### Stenographic Transcript Before the

### Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities

# COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

## UNITED STATES SENATE

### HEARING TO MARK UP THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

Tuesday, May 12, 2015

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY 1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 289-2260

1	HEARING TO MARK UP						
2	THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT						
3	FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016						
4							
5	Tuesday, May 12, 2015						
6							
7	U.S. Senate						
8	Subcommittee on Emerging						
9	Threats and Capabilities						
10	Committee on Armed Services						
11	Washington, D.C.						
12							
13	The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 3:33 p.m.,						
14	in Room SD-106, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Deb						
15	Fischer, chairman of the subcommittee, presiding.						
16	Members Present: Senators Fischer [presiding], Ayotte,						
17	Cotton, Ernst, Cruz, Nelson, Shaheen, Donnelly, and Kaine.						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

- 1 OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. DEB FISCHER, U.S. SENATOR
- 2 FROM NEBRASKA
- 3 Senator Fischer: The Subcommittee on Emerging Threats
- 4 and Capabilities will come to order. I am pleased that the
- 5 markup of the Emerging Threats and Capabilities Subcommittee
- 6 is going to be held in open session, and I am confident that
- 7 we can conduct our business in public without any disclosure
- 8 of classified information or any adverse impact on the
- 9 process.
- 10 In this regard, I ask that any senator wishing to offer
- 11 an amendment or raise an issue of a classified nature defer
- 12 to the full committee's consideration. And please note also
- 13 that while the subcommittee is in open session, the entire
- 14 contents of the markup book are subject to amendment
- 15 throughout its consideration by the Armed Services
- 16 Committee, and are, therefore, embargoed until passage by
- 17 the full committee and reported to the Senate.
- 18 Traditionally the subcommittee has used the chairman's
- 19 mark as a markup vehicle. Without objection, we will use
- 20 the chairman's mark as the markup vehicle subject to
- 21 amendment. Is there objection?
- [No response.]
- 23 Senator Fischer: Without objection, so ordered.
- 24 I would first like to thank Senator Nelson for his
- 25 contributions as ranking member. It has been a pleasure

- 1 working with you. I would also like to thank my colleagues
- 2 for their attendance and their interest this year. We have
- 3 had a number of productive hearings on emerging threats and
- 4 capabilities, and the hard work of many senators has
- 5 produced the bipartisan mark we have before us today. As
- 6 always, the staff of each member and the subcommittee staff
- 7 deserves acknowledgment and thanks for the effort that they
- 8 put forth.
- 9 Before turning to Senator Nelson for his opening
- 10 comments, I would like to briefly discuss some of this
- 11 mark's key features. First, this mark supports the need of
- 12 our warfighters. It authorizes \$8.1 billion for U.S.
- 13 Special Operations Command and identifies significant
- 14 savings which are used to meet unfunded requirements of both
- 15 U.S. Southern Command and Special Operations Command.
- 16 For example, the mark increases funding by \$15 million
- 17 to help address technology gaps that have been identified by
- 18 Special Operations Command in its unmanned vehicle fleet.
- 19 It also authorizes an increase of \$30 million to support
- 20 Southern Command's monitoring and interdiction operations in
- 21 Central America. In the area of cybersecurity, which is a
- 22 new addition to this subcommittee's jurisdiction, the mark
- 23 would codify the Department of Defense's role in defending
- 24 the Nation in cyberspace, and provides additional funding
- 25 for some of U.S. Cyber Command's highest priorities. The

