Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

HEARING TO CONSIDER THE NOMINATION OF GENERAL JOSEPH F. DUNFORD, JR., USMC, TO BE CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

Thursday, July 9, 2015

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY 1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 289-2260

1	HEARING TO CONSIDER THE NOMINATION OF
2	GENERAL JOSEPH F. DUNFORD, JR., USMC, TO BE
3	CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
4	
5	Thursday, July 9, 2015
6	
7	U.S. Senate
8	Committee on Armed Services
9	Washington, D.C.
10	
11	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:33 a.m. in
12	Room SH-216, Hart Senate Office Building, Hon. John McCain,
13	chairman of the committee, presiding.
14	Committee Members Present: Senators McCain
15	[presiding], Inhofe, Sessions, Wicker, Ayotte, Fischer,
16	Cotton, Ernst, Tillis, Sullivan, Lee, Graham, Cruz, Reed,
17	Nelson, McCaskill, Manchin, Shaheen, Gillibrand, Blumenthal,
18	Donnelly, Hirono, Kaine, and Heinrich.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN McCAIN, U.S. SENATOR
 FROM ARIZONA

3 Chairman McCain: Well, good morning.

The Senate Armed Services Committee meets today to
consider the nomination of General Joseph Dunford to be the
Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

General Dunford is certainly no stranger to the members of this committee. We have known him as Commandant of the Marine Corps and our commander in Afghanistan and many posts before that. He is a warrior and a leader of the highest quality, and we are grateful for his 38 years of

12 distinguished service.

We are also thankful for the sacrifices General 13 14 Dunford's family has made over the years and their 15 willingness to lend him to the Nation in service once again. 16 As is our tradition, at the beginning of your testimony, we 17 welcome you, General Dunford, to introduce the members of your family joining you this morning. I would, however, 18 19 like to take this moment to express our special thanks to 20 your wife Ellyn. We know how much of your husband's service 21 and his future absence will rest on you and we honor the 22 sacrifices you are making through your continued support to 23 our Nation, not to mention the downgrade in your residence 24 that will be part of this.

25 [Laughter.]

1 Chairman McCain: The next Chairman will have to 2 prepare our military to confront the most diverse and 3 complex array of global crises since the end of World War 4 II.

5 In Iraq and Syria, ISIL's terrorist army has continued 6 to succeed on the battlefield, including taking Ramadi and 7 other key terrain in Iraq, capturing over half the territory 8 in Syria, and controlling every border post between Iraq and 9 Syria. The lack of a coherent strategy has resulted in the 10 spread of ISIL around the world to Libya, Egypt, Nigeria, 11 and even to Afghanistan where I visited last weekend.

12 There, our troops are supporting our Afghan partners in sustaining a stable and democratic future. But even as ISIL 13 14 and the Taliban threaten this future, the President remains 15 committed to a drastic reduction in U.S. presence at the end 16 of 2016 before the Afghan government and security forces are fully capable of operating effectively without our support. 17 This would create a security vacuum, and we have seen what 18 19 fills similar kinds of vacuums in Syria and Iraq. Given 20 your experience in Afghanistan, General Dunford, we will be 21 interested to hear your thoughts about the appropriate U.S. 22 and coalition presence going forward.

23 Meanwhile, Iran continues to threaten peace and 24 stability across the Middle East through its support of 25 terrorist proxies, pursuit of nuclear weapons, and

development of missiles needed to deliver them to targets
 far beyond its shores.

In Europe, Vladimir Putin's Russia continues its onslaught in Ukraine. But even as Russian troops and equipment execute this neo-imperial campaign to undermine Ukraine's government and independence, the United States has refused Ukraine the weapons it needs and deserves for its defense.

9 In the Asia-Pacific, China is continuing a pattern of destabilizing behavior, its reclamation and militarization 10 11 of vast land features in the South China Sea, its continued 12 military buildup designed to counter U.S. military strengths, and its blatant and undeterred cyber attacks 13 14 against the United States. While our rebalance to the Asia-15 Pacific has shown some successes, especially in deepening of 16 our alliances, this policy has not deterred China from its 17 increasingly assertive course.

And yet, while worldwide challenges like these grow, the Defense Department has grown larger but less capable, more complex but less innovative, more proficient at defeating low-tech adversaries but more vulnerable to hightech ones. And worse, the self-inflicted wounds of the Budget Control Act and sequestration-level defense spending have made all of these problems worse.

25 Army and Marine Corps end strength is dropping

1 dangerously low. The Air Force is the oldest and the 2 smallest that it has ever been. The Navy's fleet is 3 shrinking to pre-World War I levels. With the present operational tempo and drastic reductions to defense 4 5 spending, we will continue the downward spiral of military 6 capacity and readiness that will compromise each service's ability to execute our Defense Strategic Guidance at a time 7 8 of accumulating danger to our national security.

9 Budget cuts have also slowed critical modernization priorities, imperiling our Nation's ability to preserve its 10 11 military technological advantage. This is not just about 12 the weapons systems we hear the most about, fighter aircraft, submarines, or armored vehicles. 13 These are 14 important, but budget cuts also threaten our ability to 15 seize the future and make vital investments in cyber, space, 16 and breakthrough technologies such as directed energy, 17 autonomous vehicles, and data analytics.

The current Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff has 18 19 stated that even if the Defense Department receives the 20 additional \$38 billion above the budget caps that the 21 President's defense budget requests, our military would 22 still, quote, "remain at the lower ragged edge of manageable 23 risk in our ability to execute the defense strategy." More 24 worrisome, every one of our military service chiefs, 25 yourself included, has testified that continued

sequestration-level defense spending puts American lives at
 greater risk. Unless we change course, eliminate
 sequestration, and return to strategy-driven defense
 budgets, I fear our military will confront depleted
 readiness, chronic modernization problems, and deteriorating
 morale.

No matter how many dollars we spend, we will not be 7 8 able to provide our military the equipment they need with a broken defense acquisition system that takes too long and 9 costs too much. With this year's National Defense 10 11 Authorization Act, this committee has embarked on a major 12 effort to reform this system, including ways to empower our 13 service leaders to manage their own programs in exchange for 14 greater accountability. General Dunford, we are very 15 interested in hearing your views about improving the defense 16 acquisition system based on your years of service.

17 Finally, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff is the principal military advisor to the President. More than 18 19 ever, we need an honest and forthright leader that offers 20 his best and unvarnished military advice. The President 21 will not always take your advice, but it is my hope that he 22 will always have an appreciation of the military dimensions 23 of the difficult problems our Nation confronts with you at 24 his side.

25 Thank you for your willingness to serve once more. We

1	look	forward	to	your	testimony.
2		Senator	Ree	ed?	
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE
 ISLAND

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
Let me join you in welcoming General Dunford and to take
this opportunity to thank him for his extraordinary service
to the Nation. During his 38 years of military service,
General Dunford has served with courage and distinction, and
I am confident he will continue to do so as the next
Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Finally, let me also recognize and thank his family. Ellyn, thank you. Patrick, thank you for being here today. I know Joe and Kathleen wanted to be here, but they are serving elsewhere. But thank you very much for what you have done to serve the Nation and the Marine Corps.

15 Last week, the current Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of 16 Staff, General Dempsey, released the 2015 National Military 17 Strategy. In his forward, General Dempsey stated that the current "global security environment is the most 18 19 unpredictable" he has seen during his military service and 20 that "global disorder has significantly increased while some 21 of our comparative military advantage has begun to erode." 22 Without question, the United States faces a wide range 23 of challenges around the world. If confirmed as the 24 Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, you will be advising 25 the President and the Secretary of Defense on these complex

1 international issues facing our national interests.

Possibly the gravest and most complex issue for the next Chairman will be countering the security threat from ISIL in Iraq and Syria and its spread beyond the Middle East region. As the President said earlier this week, our counter-ISIL campaign will be long-term and employ all elements of American power, including military, intelligence, diplomatic, and economic.

9 And if confirmed, General Dunford, you will be responsible for advising on the U.S. military's role in 10 11 supporting our broader counter-ISIL campaign, including 12 denying ISIL safe havens and building the capacity of local forces to counter ISIL, with training, assistance, and air 13 14 support from the international coalition. The success of 15 these efforts will ultimately depend on a broader, 16 complementary effort to address the conditions that gave 17 rise to ISIL and allowed it to thrive. I look forward to hearing your views on the situation in Iraq and Syria and 18 19 your thinking on the most effective role the military can 20 play in supporting efforts on the diplomatic front.

Regarding Iran, while there remains no clear outcome to the P5 Plus 1 negotiations over Iran's nuclear program, no matter what happens, the Department of Defense will play a key role in reaffirming our shared priorities with our partners in the region, confronting common threats, and

working to deescalate or, where possible, resolve these
 threats.

General Dunford, if confirmed, you will also bring 3 4 invaluable experience to oversight of the Department's 5 missions in Afghanistan where you have led the U.S. and 6 coalition forces with distinction. While the Afghan Security Forces have fought courageously against Taliban 7 attacks, more needs to be done to build the Afghan forces' 8 capabilities and deny any safe haven for extremists. 9 The next Chairman will play a critical role in the President's 10 11 review later this year of the size and footprint of U.S. 12 forces in Afghanistan for 2016 and beyond.

Another security challenge going forward will be 13 14 deterring additional Russian aggression toward Ukraine and 15 its European neighbors and reinforcing the Minsk ceasefire 16 accords. Congress has made clear its support of military 17 assistance to Ukraine, including defensive weapons, to help the Ukrainian people defend their sovereignty and 18 19 territorial integrity. We will be interested in your views 20 of the security situation in Ukraine and what additional 21 steps you would recommend for assisting Russia's neighbors 22 in protecting themselves from the kinds of hybrid warfare 23 tactics employed in Crimea and eastern Ukraine.

Our men and women in uniform remain the committee's top concern, and I know they are your top concern also. Our

1 armed forces are nothing without its people, and the Department continues to juggle the twin goals of providing a 2 3 high quality of life through fair pay and compensation and exceptional service through adequate levels of training and 4 5 equipping. In my view, it is incumbent on Congress and the 6 Nation to provide a sufficiently sized, trained, and equipped military of the necessary quality of character and 7 talent to meet national defense requirements. 8 Sometimes that means making hard choices, especially in the budget 9 10 constrained environment we find ourselves.

11 To that end, as you well know from your time as 12 Commandant, the Department and Congress have for several years considered various proposals for changes in 13 14 compensation and health care to slow the growth of personnel 15 costs so that those savings can be redirected to buy back 16 readiness and modernization benefits. I would be particularly interested in your views on such proposals and 17 the impact if such changes are not enacted. 18

Now, during consideration of the fiscal year 2016 National Defense Authorization Act, this committee had a robust debate on how best to fund defense programs. And I have repeatedly stated that sequestration is not the approach that we need to address our Nation's fiscal challenges, and more pointedly, it undermines our national military readiness. Defense budgets should be based on our

1 long-term military strategy -- that is the point the chairman made very eloquently -- not sequestration-level 2 3 budget caps. Even a 1-year increase in OCO spending does 4 not provide DOD with the certainty and stability it needs 5 when building its 5-year budget. As a consequence, this 6 instability undermines the morale of our troops and their families who want to know that their futures are planned for 7 8 more than 1 year at a time and the confidence of our defense 9 industry partners we rely on to provide the best 10 technologies available to our troops. I hope you will share 11 your thoughts on this topic with the committee today. 12 General Dunford, thank you again for your willingness

13 to serve our Nation. I look forward to discussing these 14 issues.

15 Chairman McCain: General, before your statement, there 16 are standard questions that the committee always asks of 17 military nominees. So we have always done that, and so I 18 would like to proceed with that before your testimony.

In order to exercise its legislative and oversight responsibilities, it is important that this committee and other appropriate committees of the Congress are able to receive testimony, briefings, and other communications of information. Have you adhered to applicable laws and regulations governing conflicts of interest?

25 General Dunford: I have, Chairman.

1 Chairman McCain: Do you agree, when asked, to give 2 your personal views, even if these views differ from the 3 administration in power?

4 General Dunford: I do, Chairman.

5 Chairman McCain: Have you assumed any duties or 6 undertaken any actions which would appear to presume the 7 outcome of the confirmation process?

8 General Dunford: I have not.

9 Chairman McCain: Will you ensure your staff complies 10 with deadlines established for requested communications, 11 including questions for the record in hearings?

12 General Dunford: I will, Chairman.

13 Chairman McCain: Will you cooperate in providing

14 witnesses and briefers in response to congressional

15 requests?

16 General Dunford: I will, Chairman.

17 Chairman McCain: Will those witnesses be protected

18 from reprisal for their testimony or briefings?

19 General Dunford: They will.

20 Chairman McCain: Do you agree, if confirmed, to appear

21 and testify, upon request, before this committee?

22 General Dunford: I do, Chairman.

23 Chairman McCain: Do you agree to provide documents,

24 including copies of electronic forms of communications, in a

25 timely manner when requested by a duly constituted committee

or consult with the committee regarding the basis for any good faith delay or denial in providing such documents? General Dunford: Yes, Chairman. Chairman McCain: Thank you very much for complying with that formality. Thank you. Please proceed with your testimony.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL JOSEPH F. DUNFORD, JR., USMC, TO
 BE CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

3 General Dunford: Chairman McCain, Ranking Member Reed, 4 distinguished members of the committee, good morning and 5 thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today. I 6 am truly honored to be nominated as the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. I want to thank the President and 7 8 the Secretary of Defense for their confidence me, and I want to recognize General Dempsey and his wife Deanie for their 9 extraordinary to our Nation, our men and women in uniform 10 11 and our military families.

12 Joining me today is my wife Ellyn and our son Patrick. Our son Joe and Kathleen were not able to be here. Ellyn 13 14 has been a great mother to our children and has served as a 15 tireless advocate for military families. I refer to her as 16 the MVP in the family. Her sense of humor, flexibility, and endurance have been tested in over 30 years as a military 17 spouse, and I would not be here today without her love and 18 19 support.

I would like to begin by thanking the committee for your commitment to our soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines. Due to your support, they comprise the most well trained, well equipped, and capable military force in the world.

25 As I appear before you this morning, I am mindful of

1 the complexity and volatility of the current security 2 environment. Chairman, you mentioned that. This committee 3 is also well aware of the pressing challenges we face in Europe, the Pacific, the Middle East, Africa, space, and 4 5 cyberspace. While dealing with these and other issues, we 6 also face the need to restore readiness and modernize the joint force in the context of fiscal challenges and budget 7 8 uncertainty.

9 If confirmed, I will provide the Secretary of Defense 10 and the President with my best military advice in a full 11 range of military options for addressing the current and 12 future challenges to our national security.

When asked, I will provide the Congress with my best military advice. And when delivering best military advice, I will do so with candor.

