great to have you with us. This testimony has been quite helpful. So, I'm going to just go into areas where I'm confused and I'm really interested in your opinion.

We've had a lot of testimony before this committee, really over the last year and a half, most recently General Austin's posture hearing in March of this year, that talks about the instability we're seeing in the region as kind of a spiking of a longstanding Sunni/Shi'a divide that is, at some points, relatively calm, and, at other points, you know, pretty significant. And yet, I've also heard others

1 say that that might overstate it. It could be more Arab v.
2 Persian or, you know, Revolutionary Guard v. monarchy, or it
3 could be all of them together. But, I just would like to
4 ask your question -- your opinion on this. Do you think the
5 Sunni/Shi'a divide, kind of the sectarian divide, is
6 widening? And is that a significant contributing factor to
7 the challenges that we're seeing?

8 General Petraeus: I think that there has been a widening of the sectarian divide. I think what you see in 9 10 Syria is very much a sectarian civil war. But, I would also 11 point out, there are also ethnic overtones, because, in 12 Syria alone, you have a Kurdish -- Syrian Kurdish element 13 that clearly wants, and has now achieved, a degree of 14 greater autonomy. And you have, of course, the same in Iraq. And then, frankly, in some other countries you have 15 16 what might be more of a tribal -- or, say, an Islamist 17 versus non-Islamist, as is the case in Libya, with a real civil war, but largely between Sunni Arabs, or in Tunisia, 18 19 which has been more of a political contest, where, 20 thankfully, the two leaders of the major parties actually 21 agreed to agree with each other, or at least not to be a --22 opposed to the bitter end, but actually reach some 23 compromise.

24 Senator Kaine: To the extent that -- so, multiple 25 factors. And that's my sense, too, from my more limited

1 experience. But, to the extent that some of the divide -some of the instability is caused by a widening sectarian 2 3 divide, would you agree that it is pretty important that the United States not unwittingly sort of, you know, plant our 4 5 feet on one side or the other of a sectarian divide? Sunni versus Shi'a is not the U.S.'s issue, and we do need to be 6 careful and just kind of be mindful of not giving the 7 8 impression that we're taking a side in a sectarian divide. 9 General Petraeus: No, I think that's -- that is 10 accurate. And I think all we have to do if people say, 11 "Well, you're on the side of the Gulf Cooperation Council 12 countries or all Sunni Arab," we would then merely point 13 out, of course, that we have supported the Shi'a Arabs in 14 Iraq, and if it were not for our action, Sunni Arabs would 15 still be ruling the country.

16 Senator Kaine: Right. Indeed.

17 General Petraeus: A Shi'a-majority country, by far.18 Senator Kaine: Indeed.

Another strategic challenge. It seems like the areas where we've done best in the battle against ISIL are the areas where we've worked in close cooperation with the Kurds. I was at the -- with Senator Donnelly at the Joint Operations Command in Erbil in July, I guess, and then some of the activities of the U.S. and Kurds working together in northern Syria have had some success. But, sadly, "no

1 success" doesn't create some of its own challenges. And, on 2 the Syrian side, it just struck me as odd that, after a long 3 time of trying to get Turkey more engaged in the battle of -- against ISIL, it was -- when we started to do a lot of 4 5 work together with the Kurds, around Khobani and elsewhere, 6 and achieved some success, that Turkey then decided, "Okay, now is time we want to really participate in this." And 7 8 then, there's obviously been tension between Turkey and some 9 of the, you know, very elements that -- Kurdish elements in 10 northern Syria that are having some success against ISIL. 11 I'd be interested in your, kind of --

12 General Petraeus: Sure.

Senator Kaine: -- thoughts on the Turkish role, here, and how we maintain that NATO alliance with Turkey and get them involved in the battle against ISIL without them cutting the legs out from under the Kurds, who have been effective partners.

General Petraeus: I mean, Turkey's been an ally for 18 19 decades, very, very important country in the defense, first, 20 against the Warsaw Pact, the Soviet Union, and continues to 21 play a very important role. And I think it's very 22 significant that, again, General Allen and others did great 23 work to get access to Incirlik Airbase and to get pledges by 24 Turkey to -- certainly to make the movement of ISIL through 25 their country into Syria much more difficult.

But, clearly there are historic tensions between Turkey and their Kurdish population. Very sadly, very tragically, there is now much greater violence as a cease-fire -- and there are various explanations as to why this has happened, and whether the blame lies in the capital of Turkey or out with the Kurds, themselves.

But, this another complicating factor, without 7 8 question. And I think we saw that the Kurdish Regional 9 Government of Iraq, which was starting to think that Turkey 10 would be very, very supportive as they were exporting oil 11 through Turkey and so forth, when they tried to reinforce 12 Khobani with Kurdish peshmerga from Iraq, found it very difficult to move that until the U.S. again offered its 13 14 convening authority and brought people together and helped 15 push that through. So, there are some historic tensions 16 there, as well.

And so, again, the - I mean, the bottom line, as you very, very rightly identified, there aren't -- there are sectarian divides that are very, very important, probably -arguably, the most important, unless you're caught in the middle of an ethnic divide --

22 Senator Kaine: Yeah.

General Petraeus: -- between, say, Arab and Kurd or Arab and Persian, when that's the most important. And then there's also a tribal overlay, and even in -- Islamist

1 versus non-Islamist in countries like, again, Libya,

2 Tunisia, and, frankly, in Egypt, for that matter.

3 Senator Kaine: Great.

4 General, thank you.

5 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

6 Senator Reed: On behalf of the Chairman, let me7 recognize Senator Rounds.

8 Senator Rounds: Thank you, sir.

9 General Petraeus, thank you very much for your service 10 to our country.

11 Over the last year or so, Prime Minister of Israel has 12 come before us and explained and expressed his concern with regard to the -- what I would call the nuclear concession 13 14 agreement which our administration has proposed. King 15 Abdullah of Jordan has been before us and has requested, as 16 he said -- first of all, on the day that it was announced 17 that one of his pilots had been incinerated, he said, "Thank you for the F-16s, but," he says, "it would be very 18 19 appropriate if we could also receive some of the armaments, 20 which we have been waiting on as a country for literally 24 21 months." And then, in the spring of this year, Saudi 22 Arabia, along with a coalition of Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, 23 Jordan, Iraq, Sudan, Egypt, and UAE, when they began their 24 campaign in support of, or at least in their attempt to make 25 headway in Yemen, we found out about it as a nation after it

1 had occurred. Seems to me that that does not suggest, in any one of those occasions, a deep degree of cooperation and 2 3 trust with those traditional partners that we have. You mentioned the need for coalition maintenance. Could you 4 5 give us your assessment on what needs to be done right now 6 to perhaps begin the process of building and maintaining 7 that coalition that we've been relying on in the Middle East for years? 8

9 General Petraeus: Sure. And some of the elements, of 10 course, were in my opening statement, where I talked about, 11 again, first and foremost, reassuring them that Iran will 12 never be allowed to enrich to weapons-grade, then approving 13 requests for various weapon systems that have taken a long 14 time to be approved and wouldn't seem to threaten any of the 15 balances about which we are concerned. That's particularly 16 interesting now that there is a convergence of interest 17 between Israel and the Gulf states, as an example. The integration of different military capabilities of the 18 19 countries themselves -- take ballistic missile defense, 20 early warning systems, and so forth -- again, this is 21 something we have been pushing. Secretary Carter has 22 encouraged, as Commander of Central Command. Again, there's 23 more we can do in those areas, as well.