- 1 mark also includes an addition of \$200 billion for the
- 2 services to assess the cyber vulnerabilities of their new
- 3 and legacy weapon systems. The subcommittee examined this
- 4 particular issue during its hearing on cybersecurity earlier
- 5 this year, and will continue to follow it with great
- 6 interest.
- 7 The mark also reauthorizes key assistance and training
- 8 programs at the Department of Defense to help build partner
- 9 capacity and provides new authority to conduct similar
- 10 efforts to build partners' intelligence capabilities. It
- 11 also creates new cooperative initiatives in the South China
- 12 Sea and the Middle East to support U.S. interests in these
- 13 key regions. In the science and technology portion of the
- 14 mark, the committee has identified over \$100 million in
- 15 savings by eliminating inefficiencies, reducing
- 16 redundancies, and terminating outdated efforts. These cuts
- 17 are reinvested in higher priority areas, including the war
- 18 fighter requirements that I just mentioned.
- The mark also creates a \$400 million technology offset
- 20 initiative. The subcommittee's concern about threats to our
- 21 military's technological superiority goes back to the last
- 22 Congress, and it continues to grow. Assistant Secretary
- 23 Kendall has been absolutely clear: our technological edge
- 24 over potential adversaries is eroding. In November of last
- 25 year, the Department announced an offset initiative designed

Τ	to address precisely this problem and promote the next
2	generation of technology that will ensure our military's
3	continued advantage. I am proud that our committee has
4	taken the important step of putting real investment behind
5	the Department's effort. After all, maintaining our
6	technological superiority can deter potential aggressors,
7	and should we have to fight, it increases the changes of
8	victory on the battlefield and the likelihood that our
9	soldiers will return home safely.
10	With that, I turn to my colleague, Senator Nelson, for
11	any opening remarks that he would like to make.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 STATEMENT OF HON. BILL NELSON, U.S. SENATOR FROM
- 2 FLORIDA
- 3 Senator Nelson: Thank you, Madam Chair. Indeed it has
- 4 also been a pleasure for me working with you and with the
- 5 members of the subcommittee. And I think the relative
- 6 degree of relaxation here would indicate to you that this is
- 7 not a controversial markup as a result of especially the
- 8 staff working out all of the kinks. And it is the Armed
- 9 Services Committee staff that really does an extraordinary
- 10 job. So I want to underscore that.
- And let me just highlight a couple of things I think
- 12 bear -- some of which you have already mentioned, and it
- 13 bears repeating. Special operations, recommended funding at
- 14 the requested level of \$10.6 billion for SOCOM Command.
- 15 That includes an increase of \$17 million to address
- 16 technology gaps in SOCOM's fleet of MQ-9 reapers and
- 17 tactical unmanned aerial vehicles.
- 18 The mark includes multiple cyber-related provisions.
- 19 One would require the Department to have a panel of
- 20 independent experts, the Joint Staffs War Gaming Division,
- 21 to assess the viability of Cyber Command strategy for
- 22 defending the Nation against cyberattacks. Madam Chair,
- 23 this senator is worried about that, and so at every turn,
- 24 and one of the reasons that I wanted to be ranking on this
- 25 subcommittee was because of the threat of cyberattacks that

- 1 are hitting us every day. And it is vital that we have a
- 2 realistic understanding of the prospects for blocking
- 3 nation-state attacks on critical infrastructure and non-
- 4 nation state attacks.
- 5 The mark also includes a provision to designate
- 6 executive agents from among the services who will build the
- 7 major foundational capabilities for Cyber Command to operate
- 8 and hopefully effectively, namely a network infrastructure
- 9 to securely operate on the global internet. On building
- 10 partner capacity matters, the mark takes \$1 billion from the
- 11 President's budget for the Counterterrorism Partnership
- 12 Fund, but does extend and, in some cases, expands and
- 13 creates new authorities for the Department to conduct a
- 14 broad range of capacity building activities. And by the
- 15 way, we are going to be -- after your mark, we are going
- 16 over to an all senators briefing that will include the head
- 17 of Cyber Command.
- In the area of science and technology, I certainly
- 19 appreciate your initiative to add \$400 million in funding to
- 20 support critical areas like high energy lasers, unmanned
- 21 systems, intelligence data fusion, and other technologies
- 22 that will help the U.S. keep our technological superiority.
- 23 And there are also increases in here on university research.
- 24 And the mark has included provisions that will improve the
- 25 Department's network of research laboratories, which is one