I will also work with the Joint Chiefs, our civilian leaders, and members of the committee to maintain a joint force that is capable of securing our national interest today and tomorrow.

20 Most importantly, if confirmed, I will dedicate myself 21 to properly leading, representing, and keeping faith with 22 the men and women in uniform and our civilian workforce who 23 volunteer to serve our Nation.

24 Thank you again for allowing me to appear this morning, 25 and I am prepared for your questions.

1	[The	prepare	ed st	tatement	of	General	Dunford	follows:]
2	[COM	MITTEE	INSI	ERT]				
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

Chairman McCain: Thank you very much, General.

1

The day before yesterday, we received testimony that so far with \$500 million committed, there have been 60 individuals who have been trained to go into Syria and fight against ISIS. What do you know about that particular situation?

General Dunford: Chairman, what I know is that we have 7 8 got Major General Mike Nagata who has been working that for some months. Those numbers are certainly much less than 9 what he estimated. The feedback I have received is those 10 11 numbers are largely attributable to the vetting process, 12 that they think they have learned some things during the process of these first 60. They have made some other 13 14 contacts. But, frankly, Chairman, until I have an 15 opportunity to get on the ground and speak to the 16 commanders, what I really know about that now is secondhand. 17 Chairman McCain: Do you believe that we should be

18 getting a pledge from these recruits that they will only 19 fight against ISIS and not Bashar Assad?

General Dunford: Chairman, what I understand right now is that we do not have the authority to take action against Assad's forces. So unless that policy would change, then that pledge would be required.

24 Chairman McCain: Given your experience in the 25 military, do you think it is a good idea to train people and

send them into a conflict to be attacked and barrel-bombed
by another entity and not defending them?

General Dunford: Chairman, I do not. If we train those individuals and they go back into Syria to fight, then I think we need to -- if we expect them to be successful, we need to provide them with enabling capability that will allow them to be successful.

8 Chairman McCain: In other words, prevent them from 9 being barrel-bombed by Bashar Assad, which is routine now? 10 General Dunford: I think, Chairman, we need to provide 11 them with a full range of capability for them to be 12 successful.

13 Chairman McCain: I recently was over in Afghanistan 14 over the Fourth of July, and there is great concern both 15 amongst our military and with Ashraf Ghani and Abdullah and 16 other Afghans about the present proposal to have our force 17 in Afghanistan down to a, quote, embassy-centric force by 2017, meaning that we would be giving up or turning over our 18 19 bases in Bagram, Kandahar, and a force that is only based in 20 the U.S. embassy. A great concern was voiced concerning 21 this plan or articulated, announced plan by the President of 22 the United States.

As you know, the Taliban did not respect the nonfighting season. As you know, the Afghan casualties are extremely high, higher than they have ever been. And we now

have ISIS getting a hold, and we also have the Iranians
 providing Taliban with weapons.

Is this a wise decision on your part to have a calendar-day withdrawal of American troops rather than a conditions-based withdrawal? Given your background and experience there, I think you are probably pretty well qualified to make that judgment.

8 General Dunford: Chairman, I am aware of the 9 consequences of our mission, the importance of our mission 10 in Afghanistan, and clearly I also have a degree of personal 11 commitment, having spent time there. I can assure you, if I 12 am confirmed, I will provide advice to the President that will allow us to meet our desired end state, and I think 13 14 that that will be based on the conditions on the ground, as 15 you have articulated.

16 Chairman McCain: Rather than a calendar-based 17 decision.

General Dunford: Chairman, my experience has been that sometimes the assumptions that you make do not obtain particularly with regard to time, and that is certainly the case in Afghanistan.

22 Chairman McCain: Thank you.

In Ukraine, it is obvious that the Russians continue their military buildup. I was in eastern Ukraine and watched the surveillance video that was made by the

Ukrainians showing the gradual buildup of Russian forces
 inside Ukraine.

Do you believe that we should give the Ukrainians with the counter-battery systems with which to defend themselves from mass Russian artillery and rocket strikes, and should we provide them with Javelin or TOW anti-tank missile systems to defeat the Russian T-90 tank parades?

8 General Dunford: Chairman, from a military 9 perspective, I think it is reasonable that we provide that 10 support to the Ukrainians. And frankly, without that kind 11 of support, they are not going to be able to protect 12 themselves against Russian aggression.

Chairman McCain: General Dunford, I just would like to 13 14 repeat again my appreciation for your service, and I am 15 confident that you will serve with distinction. And you are 16 the principal military advisor to the President of the 17 United States, and that is a unique role as designed in the 1947 act I believe. So I hope that you will keep in mind 18 19 your obligation to the President but also to the men and 20 women who are serving who we may have to send into harm's 21 way and make sure they are provided with the best 22 capabilities.

And finally, I hope in answer to some of these questions, because I have run over time, you will talk about the devastating effects of sequestration on our ability to

1 defend the Nation. Maybe you will just make a brief comment 2 on that now.

General Dunford: Chairman, I have dealt with the issue of sequestration as a service chief, and quite frankly, if we go into sequestration, we will be unable to support the current strategy that we have to protect our Nation. And quite honestly, the readiness of the joint force and modernization of the joint force will suffer, what I will describe and without exaggeration, catastrophic

10 consequences.

11 Chairman McCain: I thank you, General Dunford.

12 Senator Reed?

Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
Once again, thank you, General, for your service and
your sacrifice.

Following a bit on Senator McCain's final question about sequestration, the administration -- and Secretary Carter made this clear -- has adopted an anti-ISIL campaign with nine lines of effort, two principally controlled by the Department of Defense. Are you comfortable with that

21 overall approach at this point?

22 General Dunford: Senator Reed, I am comfortable with 23 that overall approach.

24 Senator Reed: The other lines of effort are controlled 25 by other elements of the Government, State Department,

Homeland Security, et cetera. And apropos of Senator McCain's question about effects of sequestration on the Department of Defense, are you concerned that these partners in this effort could be hamstrung just as much as you would be if the BCA went into effect for them?

General Dunford: Senator, very much so. And I would
say that not only do we just represent two of the nine lines
of effort, but we cannot be successful in either Iraq or
Syria or, frankly, in any of our other endeavors with out a
whole-of-government approach.

11 Senator Reed: Let me just ask you since you are the 12 expert. You were in Afghanistan. We had a significant military effort, but we also had a significant civilian 13 14 agency effort, the State Department, FBI, Drug Enforcement 15 Administration, all of these agencies. I would assume you 16 considered them to be integral and essential parts of your 17 effort, and without them or without their ability to provide 18 resources, you could not have accomplished what you did. Is 19 that fair?

General Dunford: Sir, I think it is absolutely fair. And although we have challenges remaining, I think we have accomplished quite a bit over the last few years, and from my perspective, that is because we have been able to integrate the capabilities of those organizations that you mentioned. In particular, I think the relationship that we

have with the State Department in Afghanistan was absolutely
 critical to our success.

3 Senator Reed: One of the most difficult issues you face is building the capacity of the Iraqi Security Forces, 4 5 and this has been an endeavor frankly that we have tried for 6 a long time. Do you have any sort of sense at this juncture of what we can or should be doing differently of how do we 7 8 do this? We have heard colleagues come before the committee 9 -- your colleagues -- and suggest that there are gaps of leadership at the upper levels. Just your perspectives on a 10 11 length of time and the efforts we have to undertake to get a 12 credible Iraqi force in the field, which will secure the 13 country.

14 General Dunford: Sir, with the caveat that I have been 15 away 11 months, but certainly, if confirmed, will go back 16 almost immediately, the areas of most concern were 17 intelligence, logistics, special operations capability, and the aviation capability, and then more broadly the 18 ministerial capacity. Frankly, our estimates always were 19 20 that that was a long-term endeavor. It would take years to 21 grow the kind of capacity that we have in this country, and 22 frankly, what we are not trying to do is develop the 23 capability that we have in this country, something far less 24 than that, but the ability at the ministerial level, at the 25 minister of defense and the minister of the interior to

support tactical-level organizations. And so I think
 continue to stay the course in the plan that General
 Campbell has and recognizing that is going to require
 continued resources and patience is the way for us to be
 successful.

6 Senator Reed: Now, I focus for a moment -- I know your 7 practical experience is Afghanistan and other places, but in 8 Iraq there are the same capability problems. Does your 9 analysis apply there also in terms of the long-term need to 10 build up the Iraqi Security Forces and ministries?

11 General Dunford: Senator, it does. In some ways the 12 situation is the same. There are also some vast differences. 13 I think one of the biggest challenges in Iraq 14 has been when Prime Minister Maliki was there, he eliminated 15 many of the capable quality leaders that were in the Iraqi 16 Security Forces. So I think at the tactical level, it is fair to say today the Afghan forces actually have some 17 pretty solid leaders. We have seen them. We have developed 18 19 They have gone to our schools. I feel pretty good them. 20 about where we are with the Afghan leaders. I think we have 21 some work to do to rebuild the Iraqi Security Forces, 22 frankly to get them back to perhaps where they were a few 23 years ago.

24 Senator Reed: There is one other aspect -- in fact,25 there are many aspects of the situation in Iraq, but one is

this tension, sectarian and geographic tensions in the country. But our policy is to support a unified government in Baghdad and work with them so that they are able to integrate their ethnic communities. Is that the approach that you think makes much sense?

6 General Dunford: Senator, that is going to be very 7 difficult to do, but at this point I believe that is the 8 best prospects for long-term success is a unified, multi-9 sectarian government in Iraq. Frankly, if confirmed, if at 10 any point I no longer believe that is possible, then my 11 advice to the President will be adjusted accordingly.

Senator Reed: Thank you very much, sir, and again, thank you for your service.

14 Chairman McCain: Senator Inhofe?

15 Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

In responding to one of the chairman's questions, you were talking about you did not have the authority to go after Assad. Is that not what you said that you do not have the authority to go after Assad?

General Dunford: Senator, my understanding is that we do not have the legal authority at this time to go after the Assad regime, and it is also the policy of the administration not to go after the Assad regime militarily. Senator Inhofe: Okay. Well, I think for the record I

25 would like to have you expand a little bit on that as to

1	whether or not it would be desirable for you to have that
2	authority.
3	[The information follows:]
4	[COMMITTEE INSERT]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Senator Inhofe: We have been talking for a long time and with you also at these hearings about the amount of risk 2 3 that we are at right now. You were quoted as saying our combatant commanders face increasing risk. So we are 4 5 talking about the risk that is out there. And you know, 6 risk equals lives. We talk about this in all these areas. But how do you define too much risk? Are we there yet? 7 8 General Dunford: Senator, I believe today we are 9 capable of providing adequate security to protect our 10 national interests. I also believe that we are at the 11 razor's edge, and that has certainly been a subject of 12 testimony several times before this committee is that our readiness level is at the point right now where were we to 13 14 go below this level, we would have to adjust the ends of our 15 strategy. We would no longer be able to support our 16 strategy.

17 Senator Inhofe: And that is similar to the responses 18 we get, whether it is General Odierno or any of the rest of 19 them. They are very much concerned about the level of risk 20 that we are accepting now that we never had to accept in the 21 past.

In the Ukraine -- I am particularly sensitive to that. I happened to be there when they had the election that resulted in, for the first time in 96 years, no communists serving in their parliament.

28

Alderson Reporting Company 1-800-FOR-DEPO

We talked about what they really should be having there. Are there obstacles, if you were to make that determination, as to giving them more to defend themselves, the things that we agree that they should have? Is there an obstacle that we could help with, or do you think you have that authority now?

General Dunford: Senator, from a military perspective, additional capability to the Ukrainians would clearly help them to deal with both the separatist and the Russian threat in the Ukraine. There are some policy issues associated with that that do not fall into the DOD and military --

Senator Inhofe: Yes, I understand that and I appreciate that answer.

14 Kind of the same thing with the Kurds. Now, they have 15 a need for, I guess, anti-armor, MRAP's, and a lot of these 16 things. I get two conflicting stories, one from some of the 17 top people in charge saying that by sending through Baghdad, you have a problem in getting it up to the fight. 18 And vet, 19 I heard just yesterday from someone who is charge that that 20 problem has been resolved now. Is that really resolved? Do 21 we have a problem getting the equipment that they need up 22 there and those fighters to effectively fight?

General Dunford: Senator, I watched carefully the hearing on Tuesday and the exchange that took place on this particular issue. You know, I have been briefed that in

fact the issues have been resolved and the support is getting to the Kurds right away. But this would be one of those issues that, if confirmed, again Iraq, Afghanistan, and places where our young men and women are in harm's way would be the first places I would go to visit. This issue, because it is so important, would be one issue that I would look into personally.

8 Senator Inhofe: Good. I appreciate that.

In this morning's "The Hill," General Petraeus had a 9 10 couple quotes in there, and I will just read these. He said 11 we can schedule an end to our role in that -- talking about 12 Afghanistan -- in that nation's conflict, but we cannot schedule an end to the war there or an end to the threat 13 14 from Al Qaeda, the Islamic State, or other extremist 15 elements of the global jihad. Going to a zero option next 16 year would be playing roulette with Afghanistan's future.

17 Is Petraeus right?

General Dunford: Sir, I think he is absolutely right with regard to the war would continue whether or not we are there or not, and I think you can assume that the war would get worse were our presence not to be there. Again, my assessment is that our presence ought to be based on the conditions on the ground, and I will certainly go over there and check those as soon as -- and if confirmed.

25 Senator Inhofe: Very good. Thank you very much.

1 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2 Chairman McCain: Senator Nelson?

3 Senator Nelson: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General, ISIS with regard to Iraq and Syria. Would you generally ascribe to the fact that in Iraq it is going to require the Iraqis to have the will to fight to meet ISIS in Iraq and be successful?

8 General Dunford: Senator, our current campaign is 9 dependent on the capabilities of the Iraqi Security Forces 10 to deal with ISIL.

11 Senator Nelson: Go over to Syria. Now, that is a 12 hodgepodge. How much do you think that the Assad regime 13 staying in power would complicate the issue of us being able 14 to take down ISIS in Syria?

15 General Dunford: Senator, my assessment is it plays a 16 significant role. I think Assad's brutality to his people 17 was certainly the primary factor giving rise to ISIS is at least one of the assessments, and I ascribe to that 18 19 particular assessment. And I think his remaining in power 20 has certainly continued to inflame people and gives ISIS the 21 recruits and the support that they need to operate inside of 22 Syria.

23 Senator Nelson: I agree with that.

And then the question is, when do we really press to have some kind of political settlement for Assad to exit?

1 Do you have any thoughts on that?

General Dunford: Senator, I do not. I am not involved in the dialogue today in that regard. The political resolution is one of the lines of effort that is part of our overall strategy. And while I do not know, I would assume that today that issue is being addressed, and certainly if confirmed, I expect to be part of those conversations and know a bit more than I do today.