Again, this is -- really comes down to a question of whether we'll be there when they need us most. There's no

question there have been strains. There's no question that some of the episodes in recent years have generated some concern. We have to be careful not to overdo it, because there's an insatiable desire for certain -- you know, the requests never stop. But, I think we do have to reassure these countries, and I've laid out some ways, in the opening statement, I think, on how we should go about that.

8 Senator Rounds: I'd like to go back to one of those 9 thoughts, and that was that you indicated we should make it 10 crystal clear that we would not allow uranium enrichment to 11 occur with regard to the Iranians' activities.

12 General Petraeus: To weapons-grade.

13 Senator Rounds: To weapons-grade.

14 General Petraeus: Right.

15 Senator Rounds: Do you think that's missing in the --16 or one of the items which was missing in the arrangement or 17 the proposal that the administration has brought forward? General Petraeus: I think we can make it more clear. 18 19 And, frankly, if Congress and the White House were to do it 20 together, if this was, you know, seen as ironclad -- again, 21 remember that, of course, it's not Members of this Congress 22 or this White House that are going to be around 15 years 23 from now. It'll be their successors' successors. But, 24 establishing a U.S. policy that becomes, again, very, very 25 foundational, I think would be a very important move. The

President did, in a letter to one of your House of
 Representatives, Congressman Nadler, lay this out, but then
 there was a little qualification later on. So, again, this
 is a time just to be absolutely clear, straightforward. And
 I think that that opportunity is there.

6 Senator Rounds: I agree with you. I wish it would 7 have been included in the proposal that we saw.

8 Finally, with regard to reconciliation, I just noted 9 one item -- when we talk about building and trying to find 10 those coalitions and so forth, I just wanted to -- a 11 clarification, and that is with regard to ISIS. Do you see 12 any reconciliation ever available with those who we now term 13 as ISIS?

General Petraeus: Certainly not with any of their leaders, middle leaders, or probably the bulk of the rankand-file. I mean, this is such an extremist organization that it is probably beyond redemption. I wouldn't rule out the possibility of a few misguided souls that want to come back to the fold.

A fair amount was made that I said that we should deal with Jabhat al-Nusra, the al-Qaeda affiliate in Syria. I really didn't say anything of the sort. What I did say is, we should try to strip away from within -- Jabhat al-Nusra has had a number of groups that probably would have been classified as moderate Sunni Arab elements drift to it

because it had resources, and they did not, and because it, probably more importantly, is actually fighting against Bashar al-Assad, and the forces that we were supporting had to accept that they'd -- they would not do that, as a condition of our providing them weapons and training.

6 And I do think that there's a possibility that there might be some sub-sub elements, and certainly some fighters, 7 8 that could be wooed back to the cause of the -- we did this 9 -- you know, it was not popular throughout the ranks in Iraq in February 2007 when I said that we are going to have to 10 11 sit down with people who have our blood on their hands --12 al-Qaeda Iraq and associated insurgent groups. That did not 13 mean that we sat down with the leaders of Iraq -- of al-14 Qaeda Iraq. We tried to kill or capture them. The same 15 with the major insurgent groups. It did mean that there 16 were a number of individuals, though, below that with whom 17 we did deal and did bring them in. Ultimately, you know, there were 103,000 or so Sons of Iraq, of which about 80,000 18 19 or so were Sunni Arab. And, by the way, there were Shi'a 20 Arab Sons of Iraq, as well, ones that wanted to shed their 21 ties with the militia, particularly after the militia were 22 defeated.

- 23 Senator Rounds: Thank you, sir.
- 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 General Petraeus: Thank you, Senator.

1 Chairman McCain [presiding]: Senator Hirono. 2 Senator Hirono: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 Thank you, General, for being here today. The Middle East is an area that is very complicated, 4 5 and there's a lot of instability there, to say the least. 6 So, how would you rank the most destabilizing forces in the Middle East, if you were to look at Assad, ISIL, Iran and 7 8 its malign activities in the region, al-Qaeda? 9 General Petraeus: Well, I mean, they're all sources of enormous instability and, really, again, problems that 10 11 extend beyond the region. The --12 Senator Hirono: So, would you be able to rank them? General Petraeus: I don't think I can, no. And I --13 14 you know, on a given day, we might be more concerned with a 15 plot by the Islamic State, which might actually do enormous 16 damage in Europe to one of our allies, or perhaps even 17 inspire something in the United States. On another day, it might be the actions of Iran in providing lethal munitions 18 19 to Hamas to rain indirect-fire --20 Senator Hirono: So --21 General Petraeus: -- objects on Israel. 22 Senator Hirono: -- General, in the 10 years that you 23 were -- that you served in the Middle East, then, has it

24 always been thus there? It could have been the Taliban, it
25 -- you know, there was always just a whole range of entities

1 who created tremendous instability in that area -- has it 2 always been that way in the Middle East?

3 General Petraeus: Oh, no, I think the instability in the Middle East is much greater now than it was, say, when I 4 5 was the Commander of U.S. Central Command, from 2008 through 6 2010. I mean, for one thing, we've had the Arab Spring. So, it's not just a result of extremist elements, Bashar al-7 8 Assad, or Iran. It is the throwing over of longtime 9 dictators who did achieve a degree of stability in their countries, but obviously at such great expense --10

11 Senator Hirono: Yes.

12 General Petraeus: -- that, ultimately, the people rejected them. So, I think that's probably the single 13 14 biggest cause of the instability. And what you see then is 15 groups like the Islamic State and, indeed, in some degree --16 to some degree, Iran and others, that are taking advantage 17 of ungoverned or inadequately governed spaces. I think one of the lessons of the post-Arab Spring is that if an area is 18 19 ungoverned or inadequately governed, extremists may well 20 seek opportunities in those locations.

21 Senator Hirono: Well, hence your caution about Assad 22 and, if he were to be toppled, then who would come in to 23 take his place.

There are some who have said that we ought to support the partitioning of Iraq, turning to Iraq, so that the

1 Kurds, the Shi'a, the Sunnis would have their areas. And I
2 believe you said, today, that that would be a bad idea. Did
3 you say that?

4 General Petraeus: I did.

5 Senator Hirono: And do you see any kind of scenario 6 where partitioning Iraq in some way would actually lead to 7 some level of stability in allowing that country to go 8 forward?

9 General Petraeus: It's a wonderful question. I have 10 no intellectual objection to the concept of a Shi'astan, 11 Sunnistan, and Kurdistan. I have never had anyone explain 12 adequately to me, though, how you get to particularly the Sunnistan and the Shi'astan. Who is it that draws the 13 14 boundaries? What happens, in terms of oil revenue for 15 Sunnistan, which has no oil production in the footprint that 16 it now occupies? So, again, this is a -- there are some 17 very serious practical issues here which, if not resolved, result in a civil war, and you'll have Syria Part 2, except 18 19 in Iraq. So, again, intellectually, academically, okay. 20 Tell me how you're going to get there in a country in which 21 the politics are so fractious --

22 Senator Hirono: Yes.

General Petraeus: -- that the Sunni Arabs feel
alienated from Baghdad. They're not going to agree. This
is not going to be an amicable divorce. This will be a

1 civil war.