1	of our great strengths, and trying to leverage them even
2	further by having the ability to partner with industry.
3	So what I would like to do, I short-circuited that
4	comment so we can get on. I would like to insert the total
5	statement into the record.
6	Senator Fischer: Certainly, without objection.
7	[The information referred to follows:]
8	[SUBCOMMITTEE INSERT]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 Senator Fischer: Before we begin the amendment
- 2 process, I want to remind my colleagues that any amendment
- 3 to add funding must include an offset. And I understand we
- 4 have a package of 17 cleared amendments that have been
- 5 reviewed by the majority and the minority staff and jointly
- 6 recommended for adoption. This package does not include
- 7 amendments that require the Department of Defense to prepare
- 8 a report pursuant to the chairman's instructions. Members
- 9 may offer such amendments following the disposition of the
- 10 manager's package.
- 11 The package of amendments is on the table in front of
- 12 you, and I would ask that the package of cleared amendments
- 13 be considered at this time. This package includes Nelson
- Number 16; Blumenthal 31, as modified; Ayotte 42; Heinrich
- 15 75, as modified; Ernst 101, as modified; Inhofe 104, as
- 16 modified; Inhofe 130; Donnelly 136, as modified; Donnelly
- 17 138, as modified; Donnelly 139; Lee 153; Hirono 160, as
- 18 modified; Fischer 168; Fischer 169; Fischer 180; Inhofe 177,
- 19 as modified; and Ayotte 179.
- 20 If there are no questions or debate on these
- 21 amendments, I would ask unanimous consent that the package
- 22 of cleared amendments be adopted en bloc. Is there any
- 23 objection?
- [No response.]
- 25 Senator Fischer: Without objection, the package of

- 1 amendments is adopted and will be added to the subcommittee
- 2 mark.
- We also have a list of amendments requiring reports
- 4 from the Department of Defense. However, members will need
- 5 to call up their amendments in order for them to be added to
- 6 the package for adoption. Does any member wish to be
- 7 recognized to call up such an amendment?
- 8 Senator Nelson: I would --
- 9 Senator Fischer: Senator Nelson?
- 10 Senator Nelson: I would ask that we call up Number 47,
- 11 Shaheen, and Number 137, Donnelly, and let them speak to
- 12 their amendments.
- 13 Senator Fischer: Senator Shaheen?
- 14 Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, or Senator
- 15 Nelson as Ranking Member and Madam Chair. Shaheen Amendment
- 16 Number 47 is on the Eastern European Training Initiative,
- 17 and for those of us who were here when General Breedlove
- 18 came in, he talked about the need for this. It would
- 19 authorize the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the
- 20 State Department, to carry out the Eastern European Training
- 21 Initiative for two years with Partnership for Peace
- 22 countries, using up to \$28 million per year in budget
- 23 authority.
- 24 Senator Fischer: Thank you, Senator Shaheen. The
- amendment will be added to the package.

- 1 Senator Donnelly?
- 2 Senator Donnelly: Thank you, Madam Chair. I would
- 3 like to offer Amendment Number 137. This amendment would
- 4 add a provision requiring the Department of Defense to
- 5 conduct a study to assess the presence, scope, and effect on
- 6 the Department of Defense operations of counterfeit
- 7 electronic parts that have made it through the DoD supply
- 8 chain and into fielded systems, potentially contributing to
- 9 system failures. Amendment 137 is the legislative text. I
- 10 believe this amendment has been cleared by both the majority
- and minority staffs, so I ask for its adoption.
- 12 Senator Fischer: Thank you, Senator Donnelly. The
- 13 amendment will be added to the package.
- 14 Are there other report requiring amendments? Senator
- 15 Ernst?
- 16 Senator Ernst: Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. I would
- 17 call up Amendment 171, 176, and, on behalf of Senator
- 18 Inhofe, Amendment 106. Amendment 171 requires the DoD to
- 19 submit a report on ISIS, al-Nusra, and other terrorist
- 20 groups' lines of communications through countries bordering
- 21 Syria and the threat posed by these lines of communications
- 22 to the homeland, our men and women in uniform, DoD
- 23 installations, and diplomatic facilities located in Europe
- 24 and the Middle East.
- 25 Amendment 176, co-sponsored by my colleagues, Senators