9 Senator Nelson: And, General, someone of your stature 10 is going to be very comforting to us to have the confidence 11 to know that those very tough decisions that will be made 12 with regard to limiting the effectiveness and ultimately 13 defeating ISIS will be made with you sitting there at the 14 table giving counsel.

15 If you just look at a map of who is in control of Syria 16 in the different geographical areas of Syria, it is a mess. 17 And how you bring order -- thank you. Senator McCaskill has 18 shown this is Syria and the different colors representing 19 the different entities that in fact are in control in that 20 geographic area. So it is comforting to know that you are 21 going to be there giving your wise counsel.

22 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

23 Chairman McCain: Senator Sessions?

- 24 Senator Sessions: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 General Dunford, with regard to the Budget Control Act,

which includes the sequester, the Budget Committee, the Armed Services Committee, with a bipartisan strong vote, has voted out legislation that will add, I believe, \$23 billion above last year's spending for the Defense Department. I believe the Appropriations Committee has already voted out that same spending level, and it is on the floor.

7 The problem is that the commander in chief, the 8 President of the United States, is insisting on blocking 9 that bill, encouraging Democrats to filibuster it until 10 there is an agreement to spend an equal amount on non-11 defense. And I just believe that the fact that we have a 12 crisis internationally and we need to spend more on defense 13 does not require that this Nation spend more on non-defense. 14 So that is the difficulty we face. You will be seeing more 15 of that, I guess, as time goes by.

General Dunford, with regard to Iraq and this ISIS situation, is it not true that the threat in Iraq is not just a threat to Iraq but it implicates the national security interests of the United States and that we have a national security interest in blocking a takeover of Iraq by this extremist group ISIS that chops off heads and does other extreme things?

General Dunford: Senator, I would agree that the issue of ISIS has both regional issues -- it is creating regional instability, but absolutely we have U.S. national interests

1 in a stable Iraq that is not a sanctuary for extremists.

Senator Sessions: So I think it is a mistake sometimes 2 3 to just sit back and say, well, we are going to wait on the Iraqi army to get its act together. We have trained the 4 5 Iraqi army for over a decade. They have battalions and 6 companies and organizations. They are not well led, and their morale is not good. But they have an army. The 7 8 question is can we help encourage them to be more effective 9 in fighting back against ISIS. Would you not agree?

10 General Dunford: I do agree with that, Senator. And I 11 would just say, despite the challenges, we have had, as you 12 know, some thousands of men and women from the United States 13 Central Command that have been in Iraq and conducting 14 strikes into Syria over the last year. And despite the challenges in pretty difficult conditions, I think they have 15 16 had some accomplishments over the past year that we can be 17 proud of. Clearly we are going to do more. I think Secretary Carter made that clear on Tuesday. Clearly we 18 19 need to do more to assist the Iraqis in moving forward, and 20 I think that is the plan.

21 Senator Sessions: Well, the President's press 22 conference 2 days ago did not encourage me and did not 23 clarify in my mind that we have a good strategy for Iraq. 24 And frankly, I think General Dempsey and Secretary Carter 25 following up on that were not very persuasive either in

convincing me or the American people that we have a good
 plan.

Now, based on your experience, is it not a fact that if we had a limited number, just five, special forces embedded with an Iraqi battalion of 600, that that can give confidence to that battalion, help improve their morale, and help them be more effective on the battlefield?

8 Senator Sessions: Senator, it has been my experience 9 that when U.S. forces have accompanied Iraqis -- or for that 10 matter, my experience in Afghanistan -- that those units are 11 more effective.

12 Senator Sessions: Well, General Dempsey said he has 13 not yet recommended that we embed a limited number, a very 14 small number, of such forces in the Iraqi army, but he would 15 do so if he thought it was appropriate. Do you not think it 16 is time for us to maybe move from being in Baghdad in headquarters and actually move out to help provide this kind 17 of confidence, the air cover, the direction of munitions, 18 19 giving confidence of resupply and American commitment? Is it not time for us to move forward in that direction? 20 21 General Dunford: Senator, without appearing to be 22 evasive, what I really would like to do, if confirmed, is 23 have the opportunity to get on the ground, speak to the 24 commanders, and frankly provide a more comprehensive 25 recommendation to how we can move the campaign forward in

Iraq without focusing on one or another of the factors.
 Senator Sessions: Well, I hope you will do that
 guickly.

4 And just one more thing. Senator McCain warned 5 yesterday that we could be facing the same situation that he 6 warned about Iraq in 2011 when we pulled out prematurely. And now we are going to be facing this decision in 7 8 Afghanistan. And I hope that you will be clear and firm in your recommendation to the President if you believe this 9 10 plan we have today, date-specific withdrawal, is in error, 11 and I hope you will do that. Will you do so if you think it 12 is in error? 13 General Dunford: I will do that, Senator. 14 Senator Sessions: Thank you. 15 Chairman McCain: Senator McCaskill?

16 Senator McCaskill: Thank you.

My good friend and colleague, Senator Sessions, and I have worked together on matters of fiscal accountability and trying to spend less money, but I have a different take than he does on where we are in terms of the military budget.

I cannot figure out any reason why we would be putting the \$40 billion increase into the war fund instead of into the base budget. I cannot think of any reason to do that other than one of misleading the American people about whether or not we are balancing something because that is

1 the only place they can put the money and not have to pay for it. So they put it there so it did not have to be paid 2 3 for and completely short-changed national security for our country in the form of cybersecurity, port security, airport 4 5 security, FBI, CIA, all of which I know you would acknowledge, General Dunford, is a very important part of 6 the role of keeping America safe. Would you agree with 7 8 that?

General Dunford: Senator, I would absolutely agree
that all those organizations play an inextricable role in
keeping us safe.

12 Senator McCaskill: And let us make very clear, if in 13 fact we go down this path of pretending we are balancing 14 something by putting it in a fund that we do not have to pay 15 for, will in fact the OCO funds, or the war fund as I like 16 to call them -- will they do anything to avoid the force 17 structure cuts that are looming across our Nation if we do not get off of this path of misleading the American people 18 19 about what we are balancing?

General Dunford: Senator, I think all of the service chiefs that have to balance a budget and certainly me included where I sit right now would much prefer that money to be in the base budget because that provides a degree of predictability that we can get after the two main issues that we have to deal with. One is modernization of force,

1 and the other is to get the readiness back to a level that 2 we are comfortable with.

3 Senator McCaskill: So the cuts that we have seen this 4 week that General Odierno announced -- they are a drop in 5 the bucket as to what is coming if we continue on this 6 bizarre idea of putting all of this money in the war fund as 7 opposed to in the base budget where force strength belongs. 8 Correct?

9 General Dunford: If the budget level goes below what 10 has been requested in the President's budget 2016, there 11 will be significant additional cuts made.

12 Senator McCaskill: Thank you.

You know how hard we have all worked on the problem of sexual assault in the military. I am pleased that the incidents are down. I am pleased that reporting is up. I am pleased that the efforts that are being made to measure victim satisfaction with command look good. I think it is too early to declare success, obviously. We have a lot more work to do.

But the thorny problem that remains, General, and one that I really want to make sure you have at the top of your list is retaliation. And I know that there have been some initiatives begun, but I would like to see a written plan from you as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs with all of the chiefs signing off on what is your path to getting at this

1 culture. The problem is not, based on the survey, the 2 command. The problem is primarily lower level command, unit 3 command, and peer-to-peer, not that there may not be some 4 outliers there, but that is the bulk of the problem. And 5 that is a culture issue, and that means from the top.

I am disappointed that we have not had more prosecutions. Retaliation is a crime. I know it is new. I know people might be very reluctant to bring somebody up on those charges because of what that might mean within their unit. But that is where you guys come in. And I would like a commitment from you today that you would be willing to put a plan in writing that we could follow.

General Dunford: Senator, I would make that commitment. I think you have correctly identified peer retaliation as the real issue that we are trying to grapple with in the wake of the RAND report. And I can assure you that the leadership across the Department has been carefully looking at that issue in an effort to set the right command climate where retaliation is unacceptable.

20 Senator McCaskill: I will put a question for the 21 record about the unused building report that SIGAR pointed 22 out in Afghanistan. I know there was an investigation. 23 You, of course, were not found to be a problem in this, but 24 it is a problem the investigation found no problem and in 25 reality there was a huge problem, that somebody signed off

1 on a building for \$36 million that is never going to be used 2 and is sitting empty. And we have got to make sure we avoid 3 that.

4 My final question is -- if you do not have time to do 5 it now -- I am just about out of time. I want to make sure 6 that we get your take on ISIS in Afghanistan. I know they are trying to move everywhere. Obviously, this is a Shia-7 8 Sunni issue and that is something that is prevalent 9 throughout the region. And with your experience in 10 Afghanistan, are you comfortable that we have a handle on 11 what ISIS is trying to do in Afghanistan?

General Dunford: Senator, what I know from General Campbell's reports and intelligence is that we have seen a number of Taliban rebrand themselves as ISIS. But beyond that, I do not have a good feel at this time for the depth of the problem, but certainly it would be one of the issues I would look into if confirmed.

18 Senator McCaskill: Thank you very much.

19 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

20 Chairman McCain: Senator Wicker?

21 Senator Wicker: General Dunford, I think you are just 22 the man for the job, but let me tell you you have got a lot 23 of crises to preside over. And I would simply ask you, 24 during the course of your term in office, tell us what you 25 need. Come back to us and be honest and tell us what our

1 men and women in uniform need to succeed and get the job
2 done because I do not think we are quite there.

3 I was privileged to lead a bipartisan delegation of House and Senate Members over the past week to Ukraine. We 4 5 met with President Poroshenko in Kiev, and he is grateful for the \$300 million that this Government provided in 6 military assistance during the past year. He also mentioned 7 8 the need for Javelin anti-tank missiles. I think your 9 testimony earlier today is that that is a reasonable request 10 on the part of the president of Ukraine, and it will be 11 necessary for him to get those in order for him to defend 12 his country. Was that your testimony?

General Dunford: Senator, it was. From a military perspective, those kinds of capabilities in my judgment would be necessary for him to deal with both Russian aggression and the separatism issue that he is dealing with in Ukraine.

18 Senator Wicker: Separatists that are backed by the 19 Russian hierarchy.

20 Would you also agree that it is unacceptable that this 21 month's transfer of 100 armored Humvees to Ukraine took over 22 a year to process due to bureaucratic delays at DOD and 23 State?

General Dunford: Senator, if it took a year to do that, it would be unacceptable. I am not personally aware

1 of that issue.

Senator Wicker: Okay. Well, look into that for us. 2 3 I also led the delegation to Helsinki for the OSCE parliamentary assembly. Before the delegation left --4 5 before the Russian delegation left en masse because of a 6 dispute over five delegates being on the EU sanctions list -- the head of the delegation, Nikolay Kovalev, said that 7 8 Russia's neighbors have no reason to be threatened by Russia. Now, of course, Russia has -- under Mr. Putin's 9 10 leadership, Russia has twice invaded neighbors, Georgia in 11 2008, Ukraine last year. And we see now that there is a 12 Russian official investigating the legality of Mr. Kruschev's transfer of Crimea back in the day saying that 13 14 this perhaps was not an invasion because Crimea was never 15 legally transferred to Ukraine by the Russian Federation. 16 It concerns me that this same official is now 17 investigating whether the transfer of the Baltic States, whether the giving of independence to the Baltic States, 18 19 Latvia, Lithuania, and Estonia, was also legal. Perhaps 20 that was not legal at all, this Russian official suggests. 21 And we can get to the issue this way. I just want to 22 ask you this about our NATO commitment. I can envision a 23 situation where there are small jurisdictions within Latvia 24 that have a majority of Russian speakers, small 25 jurisdictions within Estonia that have a majority of Russian

speakers. And a pretext of a plebiscite is created at that point. I realize I am posing something to you that is hypothetical, but in light of pronouncements from officials in the Russian Federation, I think it is something to be concerned about.

6 Zbigniew Brzezinski spoke to this committee earlier 7 this year and said we need to create a trip wire in the 8 Baltics and that this trip wire should communicate clearly 9 to Russia that NATO will not tolerate violations of the 10 territorial integrity of our allies.

11 What do you think of this idea and can you highlight to 12 this committee the steps DOD needs to take under leadership 13 to send a credible message that this sort of pretext by the 14 Russian Federation would absolutely not be tolerated by the 15 United States and our NATO allies?

16 General Dunford: Senator, I think our experience in 17 Ukraine and in the other examples that you used highlights the fact that we need to update our deterrence and response 18 19 model to deal with the kind of threat that we have today, 20 which has been described as a hybrid threat from Russia, 21 which combines political instruments, unconventional 22 warfare, as well as support for separatists in these 23 countries. And quite frankly, that needs to be a priority. 24 You are asking what should the Department do. We frankly 25 need an effective deterrent model for the 21st century to

1 deal with the kind of threats that we are now seeing in 2 Russia because, quite frankly, I think that kind of 3 asymmetric threat is one we will continue to see in the 4 future and certainly we are going to continue to see that in 5 the European context.

6 Senator Wicker: Would an incursion of Russian troops 7 or Russian-back separatist troops in small jurisdictions of 8 Russian-speaking majorities within Latvia and Estonia --9 would that be completely unacceptable to this Government? 10 General Dunford: From a policy perspective, Senator, I 11 cannot answer that. From a personal perspective, it 12 certainly looks like a violation of sovereignty to me.

13 Senator Wicker: Under article 4 of NATO, in my view it 14 would be absolutely unacceptable. And we need to make it 15 clear. This administration needs to make it clear. This 16 Congress needs to make that we will do what is necessary to 17 prevent this sort of idea from ever being considered in the 18 first place.

19 General Dunford: Senator, I agree with that, and I 20 think this also applies to the cyber threat as well, again, 21 the idea of deterrence in response to a changing threat in 22 the 21st century, and I think we need to update our models 23 for both.

24 Senator Wicker: Thank you, sir.

25 Chairman McCain: Senator Manchin?

1 Senator Manchin: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, General, for your service to our great country, your family's dedication and sacrifice they have made with you I know over the years.

5 And, sir, I am sure you have had the opportunity to 6 form opinions on what our threats have been and what our 7 threats are today. What would you consider the greatest 8 threat to our national security?

General Dunford: My assessment today, Senator, is that
Russia presents the greatest threat to our national
security.

Senator Manchin: Would you want to elaborate on that to a certain extent?