Tragically, there has been further sectarian displacement during the latest violence, as there was, in fact, in the 2005-2006 timeframe, to a considerable degree. But, they're certainly by no means divided. And again, the concept for how the Sunnis would survive, how they'd generate revenue, how all of this would work, I think, are quite problematic.

9 Senator Hirono: So, would you say that any kind of 10 movement toward that kind of partitioning should come from 11 within? It certainly shouldn't be imposed upon them from --12 General Petraeus: Very, very good point. Indeed --13 Senator Hirono: We have not had --

14 General Petraeus: -- you may --

15 Senator Hirono: -- much luck doing it -- doing things 16 that way.

17 General Petraeus: You -- well, I mean, the boundaries 18 were drawn by outsiders, and --

19 Senator Hirono: Yes.

20 General Petraeus: -- you see them be obliterated now, 21 to some degree.

Yeah. No, I think you have raised a very, very important point, and that is that, whatever the future is, it's going to have to be agreed upon or it's going to be fought over.

1

Senator Hirono: Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, I did have one more question, if I -- I'm 2 running out of time, but -- would you mind? 3

4 Chairman McCain: Actually, you've run out, but please 5 go ahead.

6 [Laughter.]

Senator Hirono: Thank you. 7

Over the weekend, the U.S. began military-to-military 8 talks with Russia following the arrival of additional 9 Russian military equipment, including tanks and fighters 10 11 already in aircraft in Syria. And I just wondered, What 12 would your primary objectives be if you were holding these talks with Russia? 13

14 General Petraeus: Make sure that nothing goes bump in 15 the night, you know, that there's not an operation carried 16 out by either side that is misconstrued by the other, is misinterpreted, and ends up in shooting where there doesn't 17 18 need to be shooting.

19 Senator Hirono: Thank you.

20 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

21 General Petraeus: I mean, the same as we actually have 22 ship-to-ship conversations with Iranians. We had ship-to-23 ship conversations with Chinese in the counter-piracy 24 mission off Somalia. Actually, we had ship-to-ship with 25 Iranian ships that were actually helping with the counter-

1 piracy mission.

2 Chairman McCain: Senator Tillis.

3 Senator Tillis: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

General Petraeus, I apologize for having to step out. I've been to a committee meeting, two meetings, and a vote since then, but I was here to listen to your opening statements, and I have to agree with the Chair, I think you did a extraordinary job in kind of setting the stage for the discussion and some of the concerns we should have in the region.

11 I did want to go back -- and I do apologize if others 12 asked you to expand on this; if you did, just let me know 13 and I'll go back to the record -- but, when you were talking 14 about proposing enclaves as potential safe havens within 15 Syria, could you give me an idea of what that would look 16 like? Over what reasonable timeframe could we do it? To 17 what extent could that potentially have a positive impact on the refugee situation in the region? Just give me a little 18 bit better idea of how that would play out. 19

General Petraeus: Yeah. I don't think I can give you a timeline. I mean, it's going to start with us actually making a declaration that the barrel bombs are going to stop and that we're going to defend what's-ever in that enclave. Senator Tillis: And what statement or what strategic positions are we taking to end the barrel bombing? I mean,

what, precisely, would the U.S. military, and potentially coalition partners, be doing to make sure that that just ceases?

General Petraeus: Well, you have a policy decision and a policy statement that says, "The barrel bombs stop, and, if they don't, your air force stops flying." Our military can figure out how to stop the -- Bashar's air force from flying.

9 Senator Tillis: I have another question, and - 10 General Petraeus: Could I --

11 Senator Tillis: Oh, of course.

12 General Petraeus: On the enclave, Senator, I'm -- the 13 enclave is hugely important when it comes to refugees. I 14 mean, what's happening is, the refugees are just -- they're 15 just giving up. And so, they are very much -- they would 16 want to go back, I think, still now, if there's any hope. 17 And an enclave gives them hope. Without that, over time you're just going to see a continued exodus. And it's -- it 18 19 is already overwhelming, obviously, borders and countries in 20 Europe.

Senator Tillis: Now, we -- you know, once you create an enclave, it could, on the one hand, be a safe haven, on the other hand, be a huge target. So, then how do we -- you know, we have attempted to train the Free Syrian Army as a potential -- the original thought was not to put them in an

offensive posture, but to put them in some sort of defensive posture so they, themselves, could create, I guess, enclaves around the areas that they, maybe, came from, and that that's not working. But, how do we then make sure that we have the presence on the ground to ensure the security of these so that they would be perceived as a safe haven in the region, versus the mass exodus that we're seeing now?

8 General Petraeus: Well, first, again, there's a policy 9 decision that says, "We're going to protect you against all enemies, not just against the Islamic State." And I think, 10 11 if they understand that, and if you put a sufficient 12 constellation of assets over them, that you could do a reasonably good job with that and equip them with some 13 radios and other communications devices so that we can be 14 15 alerted if they're experiencing pressure. I -- again, I 16 don't want to make light of this. This is very complicated military activity, but it is doable. 17

18 Senator Tillis: Can they --

19 General Petraeus: And, at a certain point, I'm not, 20 you know, at all against having some of our forces in an 21 enclave --

22 Senator Tillis: And I think --

23 General Petraeus: -- assuming it's reasonably secure.
24 Senator Tillis: -- you said in an advise-and-assist
25 role.

1 General Petraeus: That's right. That's right. 2 Senator Tillis: I have a -- shifting to a different 3 direction -- Iran -- the -- last week, the President doubled down on his position to now allow petroleum exports from the 4 5 United States while, at the same time, the Iran deal was 6 going to allow Iran to export oil. I think some estimates, after the sanctions are lifted, as many as a million barrels 7 a day. It's my understanding they need a price point of 8 about \$130 a barrel for them to really start balancing their 9 10 books. 11 General Petraeus: Oh, I -- no, I don't think so at 12 all, Senator. Not Iran. 13 Senator Tillis: So, you think it's lower than that. 14 General Petraeus: Oh, I think it's much -- it's a good 15 bit lower than that, yeah. 16 Senator Tillis: I may have my --17 General Petraeus: Yeah. Senator Tillis: -- facts wrong. But, just 18 19 conceptually --20 General Petraeus: I mean, they wouldn't sell the extra 21 million barrels -- again, you're --22 Senator Tillis: If they didn't --23 General Petraeus: -- you're saying to --24 Senator Tillis: Let me finish --25 General Petraeus: -- for their budget?

Senator Tillis: -- the thought process.
 General Petraeus: I think they're okay.
 Senator Tillis: Well, let me finish the thought
 process, though.

For -- based on your military and intelligence experience, do you believe that the United States being able to also participate in the global markets and being able to export oil and other energy products to other nations who may become dependent on Iran at the same time that Iran is benefiting economically from it, is also a strategic weapon that we should be looking at?