- 1 Inhofe and Ayotte, permanently establishes the National
- 2 Guard State Partnership Program and requires the Department
- 3 of Defense comptroller to submit a report on establishing a
- 4 central fund to manage the program under DoD.
- 5 And on behalf of Senator Inhofe --
- 6 Senator Fischer: Senator Ernst, was Amendment 176
- 7 modified?
- 8 Senator Ernst: Yes, I believe it was.
- 9 Senator Fischer: So as modified.
- 10 Senator Ernst. Thank you, Madam Chair. And then on
- 11 behalf of Senator Inhofe, Amendment 106. And according to
- 12 Senator Inhofe, this amendment would direct the Secretary of
- 13 Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to
- 14 provide a briefing to congressional defense and foreign
- 15 relations committees on any unmanned aircraft systems
- 16 request from Jordan and other Middle Eastern countries that
- 17 are contributing to Operation Inherent Resolve.
- And it is my understanding that these amendments have
- 19 been agreed upon by the minority and majority staffs, and I
- 20 would request adoption of these amendments.
- 21 Senator Fischer: They will be added to the package.
- 22 Senator Ernst: Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 23 Senator Fischer: Anyone else?
- [No response.]
- 25 Senator Fischer: I would recognize myself to call up

- 1 the Fischer Amendment Number 170, which requires a report
- 2 from the Department of Defense. The amendment has been
- 3 cleared by the majority and minority side. It would direct
- 4 the Secretary of Defense to review the management and
- 5 oversight of security assistance and cooperation programs.
- Are there other senators wishing to add amendments?
- 7 [No response.]
- 8 Senator Fischer: This package includes Shaheen 47, as
- 9 modified; Inhofe 106; Donnelly 137; Fischer 170; Ernst 171
- 10 and Ernst 176, as modified. The package has been cleared by
- 11 the staff on both sides. Without objection, these
- 12 amendments will be adopted en bloc. Is there objection?
- [No response.]
- 14 Senator Fischer: Without objection, the amendments are
- 15 adopted.
- 16 Are there other non-report requiring amendments?
- 17 Senator Kaine: Madam Chair?
- 18 Senator Fischer: Yes, Senator Kaine?
- 19 Senator Kaine: I am not going to put an amendment on
- 20 the table, but I just want to alert the committee to an
- 21 amendment that I think everybody would be interested in.
- 22 Since this subcommittee deals so significantly with cyber
- issues, I have an amendment pending in the Personnel
- 24 Subcommittee on cyber hiring authority. We tried to do an
- 25 expanded hiring authority for our cyber force last year, and

- 1 that had to clear through both Armed Services and Homeland
- 2 Security, and it did not clear through both last year, so we
- 3 did not do it. At the end of last year, Homeland Security
- 4 separately did something on the floor to grant expanded
- 5 hiring authority for cyber professionals in the Homeland
- 6 Security sort of jurisdiction, so we are trying to do the
- 7 same thing and catch back up so that the DoD has the same
- 8 expanded hiring authorities for cyber professionals. That
- 9 is a cyber matter, but it is on the personnel side, and that
- 10 is being raised, I think, by Senator Gillibrand in
- 11 Personnel. So this may come before the full committee
- 12 tomorrow, and I just wanted to alert folks to it.
- 13 Senator Fischer: Thank you, Senator. Any other
- 14 amendments?
- 15 [No response.]
- 16 Senator Fischer: If there are no further amendments to
- 17 be considered, we will conclude the markup. Without
- 18 objection, the recommendations of the subcommittee will be
- 19 reported to the full committee, and the staff will be
- 20 authorized to draft a bill and report language implementing
- 21 agreements reached today, and to make necessary technical
- 22 and conforming changes. Is there objection?
- [No response.]
- Senator Fischer: Without objection, so ordered.
- 25 With that, I would like to thank my colleagues for

Τ	their work or	the s	subcom	mittee	this	s year,	and t	the mar.	kup 1
2	adjourned.								
3	[Whereup	on, at	3:50	p.m.,	the	hearing	was	adjour	ned.]
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									