14 General Dunford: Well, Senator, in Russia we have a 15 nuclear power. We have one that not only has the capability 16 to violate the sovereignty of our allies and to do things that are inconsistent with our national interests, but they 17 are in the process of doing so. So if you want to talk 18 19 about a nation that could pose an existential threat to the 20 United States, I would have to point to Russia. And if you 21 look at their behavior, it is nothing short of alarming. 22 Senator Manchin: I have been very much concerned about 23 the same issue. I think we have talked about it briefly before when you visited my office. But I have been told by 24 25 major scholars that the Cold War is colder today than it was

1 when it was declared because of the lack of communications, 2 the lack of inter-party affiliations. Do you find it to be 3 true, and can you change that course in your new position? 4 General Dunford: Senator, certainly the relationship 5 of Russia a few years ago, if you recall -- we actually were 6 including them in NATO meetings and so forth, and those 7 kinds of exchanges have stopped. From my perspective, my 8 role would be even as the relationship is challenged and 9 even with the difficulties that we face right now, I think 10 it is important that we attempt to maintain a military-to-11 military relationship, an effective military-to-military 12 relationship, with our Russian counterparts to the extent possible to mitigate the risk of miscalculation and begin to 13 turn the trend in the other direction in terms of trust. 14 15 Senator Manchin: Thank you, General.

16 And also, going back to Irag -- it has been spoken 17 previously, but could you find yourself at some time recommending to the President for a three-state solution in 18 19 Iraq versus staying the course of a united Iraqi government? 20 General Dunford: Well, Senator, from my perspective, I 21 can imagine two states in Iraq. I have difficulty imagining 22 a third separate state given the lack of resources that 23 would be available to the Sunni. And frankly, I think if it 24 was in thirds without a federal government, I think we would have some difficulty, the same difficulty that we have today 25

exacerbated by the fact that there is not a central
 government.

3 Senator Manchin: Basically you are acknowledging that 4 the Kurds are strong, prepared, ready to go if they were 5 given that opportunity?

6 General Dunford: Senator, you know, again it is 7 probably out of my lane to talk about what the organization 8 of Iraq might be in the future. But I think from just a 9 pure economic resources and governance perspective, the Shia 10 and the Kurds are certainly much more equipped to set up a 11 separate state than the Sunni would be at this time.

12 Senator Manchin: I know it has been spoken about also, 13 the mistake of us leaving Iraq, pulling our troops out when 14 we did. Did we have an option to stay?

General Dunford: Senator, I was not involved in the discussion at that time. The assessment of the administration at that time was we did not have an option to stay.

19 Senator Manchin: So basically those of us who believe 20 that maybe there could have been some forces left there or 21 basically the evaluation Maliki was not doing his job, once 22 we went down the path of democracy democratizing that 23 country, we did not have the option to go back and stay 24 there.

25 General Dunford: Given what we were demanding of the

Iraqis, they were not meeting our demands. I am not sure I
 would say that meant we had no option to stay.

3 Senator Manchin: I have spoken many times about the 4 lack of an audit. The only agency in the Federal Government 5 that we do not audit is the Pentagon. Defense. And the 6 Marines have made an effort. I will say they have made more 7 of an effort than any other branch of the military to do an 8 audit, but it has not been fulfilled.

9 What would your commitment be, sir, for us to have an 10 audit, especially for us to know about our contractors, how 11 much money we spend on contractors, how many contract forces 12 that we have doing the job that I believe maybe our military 13 and definitely our National Guard could be supporting in 14 that effort that we are not doing today?

15 General Dunford: Senator, we cannot be effective as a 16 warfighting organization and we certainly cannot be 17 efficient with the taxpayers' dollars if we do not have an effective audit. As you alluded to, we worked that pretty 18 19 hard in the Marine Corps. I worked it both as an Assistant 20 Commandant and then over the last year as the Commandant. 21 We did make a significant amount of progress. We were able 22 to get to the point where we could internally audit all of 23 the resources that were directly under the cognizance of the 24 Marine Corps with some database challenges outside. But I 25 can assure you that, if confirmed, you will have my

1 commitment to continue to press hard in that direction and 2 to support the efforts across the Department to make sure 3 that we can come to you with a clean audit.

Senator Manchin: General, I again want to thank you.
You do have my support and I think the confidence of the
American people, definitely the West Virginians. Thank you,
sir.

Chairman McCain: Senator Ayotte?

8

9 Senator Ayotte: General, I just want to thank you for 10 all that you have done for the country, and I think that you 11 will do a tremendous job as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of 12 Staff. And I want to thank Ellyn and your family for what 13 they have done for the country and continue to do. We 14 appreciate it.

15 I wanted to also add my support to what Senator 16 McCaskill said about the issue of retaliation. I think this is a very important issue as we focus on the work that we 17 have done in this committee to eliminate and to work to 18 19 prevent sexual assault in the military and to support 20 victims and to hold the perpetrators accountable. So I 21 think that is excellent. I look forward to seeing that 22 proposal from you.

I wanted to ask about the situation, as we look at Iran and their support for regional terrorism. How would you assess Iran's current activities and where are they engaging

in support either directly or through proxies for efforts
 that are undermining security in the region?

3 General Dunford: Senator, Iran is clearly a malign influence in the most destabilizing element in the Middle 4 5 East today. They are providing support to the Huthis down 6 in Yemen. They obviously provide support. Hezbollah is a clear malign influence in Lebanon. There are indications 7 they are involved in Syria, and certainly they are involved 8 and trying to expand their influence into Iraq. And they 9 10 are creating I think -- they are exacerbating at least the 11 Sunni-Shia sectarianism across the region.

12 Senator Ayotte: I want to follow up more on that, but 13 I also want to ask you. I saw reports that they were also 14 engaged in supporting the Taliban in Afghanistan more now. 15 Is there anything you can share with us on that?

General Dunford: Senator, I have seen those same reports, and from my perspective, what I have seen in the reports is that they have provided some support to the Taliban in an effort to counter ISIL.

20 Senator Ayotte: Do you believe, as we think about your 21 experience -- I know you commanded troops in Iraq. But 22 certainly Iran has the blood of American soldiers on its 23 hands for the explosive materials that they provided to the 24 Shia militias in Iraq that killed many of our men and women 25 in uniform. So do you think, as we look at the situation in

I Iraq and what is happening with the Shia militias you referred to, how could they be a malign influence in the longer-term solution in Iraq?

General Dunford: Senator, they clearly could be a
malign influence, which is why I believe we should not
provide any support to those forces unless they are directly
under the Iraqi Government and not provided support by the
Iranians.

9 Senator Ayotte: Thank you.

I wanted to also ask you about the situation on cyber because the FBI Director -- we have received briefings on the OPM breach, but the FBI Director has said that he believes this is an enormous breach. Millions and millions of individuals who provided background information have been breached. And Director Clapper has said that they believe it is the Chinese who have done this breach.

When we look at the threats facing our Nation, how grave do you think the cyber threat is? And also, how would you assess our current posture with the Chinese and how we should be addressing the situation?

General Dunford: Senator, I would agree with you. The cyber threat is clearly very significant. Frankly, every week we learn a bit more about the OPM breach. My number one concern, obviously, as a service chief is for the data and the wellbeing of the men and women whose data that is

1 having been compromised.

One of the challenges is, of course, attribution. 2 But 3 from my perspective, if confirmed, my role will be to provide the President with a full range of options to deal 4 5 with these cyber attacks, which is what the OPM breach was. 6 Senator Ayotte: So I know that Senator Manchin had asked you what you believe our gravest national security 7 8 threat was, and you identified Russia. And certainly we have seen this aggression by Putin in Russia certainly 9 invading other countries essentially. 10

But what is it -- as you look at the national security situation, you think about immediate threats to the country, what keeps you up at night the most?

14 General Dunford: Senator, what keeps me up at night 15 the most is our ability to respond to the uncertain. I am 16 very confident -- very confident -- in the joint force today and our capabilities and capacities to deal with the 17 challenges that we have today, albeit we need improvement in 18 19 cyber, other capabilities, but on balance, the force that we 20 have today is able to deal with the challenges that we know. 21 There is very little residual capacity. And this is the 22 issue that has been discussed many times before this 23 committee and that you have had some personal engagement on. 24 It is the readiness to respond to the uncertain, frankly, 25 that keeps me up at night as a service chief and certainly

1 one that would keep me up at night were I to be confirmed as 2 the Chairman.

3 Senator Ayotte: Thank you.

4 Chairman McCain: Senator Gillibrand?

5 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and6 Ranking Member. I appreciate this hearing.

7 Thank you, General Dunford, for your service. I am
8 grateful for your wife and children being here with you. We
9 all know you serve together.

I want to continue along the line that Senator Ayotte started with with Iran. We are expecting a potential nuclear agreement between P5 Plus 1 as early as today. Are you concerned that lifting sanctions on Iran might allow that country to invest more money in terrorist activities in the Middle East, and what can we do to address those concerns?

17 General Dunford: Senator, there is no question that signing an agreement will change the dynamic in the Middle 18 19 East. And the first thing I guess I would say is that, if 20 confirmed, I know I would have the responsibility to develop 21 options for the President to deal with the changing dynamic. 22 With regard to increased resources for malign activity, I think it is reasonable to assume that if sanctions are 23 24 lifted, the Iranians would have more money available for 25 malign activities. But I would probably say that regardless

1 of whether there is an agreement or not, my expectation is that Iran will continue the malign activity across the 2 3 Middle East that we have seen over the past several years. 4 Senator Gillibrand: I also want to continue the line 5 started by Senator McCaskill about retaliation. Senator McCaskill was correct when she said this is something we are 6 all very concerned about, and she said it is not just peer-7 8 to-peer. She mentioned unit commanders. And I want to be 9 specific about this issue so you know the problem you are 10 dealing with.

11 So 53 percent was peer-to-peer retaliation, but 35 12 percent was adverse administrative action. 32 percent was 13 professional retaliation, and 11 percent was punishment for 14 an infraction. So you have to recognize some of this 15 retaliation is being perceived by survivors to be done by 16 unit commanders or someone within the chain of command 17 because administrative retaliation or perceived administrative retaliation or professional retaliation is 18 19 So there is still a climate issue that the chain serious. 20 of command is responsible for, particularly unit commanders 21 and lower level commanders, that is not getting the right 22 message.

In fact, the recent RAND survey said that 60 percent of women who said they experienced sexual discrimination or some kind of negative behavior came from their commanders,

their unit commanders. So you have to recognize there is a climate issue that is not being adequately addressed. So when you do your report for this committee, I would like you to look at that issue as well.

5 You also have the challenge that in the reported cases, 6 1 in 7 of the perpetrators who were alleged have committed 7 rape, sexual assault, or unwanted sexual contact was also in 8 the chain of command. So you have a challenge with lower 9 level commanders that is not yet being addressed that I 10 would like your report to cover as well.

11 And somewhat related, I want to talk about combat 12 integration. I strongly believe that we should have 13 standards that meet the needs of each position and then 14 allow anyone in who meets those standards to compete. You 15 have not been very vocal on this issue, but if confirmed, 16 you will be one of those individuals who are advising the 17 Secretary of Defense about whether the services should 18 receive any exceptions to policy.

Do you expect the services, especially the Marines, who I assume you have been tracking most closely, to ask for exceptions?

General Dunford: Senator, I am not able to answer that question right now, and I can just explain the process in the Marine Corps. We have looked at this issue pretty hard. As you know, we put together a task force that is just

1 completing. In fact, they will stand down this week. I
2 expect the data that we have collected over the past 18
3 months in a very deliberate, responsible way to be available
4 to me in the August-September time frame. And we will meet
5 the timeline established by Secretary Panetta and General
6 Dempsey in a letter from 2012.

7 Senator Gillibrand: Okay.

8 Will you be looking across the services to see if one 9 asks for exception in a position whose equivalent another 10 service does not request an exception for? Will you be 11 doing a comparison between services?

General Dunford: Senator, my understanding of the way it will work now, again, if I am confirmed, sitting as the Chairman, is that I will have a responsibility to look at each one of the requests on its own merits and make a recommendation to the Secretary of Defense.

17 Senator Gillibrand: Okay.

And then with my remaining 30 seconds, I want to 18 19 address cyber. We are constantly being confronted by our 20 need for a capable cyber force. CYBERCOM and the services 21 have been building out those capabilities, but there is 22 still work to be done. How do you envision the force, and 23 what do you see the role as the reserve component? 24 General Dunford: Senator, I envision the force, as you mentioned -- it is certainly going to grow, and I would 25

support the plans that Admiral Rodgers -- and I think he has
 testified here before the committee. I think he is setting
 the right path in terms of growing the capacity of the cyber
 force.

5 The reserve component is going to be very important. 6 In fact, in many cases and certainly as a service chief who 7 looked at this, some of the skill sets that are unique to 8 cyber are available to us in the reserve force. And we need 9 to figure out a way to maximize and leverage those

10 capabilities.

11 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you.

12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

13 Chairman McCain: Senator Fischer?

14 Senator Fischer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, General Dunford, for your many years of service to this country and to the men and women under your command. I would like to also thank your family, your wife, your son who is present today, and your niece who is here as well. So thank you, sir.

I was pleased to see that you listed modernizing the nuclear enterprise among the top challenges that you do expect to face in your response to the committee's advance questions. And you also described our nuclear deterrent as the Nation's top military priority.

25 Do you believe it is critical that we maintain the full

1 triad of our delivery vehicles?

2 General Dunford: Senator, given the nature of the 3 threat today, I do believe that.

4 Senator Fischer: And do you support a bomber leg of 5 the triad that is armed with both the gravity bombs and the 6 cruise missiles?

7 General Dunford: I do, Senator.

8 Senator Fischer: And the gravity bombs, as you know, 9 and the cruise missiles -- they are entirely different 10 capabilities. And so one does not make the other redundant. 11 Is that correct?

General Dunford: It is, Senator, and my understanding of the issue is it adds a degree of complexity for the threat and gives us a greater assurance of being able to deliver, should that be required.

16 Senator Fischer: Great. Thank you.

And as you know, modernization has been delayed and deferred for some time, and we are now at a point where the life of the delivery systems cannot be extended any further. As Deputy Secretary Work put it recently, the choice right now is modernizing a losing deterrent capability in the 2020's and the 2030's.

23 Some have argued that these bills are simply too large 24 and we cannot afford to retain our nuclear deterrent. But 25 according to the Department's calculation, at its peak the

nuclear mission would be about 7 percent of the nuclear budget. I think it is a little confusing when we hear about our deterrent described as unaffordable, and to me the alternative, letting that deterrent age out -- that has the unaffordable cost to us.

6 Do you have any thoughts on that?

General Dunford: Senator, I would say I would pose the 7 8 question -- you know, some people would ask whether we can 9 afford it. I would probably flip that around and say I think we need to think about how we will fund it. It is a 10 11 capability that is required. Again, we have identified that 12 as the number one capability that we need to have to protect 13 the Nation, and nuclear weapons certainly create an 14 existential threat. So for me, it is a question more of how 15 do we work together moving forward to fund this as opposed 16 to whether or not we can afford to do it.

17 Senator Fischer: That is 7 percent of the budget at 18 its peak, though, and being the number one priority, should 19 that not be what we fund first?