12 General Petraeus: Look, this is not just based on my 13 military intelligence. I'm the chairman of the KKR Global 14 Institute, and I'm a partner in KKR, one of the global 15 investment firms, big private equity firms in our country. 16 And, first of all, by the way, the analysis on crude oil 17 exports shows that not only would the price of WTI, West Texas Intermediate, go up slightly so the producers would be 18 19 better off, it would actually have an impact on Brent crude 20 prices, which would come down -- the global price -- which 21 is a lot of what we refine. And the price at the pump 22 probably would go down. So, it's --

23 Senator Tillis: So --

24 General Petraeus: -- a very interesting -- if you look 25 at -- I think it's the CBO that did the analysis of this.

One of our analytical organizations here, I think, on
 Capitol Hill has looked at this. And it's a very
 interesting dynamic.

4 Senator Tillis: And, General --

5 General Petraeus: Beyond that, I don't think we should 6 get involved in markets, as a country, unless we want to do 7 something like sanctions. So, again, you wouldn't do it --8 if you want to use sanctions or economic tools as a weapon, 9 fine, but otherwise I think you have to be very careful 10 about intervention in global markets.

Senator Tillis: Mr. Chair, I apologize. I'll be brief.

13 The 130 number, I think, was the kind of profit they 14 would have to throw off to also fix their fiscal problems, 15 versus the actual market price.

16 But, the other question --

17 General Petraeus: Or maybe to do investment --

18 Senator Tillis: That's right.

19 General Petraeus: -- in the fields in the future.
20 There's --

21 Senator Tillis: That's --

22 General Petraeus: -- there's something there. But,
23 again --

24 Senator Tillis: That's what I was referring to.

25 But, I guess, finally, I'm -- I want to make sure I

understand the answer to your question. Do you believe that the United States being able to extract more energy from the regions under our jurisdiction, and provide that energy, is a part of a strategic play to hedge against Iran's ability to go out, make more money, fund more malign activities, do more of the bad things they're already doing?

General Petraeus: Look, we ought to produce all the oil and gas that we can, if we're making a profit. If we can enable countries like Iraq to revive their oil industry as we did, it helps Iraq, it funds their government. By the way, they're running a fiscal deficit now.

12 But, again, we -- this is really about market forces, I 13 think, much more than getting involved in this as a country. 14 The fact is that the energy markets right now, because of 15 the U.S. shale gale, the oil energy revolution so far, most 16 significant with crude oil in the global markets, and next 17 -- by the way, the next big disruption is going to be in the liquified natural gas markets because of the approval now of 18 19 whatever it is, six or seven LNG plants for the United 20 States -- they'll be -- and that's going to be a huge 21 challenge for President Putin. And, as I mentioned earlier, 22 Putin's hand is getting weaker. He's running enormous 23 deficits, he's carrying out very costly adventures outside 24 his country, he's got a limited amount of foreign reserves 25 left to fund this, and he doesn't have access to the global

markets, because of the sanctions on him and on the major -many of his major banks. So, I think he's got problems down
the road. And, oh, by the way, when our LNG hits European
markets, just as Australian LNG is hitting Asian markets,
you're going to see a compression of natural gas prices,
even though he's selling it off the pipeline and we'll have
had to liquefy, ship, and regasify.

8 Chairman McCain: Senator Shaheen.

9 Senator Shaheen: General Petraeus, thank you for being
10 here and for your insights into what's happening in the
11 Middle East.

12 I know -- last week General Austin was here, and he got questioned by a number of members of this committee about 13 14 the train-and-equip mission. And, unfortunately, what he 15 had to say about that mission suggests to me, and I think to 16 others on the committee, that it has not accomplished what 17 it was supposed to. And I -- my recollection is that you advocated for a similar kind of mission -- early, before it 18 19 actually started. And I wonder if you have thoughts about 20 what can be done at this point. I think, as it has been 21 operating, it has not been successful. So, what should we 22 be doing? Is there any way to right it? Should we just 23 abandon it and go on to --

24 General Petraeus: Look --

25 Senator Shaheen: -- other areas?

1 General Petraeus: First of all, you can't abandon it, because anything we want to accomplish in Syria has to be 2 3 enabled by a Sunni Arab force on the ground, whether it's the defeat of the Islamic State or creating a context within 4 5 which the Bashar al-Assad regime might be willing to go to 6 the negotiating table, or stemming the flow, the exodus, of refugees from Syria that is overflowing European countries. 7 8 Senator Shaheen: So, how --

9 General Petraeus: I think the central --

10 Senator Shaheen: -- do we make it work?

11 General Petraeus: -- the central issue is that we have 12 to pledge, and then take action, to support these fighters 13 against anybody who comes at them, whether it's ISIS, which 14 we want them to fight, or Bashar al-Assad or Jabhat al-Nusra 15 or even other elements. So, again, we're going to have to 16 support them against all of these. They want to fight 17 We've at least got to enable them to fight Bashar's Bashar. 18 forces in a local way, without, as I mentioned in my 19 statement, creating the conditions where Bashar goes before 20 we have a sense of what it is that we want to see follow him 21 or what will follow him.

22 Senator Shaheen: Thank you.

In your testimony, you talked about establishing enclaves in Syria that would be protected, which I interpreted as what's normally described as safe zones. Is

1 that what you're -- you were suggesting by the enclaves you
2 were talking about?

General Petraeus: Save havens, I think, it -Senator Shaheen: I had a -- last --

5 General Petraeus: And, by the way, they can be in the 6 south as well as the north. I mean, and actually there's a 7 reasonable one in the south, I think, arguably, contiguous 8 to Jordan.

9 Senator Shaheen: Well, last week we heard, at the Foreign Relations Committee, from Michael Powers, who -- of 10 11 Mercy Corps, which has done a lot of work -- humanitarian 12 work in Syria. And he expressed grave concerns about 13 establishing safe zones. He suggested that it would be very 14 difficult to keep them actually safe without a lot of 15 investment of additional airpower and troops. He also 16 thought they could become a target for extremists and that they could be used by some countries as an excuse to reject 17 18 refugees. So, how does your proposal suggest we address 19 those issues --

General Petraeus: Well, we're going to defend it. I mean, we have to make very -- what he's saying -- you just can't declare something a safe zone and expect everybody to honor that. We would have to -- again, this is the key. The forces that we support aren't going to stay supportable. They won't even stay alive, as we have seen, if we don't

1 take very active measures, have a credible campaign for them 2 to pursue. And part of that campaign should be establishing 3 enclaves. That's -- I don't really like the word "safe zones." There's nothing safe about a safe zone, unless 4 5 you're going to defend it. And the people on the ground 6 will judge whether or not you're doing that, and they'll vote with their feet whether they're willing to stay or even 7 8 come back or depart with all -- a number of the others.

9 So, we would have to invest in supporting that zone. 10 It doesn't mean, I don't think, that you have to have our 11 boots on the ground in that enclave. Although, again, at 12 some point, security is adequate, I would be comfortable 13 doing that, just as we were comfortable doing it in Iraq. 14 Senator Shaheen: Finally, one of the things that I 15 think we have not done as successfully as we need to is to 16 counter the ISIL propaganda. And do you have thoughts about 17 how we could be better responding?

General Petraeus: This is a really, really difficult 18 19 problem because of the magnitude of it, the sheer number, 20 the way that machines are used to amplify, to magnify. I 21 think we've got to get smarter about that. I've talked to 22 people at Google Ideas, for example, about various 23 techniques that could be used on our side in the same way 24 that they're used on their side. We did have a program at 25 CENTCOM during part of the time that I was the Commander,

where we had what we termed "credible voices." These were native speakers, sometimes dialect speakers, with academic training in various religious disciplines and so forth. And they were quite effective. The problem is that it's very costly. And again, whether that effect is really measurable is something that could be debated.

So, I think we do have to partner more effectively with those that really understand the technology. And then we have to activate those who are willing to engage in this. I don't know that it can, by any means, be all government. I just don't think we can generate the critical mass that would be sufficient for this task.

Senator Shaheen: My time is up, but should it be spearheaded by CENTCOM or by State Department? Or coordinated --

16 General Petraeus: The problem with it being 17 spearheaded by State Department can be best explained by an episode when I was the CENTCOM Commander and the Under 18 19 Secretary of State, high-ranking government official, came 20 to CENTCOM to ask, I think, for \$1- or \$2 million for --21 from us, which we provided, somehow, to help them with their 22 So, it's -- State Department has never been program. 23 adequately funded. I don't know if Senator Graham is here. 24 He would -- he's the subcommittee chair, I think, still --Senator Shaheen: Right. He is. 25

General Petraeus: -- of the key committee, and has generally agreed with that. But, we have always called for State and AID to do more, and more and more, and yet we have not given them the appropriations, nor, in some cases, the authorization to do that.

6 Senator Shaheen: Thank you.

7 Chairman McCain: Senator Ernst.

8 Senator Ernst: Thank you, Admiral McCain.

9 [Laughter.]

Senator Ernst: General Petraeus, thank you for appearing in front of the committee today.

And I think you can see, from the attendance at this committee today, that your opinions and your thoughts are very highly valued. So, thank you for sharing with us --General Petraeus: Thank you.

16 Senator Ernst: -- today your thoughts.

I would like to go back to the Kurds a little bit. I 17 think we've talked a lot about it, and everybody's asked 18 19 questions, but maybe not in all manners. So, the Kurds have 20 been a great ally to us. And I've heard that from many of 21 the men and women that have served in that region. They've 22 been a great partner for 25 years or so. And they have a 23 healthy respect for the rule of law. They've been very 24 helpful with a number of minorities -- ethnic minorities, 25 religious minorities. And what can we do to better provide

support for the Kurdish regional government, the Kurdish peshmerga? I believe we need to double down in this effort, regardless of whether they may push beyond their regional boundaries. But, they do provide an area, whether we can engage them in shaping operations, whether it is to provide an area for us to base -- can you give us some thoughts? General Petraeus: I --

8 Senator Ernst: The advantages --

9 General Petraeus: I can. The fact is, we are based 10 there. As you know, we have headquarters, we have 11 operational headquarters, we have very close relationships. 12 In both my military and intel lives, we were very, very 13 closely linked.

14 I think the single biggest issues are the provision of 15 weapons and other supplies, to streamline that. You know, 16 I've said we have to support Prime Minister Abadi. We need 17 to strengthen him. That means we can't bypass him on these issues. But, we need to figure out how to get this so that, 18 19 ideally, it doesn't have to touch down in Baghdad, it can go 20 directly to them. Some coalition members are doing that, I 21 think, actually, with --

22 Senator Ernst: They are, correct.

General Petraeus: -- our tacit approval, if not applause. I think that's the single biggest step that we could take, and to look very carefully at what it is we're
providing. And there are some additional items -- again, I
 was in -- happened to be there for a conference in
 Sulaymaniyah, back in the earlier part of this year, and had
 a lot of people come and plead that particular case.

5 The other is to determine -- you know, the KRG, the 6 Kurdish Regional Government, is in very, very difficult financial times right now because of the price of oil going 7 8 down by 55 percent. It's not only reduced what they get, 9 but it's reduced the amount from which the 17 percent that 10 they get from the central government is. And so, they're 11 having a very difficult time. They're supporting hundreds 12 of thousands of refugees on their soil. Anybody who goes up there and flies over this will see a camp every few 13 14 kilometers. And, indeed, they're fighting a war. And 15 again, if we could provide additional assistance to them 16 that would be of support, I think that would be very 17 valuable, also.

18 We have very much enabled them. We helped them hold 19 off -- had it not been for decisive action, actually, at a 20 critical moment last year, it's very possible that the 21 Islamic State might have gotten closer to the capital of 22 Erbil. That held that off, and then has really retaken most 23 of the area around the Kurdish Regional Government. And, 24 candidly, there are no more disputed internal boundary areas 25 in Iraq. They are generally controlled by the Kurdish

Regional Government as a result of the operations that have
 taken place with our support.

3 Senator Ernst: Very good. I appreciate those thoughts4 very much. I would tend to agree.

I would love to see more assistance going to the KRG,
of course, in consultation with the Iraqi government. I
applaud you on that, as well.

If we could turn to Turkey, just very briefly, we've 8 talked a little bit about the fact that they have mobilized. 9 10 And, unfortunately, what we have seen is that, through their 11 mobilization of resources, whether it's political, military, 12 instead of really pushing back against ISIS, we see there's been a turn to mobilize against PKK. And what do you see 13 14 the impact is to those coalition forces, the anti-ISIS 15 coalition forces? And what are the greater implications of 16 that, and thoughts, maybe, from some of those coalition 17 members?

18 General Petraeus: I don't know that this has a huge 19 effect on U.S. or coalition forces. They're not being 20 diverted to assist. There's a certain -- slight degree of 21 support that we have provided in the past in the 22 intelligence realm that I don't imagine has changed a great 23 What I think is very significant is what's happening deal. 24 within Turkey as a result of this. The sheer escalation of 25 the violence, a situation that was relatively calm and

1 seemed to be heading toward one in which there was greater 2 and greater reconciliation between the government in Ankara 3 and the sizable part of their population in Turkey that is Kurdish, with the allowance of certain -- meeting certain 4 5 desires of that Kurdish population. And all of a sudden, 6 the wheels have come off the bus. And whether this is connected with a future election in Turkey or something 7 8 else, it is very distressing to see, because, again, the 9 violence on both sides now has escalated very, very rapidly 10 and guite considerably.

11 Senator Ernst: Great. Thank you.

12 My time is expired. Thank you, General.

13 Thank you, Admiral.

14 Chairman McCain: Senator King.

15 Senator King: General, first, courage is an element of 16 character. And courage to admit mistakes, particularly in 17 an open forum such as you did at the beginning of your testimony today, to me is a huge indicator of character, 18 19 which I think is the essential quality of leadership. And I 20 want to compliment and acknowledge that you did something 21 that wasn't easy this morning. And it's very meaningful. 22 Question about Russia and Syria. The recent buildup of 23 Russian troops, of course, is very worrisome. On the other 24 hand, Russia was -- you should pardon the expression -- an 25 ally when it came to getting rid of the chemical weapons.