General Dunford: Senator, frankly, it is more complicated to me than that, and I have some experience with that inside the Department of the Navy. When I looked at the Ohio class replacement, as an example, and what that would do to pressurize the shipbuilding account, we would have to make some very difficult decisions inside the

Department from a capability perspective. And so while it is clear that that is the priority, it is not an issue of exclusivity. And so balanced capabilities is what the joint force needs, and so I think we need to approach it from that perspective.

6 Senator Fischer: Fair enough.

I also appreciate the connection that you made between 7 8 the modernization and the reductions to the hedge of our 9 non-deployed weapons. I think that this linkage is often 10 overlooked, and I think it is based on simple logic. If you 11 have a modern stockpile and you have a responsive 12 infrastructure, you do not keep as many spares. And I think you are more insulated as well from what is happening in the 13 14 world. You are more insulated from those surprises and also 15 from technical failure.

But to be clear, do you believe that it would be premature to make any significant changes to the hedge before we have a modern stockpile and before we have a responsive infrastructure?

General Dunford: Senator, my understanding at this time from the briefings I received is that would be the most prudent course for us to take.

23 Senator Fischer: Thank you, sir.

24 With respect to further nuclear arms reductions, do you 25 believe that any reductions below the New START force levels

must be achieved through a negotiated treaty and also be verifiable? General Dunford: Senator, I do. I do not believe we ought to take unilateral action in that regard. Senator Fischer: Should non-strategic nuclear weapons be included as well? General Dunford: Senator, I would like to take that particular question for the record. [The information follows:] [COMMITTEE INSERT]

1 Senator Fischer: Thank you.

And do you agree that any arms control negotiations 2 3 must take into account Russia's current behavior, especially its compliant record. You mentioned at the beginning that 4 5 you feel that Russia is our greatest threat. 6 General Dunford: I do, Senator. Senator Fischer: 7 Thank you, sir. 8 Chairman McCain: Senator Donnelly? 9 Senator Donnelly: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 And I want to also thank General Dempsey and his family 11 for everything they have done for the country. General Dunford, you and your family -- thank you very, 12 very much for stepping up to the plate. We are really in 13 14 your debt. 15 As you know and we have discussed in the past, I 16 believe one of the greatest threats to our troops is when 17 they find themselves in a personal place where they start to think about something like suicide. We lost over 400 young 18 19 men and women in the past year. And I know you have worked 20 very hard in this area. You have done a lot of rigorous 21 screening in the Marine Corps. 22 Will you have that same screening used across the 23 branches when you look at recruits and early on in their

24 careers?

25 General Dunford: Senator, one of the thoughts I had as

1 a service chief was to ensure that once we identified a
2 better way to screen, as you said, and identified people at
3 risk and take appropriate action, that we would share that
4 as best practices across the services. And I would
5 certainly look to facilitate that if confirmed.

6 Senator Donnelly: And then the other question I wanted 7 to ask you in this area is a lot of times, in talking to the 8 parents, there has been a stigma for the young men and women 9 to seek help. I know that you are committed to removing 10 that stigma. Are we going to make sure that everybody 11 knows, look, it is a sign of strength to try to get some 12 help, to talk to somebody, as opposed to any weakness? 13 General Dunford: Senator, absolutely. You know, this 14 is one of those areas where you are never complacent, you 15 are never satisfied with where you are. But I would tell 16 you this. I really believe this. Over the past probably 5 17 or 7 years, the issue of stigma as it associates with 18 suicide has changed dramatically. Even the way we deal with 19 families in the wake of suicide, if you think about where we 20 were a decade ago, is completely different. And I do think 21 the command climate is much more receptive to somebody today 22 seeking help than it was in the past and making sure that 23 help is accessible and, where appropriate, anonymous. But, 24 again, I am not suggesting that we are satisfied with where 25 we are, but I do believe we have made a lot of progress in

1 that particular regard.

2 Senator Donnelly: Thank you.

Last week, I was on a trip led by Senator Kaine. We went to Iraq and met with a number of our forces there, some of the leadership. And one of the greatest concerns was the Iraqi troops, and when you look at the number of ISIS fighters in Ramadi compared to the Iraqi troops, it was a very sparse number of ISIS fighters, but they won the day anyhow because the Iraqi troops turned and left.

I know that that has to be a focus of the leadership of the Iraqi forces. Are we going to send the message that the only way through Ramadi is through Ramadi, that there is no back door anymore in these kind of efforts?

14 General Dunford: Senator, again, you have been on the 15 ground more recently than me now, and I know you have talked 16 to the commanders there. I did have an opportunity to 17 listen to General Austin the other day, and I have seen General Terry's plan, and I think they have made it very 18 19 clear to the Iraqi Security Forces how important Ramadi is. 20 In fact, they have been working hard over the last couple 21 months to set the conditions for the Iraqis to be successful 22 in Ramadi. It probably is one of those issues where it is a 23 tactical action to go back in Ramadi, but there is no 24 question in my mind that from an information operations 25 perspective and frankly from a perception of the campaign,

it is a strategic action. I think the Iraqis understand
 that.

3 Senator Donnelly: One of the other groups we met with -- and I know the marines have shed so much blood and 4 5 treasure in Anbar Province over the past years. We met with a lot of the Sunni tribal leaders, and they said, look, we 6 are still united with you but we need to know that you are 7 in this, that you care, that you will be there. 8 And I 9 mentioned this the other day to Secretary Carter and General 10 Dempsey. One of the council members from Haditha said, we 11 have got people eating grass in our town now. These are 12 people who worked with the United States. They are now eating grass. There is no milk for our children. 13 We need 14 you to help in this humanitarian crisis.

And so I think we not only have to win the battles, we have to reacquire the hearts and minds of the people there. And they said, if you do, we will move these folks out. And I just wanted to get your views on that.

19 General Dunford: Senator, I agree, and I think with 20 regard to Anbar, I have got both a personal and a 21 professional stake, having lived in the province for a year 22 and developed relationships with some of the people in the 23 Anbar Province. And I could not agree with you more that 24 their confidence in our commitment, their trust in our 25 commitment will absolutely have an impact on the success of

our campaign not only from the military perspective, but from the perspective of the people's willingness to support us.

4 Senator Donnelly: The last thing I wanted to mention 5 is Syria. It appears that the plan we have right now is really no plan. You know, we have talked about buffer zones 6 when we were in Saudi Arabia -- Chairman McCain with a group 7 8 of us. And we talked about creating no-fly zones there. 9 And so we seem to be in search of a plan. And my fear is that Assad is going to fall, and we are hearing that from a 10 11 lot of folks in the area that he is on very shaky ground 12 right now. And do we then look up the next day and see a race between ISIS and al-Nusra to take over the rest of the 13 14 country, which is a nightmare scenario at that point? And 15 so you are stepping into a real challenging position, but I 16 think one of the very, very front on the lens is Syria is 17 going to change. It is going to change quickly, and we had best be prepared for that change and be ahead of it or else 18 19 we are going to look up and an entire country is going to be 20 qone.

21 Thank you.

22 General Dunford: Thanks, Senator.

23 Chairman McCain: Senator Cotton?

Senator Cotton: General Dunford, thank you for beinghere today. Thank you for your years of service. Mrs.

1 Dunford, thank you for your years of service.

2 General Dunford, you said earlier that you believe Russia is the gravest threat that the United States faces. 3 I take it that is because, in large part, Russia is the only 4 5 country with a nuclear capability to destroy the United States and our way of life? 6 7 General Dunford: That is one of the reasons, Senator, and of course, that is combined with their recent behavior. 8 9 Senator Cotton: Given that Russia, according to the administration, is currently in ongoing violation of the 10 11 Intermediate Nuclear Forces Treaty, do you believe the 12 United States should consider withdrawing from that treaty? General Dunford: Senator, I would like to take that 13 for the record. 14 15 [The information follows:] 16 [COMMITTEE INSERT] 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 Senator Cotton: Okay. We would like to hear a 2 response to that for the record because as it currently 3 stands, Russia and the United States are the only parties to 4 the treaty, and Russia is violating it. It means that the 5 United States is the only country in the world prohibited 6 from developing missiles with a range of 500 to 5,000 7 kilometers.

8 The President currently has a proposal to preposition some equipment in our eastern NATO allies' countries as a 9 response not just to the capability that Russia has but also 10 11 the intention they have displayed to put stresses on our 12 alliance. I find that proposal somewhat underwhelming, although a step in the right direction. Are there barriers 13 14 to stationing troops in those countries up to the battalion 15 or even brigade level?

16 General Dunford: Senator, I think that proposal is part of a wide range of activities. One is to have 17 infrastructure that we can support deployments. The other 18 19 is to preposition equipment so we can rapidly move forces 20 into Europe. And then the other piece of it is actually 21 rotational forces, as you are suggesting. So I think 22 rotational forces are envisioned as part of the whole 23 package that Secretary Carter announced at the defense 24 ministerial in NATO a month ago.

25 Senator Cotton: Thank you.

I would like to move now to Iran. As far as I know,
 there has still not been an announced nuclear agreement with
 Iran. But under any such agreement, Iran will probably get
 a signing bonus of billions and billions of dollars. How do
 you expect Iran will use that signing bonus?

6 General Dunford: Senator, again, from the outside 7 looking in, there are two challenges they have. One is 8 their economy internally and the disaffection of the Iranian 9 people as a result of that economy, and the other is that 10 they use resources that they have available to support their 11 malign activity across the region.

12 Senator Cotton: So you believe that at least part of 13 that money can go to terrorist organizations they support 14 like Hezbollah, as well as to destabilize governments in the 15 Middle East like support for the Huthis in Yemen or Shiite 16 militias in Iraq.

17 General Dunford: Senator, I think it is reasonable to 18 assume that.

Senator Cotton: Does the United States have the military capability to destroy Iran's nuclear program? General Dunford: My understanding is that we do, Senator.

23 Senator Cotton: You have served in Iraq and
24 Afghanistan. Do you know how many soldiers, marines
25 underneath your command were killed by Iranian activities?

1 General Dunford: Senator, I know the total number of 2 soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines that were killed by 3 Iranian activities, and the number has been recently reported as about 500. We were not always able to attribute 4 5 the casualties that we had to Iranian activity, although many times we suspected it was Iranian activity, even though 6 we did not necessarily have the forensics to support that. 7 8 Senator Cotton: So about 500 confirmed, but many more 9 suspected killed in action and even more wounded in action. 10 You have a reputation for being particularly thoughtful 11 when you deal with the families of fallen service members. 12 What would you say to family members of a soldier, sailor, airman, or marine that was killed by Iranian activity if we 13 14 make a nuclear agreement with Iran before they change their

15 behavior in the region?

General Dunford: Well, Senator, what I would say is that my expectation is that regardless of there being an agreement or not, Iran will continue to be a malign force and influence across the region. And then if confirmed as the Chairman, I will make sure that our leadership has a full range of military options to deal with Iranian activity.

23 Senator Cotton: It has been reported that your
24 nickname is "Fighting Joe." Is that correct?
25 General Dunford: Senator, actually it is not one I

1 use.

2 Senator Cotton: But it is one that has been given to 3 you. Correct?

4 General Dunford: Senator, perhaps by my wife.5 [Laughter.]

Senator Cotton: Do you care to tell us the origin of
that nickname given to you that you choose not to use?
General Dunford: Senator, I would prefer to talk about
that in private, if you do not mind.

10 Senator Cotton: So I have heard it reported that it 11 was because of your activities as a commander in the early 12 days of the Iraq war as an infantry officer.

13 Given whatever budget agreement we reach, it will 14 probably be inadequate to meet the forces that we face and 15 the long-term modernization needs that we have, whether it 16 is the long-range strike bomber or the F-35, the Ohio class 17 replacement submarine. Are you worried about the next generation of infantrymen in the Marine Corps and the Army, 18 19 that we are going to be taking money from our ground troops 20 to put in major capital investments, which are clearly 21 needed?

General Dunford: Senator, I am concerned, and I think it is broader than just the infantry piece. I mean, I think experience tells us we need a balanced inventory of capabilities and capacities in the joint force to be

1 successful.

And when I answered the question of Senator Ayotte 2 3 earlier when she asked me what kept me up at night, I talked about the need to respond to the uncertain. And what 4 5 concerns me are people who actually think they know what the 6 future is going to look like because our experience tells us we do not. And so having a full range of capabilities that 7 8 includes effective marines and soldiers from my perspective 9 is the prudent thing to do.

10 Senator Cotton: Thank you.

11 In your long and distinguished career, I think we put 12 ground forces at a minimum into Grenada, Panama, Iraq, Somalia, the Balkans, Afghanistan, Iraq again, and there is 13 14 no doubt that we may be called upon again in the future. So 15 I hope in your tenure that even if you do not want to be 16 called "Fighting Joe," that you will be on the lookout for 17 all the Fighting Joes in the Marine Corps and the Army so 18 the country will have them ready to serve once again.

19 General Dunford: I will do that, Senator. Thank you.
20 Chairman McCain: The committee will not review how the
21 Senator from Arkansas got his nicknames here in the Senate.
22 [Laughter.]

23 Chairman McCain: Senator Kaine?

24 Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 And thank you, General Dunford, to you and your family.

1 General Dunford, with the President's recent 2 announcement about 500 more advisors going into the anti-3 ISIL mission in Iraq and Syria and in the region, we are now up to 3,500 troops that are serving abroad in that battle 4 5 serving as advisors, as trainers, special forces 6 coordinating air campaigns, conducting ground strikes. The war passed its 11-month anniversary yesterday. 2 days ago, 7 General Dempsey was here and testified that he believed in a 8 9 mission of this complexity, it was likely to be a multiyear effort that would require a sustained commitment by the 10 11 United States to defeat ISIL.

Do you think it would be received positively by the troops who we are asking to deploy far from home and risk their lives if Congress were to have a debate and authorize and affirm the U.S. mission against ISIL?

General Dunford: Senator, I do think it would be positive from a couple perspectives. One, the reason you mentioned is -- I think what our young men and women need -and it is really all they need to do what we ask them to do -- is a sense that what they are doing has purpose, has meaning, and has the support of the American people. So that is the first reason.

But I also think that there is a second benefit from such a debate, and that is to send a clear and unmistakable message to our adversaries and to our allies that we are

1 committed to this endeavor.

2

Senator Kaine: Thank you, General.

3 With respect to the anti-ISIL effort, I want to pick up on something Senator Reed was talking about earlier. 4 The 5 whole-of-government approach, as you referred to it, has sort of nine lines of effort, and just for the record -- I 6 think we know these, but for everybody there -- supporting 7 8 effective governance in Iraq, denying ISIL safe haven, 9 building partner capacity, enhancing intelligence collection on ISIL, disrupting ISIL finances, exposing ISIL's true 10 11 nature, disrupting the flow of foreign fighters, protecting 12 the homeland, and humanitarian support. Those nine lines -two are purely DOD, denying ISIL safe haven and building 13 14 partner capacity. The DOD has a piece of some of the 15 others, but the others are generally non-DOD.