Is there a geopolitical opportunity where Russia may recognize the danger of ISIS to them, to Chechnya and to the -- that ideology -- and there could be common cause with them, not to dump Assad precipitously, but to work on a negotiated agreement, where Assad would be moved aside? Because Assad is ISIS's evil twin. He brought them into being.

8 General Petraeus: Exactly. And continues to inspire 9 the recruiting and the -- it's a magnetic attraction.

10 Senator King: Exactly. So, talk to me about the 11 possibility of talks with the Russians seeing -- I believe 12 countries act in their interests. And, in this case, they 13 have an interest in not seeing ISIS metamorphose into 14 terrorism in their country. Do -- is there an opportunity 15 here for working in concert with the Russians to move Assad 16 aside, perhaps guaranteeing their presence? You mentioned 17 the bases on the Mediterranean.

General Petraeus: This is not something I'd rule out 18 19 at all, Senator. I think, again, there's no question they 20 have an interest. They're worried about the effect on -- in 21 the Caucuses. There are Chechens that are down, fighting, 22 without question, in Syria. There's a worry, of course, 23 they'll go back, presumably, and be more effective. So, the 24 problem is, if they had wanted to have done this, if that 25 was really their goal in life, they could have contacted the

1 coalition of more than 60 countries and said, "Where could 2 you bed down our aircraft? How can you integrate us into 3 the air tasking order? We'd like to drop bombs on ISIS, 4 just like you guys."

5 Senator King: It appears --

6 General Petraeus: And, of course --

Senator King: -- that these recent moves, they've simply said, "We're going to shore up Assad, no matter what."

10 General Petraeus: It -- well, it's really -- again, 11 you -- you're right. This is about national interest, and 12 their national interest is to preserve the naval base that 13 they have at -- in Tartus, down --

14 Senator King: So, perhaps there's a way to --15 General Petraeus: -- down on the coast --16 Senator King: Perhaps there's a way to assure --17 General Petraeus: -- and then Latakia, the airbase. Senator King: Perhaps there's a way to assure that 18 19 without necessarily guaranteeing the presence of Assad. 20 General Petraeus: There could be, at some point. 21 Again, if there are serious negotiations. It's not the kind 22 of thing that you would just rule out unequivocally. The --23 this is real complicated right now, though, and if they 24 really enter the fight on the side of Assad, rather than 25 just sort of protecting, again, this coastal enclave that

1 matters to them, strategically, geostrategically, then we're 2 going to see real complications. And, ultimately, you could 3 end up -- you don't want to be in direct conflict.

4 You know, I'm -- look, Russia is an important power. 5 It has carried out very provocative actions. It doesn't 6 mean that we need to be provocative in return, but we do need to be firm in return. We do need to establish what is 7 8 unacceptable actions -- Ukraine, as an example. And we have 9 to do that here, but we've got to see this develop a bit 10 further, recognizing, again, that there is a very clear way 11 for them, if they just wanted to attack ISIS, and that would 12 be to join the coalition.

13 Senator King: Changing the subject. You talked about 14 barrel bombs and airpower. Is there an alternative -- and 15 I'm keenly -- I'm very aware of the problem, but an 16 alternative closely vetted Syrian opposition with MANPADs or 17 similar weapons, which could neutralize Assad's air force without mobilizing a major air war and coordinated strikes 18 19 and essentially escalating the conflict? In other words, 20 you --

21 General Petraeus: The --

Senator King: -- you can take care of barrel bombers
from the ground or from the air.

24 General Petraeus: This has been an issue in virtually 25 any of these kinds of endeavors that we've --

113

1 Senator King: Since Afghanistan.

2 General Petraeus: -- engaged in. Exactly. And the 3 concern, of course, is that one gets out of hands and drifts over somewhere else and takes down a civil airliner. And 4 5 so, the risk in this has to be very, very carefully measured 6 and mitigated. There are some techniques, some 7 technologies, some other things that can be employed. I'm 8 not sure that we have not done that or that other countries 9 have not done that. I -- but, it's a very risky 10 proposition. And we would -- we have to do -- exercise 11 enormous caution if we employ that. 12 Senator King: And those mitigation factors would be crucial. Final --13 14 General Petraeus: Yes. 15 Senator King: -- question. Do people wake up in Iraq 16 and think of themselves as Iraqis, or as Sunnis and Shi'as, 17 or as Kurds? General Petraeus: Sadly, I think, in recent times, it 18 19 is more their sectarian or ethnic identity, rather than 20 Iraqi. Having said that, I remember when the Iraqi soccer 21 team won the -- I think it was the Asia Cup, and that night 22 there were cheers all the way from Basrah through Baghdad to 23 Erbil. So, there can be unifying features. 24 And let's never forget, the most important centrifugal

25 force in Iraq is still there, and that is the distribution

of the oil revenues by the central government to the
 provinces, the ministries, and so forth, including the
 Kurdish Regional Government.

Senator King: Thank you. Thank you, General.
Chairman McCain: Senator Sullivan.

6 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And, General, good to see you again. I also want to echo what Senator King said about your comments earlier. We very much appreciate you being here, and what you did, what you've done for the country.

11 I wanted to just talk a little bit -- I know there's 12 been a lot written on the surge and what you did, and what the Chairman and others did, with regard to that important 13 14 strategy. To me, it's an example of where you have a strategy, you have rhetoric, and then you actually have 15 16 action. And what I mean by "rhetoric" is, we -- you know, 17 the President and others announced what we are going to do, and then we took action. And I think one of the broader 18 19 strategic failures right now that certainly we're seeing 20 with all the chaos in the world is that we -- in many ways, 21 as a country at the high levels, whether it's the President 22 or the Secretary of Defense or others -- we're talking about 23 things -- redlines in Syria, Bashar al-Assad's got to go --24 even Secretary Carter gave what I thought was a very 25 powerful speech at the Shangri-la dialogue --

1 General Petraeus: I was there. 2 Senator Sullivan: -- when we were out there. 3 General Petraeus: Right. 4 Senator Sullivan: -- on the built-up islands in the 5 South China Sea. But, the -- but, none of these statements 6 have been followed up by action, unlike what you did with 7 the surge. What happens when, as a country, we talk a lot, 8 but don't act? 9 General Petraeus: Well, first of all, I think we have 10 taken action. And I have to be somebody who sits here and 11 says that I --12 Senator Sullivan: Where have we -- on those three 13 examples --General Petraeus: We killed Osama bin Laden --14 15 Senator Sullivan: No, no, I just gave you -- I gave 16 three examples. General Petraeus: Well, no -- but, I was merely going 17 18 to say that this is not a record of unmitigated lack of 19 action. But, in my statement, I said that inaction -- in 20 some cases, inaction has consequences. And I think that is 21 the case with some of the cases that we're dealing with in 22 Syria, without question. 23 Senator Sullivan: So, what do you think happens when 24 we don't take action? 25 General Petraeus: Well, if you do not act --

116

Senator Sullivan: If you say -- if you make a
 statement --

General Petraeus: -- others may. Others will question. Again, you know, the art of this is figuring out when to take action and, of course, what action to take. This is not an argument that you should always take action, everywhere, all the time. As I said --

8 Senator Sullivan: But, shouldn't you take action if
9 you --

10 General Petraeus: -- we can't solve all the problems.
11 Senator Sullivan: Should you take action if you're
12 actually -- what I'm talking about is not just random
13 action. I'm talking about --

14 General Petraeus: Sure.

Senator Sullivan: -- to implement stated policies that you've already announced as a country. Are you hearing, in your travels throughout the world, that the United States is losing credibility, in terms of our national security and foreign policy?