You have testified that you think the effect of sequester on the DOD mission could be catastrophic. But given the fact that seven of these line items are non-DOD, would you agree that the allowance of sequester cuts to come back full force October 1 would also significantly hurt the other seven lines of effort, which are critical to defeating ISIL?

General Dunford: Senator, I do. And if you just do not mind, I would just like to talk about the relationship between the two lines of effort in the DOD and the other

1 seven because I think it highlights the issue.

2 Senator Kaine: Please.

3 General Dunford: From my perspective, the two lines of effort that we have right now -- one, deny sanctuary and to 4 5 build partnership capacity in both Iraq and Syria -- really 6 are buying time and space for those other seven lines of effort to work. But to be quite honest, you know, I do not 7 8 see how we can have an enduring success unless those other seven lines of effort are addressed, and they are, in the 9 10 final analysis, more important.

11 I think the military lines of effort will set the 12 conditions for those other seven lines of effort to be put into effect, but I certainly cannot see us being successful 13 14 without all of them being properly resourced. When you talk 15 about threat finance, when you talk about moving foreign 16 fighters, and as importantly, when you talk about the State Department's efforts to negotiate to develop effective 17 governance in both Irag and Syria, those are going to be 18 19 very important actions to be taken for us, again, to have 20 enduring stability in the region so we can actually deal 21 with this issue once and for all.

22 Senator Kaine: I think there has been some suggestion 23 that if we fix sequester for defense, that is all that we 24 need to do. But even for important defense priorities like 25 defeating ISIL, the testimony you have just given about the

connection between non-defense investments and defense investments in defeating ISIL is really important. And I will just note, by my count, 95 of 100 Senators are now on record either by voting in the budget or voting in the NDAA or in their public statements for supporting the notion that sequester should be fixed both for defense and non-defense accounts. And it is my hope that we will do that.

8 With respect to training and equipping opposition in 9 the anti-ISIL battle, just two items. Senator McCain first 10 raised in September in a hearing -- I think it was in this 11 room -- the question of if we train folks to fight ISIL in 12 Syria and they get attacked by the Assad regime, will we 13 protect them? And he still has not gotten an answer to it. 14 He asked it again yesterday. So by my count, September to 15 now, that is 9 months without a clear answer.

16 We were told in theater last week that the current rules of engagement still would prohibit U.S. effort to 17 support U.S.-trained anti-ISIL fighters in Syria if they 18 19 come under attack by the Assad regime. I have asked 20 questions for the record to get that clarified, and I would 21 like to know if that is in fact the policy, if DOD intends 22 to change the policy, when they will change the policy, and 23 if not, what do we need to do to change the policy because I 24 do not believe we should be sending U.S.-trained folks into 25 a theater of war without giving them a guarantee that they

will be protected. Those questions will be record questions
 from the hearing 2 days ago, but I just want to let you know
 that those are coming and we view that as a very important
 matter.

5 General Dunford: Thank you, Senator. 6 Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Chairman McCain: Senator Sullivan? 7 8 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And General and Ms. Dunford, I want to thank you for 9 your service to the country taking on this new 10 11 responsibility. I certainly know that marines all over the 12 country, whether on active duty or retired, take great pride 13 in the fact that you are only the second marine ever 14 nominated for this post. And I know your career has 15 exemplified the values of honor, courage, and commitment 16 that are the values of the Marine Corps. And I certainly 17 plan on voting for you with enthusiasm and encourage my colleagues to do so as well. So we look forward to seeing 18 19 you tomorrow night at the parade.

I wanted to ask a few questions about the military relationship with the Congress, even though your role is going to be principal advisor to the President.

First, in the area of force posture, this committee occasionally weighs in through the NDAA and other means on key force posture issues, number of ships, basing of troops,

1 aircraft like the A-10. When this happens, how important is 2 it that the military follow the defense guidance of the 3 Senate or the Congress?

4 General Dunford: Senator, I think it is very 5 important, given how explicit it is in the Constitution what 6 the responsibilities of the Congress are in that regard. Senator Sullivan: So let me provide a couple examples. 7 8 So let us say there was an amendment from the chairman and it was about the number of aircraft carriers, passed 9 unanimously through the committee, votes on the Senate 10 11 floor. Do you think the CNO should say, well, the chairman 12 does not know that much about the Navy anymore, we are going 13 to blow that advice in the NDAA off? Is that an appropriate 14 role for the military?

15 General Dunford: If Congress passes a law, Senator, it 16 would not be appropriate to ignore it.

17 Senator Sullivan: So how about an NDAA amendment that 18 says it is the sense of Congress, in support of the 19 President's rebalance in the Asia-Pacific strategy, to 20 increase forces in the PACOM AOR? Is it appropriate to 21 ignore that or even significantly decrease forces? What do 22 you think our response to that should be if that is 23 happening?

General Dunford: Senator, first, obviously, the sense of Congress ought to inform all the actions --

Senator Sullivan: There is a recent amendment that
 says exactly that.

3 General Dunford: Right.

4 Senator Sullivan: Let me provide a second area that we 5 have talked about a little bit in terms of emerging threats. 6 You know, sometimes the Department of Defense civilian and 7 military officials, because there are so many threats out 8 there, miss certain threats. And let me provide an example 9 of one that everybody seems to be focused on with the 10 exception of the Department of Defense.

11 You may have seen "Newsweek" this week had a cover 12 story on the Arctic and what they called "In the Race to Control the Arctic, the U.S. Lags Behind." It is a very 13 long article. It talks about how this is the world's newest 14 15 great game, Kipling's term for the struggle between major 16 powers to dominate the earth's remote but very strategic 17 places. It talks about how the Russians are very, very aggressively moving military forces into the Arctic, serious 18 19 military exercises, and how, whether it is the Coast Guard 20 or the Secretary of Defense saying this new kind of 21 geopolitical cold war the U.S. is in danger of losing. We 22 are not even in the same league as the Russians. We are not 23 even playing this game at all. So I think it is safe to say 24 the Department of Defense has been asleep at the switch on 25 this.

1 Congress has been more attuned to this issue. In this 2 year's NDAA, there is a section that requires the Department 3 of Defense to provide Congress with a military strategy, given the new threat levels, and an OPLAN for the Arctic 4 5 based on the increased interests and threats. 6 Does it make sense to cut any of America's limited number of cold weather-trained warriors in the Arctic before 7 this congressionally mandated strategy is completed? 8 9 General Dunford: Senator, I guess I am not sure which forces you are alluding to be cut. 10 11 Senator Sullivan: Well, there are only certain forces 12 in the Arctic right now. They are all in Alaska. General Dunford: Senator, I would like to take that 13 14 for the record. I am not aware of the full range of 15 decisions that are being made right now and what the 16 implications are. 17 [The information follows:] [COMMITTEE INSERT] 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 Senator Sullivan: General, I mean, I think it is important to recognize, you know, it is hard to figure out 2 3 appropriate force levels and capabilities in the Arctic without having a plan. And we have mandated the desire and 4 5 need for a plan, and I think we are getting a little bit of 6 the cart before the horse, cutting forces before we even know what our plan is. But we certainly recognize that 7 there is an increased threat. Congress has, and we hope the 8 9 DOD will recognize it is as well.

10 General Dunford: Senator, if confirmed -- I know I 11 have had some conversations with both the current Chief of 12 Naval Operations and Commandant of the Coast Guard about the 13 implications for the Arctic. And the commitment I would 14 make to you is that we will, in fact, develop an appropriate 15 role for the military in support of our economic and 16 political interests in the Arctic.

17 Senator Sullivan: Thank you.

18 Chairman McCain: Senator Hirono?

Senator Hirono: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and General
 Dunford and Mrs. Dunford and your family, for your service.

I just wanted to pick up very briefly on the issue of sexual trauma in the military and the concerns about retaliation. And I think that you had noted that you would determine the root causes and continue to work to ensure that the culture does not support retaliation.

1 And I would ask you to have a sense of urgency as you 2 respond to this committee on how you are going to address and resolve the issue of retaliation because even as we 3 downsize our military, it is even more important that our 4 5 troops' morale remain strong and that there is cohesion, and 6 there could not be strong morale or cohesion if some of your troops are encountering sexual assault and harassment and 7 8 retaliation. I just wanted to make that point.

9 Could you share very briefly your views on the 10 rebalance to the Asia-Pacific?

11 General Dunford: Senator, I can. It is absolutely 12 critical that we do that, given both the demographics in Asia but also our economic future. So there is no question 13 14 about it. That is going to require us to modernize our 15 alliances, and I think you have seen some progress in that 16 regard, our relationship with South Korea, our relationship 17 with Japan, our relationship with the Philippines, Vietnam, India, Australia have all been adjusted here in recent 18 19 months. I think we have an unprecedented level of exercises 20 and engagement right now in the Pacific again to assert our 21 influence and to provide a stabilizing presence.

The most important thing I think the rebalance to the Pacific does is it provides a security infrastructure within which we could advance our national interests. That is what has existed for the past 7 years, and I think the rebalance

to the Pacific, as we know it today, is designed to modernize that security infrastructure and make sure it is in place so that just as we protect our national interests over the past 7 years, we can do that in the indefinite future as well.

6 Senator Hirono: I just got information on the cuts that will happen to PACOM as a result of the budget 7 8 necessities. And I am glad to know that General Odierno did 9 say that the cuts were with regard to the importance of a rebalance, and therefore, we want to make sure -- and this 10 11 is something that I know that Senator Sullivan shares with me -- that the rebalance to the Asia-Pacific remains a very 12 13 strong commitment on our part.

You mentioned that Russia is the greatest threat to our national security. Where would ISIL, China, and North Korea fall with regard to our national security dangers?

17 General Dunford: Senator, if I had to rack and stack 18 them today, I would have Russia down as number one. I would 19 have China down as number two.

Senator Hirono: And could you explain why briefly?
General Dunford: Sure. Russia, of course, because of
nuclear capability and their aggression.

23 China because of their military capability, their 24 growing military capability, and their presence in the 25 Pacific and our interests in the Pacific. So it is a

1 relationship between their capabilities and our interests. It does not necessarily mean they are a current threat. It 2 3 does not mean they view China as an enemy. But, again, as someone in uniform, I get paid to look at both somebody's 4 5 intent and their capabilities. So when I look at Chinese capabilities relative to our interests in the Pacific, I 6 would have to consider China as an area of concern for 7 8 security, again as distinct from a threat.

9 Clearly, North Korea with ballistic missile capability 10 and the potential to reach the United States and attack the 11 homeland is high on that list.

12 And then ISIL.

But, you know, Senator, I just want to make it clear. 13 14 As I go down that list and prioritize, I do not view that 15 meaning that we can attack those issues in sequence or that 16 a prioritization of one at the expense of the other is 17 necessarily something we would have to do at this particular time. All four of those security issues are ones that 18 19 require the Department to look at. They all create a 20 challenge that needs to be addressed.

21 Senator Hirono: And that is why we live in very 22 complicated times.

I would like to focus on our distributed laydown in the Pacific. Specific to Japan, I am aware of the concerns of the Okinawan population and of their leadership's desire to

halt construction of the Futenma replacement facility. Can you characterize our relationship and the challenges for relocating our forces from and within Japan? Because that is very much a part of the rebalance that we are committed to.

6 General Dunford: Senator, thanks. I recently did visit Japan. I was encouraged by my visit. I met with a 7 8 number of their senior leaders, to include the minister of I received nothing but their full commitment to 9 defense. 10 continue with the Futenma replacement plan. And so my sense 11 is that the Japanese Government is committed to that. Thev 12 recognize that that is important for us to continue with the 13 preferred laydown that you alluded to. And so my sense 14 right now is that our relationship with the Japanese and their stated commitment -- we are in a pretty good place 15 16 with regard to the Futenma replacement facility.

17 Senator Hirono: And do you view the Okinawa situation 18 as mainly a concern that should be dealt with within Japan 19 and their government?

General Dunford: Senator, we -- and I specifically now talk about the marines in Okinawa. We need to be good neighbors and set the conditions for a positive relationship with the Okinawan people. So I think we can make a contribution. But at the end of the day, the issue of the Futenma replacement facility from my perspective is in fact

an internal Japanese political issue that has to be worked
 by the Japanese Government.

3 Senator Hirono: Thank you.

4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

5 Chairman McCain: Senator Heinrich?

6 Senator Heinrich: General Dunford, welcome to you and 7 your family. In your written testimony, you state, as 8 Senator Fischer pointed out, that our nuclear deterrent is 9 the Nation's top military priority. And that leads me to a 10 specific question related to how we plan for that priority 11 over time.

12 The health of our Nation's whole nuclear weapons 13 complex is critical to our nuclear deterrent. And one of 14 the things you wrote in your written testimony is that we 15 must recruit and train our next generation workforce capable 16 of certifying stockpile requirements and to modernize the 17 nuclear weapons infrastructure.

Can you share with me your thoughts specifically on LDRD, or laboratory-directed research and development, and the life extension programs that are going on at our national labs and their role in achieving recruitment and retention of that next generation nuclear workforce?

General Dunford: Senator, that is an issue that in my current capacity I frankly have not developed any level of expertise, and I would like to take that one for the record.

1	[The	informa	ation	follows:]
2	[CON	MITTEE	INSEF	<pre>\[T]</pre>	
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1 Senator Heinrich: That would be fine. I look forward 2 to engaging you on that in the future. I think it is going 3 to be really important for us to view some of the particulars of how we manage the labs and particularly the 4 5 things that bring people into the pipeline at the front end 6 with the greatest amount of expertise and then they stay in those positions, rise up through the labs, and provide the 7 8 continuity that it is going to take to make sure that we have the kind of modern deterrent that we need. 9

I want to focus my next question on some of the challenges here at home. In my view, defense innovation is moving too slowly, oftentimes in cycles that last years, while commercial innovation can be measured in cycles of months.

This committee included a section in this year's NDAA to authorize funding, about half of which would be dedicated for directed energy to accelerate the fielding of a variety of important offset technologies including, in addition to directed energy, things like low-cost, high-speed munitions, cyber capabilities, autonomous systems, undersea warfare, and intelligence data analytics.

22 What role do you think the development of these new 23 technologies like directed energy and robotics will play in 24 our national security posture? And what steps should we 25 take to develop and deliver operationally useful systems

1 more quickly?

General Dunford: Senator, in my capacity as the 2 3 Chairman, if I am confirmed, I view the future of the joint force as being one of my critical responsibilities. And a 4 5 key piece of that is making sure we keep apace of innovation 6 so that we not only get better at doing what we are doing today, but we find fundamentally different ways to do things 7 8 in the future that are more effective and they maintain our 9 competitive advantage. And so I think what you are 10 outlining is certainly an area of concern for me. Even as a 11 service chief, I would tell you that over the past decade 12 our efforts at innovation probably were at a lower priority than they ought to be, and we have, over the past year, 13 14 tried to energize that. And I would certainly bring that same focus and attention if I was confirmed as the Chairman. 15 16 Senator Heinrich: I appreciate that.

One other challenge at home here is that the Air 17 Force's remotely piloted aircraft career field is under 18 19 really severe strain, largely through increased combatant 20 commander requirements, insufficient personnel policy 21 actions to improve manning levels, and just the basic 22 reality that the Air Force is losing more remotely piloted 23 aircraft pilots than it is training. We have heard from 24 Secretary James and General Welch. They have assured this 25 committee that they are dedicated to resolving the

1 shortfall, but I also want to get your commitment to helping 2 resolve this issue. So if confirmed, I would just ask that 3 you make that a priority.

4 General Dunford: Senator, I would maybe just comment 5 quickly that those men and women that are in that field 6 represent a core capability in the joint force, and their effectiveness, their morale, their willingness to continue 7 8 to serve is absolutely important. I have spoken to General Welch about this particular issue, but I will certainly 9 reinforce the efforts of the Chief of Staff of the Air Force 10 11 and the Secretary of the Air Force to make sure that those 12 individuals are appreciated and that they have a climate 13 within which they want to remain airmen.

14 Senator Heinrich: I appreciate that deeply. I think 15 it is an area where we are seeing some severe strains and 16 where folks really need our support. So thank you.

17 Chairman McCain: Senator Tillis?

Senator Tillis: Good morning, General Dunford. Thank you for your service and for your family's longtime serving our Nation.

I leaned over to Senator Sullivan during some of your comments, and I also thoroughly appreciate your precise answer to questions. It is refreshing to get that in the committee.

I would like to go back to a question or build on a

question that Senator Sessions asked of you, and it had to do with the plus-up of spending and the use of OCO as a way. But we all know that that is not the best way to do what you need to do, primarily for the purposes that you pointed out, the certainty. It still does not give you long-term certainty.

But my question to you is have you given thought to how you could potentially use this funding, although it is not a long-term commitment, to take the edge off of sequestration and any ideas on precisely how you would?

11 General Dunford: Senator, we started to look at that, 12 and it would really require a change in the rules for using 13 OCO for us to be able to do that. Right now, if you gave us 14 OCO, given the current rules, we would not be able to use it 15 in the places where we most need it. Much of the money that 16 we requested, in addition to the BCA level in the 17 President's budget for 2016, was really focused on modernization. That is the thing that has suffered the most 18 19 over the last 2 years, in addition to readiness. So we have 20 looked at it, but there are some very practical limitations 21 in our ability to apply OCO to some of the areas that we 22 need it applied to.

23 Senator Tillis: Will you be making specific
24 recommendations for things that we need to look at to make
25 sure you get the most productivity you can out of it?

General Dunford: Senator, I will certainly do that
 through the Secretary of Defense as he works this issue.
 Senator Tillis: Thank you.

I wanted to go back to also questions that were asked about Afghanistan and Iraq. I visited both countries and spoke with a number of people while we were there. And it seems like in Afghanistan we have got the right mix. We have got them in the right roles and the Afghanis have proven that they can fight successfully.

10 In Iraq, I understand what you said about some of the 11 political decisions of the past administration have caused a 12 problem. And those structural issues have to be addressed. 13 But have you given any thought to, assuming that you 14 get to the point to where you have the right command 15 infrastructure among the Iraqis, what we may need to 16 actually create a credible, trained, effective fighting 17 force for the Iraqis beyond the 3,000 currently present 18 troops?

19 General Dunford: Senator, you know, I can address that 20 conceptually in terms of their ability to develop combined 21 arms and in terms of their ability to develop institutional 22 training and in terms of their ability to develop the 23 capacity at the ministerial level to support tactical-level 24 forces. But frankly, it has been a few years since I have 25 been on the ground in Iraq, and what I would like to do is

1 take the opportunity, if I am confirmed, to visit Iraq, talk 2 to the commanders on the ground and again develop a 3 comprehensive recommendation that would help us to move the 4 campaign forward.

5 Senator Tillis: On the flip side, I know the Afghanis have made a lot of progress, but I think they still rely on 6 us heavily for our train, advise, and assist role and our 7 8 ISR capabilities in the region. I know that I have heard 9 you say we cannot have a calendar-based approach towards 10 reduction in forces. But the sense that I got when I was in 11 Kabul was that those who are very much in touch with the 12 situation on the ground now think that it would be a very 13 bad idea to substantially reduce our current presence over 14 the near term. Now, I assume that that is because they are 15 looking ahead to 12 months, 18 months from now and saying we 16 are still not going to at a place where the Afghanis can be 17 completely independent. Do you share that view?

General Dunford: Senator, what I can tell you is the 18 19 assumptions that we made in the recommendation that was delivered in December of 2013. So it is now some 19 months 20 ago. And some of the assumptions affected the timeline. 21 22 And we certainly did not expect there to be as much of a 23 delay in the elections process of 2014, and there was. And 24 that was a great distracter in our efforts to develop 25 ministerial capacity. When I was on the ground, it was very

difficult to get my counterparts to focus on some of the practical side of growing ministerial capacity when they were involved in a very real challenge of providing security for the election. So it delayed our efforts in growing ministerial capacity.

And there have been other areas where we made some assumptions about things that could be done within a certain period of time that we actually did not in the event get done during that window of time.

10 So from a distance now -- and again, another area where 11 I would go over and talk to General Campbell and General 12 Austin down at Central Command, if confirmed, immediately. From a distance, it certainly makes sense to me that the 13 14 timeline that we originally identified in 2013 as being 15 possible has probably been affected by the political events 16 inside of Afghanistan and other events associated with the 17 enemy.

18 Senator Tillis: Thank you, General Dunford. I look
19 forward to supporting your confirmation.

20 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

21 Chairman McCain: Senator Blumenthal?

22 Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you for your service, General, and thank you to your family who are here today for their service as well.

25 I want to begin with what you assessed as the primary

threats from Russia and China and talk about a weapons platform or system that has not been raised today, our submarine force. I recognize that is not immediately part of your background, but obviously a grave responsibility, if you are confirmed. And I certainly am going to strongly support your confirmation as the next Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

8 The Ohio replacement program is critical to our nuclear 9 deterrence, and the cost of that program has been estimated in the range of \$100 billion. The Navy has said that it 10 11 cannot pay for it out of its Navy budget. I am wondering 12 whether you will consider and whether you will support 13 looking at the Defense Department budget as a whole to fund 14 the Ohio replacement program, which I am assuming you agree is critical to our nuclear deterrence. 15

16 General Dunford: Senator, thanks.

17 First, I do agree it is critical. It is the most 18 survivable part of the triad and a critical capability for 19 us to modernize.

I am very familiar with the budgetary implications of the Ohio class replacement on the Department of the Navy's long-range shipbuilding plan. And what I can tell you with a degree of surety is that were we to fund the Ohio class replacement out of the Department of the Navy, it would have a pretty adverse effect on the rest of the shipbuilding

plan, and the estimates are somewhere between two and a half and three ships a year. And again, we are not anywhere near where we need to be right now. And so the 30-year shipbuilding plan was intended to get us where we need to be.

6 So I do think a broader mechanism for the Ohio class replacement makes sense. Otherwise, we are going to have 7 8 some pretty adverse effects on the Navy. And as I mentioned 9 a couple times in testimony today, one of my perspectives coming into this role would be, if confirmed, is that we 10 11 need to have some balance, and that includes a balanced 12 Navy. So as important as the Ohio class replacement is, the 13 United States Navy, in terms of the forward presence they 14 provide, in terms of their warfighting capability, has many 15 other capabilities that are critical to our Nation as well. 16 And it would be difficult to balance those were the Ohio class replacement to be paid for within the current 17 Department of the Navy's projected resources. 18

Senator Blumenthal: Thank you for that answer,
General. I am hoping that you would agree with equal surety
that the continuing program to build two subs a year, two
Virginia class subs a year, should continue as planned right
now.

24 General Dunford: Senator, here is where I defer to my 25 partner, the Chief of Naval Operations. But that is

certainly his plan, and I trust his judgment in that regard.
 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you.

3 Going to another area that I do not think has been raised yet, I know of your very passionate and admirable 4 5 commitment to the men and women who are our greatest asset 6 in the United States armed forces, their wellbeing and their welfare. And I hope that you can commit that you will 7 continue the effort to coordinate better with the Veterans 8 9 Administration for men and women who are leaving active duty on everything from transfer of medical records to drug 10 11 formularies to a range of issues. I do not need to expound 12 on them for you, but I hope that you will focus and continue 13 those efforts.

14 General Dunford: Senator, absolutely. Just so you 15 know, I view keeping faith with our men and women in uniform 16 as one of the primary responsibilities of leadership, and 17 that is both when they are in uniform and when they are out of uniform. And we have an expression, you know, certainly 18 19 in the Marine Corps that once a marine, always a marine. 20 And from my perspective, once you have served our country, 21 the service and support that you should get in return as 22 part of that bargain that we make with young men and women 23 who enlist is something that is pretty sacred. And I 24 absolutely will continue to support the efforts to make sure 25 that the health care transition that our young men and women

make when they are in uniform to the Veterans Administration
 is as seamless as possible. I think we owe them that.

Senator Blumenthal: One last question, General. Your
predecessor, General Dempsey, has stated repeatedly -- and I
am quoting -- we have the capability to use a military
option if the Iranians choose to stray off the diplomatic
path. End quote.

8 My question to you is are you satisfied that our Nation 9 has done enough to prepare militarily for the option -- and 10 the President has said that all options should be on the 11 table -- if necessary, to use a military option there, as 12 much as we all may wish that the negotiations should 13 succeed?

General Dunford: Senator, my understanding today is that we have both the plans in place and the capability in place to deal with a wide range of eventualities in Iran.

17 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you. Thanks very much.

18 Chairman McCain: Senator Cruz?

19 Senator Cruz: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

General Dunford, congratulations on your nomination and thank you for your 38 years of distinguished service and your leadership as Commandant of the Marine Corps. Our Nation is fortunate to have a military leader such as you serving at a time of great peril.

25 I want to ask a question of you that is the same

question I asked your predecessor, General Dempsey. If the objective were to destroy ISIS, not to weaken them, not to degrade them, but to utterly destroy them within 90 days, what would be required militarily to accomplish that

5 objective?

6 General Dunford: Senator, my assessment is that it 7 would not be possible to destroy ISIL within 90 days, and I 8 also do not believe that we can develop an enduring solution 9 simply with military force against ISIL although I do think 10 the military aspect of the campaign is critical.

11 Senator Cruz: Well, if the time frame I have suggested 12 is not feasible, let me ask you a follow-up question which 13 is what would be required to destroy ISIS and what time 14 frame is necessary. Specifically if that were the 15 objective, what would be required to accomplish it 16 militarily?

General Dunford: Senator, if I am confirmed, I will continue to look at this issue, but my perspective today is that this is a long-term endeavor. This is on the order of years not months in order to defeat, destroy in your words, ISIL.

Senator Cruz: And what would be required to do that in whatever time period is necessary?

General Dunford: From a military perspective, the two things that we are doing I think we would need to continue

1 to do, and that is, to take action to deny ISIL sanctuary wherever it may take root both in Iraq and Syria and 2 3 elsewhere. That would require us to build local forces, build partnership capacity, if you will, of the local forces 4 5 that would be the real defeat mechanism for ISIL in the respective countries, given the way that it is spread right 6 now. You would have to have effective governance so that 7 8 you had the conditions set for long-term stability where 9 ISIS could not then get traction again in the future. It would have to address the foreign financing of ISIS, where 10 11 they get their money, as well as their economic assets 12 within each one of those countries. You would have to address the movement of foreign fighters back and forth. 13 14 And probably as importantly, the one thing we need to do, 15 Senator, is we need to undermine the narrative of ISIL and 16 discredit the narrative of ISIL.

Senator Cruz: In your personal judgment, are you concerned about the rules of engagement for our current use of airpower, that it is overly constraining the

20 effectiveness?

General Dunford: Senator, I am not. And one of the reasons is when we go to war, we go to war with our values, and we conduct proportionality in the planning and discrimination in execution. And the thing that we are doing now is ensuring that we do not have civilian

casualties. And I think that, frankly, supports our
 narrative and gives us the credibility we need to be
 successful long term in this campaign.

4 Senator Cruz: In recent days, the administration has 5 informed Congress that we are arming the Kurds. This is 6 something I have called for for a long time. I spoke this week with a senior Kurdish leader who reported that the 7 8 commanders on the ground of the Peshmerga are not confirming 9 that. What can you tell this committee about the extent to which we are providing serious arms to the Kurds and it is 10 11 actually getting to them rather than being bogged down in 12 Baghdad?

13 General Dunford: Senator, first, I would agree with 14 you. The most effective ground forces both in Syria and 15 Iraq today are in fact the Kurds.

My understanding is that the issues associated with supporting the Kurds have been addressed, and they are now getting the material support that they need, as well as the training that they need. And if I am confirmed, I will certainly, as a matter of priority, go over there, visit, and make sure that I am able to make my own personal assessment based on the facts on the ground.

23 Senator Cruz: Will you commit to providing this
24 committee with specific details in terms of what is being
25 done to arm the Kurds?

1 General Dunford: I will do that, Senator.

2 Senator Cruz: Let me ask concerning Iran. If Iran 3 were to acquire nuclear weapons, what is the national 4 security risk in your judgment to the United States of that 5 occurrence?

6 General Dunford: Senator, I think it is significant, 7 particularly if accompanying that is intercontinental 8 ballistic missile technology. It is a significant threat to 9 our Nation. It is also a destabilizing action in the Middle 10 East. And I think we can expect a proliferation of nuclear 11 arms as a result of Iranian possession of nuclear weapons.

12 Senator Cruz: So, General, my final question. I am 13 concerned about morale in the military. We have discussed 14 in this hearing how the world is getting more and more 15 dangerous, and yet at the same time, I think we are 16 dramatically undermining our readiness, our ability to 17 defend this Nation. The "Military Times" did a survey where in 2009 they asked soldiers whether overall the quality of 18 19 life is good or excellent. 91 percent said yes. In 2014, 20 that number had dropped from 91 percent to 56 percent. 21 Likewise, they asked whether the senior military leadership 22 has my best interest at heart. In 2009, 53 percent agreed. 23 In 2014, that had dropped in half to roughly 27 percent. 24 Do you share the concerns about declining morale in the military, and if so, what do you see as the causes of it and 25

1 the proper approach to fix it?