General Petraeus: Look, there are some questions out there. And what I was going to do was point out where there have been actions, because there -- this is not, again, a record of no action. There have been some very, very courageous actions. I took very tough issues to this President, and he took action. There have also been some

1 that -- on which there was not action. And if those in 2 which there is not action taken really matter, then, 3 obviously, again, there are consequences. They accumulate. 4 I do think that the Syrian redline that was not a 5 redline, which had a decent outcome in the end, as was pointed out -- you know, 90 percent or so of the chemical 6 7 weapons gone. But, the way we got to that was guite a 8 circuitous path. And to be bailed out by President Putin, 9 at the end of the day, was, again, a very interesting 10 outcome. That is not the kind of case, I don't think, that 11 instills -- you know, and again, a great sense of confidence 12 in the United States.

13 Senator Sullivan: Let me ask another -- in terms of 14 actions. You know, in another area of the world, in the 15 Arctic, we're seeing a lot of strategic interest from the 16 Russians and other nations, for reasons of natural 17 resources, transportation routes. And you've seen a really pretty dramatic aggressive move by the Russians, in terms of 18 19 a new Arctic military command, four new BCTs there, 40 20 icebreakers, a lot of heated rhetoric there. And then, in 21 terms of the U.S. action, if we were to remove a -- our 22 substantial Arctic forces, say the only airborne BCT in the 23 entire Arctic or Asia Pacific, what do you think that would 24 do, in terms of additional Russian reactions in that part of 25 the world?

General Petraeus: I'm just not -- I have expertise in a reasonable number of places in the world, but I'll defer to you on the Arctic, I'm afraid.

4 Senator Sullivan: Okay, let me ask one final question, 5 General. You know, I think there's a bit of a strategic 6 irony going on, where some of us think that, in certain parts of the world, we're withdrawing. And yet, when you 7 8 look at -- and you and I have talked about the instruments 9 of American power, not only the military, but things like energy that we've talked about, the ability, in terms of 10 11 finance, the ability -- resurgence of manufacturing in the 12 United States, best universities in the world, by far. I 13 mean, the list -- agriculture -- the list is very, very 14 strong, where we have so many advantages over other 15 countries, whether it's China, whether it's Russia -- long-16 term advantages. How do we utilize those in a way that show 17 that we still are the country holding all the cards in -- on so many different instruments of power that countries 18 19 measure power by?

General Petraeus: Well, first of all, we don't all the cards, but rumors of America's demise have been greatly exaggerated, to paraphrase Mark Twain. I teach a course called "The Coming North American Decades" at the Honors College of the City University of New York. I've just done a monograph at Harvard as a Fellow, again, on "The Great New

Emerging Economy: North America." When I was asked, a year or so ago, in London, "After the American Century, what?" -and I think they asked -- expected me to say, "The Asian Century" or "The Chinese Century." I said "The North American Decades."

6 The bottom line is that our economy is fundamentally -it's got lots of challenges and there's a lot of issues that 7 8 we need to resolve, some with the help of this body, working 9 together with the other body. All that notwithstanding as -- at a time when the number-two economy is slowing down 10 11 quite significantly, we don't yet see the rise of India, the 12 Eurozone has got a very differentiated recovery. The U.S. 13 has continued -- we may be in the longest recovery in our 14 history. It has not achieved escape velocity. There's aspects of it, again, that are not great. But, when you 15 16 look at the rest of the world, and when you look at the 17 fundamentals of the United States, whether it's demography compared to the others, whether it is the values that we 18 19 share with our two neighbors -- I mean, you don't see Mexico 20 asking China to pivot to North America to help them balance 21 against the United States the way every country that has a 22 maritime boundary with China is doing to us.

23 So, there are enormous strengths here in this country. 24 You enumerated a number of them. I've laid them out 25 elsewhere. There are a number of actions that this body,

1 again, could take to address issues that are really headwinds to us capitalizing on this tremendous opportunity, 2 because of the Energy Revolution, foremost, but also the IT 3 Revolution, which enables all the others, the Manufacturing 4 5 Revolution that's now beginning to gather steam, and the 6 Life Sciences Revolution, which is starting to gather momentum, as well. We are the leaders, or among the 7 8 leaders, in every one of these areas. And we have a number 9 of really great, again, fundamentals here that are going to 10 keep this country and North America, writ large, in a very 11 enviable position. I would not want to be in any other 12 economy than this one right here. And I now get paid to 13 analyze those kinds of factors and elements. 14 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, General. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 Chairman McCain: Senator Graham. Senator Graham: General, thank you very much for a 17 lifetime of extraordinary service under difficult 18 19 circumstances. 20 General Petraeus: And thanks to you for yours. As I 21 noted in a response to --22 Senator Graham: Yes, sir. 23 General Petraeus: -- a local newspaper's article here, 24 you served nine stints under my command in Iraq, CENTCOM, 25 and Afghanistan alone, each of those as a week or a bit

121

1 longer. I was very skeptical before the first one. I
2 didn't --

3 Senator Graham: Yeah.

General Petraeus: -- appreciate the great opportunity
we were going to have.

6 Chairman McCain: We can understand the skepticism.7 [Laughter.]

8 General Petraeus: And -- yes -- and, under duress, I 9 accepted Colonel Lindsey Graham of the Judge Advocate General Corps of the U.S. Air Force Reserve, and I must say 10 11 that, after every single one of those visits, you came back 12 and provided a real nugget and one of these big ideas that 13 helped us come to grips with one of the serious issues we 14 were confronting, starting with issues that we had at Camp Bucca, as you'll recall, in Iraq, and carrying all the way 15 16 through various legal conundrums that we had with President 17 Karzai in Afghanistan.

Senator Graham: Well, thank you. You've certainly
made my day. And it was a very small contribution, and it
--

21 General Petraeus: And I am nonpartisan, by the way --22 [Laughter.]

23 General Petraeus: -- Mr. Chairman. I -- really.
24 Honestly.

25 Senator Graham: But, I really appreciate that. The

bottom line is, I enjoyed the heck out of it, and I learned a lot under your command and working with people in the region.

So, let's try to see if we can make some sense out of the world as it is. There's two things going on at once, I think, in the Mideast: a fight for the heart and soul of Islam and a demand for social justice, particularly by young people and women. Do you agree with that?

9 General Petraeus: Certainly among the two biggest 10 issues. I don't know if -- I'd put some economic issues 11 that might be in the social justice category, but that one 12 be another element that's --

13 Senator Graham: The only reason I mention this -- I 14 just want the American people to understand that young 15 people are not going to live in dictatorships for our 16 convenience any longer. Do you agree with that?