2 General Dunford: Senator, thanks for the question. First of all, with regard to the morale of our force, 3 it is clearly one of the things that distinguishes us. 4 And 5 I was able to say in my opening statement that we have the 6 most capable military force in the world today, and that clearly is rooted in the men and women that we have in 7 8 uniform and their willingness to do what we have asked them 9 to do in the last decade. And it is not something I would 10 be complacent about.

11 I do have concerns as a service chief about how hard we 12 have been running our men and women over the last few years. 13 As an example, Senator, we had had a plan where we wanted to 14 have a 1-to-3 deployment-to-dwell ratio. That means our 15 forces would be deployed about 7 months, home for 21 months. 16 That allowed us to get adequate training. It allowed us to take care of families, allowed the marines to kind of be 17 what I describe as a sustained rate of fire. Many of our 18 19 units now inside the Marine Corps are at or below a 1-to-2 20 deployment-to-dwell rate. So they are home for less than 21 twice as much time. So they will be deployed for 7 months, 22 home less than 14 months, and back out again, and that 23 continues on and certainly has an effect on the families 24 and, again, our ability to train across the range of 25 military operations.

1 If I am confirmed, Senator, this is absolutely going to be one of the areas that I focus on. I think I have a 2 3 responsibility to lead the young men and women in uniform. I think I have a responsibility to represent them, and when 4 5 I say represent them, that means to articulate to our 6 leadership, both here on the Hill, as well as the executive branch, what material support, what leadership, what 7 8 resources they need to remain the finest fighting force in the United States. 9

10 And it bothers me greatly if our young men and women do 11 not have confidence in their senior leadership, and I can 12 tell you that every day when I wake up, if I am confirmed, 13 that will be an issue of priority for me, that that will be 14 exactly what I seek to do is gain the trust and confidence 15 of our young men and women and let them know that they are 16 in fact properly represented back here Washington, D.C., and 17 that we as leaders recognize that we are asking them to do a They do not ask much more in return than to have the 18 lot. 19 wherewithal to accomplish the mission with minimal loss of 20 life or equipment. And I will commit to you that is exactly 21 what I will do.

22 Senator Cruz: Thank you, General.

23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 Chairman McCain: Has sequestration not bred
25 uncertainty which has contributed to this drop in morale?

1 General Dunford: Chairman, thanks. I should have 2 mentioned that when I talked about how busy the forces are. 3 There is a tremendous amount of angst across the force, and a large part of that is driven by the uncertainty about how 4 5 big the force will be, what will happen to their particular 6 careers, and will we have the equipment necessary to accomplish the mission. So I do think, Chairman, that 7 8 sequestration is a factor.

9 Chairman McCain: Senator Sullivan had one follow-up 10 question, and then we will turn to Senator Shaheen.

11 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And, General, I just wanted to get back to the issue of the military's role in relationship with Congress. Do you think it is an important role that we have to make sure that our services do not replicate missions and core

16 competencies, particularly in an austere budget environment

17 like we have right now?

18 General Dunford: I do, Senator.

19 Senator Sullivan: Let me just provide a quick example. 20 I believe one of the core competencies that the Army has is 21 large-scale airborne units that can deploy in a moment's 22 notice anywhere in the world. Do you think that is one of 23 their core competencies?

- 24 General Dunford: I do, Senator.
- 25 Senator Sullivan: A few months ago, a military general

testified in front of one of the subcommittees here about putting troops and helicopters on naval shipping for, quote, expeditionary maneuver throughout the Pacific. What service's core competency would you associate that mission with?

General Dunford: I would associate that with the7 United States Marine Corps, Senator.

8 Senator Sullivan: So if I told you that was an Army 9 general describing the Army's new Pacific Pathways strategy, 10 would that surprise you?

General Dunford: It would not, Senator. I have seen that description in the open source.

13 Senator Sullivan: Do you think that costly new Army 14 mission is a redundant mission to the United States Marine 15 Corps' mission, and is that a good use of America's taxpayer 16 and military spending?

General Dunford: Senator, given the shortfall of the amphibious lift -- I am speaking now as a service chief -- I think the priority ought to go to the United States Marine Corps.

21 Senator Sullivan: And would be it an appropriate role 22 of this Congress to try to limit such redundancies by making 23 sure military funding goes to core competencies like much-24 needed Army airborne brigades in the Asia-Pacific and the 25 Arctic as opposed to redundant activities like troops and

1 Army helicopters on naval shipping?

General Dunford: Senator, I do agree that the Congress has a critical role in ensuring that we have a proper division of labor within the Department of Defense and that the joint capabilities and capacities that we have are right-sized.

7 Senator Sullivan: Thank you.

8 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

9 Chairman McCain: Thank you for that single follow-up 10 guestion.

11 Senator Shaheen?

Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I apologize for getting back so late. I was in an Appropriations markup.

But, General Dunford, thank you very much to you and your family for your service in the past and for your willingness to continue to serve. And I have to say after watching you before the crowd of New Hampshire business folks and hearing from them, how impressed they were. I look forward to the impression that you are going to make as the new Chairman of the Joint Chiefs.

I wanted to follow up on Senator Wicker's questions about Europe and the concerns in Europe because I recently returned from a visit to Poland and to Latvia where I saw the NATO exercises in Latvia at Adazi Base and heard extreme

concern about the potential for Putin to engage, as you
pointed out, in an asymmetric instigation in the Baltics and
in other eastern European countries. And I am concerned
about the failure to date of Europe to commit to the 2
percent of their GDP for defense spending and wonder if you
have thoughts about what more we might be able to do to
encourage them to ante up.

8 General Dunford: Senator, I do think it is important 9 that our NATO partners bear their share of the burden. That 10 is an issue that I know Secretary Carter and his 11 predecessors all addressed. They came out of the Wales 12 conference with a commitment for all those nations to meet 13 that 2 percent.

From my perspective, given the shortfall of capabilities and capacities in Europe in areas like intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, defensive cyber capabilities, strategic lift, and so forth, I think it is going to be absolutely critical for our partners to develop those capabilities and capacities.

I would also add that I have seen firsthand in my previous assignment in Afghanistan when our NATO partners are properly resourced, they do have capabilities and capacities that can be integrated to great effect. And so I do think the alliance, were it to be properly resourced, can be a very effective force for stability in Europe, as well

1 as for out-of-Europe operations.

2 Senator Shaheen: I agree and hopefully we will see 3 that commitment followed through on because clearly the 4 threat from Putin and from Russia continues, and our eastern 5 European allies are very concerned about that.

I want to ask you about -- you talked about the deployment pressures on our military. I wonder if you could give me your perspective on the appropriate active-toreserve ratio and the importance of the National Guard and Reserve and continuing the military mission that we have in this country.

12 General Dunford: Senator, I can. And, of course, one 13 of the things we have to do when we talk about using the 14 Reserve and the Guard is balance the concerns of employers, 15 concerns of families with the willingness and the desire 16 frankly for the Guard and Reserve to continue to serve in 17 what is more of an operational or strategic sense. And what I mean by that is there was in the past the sense that the 18 19 Guard and Reserve would be something -- in the case of a 20 major war, we would mobilize the Guard and Reserve. I think 21 we found today, particularly with the size of our U.S. 22 military force and our commitments to the Guard and Reserve, 23 is much more operational in that they are useful and 24 necessary on a day-to-day basis.

25 My sense is as a service chief -- and I will certainly

1 look at the implications across the other services if I am confirmed -- is about once every 4 years is a reasonable 2 time for a major deployment, although in many cases, 3 4 depending on what their employment is and so forth, 5 individuals can be available on a much more routine basis. 6 But for whole units, probably about 1 to 4 years, 1 year deployment and mobilization and then 4 years back focused on 7 8 their families and employers, seems to be sustainable. But, again, if I am confirmed, I will certainly consult with the 9 10 appropriate leadership in the Guard and Reserve to make sure 11 that I have a full appreciation for their challenges, as 12 well as the other service chiefs.

13 Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you. We have seen in New 14 Hampshire the significant contribution of the Guard and the 15 integration, particularly with the air refueling, of active 16 duty and Guard in providing that mission. So I think it is 17 very important.

Let me ask you if you would commit to two things. 18 One 19 is in 2013, the Department announced the elimination of the 20 direct combat exclusion policy and announced plans to fully 21 integrate more women into all occupational fields. I hope 22 that you will continue that effort and see it through. As 23 we know, women are making up a greater percentage of our 24 military these days, and making sure that they have the 25 ability to compete in all areas I think is significant.

1 The other question. I noticed this week that the Navy announced that they have tripled the maternity leave policy 2 3 for women serving in the Navy, and I would urge you to 4 consider that across all branches of the military. Again, 5 as women are making up more of our troops, I think it is 6 important to address the family issues that they have, and certainly maternity leave is a big part of that. So I hope 7 8 you will do that.

9 General Dunford: Thank you, Senator. I will look at10 both of those issues.

11 Senator Shaheen: Thank you.

12 Senator Reed [presiding]: Senator Graham?

13 Senator Graham: Thank you.

General, I think you are an outstanding choice. The President could not have chosen a better person to be Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. So congratulations to you and your family for a lot of great service. The best is yet to come.

When it comes to stopping ISIL -- that is the stated goal is to degrade and destroy -- what if we fail in that goal? What can America expect?

General Dunford: Senator, if we were to fail in stopping ISIL, I think you will see an expansion of ISIL not only across the Middle East but outside the Middle East. And we have, obviously, seen now elements of ISIL in the

1 Maghreb all the way over to Afghanistan.

2 Senator Graham: So they are an expanding power, as we
3 speak?

General Dunford: Well, Senator, I think they are 4 5 expanded in terms of geographic location. I have not yet 6 concluded that they are expanded in terms of capability. Senator Graham: Got you. But I remember when we were 7 8 talking in the office, you said if we do not stop these 9 guys, we can expect a tsunami of ISIL and their sympathizers. Is that fair? 10 11 General Dunford: Senator, I think it is fair. That is 12 exactly what I said, and I stand by that comment. 13 Senator Graham: So at the end of the day, I do not 14 want the tsunami to come, so we are going to have to stop 15 these guys. 16 Is it fair to say that Iraq and Syria need to be viewed 17 as one battle space when it comes to ISIL or to stop them in Iraq if you do not address their presence in Syria? 18 19 General Dunford: Absolutely, Senator. The enemy does 20 not respect the boundaries that we see on the map. Senator Graham: Can you envision a scenario where you 21 22 have a regional army made up of Arabs and maybe Turkey that 23 would go into Syria and fight ISIL alone, leaving Assad off 24 the table? Would they join up for such a fight? 25 General Dunford: Senator, it is hard for me, watching

the politics from the outside right now, to see that degree of integration, given the divergent interests that those countries have. But I can certainly see where that would be an effective way to deal with this is to have a regional army that would be willing to deal with ISIL.

6 Senator Graham: Right. But my question is if you did 7 not put Assad's removal on the table, it would be hard to 8 get them to join up just to fight ISIL because they are 9 worried about Syria becoming a puppet of Iran.

10 General Dunford: That is right, Senator. Most of the 11 countries that you spoke about all have a shared goal of 12 removing Assad from power.

Senator Graham: Would you agree with me that Assad's presence is sort of a magnet for Sunni extremists?

General Dunford: I think if not the proximate cause of the ISIL movement, certainly one of the primary drivers of the ISIL movement was the abuses of the Assad regime.

Senator Graham: If we go down to a thousand Kabulcentric U.S. forces in 2017 in Afghanistan, do we substantially lose our counterterrorism mission?

General Dunford: My assessment is we would have a significant degradation of our counterterrorism mission in Afghanistan, were we to do that.

24 Senator Graham: Would we lose our eyes and ears along 25 the Afghan-Pakistan border that we enjoy today?

1

General Dunford: We would, Senator.

2 Senator Graham: Would in your view that create a lot 3 of risk to the gains we have achieved over the last decade 4 if we did not have those eyes and ears and counterterrorism 5 forces?

General Dunford: Senator, there is no question itwould create risk.

8 Senator Graham: When it comes to 60 Free Syrian Army 9 troops being trained under the current regime, would you 10 agree with me it is going to be very hard to recruit people 11 to go into Syria if you do not promise them protection from 12 Assad because if they get any capability at all in fighting 13 ISIL, Assad would assume that capability would be turned on 14 him one day and he is not going to sit on the sidelines and watch a force mature and develop without hitting them? Does 15 16 that make sense to you?

17 General Dunford: I agree with that assessment,18 Senator.

Senator Graham: So the most logical consequence of training a force to go into Syria to fight ISIL alone is that Assad will see them as a threat to his regime and most likely attack.

23 General Dunford: I agree with that, Senator.

24 Senator Graham: And it would be very, I think, immoral 25 to put someone in that position knowing that is coming their

1 way with some capability to defend themselves. Does that 2 make sense to you?

General Dunford: Senator, my assessment is that if we train moderate Syrian forces, the new Syrian army, then we ought to also provide them with the wherewithal to be successful.

7 Senator Graham: If this war in Syria continues the way 8 it is going for another year, do you worry about stability 9 in Jordan?

10 General Dunford: I do, Senator.

Senator Graham: Do you worry about stability in Lebanon?

13 General Dunford: I do, Senator.

14 Senator Graham: So the consequences of going into 15 Syria with a regional force and all of the problems 16 associated with it have to be balanced against the 17 consequences of ISIL surviving and thriving.

18 General Dunford: I agree with that, Senator.19 Senator Graham: In your view, over the long haul, is

20 it in America's national security interest to do things

21 necessary to degrade and destroy ISIL?

General Dunford: I do believe that is absolutely in our national interest to do that, Senator.

24 Senator Graham: Do you agree with me that whatever 25 regional army we may form, there are certain American

1 capabilities that would be outcome determinative in any 2 fight against ISIL, and it would be in our national security 3 interest to provide those capabilities?

General Dunford: I agree with that, Senator,
particularly in the case of aviation, intelligence,
surveillance, reconnaissance, and probably special
operations capabilities.

8 Senator Graham: Finally, if a soldier or a member of 9 our military falls in Iraq or Syria trying to destroy ISIL, 10 would you agree with me that they died protecting their 11 homeland?

12 General Dunford: I would, Senator.

13 Senator Graham: And that is the reason some of them
14 may have to go back.

General Dunford: Senator, there is no question in my mind that the young men and women that we have deployed right now, the 3,500 that are inside of Iraq and those that are in the surrounds working through CENTCOM in this campaign, are protecting our Nation.

20 Senator Graham: God bless them. God bless you. Best 21 of luck.

22 General Dunford: Thank you, Senator.

23 Senator Reed: General, on behalf of Chairman McCain,
24 let me thank you for your testimony, thank you for your
25 service and the service of your family.

1	And also on behalf of the chairman, I will now adjourn
2	the hearing.
3	[Whereupon, at 11:53 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	