General Petraeus: They're not doing it for our convenience, to begin with, but I think what -- the real point here is that the age of the --

20 Senator Graham: Yes.

21 General Petraeus: -- dictators is certainly under a 22 certain degree of strain. And we've seen it boil over in 23 Libya, Tunisia, Egypt, Syria -- some degree, Yemen.

24 Senator Graham: Well, would you agree that America 25 should take sides in this struggle, and side with young

people and say, "Yes, you're right to demand a larger voice about your children if you're a mother, you're right to want more economic opportunity." We should say -- we should embrace what they're asking for.

5 General Petraeus: Yeah, I don't know that I would do 6 this as a universal declaration, but I would certainly have 7 that in the back of my mind as I looked at each --

8 Senator Graham: Well --

9 General Petraeus: -- each and every case.

Senator Graham: Well, I'm going to do it as a universal declaration. That's just me, though.

Now, on the other side of Islam, there's a -- do you agree with me that most Muslims reject radical Islam? General Petraeus: Yes. Yeah.

Senator Graham: And that is a -- to suggest otherwise, you really don't understand the region -- that the biggest victim of radical Islam is other people in the faith.

18 General Petraeus: It's generally Muslims.

19 Senator Graham: Yeah. And you have been there more 20 than anyone I know. Don't you agree with me that the good 21 news for all of us is that we can partner with people within 22 the faith who are willing to partner with us and destroy 23 this radical ideology? And it's going to require these 24 partnerships.

25 General Petraeus: Correct. I mean, we have sought to

1 do that. We have done that. We do it --2 Senator Graham: So, when people say they're --General Petraeus: -- in our own country. 3 4 Senator Graham: -- all the same, they don't know what 5 they're talking about. You have seen --6 General Petraeus: I'm a Presbyterian. I don't think all Presbyterians are the same, either, frankly. 7 8 Senator Graham: Good. Good. Nor do I, General. But, the point I'm trying to make, for people to look 9 at the Mideast as "everybody's the same, everybody is 10 11 radical," they miss the boat. Most fathers and mothers 12 don't want to give their daughters to ISIL. General Petraeus: Correct. 13 14 Senator Graham: So, that is something we need to build 15 upon. 16 In terms of Iraq, the President has said the goal is to 17 degrade and destroy ISIL. That is the right goal. Do you 18 agree? 19 General Petraeus: "Destroy" is a very high bar in the 20 military lexicon, and I think it's actually been lowered 21 slightly to "defeat," which I think is adequate. 22 Senator Graham: Okay. 23 General Petraeus: I'd love to destroy them, as well. 24 We did destroy al-Qaeda in Iraq, I think --25 Senator Graham: You --

125

1 General Petraeus: -- it's safe to say. Senator Graham: -- certainly did. And I want --2 3 General Petraeus: And, sadly, they were able to resurrect themselves in the form of ISIS, and then gain 4 5 strength in Syria and come back into Iraq. 6 Senator Graham: Absolutely. Now -- but we are where 7 we are. The surge --8 General Petraeus: Right. Senator Graham: -- didn't work, and it was a marvelous 9 10 thing to witness. 11 Do you believe more American ground forces would help 12 lead to the defeat of ISIL in Iraq? 13 General Petraeus: What I've laid out here today is, 14 indeed, a requirement for additional forces -- not ground 15 combat forces. 16 Senator Graham: I agree. General Petraeus: Additional advisors at brigade 17 headquarters level, probably augmentation at -- what's going 18 19 to happen is, you know, you will get a critical mass, at 20 some point, of Sunni forces. And it will start off -- set 21 off a chain reaction, as we did when we had the --22 Senator Graham: Sure. 23 General Petraeus: -- the Anbar Awakening, where we --24 it rippled up and down the Euphrates River, then ultimately it goes up the Tigris. We have to be prepared to capitalize 25

1 on that. And I suspect we'll have more training locations, more locations where we'll have advisors in assistance. 2 Senator Graham: Right. But, would a couple of 3 aviation battalions help -- Army aviation battalions? 4 5 General Petraeus: It would help. You're going to incur greater risk, obviously --6 Senator Graham: Definitely. 7 8 General Petraeus: -- and you're now getting into the 9 -- into this in a way -- we have, obviously, attack 10 helicopters, which we have employed. 11 Senator Graham: Right. 12 General Petraeus: Now you're starting to add numbers 13 quite considerably, and I'd be concerned about possible ramifications of that. 14 15 Senator Graham: And I -- I'm over, but I do want to 16 talk about Syria. Is there anyone left to train in Syria 17 that would have the capability to both destroy ISIL and push Assad out? Is there an indigenous force left to train? 18 19 General Petraeus: I think there are forces that, if we 20 pledge to support them against everybody, not just the --21 fight the Islamic State -- and start off by actually 22 allowing them to solidify control over an enclave --23 Senator Graham: Right. 24 General Petraeus: -- before we launch them or push 25 them into an offensive --

127

1 Senator Graham: What about a regional force? Would you support the creation of a regional force with two goals 2 3 in mind: to destroy ISIL and push Assad out? 4 General Petraeus: I'd have concerns about that. I 5 think that --6 Senator Graham: What concerns? General Petraeus: -- to have neighbors go into one of 7 8 the countries in this region -- again, every country is 9 different, and -- but, to go into a country that is as already fractured as is Syria, I think there are some 10 11 complications with that. 12 Senator Graham: Finally, Assad should go? He must go? 13 General Petraeus: He has to go, ultimately. 14 Senator Graham: Right. 15 General Petraeus: But, the keyword there is 16 "ultimately," underscored and bold letters, because, until 17 we have a sense of what will replace him, we need to be very careful not to push him out, because what comes after could 18 19 actually be even worse. 20 Senator Graham: How many people do you think are left 21 that would be willing to fight both ISIL and Assad? And how 22 long would it take to train this indigenous force? And 23 would you have American boots on the ground as part of that 24 training? 25 General Petraeus: I -- I'd put them, certainly, on the

ground, first in Turkey and Jordan. I'd certainly be willing to put them into an enclave, when it's solidified, secure, and you're not going to put people in jeopardy of ending up in an orange jumpsuit in a cage.

5 Senator Graham: Right. But, do -- how long do you
6 think it would take to --

General Petraeus: I don't know, Senator. Again, you
give me the assumptions, and I could give you a timeline.
But, again, there's a host of assumptions that we'd have to
make before we could get any precision on that.

11 Senator Graham: Thank you very much.

12 General Petraeus: Thank you, Senator.

13 Senator Reed [presiding]: General, on behalf of 14 Chairman McCain, let me thank you for your extraordinary 15 testimony, insightful and thought provoking as always, and 16 also for your incredible service to the country. And one thing that always impressed me about you is that your 17 dedication to the men and women you led was unshakeable, and 18 19 everything you did was about those young soldiers and 20 sailors and marines and airmen. Thank you, sir.

21 General Petraeus: Thank you, Senator.

22 Senator Reed: The hearing is adjourned.

23 [Whereupon, at 12:23 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.]

24

25